

Technological University Dublin [ARROW@TU Dublin](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/) 

[Articles](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2) **School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering** School of Electronic Engineering

2023

# Design of Event-Triggered Asynchronous H∞ Filter for Switched Systems Using the Sampled-Data Approach

Sheraz Shafique Department of Electrical Engineering, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, Pakistan

Ghulam Mustafa Department of Electrical Engineering, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, Pakistan

Nouman Ashraf Technological University Dublin, nouman.ashraf@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2?utm_source=arrow.tudublin.ie%2Fengscheleart2%2F346&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/266?utm_source=arrow.tudublin.ie%2Fengscheleart2%2F346&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

## Recommended Citation

Shafique, Sheraz; Mustafa, Ghulam; Ashraf, Nouman; and Khan, Owais, "Design of Event-Triggered Asynchronous H∞ Filter for Switched Systems Using the Sampled-Data Approach" (2023). Articles. 346. [https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2/346](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2/346?utm_source=arrow.tudublin.ie%2Fengscheleart2%2F346&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie,%20aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,%20vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie)  [vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie,%20aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,%20vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/). Funder: This research received no external funding

## Authors

Sheraz Shafique, Ghulam Mustafa, Nouman Ashraf, and Owais Khan

This article is available at ARROW@TU Dublin:<https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engscheleart2/346>

**IEEE** Access

Received 3 March 2023, accepted 26 March 2023, date of publication 31 March 2023, date of current version 7 April 2023.

*Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3263554*

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Design of Event-Triggered Asynchronous  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ Filter for Switched Systems Using the Sampled-Data Approach

## SHERAZ SHA[FIQ](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6804-4275)UE<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5521-9921)</sup>, GHULAM MUSTAFA®1, (Senior Member, IEEE), NOUMAN ASHRAF®[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0164-8031), OWAIS KHAN<sup>®3</sup>, ABDU[L Q](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9904-9925)AYYUM KHAN<sup>®[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4153-0548)</sup>, (Senior Member, IEEE), AND MUHAMMAD ABID<sup>®1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad 45650, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Technological University Dublin, Dublin, D07EWV4 Ireland <sup>3</sup>Control Systems Engineering Laboratory, School for Engineering of Matter, Transport, and Energy, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

Corresponding author: Nouman Ashraf (nouman.ashraf@tudublin.ie)

**ABSTRACT** The design of networked switched systems with event-based communication is attractive due to its potential to save bandwidth and energy. However, ensuring the stability and performance of networked systems with event-triggered communication and asynchronous switching is challenging due to their time-varying nature. This paper presents a novel sampled-data approach to design event-triggered asynchronous  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filters for networked switched systems. Unlike most existing event-based filtering results, which either design the event-triggering scheme only or co-design the event-triggering condition and the filter, we consider that the event-triggering policy is predefined and synthesize the filter. We model the estimation error system as an event-triggered switched system with time delay and non-uniform sampling. By implementing a delay-dependent multiple Lyapunov method, we derive sufficient conditions to ensure the global asymptotic stability of the filtering error system and an  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level. The efficacy of the proposed design technique and the superiority of the filter performance is illustrated by numerical examples and by comparing the performance with a recent result.

**INDEX TERMS** Event-triggered sampling, asynchronous switching,  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering, non-uniformly sampled systems.

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Switched dynamical systems are hybrid systems that involve several subsystems and a switching rule; orchestrating the switching among the subsystems. Switched dynamical systems find applications in a variety of engineering fields, e.g., robotic systems [\[1\], \[](#page-12-0)[2\], au](#page-12-1)tomobile systems [\[3\], D](#page-12-2)C-to-DC converters [\[4\], \[](#page-12-3)[5\], ch](#page-12-4)emical reactors, oscillators, and chaos generators [\[6\], \[](#page-12-5)[7\], \[](#page-12-6)[8\].](#page-12-7)

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>Networked control is a control scheme where measurement feedback and control actions route through a communication network. Such a scheme offers many advantages, such as low installation cost, reduced maintenance cost, and flexible system structure [\[9\]. Ne](#page-12-8)tworked control of switched dynamical

<span id="page-2-4"></span>The associate editor coordinating the r[evie](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8169-5347)w of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Engang Tian<sup>19</sup>.

<span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>systems is an active research area, with many researchers addressing problems in this area, see for example [\[10\] a](#page-12-9)nd [\[11\]. E](#page-12-10)xisting results on networked control of switched systems either consider problems in the continuous time or use a periodic sampling scheme [\[12\]. H](#page-12-11)owever, periodic sampling often results in the wastage of network resources. An alternative to periodic sampling is event-based sampling, which saves energy and communication resources [\[13\].](#page-12-12) Recent approaches to event-triggered control use adaptive event-triggering schemes that offer a further reduction in the usage of network resources  $[14]$ ,  $[15]$ ,  $[16]$ .

<span id="page-2-9"></span><span id="page-2-8"></span>Filtering or state estimation problem is an important one in control systems. A reason for that is many advance control algorithms are based on state feedback. However, not all state variables can be measured. A filter or a state estimator then provides an estimate of the state variables for feedback.

Monitoring systems also use an estimate of the state variables. Two popular algorithms for filtering or state estimation in control systems are Kalman filtering and  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering [\[17\].](#page-12-16) Conventional implementation of these algorithms use periodic sampling. This work is focused on event-based  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ filtering or state estimation.

Event-based filtering for networked switched systems has been addressed in [\[6\], \[](#page-12-5)[18\], \[](#page-12-17)[19\],](#page-12-18) [\[20\], a](#page-12-19)nd [\[21\]. I](#page-12-20)n [\[6\], an](#page-12-5) asynchronous finite-time stable filter is devised for continuous-time switched systems. In [\[18\], a](#page-12-17)n event-based  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering problem is addressed for networked switching systems in continuous time domain. Finite-time stability and  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance of the filtering error system are proved by using a delay-dependent Lyapunov functional. In [\[19\],](#page-12-18) an event-triggered  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter is designed to ensure stability in the presence of packet disorders and maintain an  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ performance level. In [\[20\], a](#page-12-19)n event-triggered fault detection  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter is designed. A discrete-time event-triggered  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ filter is designed in [\[21\]. B](#page-12-20)y using multiple Lyapunov function approach, sufficient conditions are derived for exponential stability and  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance of the error system. It is pertinent to note that all these works follow the co-design framework where the event-triggering conditions and filter parameters are designed simultaneously [\[22\]. H](#page-12-21)owever, there are situations where an event-generator is predefined, such as hardware-based event triggers, and it is not possible to redesign them. In such situations, the goal is to design the filter parameters such that the filtering error system remains stable and satisfies the given performance criterion. To the author's best knowledge, this problem has not been addressed in the literature.

<span id="page-3-6"></span>To fill this research gap, we propose a technique for the event-based  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter design where an event-triggering policy is pre-defined. This type of filter design problem can be addressed using the sampled-data systems framework [\[23\]. I](#page-12-22)n particular, we view the event-based filter as a sampled-data system with non-uniformly sampled measurements [\[24\]. T](#page-12-23)he filtering error system can then be modeled as a switched dynamical systems with time delay to cater for the non-uniform sampling of measurement. The stability and performance of the error system can be analysed using the tools developed for switched time-delay systems.

<span id="page-3-7"></span>The main contributions of this paper are as follows.

- 1) A novel technique to design an event-triggered  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ filter is proposed for networked switched systems when an event-triggering policy is predefined. We show that the estimation error system can be modelled as switched system with time delay and non-unform sampling.
- 2) We show utilization of a delay-dependent multiple Lyapunov functional to develop sufficient exponential stability criterion of the error system and the  $H_{\infty}$  performance.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the problem is formulated. In the following section,

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>

**FIGURE 1.** Event-based filtering for switched systems.

<span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>the key results are presented to analyse filter performance and design it. Then, two simulation examples are given to show the efficacy of the presented approach. Finally, the paper is concluded in the last section.

*Notation:* In this paper,  $\mathbb R$  denotes the set of real numbers and  $\mathbb Z$  denotes the set of integers.  $\mathbb R^n$  is the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space. For a matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $P > 0$  means P is positive definite,  $P<sup>T</sup>$  denotes the transpose of matrix  $P$ , and  $l_2[0,\infty)$  represents sequences with finite 2-norm  $\|.\|_2$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $||x||_S^2 = x^T S x$  is the weighted norm of *x*. The acronym LTI stands for linear, time-invariant.

#### **II. PROBLEM FORMULATION**

Consider the filtering scenario as shown in Figure [1.](#page-3-0) The components of the filtering system are described as follows.

### <span id="page-3-5"></span>A. SYSTEM

The system is described by a discrete LTI model

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
x_{k+1} = A_{\theta_k} x_k + B_{\theta_k} w_k
$$
  

$$
y_k = C_{\theta_k} x_k + D_{\theta_k} w_k
$$
 (1)

This model is obtained by sampling the original continuoustime state-space model of the switched system at sampling instants  $t_k$ , where  $t_k = kh$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_k = x(t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state of the system,  $y_k = y(t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is the output of the system, and  $w_k = w(t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the disturbance signal. We assume that  $w_k \in l_2[0, \infty)$ , where  $l_2$  is the space of finite-energy sequences. A sequence  ${f_k}_{k\geq0}$  belongs to  $l_2$  if

$$
||f_k||_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k^T f_k < \infty.
$$

 $\theta_k$  : [0, ∞)  $\rightarrow$   $\mathcal{I}_N$  = {1, 2, 3, ..., *N*} represents the switching rule and  $N \geq 1$  represents the number of subsystems. At any instant  $t_k$ ,  $\theta_k$  depends on  $k$  or  $x_k$  or some other switching rule. For all  $\theta_k \in \mathcal{I}_N$ , we have the following matrices  $A_{\theta_k}$ ,  $B_{\theta_k}$ ,  $C_{\theta_k}$  and  $D_{\theta_k}$  with compatible dimensions. Furthermore, the matrices corresponding to  $\theta_k = i \in \mathcal{I}_N$ are denoted by  $A_i := A_{\theta_k=i}, B_i := B_{\theta_k=i}, C_i := C_{\theta_k=i}$  and  $D_i := D_{\theta_k = i}.$ 

In this paper, we study switched systems of the form in  $(1)$ with Average Dwell Time (ADT) switching. The system can switch from one mode to another arbitrarily, but the switching is slow. The dwell time between mode switches is no less than the ADT.

*Definition 1:* For  $k > k_0$ , let  $N_\theta(k, k_0)$  denotes the number of switchings of  $\theta_k$  over the interval  $[k_0, k)$ . If there exist

 $N_0 > 0$  and  $\tau_a > 0$  such that

$$
N_{\theta}(k, k_0) \le N_0 + \frac{k - k_0}{\tau_a},\tag{2}
$$

then  $N_0$  and  $\tau_a$  are called the chatter bound and average dwell time of the switching signal  $\theta_k$ .

#### B. EVENT-TRIGGERED SAMPLING

The measurement  $y_k$  is sampled at sampling instants  $t_k$  and is fed to the event-generator. The event-generator uses a predefined criterion to determine whether to communicate the measurement or not. Let  $\{\tau_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the instants when the measurement is transmitted. The time instants  $\tau_k$  are called event triggering instants. The event triggering instants are decided according to a given event triggering policy  $\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k)$ .

$$
\tau_{k+1} := \min_{k \ge 0} \{ k > \tau_k | \mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k) \ge 0 \text{ or } k - \tau_k > = M \} \tag{3}
$$

with  $\tau_0 = 0$  and  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The constant *M* is chosen in such a way that  $M \leq \tau_a$ , i.e., there is at most one switching in event-triggering instant. Notice that  $\tau_k$ forms an increasing sequence, that is  $\tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 <$  $\ldots$  <  $\tau_k$ , therefore, the Zeno phenomenon does not occur. We assume that

<span id="page-4-0"></span>*Assumption 1:*  $\tau_k = mt_k$  where  $m, k \geq 0$  and  $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>*Assumption 2:*  $\tau_{k+1}$  -  $\tau_k \leq (\phi_k + 1)h$  where  $\phi_k \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi}$  =  $\{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}.$ 

Assumption [1](#page-4-0) implies that the event-triggering instants commensurate with the measurement sampling instants. This is a realistic assumption because the event-generator activates at each measurement sampling instants to decide whether to discard or transmit the measurement. Assumption [2](#page-4-1) implies that the measurement from the process will be transmitted at least after *M* periods. Event-triggered sampling with an upper bound on the sampling interval has already been used in the literature, see for example  $[21]$ . This sampling technique is a hybridization of the two sampling techniques; the event triggered-sampling and self-triggered sampling [\[25\].](#page-12-24) It provides a reasonable compromise between the utilization of network bandwidth and system performance by ensuring that fresh data is communicated to the filter after *M* sampling intervals.

The event-triggering policy  $\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k)$  could be a function of state, output, or time. Various event-triggered sampling policies have been proposed in the literature [\[22\]. S](#page-12-21)ome examples are:

*Example 1:*  $\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k) = \gamma(\Vert e_k \Vert) - \sigma \alpha(\Vert x_k \Vert)$  where  $0 < \sigma < 1$  is a scalar,  $e_k = x_{\tau_k} - x_k$  (or  $y_{\tau_k} - y_k$ ) is the error since last transmission,  $\alpha$ , and  $\gamma$  are suitable functions.

*Example 2:*  $\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k) = \eta_k + \theta(\sigma \alpha(\Vert x_k \Vert) - \gamma(\Vert e_k \Vert))$ where  $\eta_{k+1} = -\beta \eta_k + \sigma \alpha(\Vert x_k \Vert) - \gamma(\Vert e_k \Vert)$  with a suitable function  $\beta$ .

#### C. FILTER

<span id="page-4-4"></span>We take the filter structure to be

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\hat{x}_{k+1} = A_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}\hat{x}_{k} + B_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}\bar{y}_{k}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{y}_{k} = C_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}\hat{x}_{k} + D_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}\bar{y}_{k}
$$
\n(4)

where  $\hat{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state of the filter,  $\bar{y}_k \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the input to the filter and  $\hat{y}_k \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is the filter output. The matrices  $A_{f_{\theta'_k}}$ ,  $B_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$ ,  $C_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$ , and  $D_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$  are the filter design parameters and  $\theta'_{k}$  is the switching signal of the filter. Again, for the sake of brevity, we denote the filter parameters  $A_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$ ,  $B_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$ ,  $C_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$ , and  $D_{f_{\theta'_{k}}}$  with  $A_{f_i}, B_{f_i}, C_{f_i}$ , and  $D_{f_i}$ , respectively, when  $\theta'_k = i \in \mathcal{I}_N^{\kappa}$ . The switching signals  $\ddot{\theta_k}$  and  $\theta'_k$  are in general not synchronized. There is generally some lag between the switching of the system mode and the corresponding filter. Let  $T_{\text{max}}$  be the maximum lag between  $\theta_k$  and  $\theta_{k'}$ , that is,

$$
\theta'_k = \theta_{k-T_{max}}.
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>A larger value of  $T_{\text{max}}$  means that the activated system and filter modes are not synchronised for longer time. Also, due the event-triggered sampling, the input to the filter is intermittent and non-uniform. That is

$$
\bar{y}_k = y_k, \quad \text{when} \quad t_k = \tau_k. \tag{5}
$$

A longer interval may cause the estimation error to diverge due to the presence of disturbance and asynchronous switching. This inherently presents a compromise between the duration of the event-triggering interval and disturbance attenuation to maintain the state estimation quality at a desired level. From the sampled-data control theory point of view, the event-triggered filtering problem with a specified event-triggering policy can be viewed as a filtering problem with non-uniform sampling [\[24\].](#page-12-23)

#### D. ERROR SYSTEM

Let  $\tilde{z} = y_k - \hat{y}_k$  denotes the estimation error. From assumption [2,](#page-4-1) the input to the filter during each transmission interval will be

$$
\bar{y}_k = y_{k-\phi_k} \quad \phi_k \in \mathcal{I}_{\phi}, k \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}). \tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-4-5"></span>The transmission interval can be partitioned as  $[\tau_k, \tau_{k+1})$  =  $[\tau_k, \tau_k + \tau_{\text{max}}) \cup [\tau_k + \tau_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})$ . Different system and filter modes are activated when  $k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})$ . Let  $\theta_k = i$ ,  $\theta'_{k} = j$ , and  $\phi_{k} = 0$ , the dynamics of the filtering error system will be

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k+1} \\
\hat{x}_{k+1}\n\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}\nA_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 \\
B_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-0} \\
\hat{x}_{k-0}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 \\
0 & B_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-0}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
& \tilde{z}_k &= \begin{bmatrix}\nC_i & -C_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n-D_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-0} \\
\hat{x}_{k-0}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & -D_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-0}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

# **IEEE** Access

When  $k \in [\tau_k + T_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})$ , the system and filter modes will be synchronized. Let  $\theta_k = \theta'_k = i$ , and  $\phi_k = 0$ , the dynamics of the filtering error system will be

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\
 \hat{x}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{f_i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\
 \hat{x}_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\
B_{f_i}C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{k-0} \\
 \hat{x}_{k-0} \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} B_i & 0 \\
0 & B_{f_i}D_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_k \\
 w_{k-0} \end{bmatrix} \\
& \tilde{z}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} C_i & -C_{f_i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\
 \hat{x}_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -D_{f_i}C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{k-0} \\
 \hat{x}_{k-0} \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix} D_i & -D_{f_i}D_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_k \\
 w_{k-0} \end{bmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

When  $\phi_k = 1$ ,  $\theta_k = i$ , and  $\theta'_k = j$ , the dynamics of the error system will be

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k+1} \\
\hat{x}_{k+1}\n\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}\nA_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 \\
B_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 \\
0 & B_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
& \tilde{z}_k &= \begin{bmatrix}\nC_i & -C_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n-D_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & -D_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, when  $\phi_k = 1$ ,  $\theta_k = \theta'_k = i$ , the dynamics of the error system will be

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k+1} \\
\hat{x}_{k+1}\n\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}\nA_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{f_i}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 \\
B_{f_i}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 \\
0 & B_{f_i}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
& \tilde{z}_k &= \begin{bmatrix}\nC_i & -C_{f_i}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_k \\
\hat{x}_k\n\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}\n-D_{f_i}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nx_{k-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & -D_{f_i}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\nw_k \\
w_{k-1}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

In general, the dynamics of the error system can be written as

$$
\eta_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{ij}\eta_k + \bar{A}_{d_{ij}}\eta_{k-\phi_k} + \bar{B}_{\phi_k,ij}\bar{w}_k
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = \bar{C}_{ij}\eta_k + \bar{C}_{d_{ij}}\eta_{k-\phi_k} + \bar{D}_{\phi_k,ij}\bar{w}_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})
$$
  
\n
$$
\eta_{k+1} = \bar{A}_i\eta_k + \bar{A}_{d_i}\eta_{k-\phi_k} + \bar{B}_{\phi_k,i}\bar{w}_k
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = \bar{C}_i\eta_k + \bar{C}_{d_i}\eta_{k-\phi_k} + \bar{D}_{\phi_k,i}\bar{w}_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})
$$
\n(7)

where  $\eta_k = [x_k^T, \hat{x}_k^T]^T$ ,  $\bar{w}_k = [w_k^T, w_{k-1}^T, \dots, w_{k-M+1}^T]^T$ , and

$$
\bar{B}_{\phi_k,ij} = \begin{cases}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
B_{fj}D_i & 0 & \cdots & 0\n\end{bmatrix} & \phi_k = 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & B_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} & \phi_k = M - 1 \\
\hline\n\bar{B}_{\phi_k,i} = \begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
B_{fj}D_i & 0 & \cdots & 0\n\end{bmatrix} & \phi_k = 0 \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\begin{bmatrix}\nB_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & B_{fi}D_i\n\end{bmatrix} & \phi_k = M - 1 \\
\bar{A}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\nA_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{A}_{d_{ij}} = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 \\
B_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \\
\bar{A}_i = \begin{bmatrix}\nA_i & 0 \\
0 & A_{fi}\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{A}_{d_i} = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 \\
B_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \\
\bar{C}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\nC_i & -C_{fj}\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{C}_{d_{ij}} = \begin{bmatrix}\n-D_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \\
\bar{C}_i = \begin{bmatrix}\nC_i & -C_{fi}\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{C}_{d_i} = \begin{bmatrix}\n-D_{fj}C_i & 0\n\end{bmatrix} \\
\bar{D}_{\phi_k,ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & 0 & \cdots & 0\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_k = 0 \\
\bar{D}_{\phi_k,ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & 0 & \cdots & 0\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_k = M - 1 \\
\bar{D}_{\phi_k,ij} = \begin{bmatrix}\nD_i & 0 & \cdots & -D_{fj}D_i\n\end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_k = M - 1\n\end{cases}
$$

Notice that the error system is a switched time-delay system where the time-dependent delay  $\phi_k$  takes values in the interval  $\mathcal{I}_{\phi}$ .

#### E. PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this paper, we consider the following problem:

Given the system in [\(1\)](#page-3-1) and event-triggered sampling in [\(3\)](#page-4-2), satisfying assumptions [1](#page-4-0) and [2,](#page-4-1) design the filter parameters in  $(4)$ , such that the error system in  $(7)$  is

- globally uniformly asymptotically stable with  $\bar{w}_k = 0$ ,
- when initially relaxed, the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ -norm of the error system is less than  $\gamma$ , where  $\gamma > 0$  is a positive scalar.

*Definition 2 [\[26\]:](#page-12-25)* When  $\bar{w}_k = 0$ , the system in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) is said to be globally uniformly asymptotically stable if, for all switching signals  $\theta_k$  and  $\theta'_k$ , its solutions satisfy

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\|\eta_k\| \leq \beta \|\psi_l\| \,, \qquad \forall k \geq k_0
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>for any initial condition ( $\psi_l$ ,  $k_0$ , ), where  $\psi(l) = \eta(l)$  for  $l =$ *k*<sub>0</sub>−*M*, *k*<sub>0</sub>−*M*+1, . . . , *k*<sub>0</sub> and  $||\psi_l|| = \sup_{k_0 - M \le l \le k_0} ||\psi(l)||$ .

*Definition 3:* The error system in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) is said to have  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ performance level  $\gamma > 0$ , if it is globally asymptotically stable and under zero initial conditions, the  $l_2$  gain satisfies

$$
\|\tilde{z}_k\|_2^2 \leq \gamma^2 \|\bar{w}_k\|_2^2.
$$

When there is no event-triggered sampling, we can write the system in  $(7)$  as

$$
\eta_{k+1} = f_{\theta_k}(\eta_k, w_k) = (\bar{A}_{ij} + \bar{A}_{d_{ij}})\eta_k + \bar{B}_{ij}w_k
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = h_{\theta_k}(\eta_k, w_k) = (\bar{C}_{ij} + \bar{C}_{d_{ij}})\eta_k + \bar{D}_{ij}w_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})
$$
  
\n
$$
\eta_{k+1} = f_{\theta_k}(\eta_k, w_k) = (\bar{A}_i + \bar{A}_{d_i})\eta_k + \bar{B}_iw_k
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = h_{\theta_k}(\eta_k, w_k) = (\bar{C}_i + \bar{C}_{d_i})\eta_k + \bar{D}_iw_k,
$$
  
\n
$$
k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})
$$
\n(8)

The stability and  $H_{\infty}$  performance of this system can be analyzed by using the following results from [\[26\] an](#page-12-25)d [\[5\].](#page-12-4)

*Lemma 1:* Consider the error system in [\(8\)](#page-6-0) with  $w_k$  = 0 and given  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\mu \ge 1$ , and  $\beta \ge 0$ . Let there be  $C^1$ functions  $V_{\theta_k} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \theta_k \in \mathcal{I}_N$ , and  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  belonging to class  $\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ ,  $\forall \theta_k = i \in \mathcal{I}_N$ 

$$
\kappa_1(\|\eta_k\|) \le V_i(\eta_k) \le \kappa_2(\|\eta_k)\|
$$
  

$$
\Delta V_i(\eta_k) \le \begin{cases} \beta V_i(\eta_k), & \forall k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + T_{\max}) \\ -\alpha V_i(\eta_k), & \forall k \in [\tau_k + T_{\max}, \tau_{k+1}) \end{cases}
$$

for all  $\theta_{\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}} = i$ ,  $\theta_{\tau_k} = j \in \mathcal{I}_N \times \mathcal{I}_N$ ,  $i \neq j$ 

$$
V_i(\eta_{\tau_k}) \leq \mu V_j(\eta_{\tau_k})
$$

then the estimation error system in  $(8)$  is globally uniformly asymptotically stable for any  $\theta_k$  with ADT  $\tau_a$  given as

$$
\tau_a > \tau_a^* = -\frac{\tau_{\text{max}}[\ln(1+\beta) - \ln(1-\alpha)] + \ln \mu}{\ln(1-\alpha)}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>*Lemma 2:* Consider the error system in  $(8)$  and let  $0 <$  $\alpha$  < 1,  $\mu \ge 1$ , and  $\beta \ge 0$  be given constants. Suppose there exist positive definite functions  $C^1$  functions  $V_{\theta_k} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\theta_k \in \mathcal{I}_N$  with  $V_{\theta_{k_0}}(\eta_{k_0}) \equiv 0$  such that  $\forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{I}_N \times \mathcal{I}_N, i \neq j$ ,  $V_i(\eta_{\tau_k}) \leq \mu V_j(\eta_{\tau_k}^{\sigma})$  and  $\forall \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$$
\Delta V_i(\eta_k) \leq \begin{cases} \beta V_i(\eta_k) - \Gamma(\tilde{z}_k, w_k), \\ \forall k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}) \\ -\alpha V_i(\eta_k) - \Gamma(\tilde{z}_k, w_k), \\ \forall k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1}) \end{cases}
$$

where  $\Gamma(\tilde{z}_k, w_k) \equiv \tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 w_k^T w_k$ , then the switched system is globally asymptotically stable for any  $\theta_k$  satisfying [\(2\)](#page-4-4) and has an *l*<sub>2</sub>-gain no greater that  $\gamma^* = \max\{\sqrt{\Theta^{T_{\max}-1}}\gamma_i\}$ , where  $\Theta = (1 + \beta)/(1 - \alpha).$ 

Note that the Lyapunov function can increase with a bounded rate during the asynchronous periods. This allows to capture the impact of asynchronous switching between the system and filter modes on the error system stability and  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance. Lemma [1](#page-6-1) and Lemma [2](#page-6-2) consider the impact of asynchronous switching, but do not consider the impact of event-triggered sampling. Our goal in this manuscript is to present improved filter design criteria that incorporate both the aforementioned phenomena.

#### **III. MAIN RESULTS**

In this section, we present the main results. First, we develop the conditions under which the filtering error system will be globally asymptotically stable and will have the desired  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level. This is accomplished by using a delay-dependent multiple Lyapunov functional. Next, we convert these analysis conditions to synthesis conditions by appropriately partitioning the Lyapunov matrices.

#### A.  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter performance analysis

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>*Theorem 1:* Consider  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\mu \ge 1$ , and  $\beta \ge 0$  are specified, the error dynamics in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) are globally uniformly asymptotically stable and has  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance  $\gamma^*$ , where  $\gamma^* = \max\{\sqrt{\Theta^T \max^{-1}} \gamma_i\}$ , if one can find matrices  $P_i > 0$ and  $Q_{r,i} > 0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_N$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $r \in \mathcal{I}_\phi$  such that  $P_i \le \mu P_j$ ,  $Q_{r,i} \le \mu Q_{r,j}$  and the conditions in [\(9\)](#page-6-3) and [\(10\)](#page-6-4) hold

<span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n-P_i & 0 & P_i \tilde{A}_{ir} & P_i \tilde{B}_{ir} \\
* & -I & \tilde{C}_{ir} & \tilde{D}_{ir} \\
* & * & \Lambda_{ir} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_i^2 I\n\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
$$
\n(9)\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n-P_i & 0 & P_i \tilde{A}_{ijr} & P_i \tilde{B}_{ijr} \\
* & -I & \tilde{C}_{ijr} & \tilde{D}_{ijr} \\
* & * & \Lambda_{ijr} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_i^2 I\n\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
$$
\n(10)

where

 $\frac{u}{-}$ 

$$
\tilde{A}_{ir} = [\tilde{A}_i + \epsilon_0 \tilde{A}_{di} \quad \epsilon_1 \tilde{A}_{di} \quad \cdots \quad \epsilon_r \tilde{A}_{di}] \n\tilde{A}_{ijr} = [\tilde{A}_{ij} + \epsilon_0 \tilde{A}_{dij} \quad \epsilon_1 \tilde{A}_{dij} \quad \cdots \quad \epsilon_r \tilde{A}_{di}] \n\tilde{B}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} B_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \epsilon_0 B_{fi} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{fi} D_i & \cdots & \epsilon_r B_{fi} D_i \end{bmatrix} \n\tilde{B}_{ijr} = \begin{bmatrix} B_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \epsilon_0 B_{fi} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{fi} D_i & \cdots & \epsilon_r B_{fi} D_i \end{bmatrix} \n\tilde{C}_{ir} = [\tilde{C}_i + \epsilon_0 \tilde{C}_{d_i} \epsilon_1 \tilde{C}_{d_i} & \cdots & \epsilon_r \tilde{C}_{d_i}] \n\tilde{C}_{ir} = [\tilde{C}_{ij} + \epsilon_0 \tilde{C}_{d_{ij}} \epsilon_1 \tilde{C}_{dij} & \cdots & \epsilon_r \tilde{C}_{d_{ij}}] \n\tilde{D}_{ir} = [D_i - \epsilon_0 D_{fi} D_i & -\epsilon_1 D_{fi} D_i & \cdots & -\epsilon_r D_{fi} D_i] \n\tilde{D}_{ijr} = [D_i - \epsilon_0 D_{fi} D_i & -\epsilon_1 D_{fi} D_i & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{2,j} - \tilde{\alpha} Q_{1,i} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\tilde{\alpha} Q_{r,i} \end{bmatrix} \n\Lambda_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1,j} - \tilde{\beta} P_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{2,j} - \tilde{\beta} Q_{1,i} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\tilde{\beta} Q_{r,i} \end{bmatrix} \n\epsilon_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & r = \phi_k & & & & \\ 1 & r = \phi_k & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{
$$

 $\bar{\alpha} = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $\bar{\beta} = 1 + \beta$ 

*Proof:* First of all the asymptotic stability of [\(7\)](#page-5-0) is proved by considering the following switched Lyapunov function

$$
V_{\theta_k}(\eta_k) = V_{1\theta_k}(\eta_k) + V_{2\theta_k}(\eta_k, \cdots, \eta_{k-M+1})
$$
 (11)

where

$$
V_{1\theta_k}(\eta_k) = \eta_k^T P_{\theta_k} \eta_k
$$
  

$$
V_{2\theta_k}(\eta_k, \cdots, \eta_{k-M+1}) = \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \eta_{k-r}^T Q_{r,\theta_k} \eta_{k-r}
$$

With  $\bar{w}_k = 0$ , the system in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) becomes

$$
\eta_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{ij}\eta_k + \bar{A}_{d_{ij}}\eta_{k-\phi_k}
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = \bar{C}_{ij}\eta_k + \bar{C}_{d_{ij}}\eta_{k-\phi_k}, \quad k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})
$$
  
\n
$$
\eta_{k+1} = \bar{A}_i\eta_k + \bar{A}_{d_i}\eta_{k-\phi_k}
$$
  
\n
$$
\tilde{z}_k = \bar{C}_i\eta_k + \bar{C}_{d_i}\eta_{k-\phi_k}, \quad k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})
$$

For  $\theta_k = i$  and  $\theta_{k+1} = j$ , taking the increment of the Lyapunov function  $\Delta V_i(\eta_k) = V_i(\eta_{k+1}) - V_i(\eta_k)$  along the state path of the estimation error system, we obtain

$$
\Delta V_{1i} = \eta_{k+1}^T P_j \eta_{k+1} - \eta_k^T P_i \eta_k
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta V_{2i} = \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \eta_{k+1-r}^T Q_{r,j} \eta_{k+1-r} - \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \eta_{k-r}^T Q_{r,i} \eta_{k-r}
$$

Then, for  $k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})$ 

$$
\Delta V_i - \beta V_i = \|\eta_{k+1}\|_{P_j}^2 - \bar{\beta} \|\eta_k\|_{P_i}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \|\eta_{k+1-r}\|_{Q_{r,j}}^2
$$

$$
- \bar{\beta} \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \|\eta_{k-r}\|_{Q_{r,i}}^2
$$

and, for  $k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})$ 

$$
\Delta V_i + \alpha V_i = \|\eta_{k+1}\|_{P_j}^2 - \bar{\alpha} \|\eta_k\|_{P_i}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \|\eta_{k+1-r}\|_{Q_{r,j}}^2
$$

$$
- \bar{\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{M-1} \|\eta_{k-r}\|_{Q_{r,i}}^2
$$

With further manipulation, we can write

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta V_i - \beta V_i = \zeta_k^T \left( \tilde{A}_{ijr}^T P_j \tilde{A}_{ijr} + \Lambda_{ir} \right) \zeta_k, \\
k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + T_{\text{max}}) \\
\Delta V_i + \alpha V_i = \zeta_k^T \left( \tilde{A}_{ir}^T P_j \tilde{A}_{ir} + \Lambda_{ir} \right) \zeta_k, \\
k \in [\tau_k + T_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})\n\end{cases} \tag{12}
$$

where  $\zeta_k = \left[\eta_k^T \; \eta_{k-1}^T \; \dots \; \eta_{k-M+1}^T\right]^T$ . If the inequalities in [\(9\)](#page-6-3) and [\(10\)](#page-6-4) hold, then  $\tilde{A}^T_{ijr}P_j\tilde{A}_{ijr} + \Lambda_{ir} \leq 0$  and  $\tilde{A}^T_{ijr}P_j\tilde{A}_{ijr} + \Lambda_{ir} \leq 0$ 0 for  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_N$  and  $r \in \mathcal{I}_\phi$ . Therefore, the error system will be asymptotically stable. Now for  $k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}})$ 

$$
\tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k = \zeta_k^T \tilde{C}_{ijr}^T \tilde{C}_{ijr} \zeta_k + \zeta_k^T \tilde{C}_{ijr}^T \tilde{D}_{ijr} \bar{w}_k
$$

VOLUME 11, 2023 34037

<span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
+\,\overline{w}_{k}^{T}\tilde{D}_{ijr}^{T}\tilde{C}_{ijr}\zeta_{k}+\overline{w}_{k}^{T}\tilde{D}_{ijr}^{T}\tilde{D}_{ijr}\overline{w}_{k}-\,\overline{w}_{k}^{T}\gamma_{i}^{2}\overline{w}_{k}
$$
(13)

For  $k \in [\tau_k + \mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1})$ 

$$
\tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k = \xi_k^T \tilde{C}_{ir}^T \tilde{C}_{ir} \xi_k + \xi_k^T \tilde{C}_{ir}^T \tilde{D}_{ir} \bar{w}_k \n+ \bar{w}_k^T \left( \tilde{D}_{ir}^T \tilde{D}_{ir} - \gamma_i^2 I \right) \bar{w}_k \n+ \bar{w}_k^T \tilde{D}_{ir}^T \tilde{C}_{ir} \xi_k
$$
\n(14)

Using  $(12)-(14)$  $(12)-(14)$  $(12)-(14)$ , we can write

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta V_i - \beta V_i + \tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k = \xi_k^T \Gamma_{ijr} \xi_k \\
\Delta V_i + \alpha V_i + \tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k = \xi_k^T \Gamma_{ir} \xi_k\n\end{cases} (15)
$$

where  $\xi_k = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_k^T & \bar{w}_k^T \end{bmatrix}^T$  and

$$
\Gamma_{ijr} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{ijr} & \tilde{B}_{ijr} \\ \tilde{C}_{ijr} & \tilde{D}_{ijr} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} P_j & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{ijr} & \tilde{B}_{ijr} \\ \tilde{C}_{ijr} & \tilde{D}_{ijr} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{ir} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{ir} & \tilde{B}_{ir} \\ \tilde{C}_{ir} & \tilde{D}_{ir} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} P_j & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{ir} & \tilde{B}_{ir} \\ \tilde{C}_{ir} & \tilde{D}_{ir} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{ir} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_i^2 I \end{bmatrix}
$$

If  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  hold, then from  $(15)$ 

$$
\Delta V_i(\eta_k) \leq \begin{cases} \beta V_i(\eta_k) + \tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k, \\ \forall k \in [\tau_k, \tau_k + T_{\text{max}}) \\ -\alpha V_i(\eta_k) + \tilde{z}_k^T \tilde{z}_k - \gamma_i^2 \bar{w}_k^T \bar{w}_k, \\ \forall k \in [\tau_k + T_{\text{max}}, \tau_{k+1}) \end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof.

The inequalities in  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  involves the matrix product of different system modes. It becomes hard to convert them into design conditions. This difficulty can be overcome by using the approach given in [\[27\].](#page-12-26)

*Lemma 3:* The filtering error dynamics in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) are asymptotically stable and have  $\|\tilde{z}_k\|_2 \leq \gamma^* \|\bar{w}_k\|_2$  where  $\gamma^* =$  $\max\{\sqrt{\Theta^{T_{\text{max}}-1}}\gamma_i\}$ , if one can find  $P_i > 0$  and  $Q_{r,i} > 0$ ,  $R_i$  for all  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_N$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $r \in \mathcal{I}_\phi$  such that  $P_i \leq \mu P_j$ ,  $Q_{r,i} \leq \mu Q_{r,j}$  and the conditions in [\(16\)](#page-7-3) and [\(17\)](#page-7-3) hold:

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nP_i - R_i - R_i^T & 0 & R_i \tilde{A}_{ir} & R_i \tilde{B}_{ir} \\
* & -I & \tilde{C}_{ir} & \tilde{D}_{ir} \\
* & * & \Lambda_{ir} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_i^2 I\n\end{bmatrix} \leq 0 \quad (16)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nP_i - R_j - R_j^T & 0 & R_i \tilde{A}_{ijr} & R_i \tilde{B}_{ijr} \\
* & -I & \tilde{C}_{ijr} & \tilde{D}_{ijr} \\
* & * & \Lambda_{ijr} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_i^2 I\n\end{bmatrix} \leq 0 \quad (17)
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>*Proof:* We prove [\(16\)](#page-7-3) only. The proof of [\(17\)](#page-7-3) is similar. Note that

$$
(P_i - R_i)^T P_i^{-1} (P_i - R_i) \ge 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
(I - R_i^T P_i^{-1}) (P_i - R_i) \ge 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
P_i - R_i^T - R_i + R_i^T P_i^{-1} R_i \ge 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
P_i - R_i - R_i^T \ge -R_i P_i^{-1} R_i^T
$$

<span id="page-7-4"></span>П

If the inequality in  $(17)$  holds, then

$$
\begin{bmatrix} -R_i P_i^{-1} R_i^T & 0 & R_i \tilde{A}_{ir} & R_i \tilde{B}_{ir} \\ * & -I & \tilde{C}_{ir} & \tilde{D}_{ir} \\ * & * & \Lambda_{ir} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -\gamma_i^2 I \end{bmatrix} < 0
$$

Pre- and post- multiplying the above equation with diag $\{R_i^{-1}, I, I, I\}$  and diag $\{R_i^{-T}, I, I, I\}$  and then pre- and post- multiplying with diag $\{P_i, I, I, I\}$  and diag $\{P_i, I, I, I\}$ , yields [\(9\)](#page-6-3), thus the proof is concluded.

#### B.  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter design

In this section, we provide a theorem that establishes conditions such that a solution to the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering problem exists, and a filter can be designed.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>*Theorem 2:* Consider  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\mu \ge 1$ , and  $\beta \ge 0$  for the system in [\(1\)](#page-3-1) be given, if one can matrices  $P_{1i} > 0$ ,  $P_{3i} >$  $0, Q_{1r,i} > 0, Q_{3r,i} > 0$ , and  $P_{2i}, Q_{2r,i}, U_i, Y_i, W_i, A_{F_i}, B_{F_i},$  $C_{F_i}$ , and  $D_{F_i}$ ,  $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}_N$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $r \in \mathcal{I}_\phi$  such that the matrix inequalities given below

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Pi_{ij}^{1} & 0 & \Pi_{ij}^{2} & \Pi_{ij}^{3} \\
* & -I & \Pi_{ij}^{4} & \Pi_{ij}^{5} \\
* & * & \Upsilon_{ijr} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_{i}^{2}I\n\end{bmatrix} < 0 \qquad (18)
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\Pi_{i}^{1} & 0 & \Pi_{i}^{2} & \Pi_{i}^{3} \\
* & -I & \Pi_{i}^{4} & \Pi_{i}^{5} \\
* & * & \Upsilon_{ir} & 0 \\
* & * & * & -\gamma_{i}^{2}I\n\end{bmatrix} < 0 \qquad (19)
$$

hold, where

$$
\Pi_{ij}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} - U_j - U_j^T & P_{2i} - Y_j - W_j^T \ W_i^T \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{i}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} - U_i - U_i^T & P_{2i} - Y_i - W_i^T \ W_j^T \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} U_j A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_j} C_i & A_{F_j} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_j} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ W_j A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_j} C_i & A_{F_j} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_j} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ W_j A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_j} C_i & A_{F_j} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_j} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ \epsilon_r B_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{i}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} U_i A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} C_i & A_{F_i} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ W_i A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} C_i & A_{F_i} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ \epsilon_r B_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} U_j B_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_j} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{F_j} D_i & \dots & \epsilon_r B_{F_j} D_i \\ W_j B_2 + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{F_j} D_i & \dots & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} D_i \\ W_i B_2 + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} D_i & \dots & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} D_i \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} C_2 - \epsilon_0 D_{F_j} C_i & -C_{F_j} & -\epsilon_1 D_{F_j} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ \epsilon_r D_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \dots & \epsilon_r D_{F_i} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\Pi_{ij}^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} C_2 - \epsilon_0 D_{F_j} C_i & -C_{F_i} & -\epsilon_1 D_{F_i} C_i & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**FIGURE 2.** PWM-driven DC-DC boost converter.

$$
\Pi_i^5 = \Pi_{i5} = [D_i - \epsilon_0 D_{F_i} D_i \quad -\epsilon_1 D_{F_i} D_i \dots \quad -\epsilon_r D_{F_i} D_i]
$$

 $\Upsilon_{ijr}$  and  $\Upsilon_{ir}$  are partitions of  $\Lambda_{ijr}$ ,  $\Lambda_{ir}$ , and  $\epsilon_r$ , defined in [\(9\)](#page-6-3). Then, the error system in [\(7\)](#page-5-0) will be asymptotically stable with  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level  $\gamma^* = \max\{\sqrt{\Theta^{T_{\max}-1}}\gamma_i\}$ . The corresponding filter parameters are given by

$$
A_{f_i} = Y_i^{-1} A_{F_i}, B_{f_i} = Y_i^{-1} B_{F_i} C_{f_i} = C_{F_i}, D_{f_i} = D_{F_i}.
$$

*Proof:* Take matrices  $P_i$ ,  $R_i$  and  $Q_{r,i}$  in [\(16\)](#page-7-3) as

$$
P_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1i} & P_{2i} \\ P_{2i}^T & P_{3i} \end{bmatrix}, R_i = \begin{bmatrix} U_i & Y_i \\ W_i & Y_i \end{bmatrix}, Q_{r,i} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1r,i} & Q_{2r,i} \\ Q_{2r,i}^T & Q_{3r,i} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Inserting them in  $(16)$ , we get

$$
P_i - R_i - R_i^T = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1j} - U_i - U_i^T & P_{2j} - Y_i - W_i^T \ P_{2j}^T - W_i - Y_i^T & P_{3j} - Y_i - Y_i^T \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
R_i \tilde{A}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} U_i A_i + \epsilon_0 Y_i B_{fi} C_i & Y_i A_{fi} & \epsilon_1 Y_i B_{fi} C_i & 0 \\ W_i A_i + \epsilon_0 Y_i B_{fi} C_i & Y_i A_{fi} & \epsilon_1 Y_i B_{fi} C_i & 0 \\ \cdots & \epsilon_r Y_i B_{fi} C_i & 0 \\ \cdots & \epsilon_r Y_i B_{fi} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Define  $B_{F_i} = Y_i B_{f_i}$ , and  $A_{F_i} = Y_i A_{f_i}$ , then

$$
R_i \tilde{A}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} U_i A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} C_i & A_{F_i} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} C_i & 0 \\ W_i A_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} C_i & A_{F_i} & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} C_i & 0 \\ \cdots & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
R_i \tilde{B}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} U_i B_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} D_i & \cdots & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} D_i \\ W_i B_i + \epsilon_0 B_{F_i} D_i & \epsilon_1 B_{F_i} D_i & \cdots & \epsilon_r B_{F_i} D_i \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{C}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} C_i - \epsilon_0 D_{F_i} C_i & -C_{F_i} & -\epsilon_1 D_{F_i} C_i & 0 \\ \cdots & -\epsilon_r D_{F_i} C_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{D}_{ir} = \begin{bmatrix} D_i - \epsilon_0 D_{F_i} D_i & -\epsilon_1 D_{F_i} D_i & \cdots & -\epsilon_r D_{F_i} D_i \end{bmatrix}
$$

Inserting these expressions, we get  $(18)$ . The inequality in [\(19\)](#page-8-0) can be derived similarly. This completes the proof.

#### **IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

In this section, we give two examples to show the utility of the proposed technique. Example 1 demonstrate the effectiveness of the technique in reducing the utilization of network bandwidth while Example 2 compares the performance of the proposed technique with an existing result.

### A. EXAMPLE 1: EVENT-TRIGGERED FILTER DESIGN FOR PWM-DRIVEN DC-DC BOOST CONVERTER

Consider the Pulse Width Modulation (PWM)-driven DC-DC boost converter studied in [\[4\], \[](#page-12-3)[28\], a](#page-12-27)nd [\[21\]. A](#page-12-20) schematic of the converter circuit is shown in Figure [2.](#page-8-1) A PWM signal drives the switch  $\xi(t)$  with a period of *T* seconds. The circuit has a source voltage  $e(t)$ , resistance *R*, capacitance *C*, and inductance  $L$ . Also,  $i_l(t)$  is the current through the inductor,  $e_C(t)$  is the voltage across the capacitor,  $i_o(t)$  is the current through the resistor, and  $v<sub>o</sub>(t)$  is the voltage across the resistor. The dynamics of this circuit are governed by the following differential equations.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\dot{e}_C(s) = -\frac{1}{RC_1}e_C(s) + (1 - \xi(s))\frac{1}{C_1}i_L(s)
$$

$$
\dot{i}_L(s) = -(1 - \xi(s))\frac{1}{L_1}e_C(s) + \xi(s)\frac{1}{L_1}e(t) \qquad (20)
$$

where  $s = t.T$ ,  $C_1 = CT^{-1}$ , and  $L_1 = LT^{-1}$ . The dynamics in [\(20\)](#page-9-0) can be written in switched form as

$$
\dot{x}(t) = A_{\theta(t)}^c x(t)
$$

where  $x(t) = [e_C(t) I_L(t) I],$  and

$$
A_1^c = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{RC1} & \frac{1}{C_1} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{L_1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},\ A_2^c = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{RC1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{L_1}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

The switching signal is defined as

$$
\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \xi(t) = 0(\text{OFF}) \\ 2, & \xi(t) = 1(\text{ON}) \end{cases}
$$

Using similar approach as in [\[28\], w](#page-12-27)e write matrices  $A_1^c$  and  $A_2^c$  in the normalized form.

$$
A_1^c = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_2^c = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Both  $A_1^c$  and  $A_2^c$  are not Hurwitz. Since, we are considering the filtering problem, they should be Hurwitz. Therefore, as in [\[28\], w](#page-12-27)e take  $B_1^c = B_2^c = [-0.1 \ 0.4 \ 0.5]^T$ , state feedback gain matrices  $F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -6.61 & -1.07 & -9.32 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5.37 & -12.42 & -10.07 \end{bmatrix}$  to get the closed-loop matrices

$$
\bar{A}_1^c = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3 & 1.1 & 0.9 \\ -3.7 & -0.4 & -3.7 \\ -3.3 & -0.5 & -4.7 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\bar{A}_2^c = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 1.1 & 1 \\ -2.2 & -5 & -3 \\ -2.7 & -6.2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}
$$

By taking the sampling period  $h = T/10$ , this system can be put in the form as given in [\(1\)](#page-3-1) with  $N = 2$  and the following parameters

$$
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.94 & 0.10 & 0.06 \\ -0.30 & 0.95 & -0.30 \\ -0.25 & -0.06 & 0.63 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.30 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.10 \end{bmatrix}
$$

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span>

**FIGURE 3.** Event-triggered sampling of the measurement.

$$
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.10 & 0.40 & 0.40 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = 0.10
$$
  
\n
$$
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.93 & 0.08 & 0.07 \\ -0.14 & 0.66 & -0.20 \\ -0.16 & -0.40 & 0.66 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 \\ -1.0 \\ 0.30 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 & -1.0 & 0.30 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = 0.10
$$

Using Theorem [2](#page-8-2) with  $\alpha = 0.02$ ,  $\beta = 0.01$ ,  $\mu = 1.02$ , and  $T_{\text{max}} = 2$ , we can design the following filter parameters.

$$
A_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.91 & 0.05 & 0.04 \\ -0.52 & 0.72 & -0.55 \\ -0.52 & -0.32 & 0.30 \end{bmatrix}, B_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.11 & 0.09 & -0.12 \end{bmatrix}, D_{f_1} = 0.01
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.91 & -0.05 & 0.04 \\ -0.41 & 0.51 & -0.48 \\ -0.44 & -0.44 & 0.38 \end{bmatrix}, B_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.01 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.21 & q0.17 & -0.08 \end{bmatrix}, D_{f_2} = 0.01
$$

The parameter  $\alpha$  controls the rate of decrease of the Lyapunov during the matched (synchronous) period and  $\beta$  controls the rate of increase during the mismatch (asynchronous) period. For slow switching,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have small values. The parameter  $\mu$  controls the increase in Lyapunov function at switching instants. We choose  $\mu$  to ensure that the value of multiple Lyapunov functional forms a decreasing sequence. The attained value of  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level is  $\gamma^* = 2.4689$ . The event-triggered sampling policy is taken as

$$
\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k) = ||e_k|| - \eta ||y_{\tau_k}||
$$

where  $e_k = y(k) - y(\tau_k)$  and  $\eta = 0.2$ . Let the disturbance input  $w_k$  be

$$
w_k = 0.1 \exp(-0.04k) \sin(0.1\pi k).
$$

Figure [3](#page-9-1) shows event-triggered sampling of the system measurement. The corresponding event-triggering instants are shown in Figure [4.](#page-10-0) As seen in Figure [4,](#page-10-0) the measurement may be transmitted after one sampling period or two sampling periods, if the event-triggering condition is satisfied, However, it is certainly transmitted after three sampling periods.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**FIGURE 4.** Event-triggering instants.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**FIGURE 5.** Filtering error.



**FIGURE 6.** System and filter modes.

Also, as expected, the number of measurement transmissions is reduced as the filtering error converges to zero. There are 73 data transmissions during 100 sampling intervals. That means that the data transmission is reduced by 27%. The estimation error response is shown in Figure [5.](#page-10-1) Clearly, the

error converges to zero. The corresponding system and filter modes are shown in Figure [6.](#page-10-2) There is a maximum lag of two sampling intervals between the system and filter modes. The switching signal has an average dwell time of 2. The convergence of the error under average dwell time switching and the significant reduction in data transmission rate demonstrate that the approach is effective.

## B. EXAMPLE 2: COMPARISON WITH THE EXISTING **TECHNIOUE**

Consider the switched system with parameters

$$
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.40 & -0.50 & -0.10 \\ 0.10 & 0.40 & -0.02 \\ 0.40 & 0.01 & -0.50 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.70 \\ 1.30 \\ 0.60 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.20 & 0.10 & 0.20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = 0.20
$$

and

$$
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & 0.20 & -0.20 \\ -0.40 & 0.40 & -0.10 \\ 0.60 & -0.10 & 0.20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.20 \\ 1.40 \\ -0.50 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & 0.40 & -0.20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_2 = 0.30
$$

This system was considered in  $[21]$  where the authors designed a switched  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filter for this system with  $M = 3$  (cf.  $\tau_d = 3$  in [\[21\]\).](#page-12-20) Their attainable weighted  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level was  $\gamma = 6.8761$ .

For the same system, using Theorem [2](#page-8-2) with  $\alpha = 0.02$ ,  $\beta = 0.25$ ,  $\mu = 1.02$ , and  $T_{\text{max}} = 0$ , we design the filter parameters

$$
A_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.27 & -0.37 & -0.23 \\ -0.40 & 0.23 & 0.07 \\ 0.49 & -0.21 & -0.43 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.22 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.03 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.56 & 0.55 & 0.55 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_{f_1} = 0.19
$$
  
\n
$$
A_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.31 & 0.17 & -0.15 \\ -1.19 & 0.39 & -0.19 \\ 1.08 & -0.65 & 0.12 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.23 \\ 1.03 \\ -0.23 \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
C_{f_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.55 & 0.55 & 0.86 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_{f_2} = 0.27
$$

with minimum  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance level  $\gamma = 4.1116$ . Clearly, the filter designed by the proposed technique can attain 40% better  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance. Let the disturbance input  $w_k$  be

$$
w_k = 2\exp(-0.1k)
$$

and the same event-triggered sampling policy as in [\[21\].](#page-12-20)

$$
\mathcal{L}(x_k, y_k, k) = e_k^T \Phi_i e_k - y_k^T \Psi_i y_k
$$

with

$$
\Phi_1 = 1.4690, \quad \Phi_2 = 1.4989
$$
  
\n $\Psi_1 = 0.5096, \quad \Psi_2 = 0.5001$ 

Fig. [7](#page-11-0) shows the actual system output and outputs estimated by the proposed filter and the filter in  $[21]$ . It can be seen that the output estimated by the proposed filter is closer to the actual system output.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**FIGURE 7.** System and filter outputs.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

**FIGURE 8.** Input to both filters.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>

**FIGURE 9.** Inter-event times.

The inputs to the filters is shown in Figure [8.](#page-11-1) The duration of inter-event data transmission intervals are shown in Fig. [9.](#page-11-2)

<span id="page-11-3"></span>

**FIGURE 10.** Switched system modes.

<span id="page-11-4"></span>

**FIGURE 11.** Computed  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance index.

The duration varies from 0 to 2 indicating that the measurement may be transmitted after one, two, or three sampling periods, if the event-triggering condition is satisfied or if the system is self-triggered. Also, as expected, the number of measurement is reduced as the actual and filtered outputs converge. The corresponding system modes are shown in Figure [10.](#page-11-3)

To quantify the  $H_{\infty}$  performance of both filters, we compute the performance index as

$$
\gamma_k = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=0}^k \tilde{z}_i^T \tilde{z}_i}{\sum_{i=0}^k w_i^T w_i}}
$$

Figure [11](#page-11-4) shows a plot of the  $H_{\infty}$  performance index  $\gamma$  for both filters. The performance index of the proposed filter is about half of the performance index of the filter in [\[21\]. T](#page-12-20)he computed performance indices of both filter in 60 samples simulation are shown in Table [1.](#page-12-28) Both filters have performance indices smaller than their computed worst-case bounds; however, the computed bound for the proposed filter is much small than the filter in [\[21\]. T](#page-12-20)hese results clearly demonstrate that the filter designed using the proposed tech-

#### <span id="page-12-28"></span>**TABLE 1.** Computed  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance indices of both filters.



nique exhibits improved performance as compared to the existing results.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

We have presented a technique for event-triggered  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  filtering when an event-triggering policy already exists or is prespecified. We have shown that this problem can be viewed as a state estimation problem with non-uniform sampling. Thus, the filtering error system is modelled as a delay-dependent switched system with non-uniformly sampled measurements. By using the multiple Lyapunov method, the filter design conditions are given as linear matrix inequalities that can be easily solved using modern solvers. In the end, two numerical examples are given to show the efficacy of the proposed approach and to compare results with the existing ones in the literature. The approach is applicable to event-triggered systems where an event-generator is predefined, such as systems with hardware-based event-generators. A limitation of the method is that the parameter *M* is chosen manually to adapt to the behavior of the event-generator. In the future, we plan to extend this work to systems with modeling uncertainties and automatic selection of the parameter *M*.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[\[1\] Y](#page-2-0). Xie, O. Ma, J. Gu, and G. Zhou, "Event-triggered fixed-time practical tracking control for flexible-joint robot,'' *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 67–76, Jan. 2023.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[\[2\] T](#page-2-0). K. Tasooji and J. H. Marquez, ''Event-triggered consensus control for multi-robot systems with cooperative localization,'' *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 5982–5993, Jan. 2023.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[\[3\] S](#page-2-1). Hu, D. Yue, X. Chen, Z. Cheng, and X. Xie, "Resilient  $H_{\infty}$  filtering for event-triggered networked systems under nonperiodic dos jamming attacks,'' *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 1392–1403, Mar. 2021.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[\[4\] H](#page-2-2). Qu and J. Zhao, ''Event-triggered H∞ filtering for discrete-time switched systems under denial-of-service,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 2604–2615, Jun. 2021.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[\[5\] L](#page-2-2). Zhang, N. Cui, M. Liu, and Y. Zhao, ''Asynchronous filtering of discretetime switched linear systems with average dwell time,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 1109–1118, May 2011.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[\[6\] H](#page-2-3). Ren, G. Zong, and H. R. Karimi, ''Asynchronous finite-time filtering of networked switched systems and its application: An event-driven method,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 391–402, Jan. 2019.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[\[7\] X](#page-2-3). Xiao, L. Zhou, D. W. C. Ho, and G. Lu, "Event-triggered control of continuous-time switched linear systems,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 1710–1717, Apr. 2019.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[\[8\] D](#page-2-3). Liberzon, *Switching in Systems and Control*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2003.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[\[9\] J](#page-2-4). P. Hespanha, P. Naghshtabrizi, and Y. Xu, ''A survey of recent results in networked control systems,'' *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 138–162, Jan. 2007.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[\[10\]](#page-2-5) A. Cetinkaya, H. Ishii, and T. Hayakawa, ''Analysis of stochastic switched systems with application to networked control under jamming attacks,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 2013–2028, May 2019.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[\[11\]](#page-2-6) H. Lin, G. Zhai, and P. J. Antsaklis, "Robust stability and disturbance attenuation analysis of a class of networked control systems,'' in *Proc. 42nd IEEE Int. Conf. Decis. Control*, Dec. 2003, pp. 1182–1187.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[\[12\]](#page-2-7) L. Ding, Q.-L. Han, and G. Guo, "Network-based leader-following consensus for distributed multi-agent systems,'' *Automatica*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 2281–2286, Jul. 2013.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[\[13\]](#page-2-8) C. Peng and F. Li, "A survey on recent advances in event-triggered communication and control,'' *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 457, pp. 113–125, Aug. 2018.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[\[14\]](#page-2-9) Y. Tan, Q. Liu, J. Liu, X. Xie, and S. Fei, ''Observer-based security control for interconnected semi-Markovian jump systems with unknown transition probabilities,'' *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 9013–9025, Sep. 2022.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[\[15\]](#page-2-9) Y. Tan, Y. Yuan, X. Xie, E. Tian, and J. Liu, "Observer-based eventtriggered control for interval type-2 fuzzy networked system with network attacks,'' *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, early access, Jul. 18, 2023, doi: [10.1109/TFUZZ.2023.3237846.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2023.3237846)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[\[16\]](#page-2-9) S. Zhu, E. Tian, D. Xu, and J. Liu, "An adaptive torus-event-based controller design for networked T–S fuzzy systems under deception attacks,'' *Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 3425–3441, 2022.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[\[17\]](#page-3-2) D. Simon. *Optimal State Estimation: Kalman, H*∞*, and Nonlinear Approaches*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2006.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[\[18\]](#page-3-3) G. Zong, H. Ren, and H. R. Karimi, "Event-triggered communication and annular finite-time  $H_{\infty}$  filtering for networked switched systems," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 309–317, Jan. 2021.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[\[19\]](#page-3-3) Y. Qi, Y. Liu, and B. Niu, ''Event-triggered H∞ filtering for networked switched systems with packet disorders,'' *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., Syst.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2847–2859, May 2021.
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>[\[20\]](#page-3-3) X. Liu, X. Su, P. Shi, S. K. Nguang, and C. Shen, "Fault detection filtering for nonlinear switched systems via event-triggered communication approach,'' *Automatica*, vol. 101, pp. 365–376, Mar. 2019.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>[\[21\]](#page-3-4) X. Xiao, H. J. Park, and L. Zhou, "Event-triggered H<sub>∞</sub> filtering of discretetime switched linear systems,'' *ISA Trans.*, vol. 77, no. 6, pp. 112–121, 2018.
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>[\[22\]](#page-3-5) M. Lemmon, "Event-triggered feedback in control, estimation, and optimization,'' in *Networked Control Systems*, A. Bemporad, M. Heemels, and M. Johansson, Eds. London, U.K.: Springer, 2010, ch. 9, pp. 293–358.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>[\[23\]](#page-3-6) J. Zhang, C. Peng, and X. Xie, "Platooning control of vehicular systems by using sampled positions,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, early access, Feb. 6, 2023, doi: [10.1109/TCSII.2023.3242298.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2023.3242298)
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>[\[24\]](#page-3-7) G. Mustafa and T. Chen, "Filtering for nonuniformly sampled systems: A Markovian jump systems approach,'' *Syst. Control Lett.*, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 871–876, Oct. 2011.
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>[\[25\]](#page-4-5) J. Chen, Y. Fan, C. Zhang, and C. Song, "Sampling-based event-triggered and self-triggered control for linear systems,'' *Int. J. Control, Autom. Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 672–681, Mar. 2020.
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>[\[26\]](#page-5-1) L. Zhang and P. Shi, "Stability,  $\ell_2$ -gain and asynchronous H<sub>∞</sub> control of discrete-time switched systems with average dwell time,'' *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 2192–2199, Sep. 2009.
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>[\[27\]](#page-7-4) L. Zhang and E.-K. Boukas, "Mode-dependent H<sub>∞</sub> filtering for discretetime Markovian jump linear systems with partly unknown transition probabilities,'' *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1462–1467, 2009.
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>[\[28\]](#page-9-2) D. Zhang, Z. Xu, H. R. Karimi, and Q.-G. Wang, ''Distributed filtering for switched linear systems with sensor networks in presence of packet dropouts and quantization,'' *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 64, no. 10, pp. 2783–2796, Oct. 2017.



**SHERAZ SHAFIQUE** received the B.Sc. degree (Hons.) in electronics from the Government College University (GCU), Lahore, Pakistan, in 2011, the M.S. degree in electronics engineering from International Islamic University (IIUI), Islamabad, Pakistan, in 2015. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering (control systems) with the Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad. His research interests include event triggered switched

systems and robust control. He is a recipient of the Higher Education Commission's Indigenous Scholarship.

# **IEEE** Access



GHULAM MUSTAFA (Senior Member, IEEE) received the B.Sc. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Engineering and Technology (UET), Lahore, Pakistan, in 2002, the M.Sc. degree in systems engineering from the Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, Pakistan, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree in control systems from the University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, in 2012.

From 2008 to 2012, he was a Research Assistant with the Advanced Control Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Alberta. Since 2020, he has been a Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, PIEAS. He is the author of a book chapter, more than 50 articles, and an invention. His current research interests include sampled data control, robust control, and the security of industrial control systems.

Dr. Mustafa is a Life Member of the Pakistan Engineering Council and the Golden Key International Honor Society. He was a recipient of the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan Faculty Development Award for his Ph.D. studies, in 2008, and the University of Alberta Research Fellowship, in 2011. He is the Chair of the IEEE Control Systems Society (Lahore, Karachi, and Islamabad) Joint Chapter.



OWAIS KHAN received the B.Sc. degree in electronics engineering from the University of Engineering and Technology (UET), Peshawar, Pakistan, in 2011, the M.S. degree in electrical engineering (control systems) from COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan, in 2016, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering (control systems) from Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, in 2020. After his Ph.D. degree, he joined the Control Sys-

tems Engineering Laboratory, Arizona State University, Tempe, USA, as a Postdoctoral Research Scholar. His research interests include robust model predictive control, fault diagnosis, LMI-based optimal control, state estimation, and the application of control system theory to different dynamical systems related to (but not limited to) robotics, chemical processes, and behavioral medicine.



ABDUL QAYYUM KHAN (Senior Member, IEEE) received the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany, in December 2010. He is currently a Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS). His research interests include fault diagnosis in technical processes, linear and nonlinear observer designs, the robust control of nonlinear systems, and LMI-based optimal

designs. He is also the Secretary of the IEEE Control System Society (Karachi, Lahore, and Islamabad) Joint Chapter.



NOUMAN ASHRAF received the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Frederick University, Cyprus, under the Erasmus Mundus Scholarship Program. He was with the Turku University of Applied Sciences, Finland, the TSSG, Waterford Institute of Technology, Ireland, and the University of Cyprus. Currently, he is with Technological University Dublin, Ireland. His research interests include the application of control theory for the management of emerging networks with applica-

tions in the Internet of Things, 5G and beyond communication networks, electric vehicles, and smart grids.



MUHAMMAD ABID received the M.Sc. degree in systems engineering from the Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree from the Institute of Automatic Control and Complex Systems, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany, in 2010. Currently, he is a Professor with PIEAS. His research interests include model-based fault detection in nonlinear systems and robust and optimal control.