

2019-3

## Moving Towards the Second Generation of Lignocellulosic Biorefineries in the EU: Drivers, Challenges, and Opportunities

Shady S. Hassan

*Technological University Dublin, shady.hassan@mydit.ie*

Gwilym A. Williams

*Technological University Dublin, Gwilym.Williams@tudublin.ie*

Amit K. Jaiswal

*Technological University Dublin, amit.jaiswal@tudublin.ie*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>

### Recommended Citation

Shady S. Hassan, Gwilym A. Williams, Amit K. Jaiswal (2019). Moving towards the second generation of lignocellulosic biorefineries in the EU: Drivers, challenges, and opportunities. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 101, 590-599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.11.041>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie](mailto:yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie), [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [brian.widdis@tudublin.ie](mailto:brian.widdis@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/)

1 **Moving towards the second generation of lignocellulosic biorefineries in the EU:**  
2 **drivers, challenges, and opportunities**

3

4

5

6 **Shady S. Hassan<sup>1,2</sup>, Gwilym A. Williams<sup>2</sup> and Amit K. Jaiswal<sup>1\*</sup>**

7

8 *<sup>1</sup>School of Food Science and Environmental Health, College of Sciences and Health, Dublin*  
9 *Institute of Technology, Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Republic of Ireland.*

10 *<sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, College of Sciences and Health, Dublin Institute of*  
11 *Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Republic of Ireland.*

12

13

14

15

16

17 \*Corresponding author:

18 Email: [amit.jaiswal@dit.ie](mailto:amit.jaiswal@dit.ie); [akjaiswal@outlook.com](mailto:akjaiswal@outlook.com)

19 Tel: +353 1402 4547

20

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 The EU aims to achieve a variety of ambitious climate change mitigation and sustainable  
26 development goals by 2030. To deliver on this aim, the European Commission (EC) launched  
27 the bioeconomy strategy in 2012. At the heart of this policy is the concept of the sustainable  
28 Biorefinery, which is based centrally on a cost-effective conversion of lignocellulosic  
29 biomass into bioenergy and bioproducts. The first generation of biorefineries was based on  
30 utilization of edible food crops, which raised a “food vs. fuel” debate and questionable  
31 sustainability issues. To overcome this, lignocellulosic feedstock options currently being  
32 pursued range from non-food crops to agroforestry residues and wastes. Notwithstanding this,  
33 advanced biorefining is still an emerging sector, with unanswered questions relating to the  
34 choice of feedstocks, cost-effective lignocellulosic pretreatment, and identification of viable  
35 end products that will lead to sustainable development of this industry. Therefore, this review  
36 aims to provide a critical update on the possible future directions of this sector, with an  
37 emphasis on its role in the future European bioeconomy, against a background of global  
38 developments.

39

40 **Keywords:** Lignocellulose; biorefinery; bioenergy, biofuel, biochemicals, biomaterials.

41

42 **Acronyms:** EC, the European commission; UN, the United Nations; FAO, the Food and  
43 Agriculture Organization; WHO, the World Health Organization; GHG, greenhouse gas  
44 emissions; SDG, the sustainable development goals; SRWC, short rotation woody crops;  
45 IBLC; integrated biomass logistics center.

46

47 **1. Introduction**

48 Unprecedented challenges now face the future development of Europe, spanning food  
49 security, climate change, and an over-dependence on non-renewable resources.  
50 Simultaneously, it must balance strategies that harness renewable resources to maintain  
51 environmental sustainability, while maintaining economic growth. To achieve this, in 2012,  
52 the European Commission (EC) launched the European bioeconomy strategy entitled  
53 “Innovating for sustainable growth: a bioeconomy for Europe”. The interim fruits of this  
54 initiative were assessed by the EU Commission in 2017 and indicated that the scope of the  
55 current action plan was insufficient for the development needs of the biorefinery sector.  
56 Within this strategy, the modern bioeconomy is defined centrally by the production of  
57 biomass or the utilization of lignocellulosic wastes, with subsequent conversion into value-  
58 added products, such as bio-energy, as well as novel bio-based innovation. At the EU level,  
59 the current bioeconomy has an annual turnover of 2.3 trillion EURO, and generates a total  
60 employment of 18.5 million people.

61 Biorefining is defined as the sustainable processing of biomass into a spectrum of marketable  
62 products (food, feed, chemicals, and materials) and energy (fuels, power and/or heat) [1].  
63 Representing a cornerstone of the bioeconomy, the goal of fully unlocking the value potential  
64 of lignocellulosic plant biomass in a cost-effective way remains elusive. A ‘one-size-fits-all’  
65 biorefinery concept, based on conversion of various lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks into  
66 bioenergy and bioproducts, has not yet been achieved. Upstream aspects such as biomass  
67 type, transport logistics and the downstream value proposition offered by conversion products  
68 must be reconciled with the recalcitrance of the lignocellulosic structure: there is, as yet, no  
69 fully scalable yet cost-effective extraction method to unlock valuable sugars and lignin from  
70 this matrix, and this remains a key short-term research goal.

71

72 Lignocellulosic feedstock options for biorefinery use range from food/non-food crops to  
73 primary residues/secondary wastes from agroforestry. The S2Biom project has estimated that  
74 a total of 476 million tons of lignocellulosic biomass need to be secured to fulfil demand for  
75 bio-based products by 2030 [2]. The market for bio-based products is expected to be worth 40  
76 million EURO by 2020, increasing to about 50 billion EURO by 2030 (average annual  
77 growth rate of 4%). Research in industry and academia has been galvanized to address the  
78 twin challenge of lignocellulosic breakdown and conversion into viable products: between  
79 130-150 patents are annually submitted in the lignocellulosic biofuel area, and this is  
80 expected to reach 200 annual filings [3]. Additionally, a myriad of publications featuring  
81 laboratory and pilot scale studies for pretreatment and conversion of lignocellulosic biomass  
82 into bioenergy and bioproducts are published each year. Within the context of biofuel  
83 production, 67 lignocellulosic biorefineries currently operate around the world (albeit only  
84 about one-third operating at commercial scale), while additional advanced biorefineries are  
85 under development [4]. Hence, this article aims to outline a possible roadmap of the future  
86 biorefining industry in Europe by reconciling market drivers with current technical  
87 challenges, and future opportunities; in addition to research and innovation in this area.

## 88 **2. The drivers for the development of biorefinery industry in the EU**

### 89 **2.1 Global environmental concerns**

90 Assuming that the current population growth rate of approximately 83 million people  
91 continues each year, about 8.5 billion people will share the Earth by 2030 [5]. Thus, demands  
92 for food, energy and economic development will continue to increase. The total energy  
93 consumption in the world is expected to increase by 48% between 2012 to 2040, with  
94 estimates of 664 and 860 quadrillion kilojoules (KJ) in 2020 and 2040, respectively [6].  
95 Moreover, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) has projected an annual growth rate  
96 of total world consumption of all agricultural products to be 1.1 percent per year from 2005-

97 2050; this translates into a requirement for a 60% higher global production in 2050 than that  
98 of 2005 [7]. Such increases in productivity must be achieved against a background of diverse  
99 pressures on natural resources, such as land availability, water shortages and unpredictable  
100 climate change impacts. The FAO has estimated that an additional 70 million ha of cultivated  
101 land may be required by 2050, which will need significant investment. However, the  
102 challenge is further exacerbated by the fact that most of the projected lands for expansion in  
103 cultivation are in developing countries in Africa, which are often characterized by water  
104 scarcity. Moreover, there is increasing competition for land use between urbanization and  
105 agriculture. It has been reported that 1.8-2.4% of global cultivated land loss (equal to 3–4%  
106 of worldwide crop production in 2000) may occur by 2030 due to urban expansion,  
107 particularly in Africa [8]. Additionally, nature is suffering a further onslaught in the form of  
108 climate change, worsened by increased population growth and associated economic activities:  
109 increased global greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), environmental pollution, the ever-  
110 increasing volume of solid wastes and over-exploitation of natural resources are all key  
111 challenges that need to be tackled. Total GHG were measured at approximately 51.9  
112 gigatonnes of equivalent carbon dioxide (GtCO<sub>2e</sub>) per year in 2016, while the ambitious  
113 global target is to reduce the GHG to 11 - 13.5 GtCO<sub>2e</sub> by 2030 [9]. The World Health  
114 Organization (WHO) reported that 3 million people are killed annually by outdoor air  
115 pollution, and that only one-person-in-ten lives in a city that complies with the WHO air  
116 quality standards [10]. The World Bank has estimated that cities around the world generate  
117 about 1.3 billion tonnes of solid waste per year, costing \$205.4 billion in waste management,  
118 and this volume is expected to increase to 2.2 billion tonnes by 2025, with concomitant  
119 increases in waste management costs to \$375.5 billion [11]. Around the world, over 80% of  
120 all wastewater is discharged into water bodies each year without treatment [12]. In addition,  
121 the unsustainable use of natural resources by excessive fishing, hunting and forestry

122 represents an alarming threat to global biodiversity. Global wildlife populations have  
123 declined on average by 58% since 1970, and this may reduce further to 67% by 2020 [13]. To  
124 overcome these unprecedented environmental challenges, in 2015, the 193-member states of  
125 the United Nations came to an agreement on 17 sustainable development goals (SDG) for  
126 2030 [14]. The SDG included ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns,  
127 promotion of socially responsible industrialization and fostering of an innovation culture,  
128 ensuring access to affordable and clean energy for all, and taking urgent action to combat  
129 climate change. Additionally, the UN countries adopted the international climate mitigation  
130 agreement in 2015 at the Paris climate conference which aims to limit global warming to  
131 below 2°C on a national level. In this context, fostering the global bioeconomy ethos as the  
132 pathway for achieving SDGs and climate change mitigation is vital.

## 133 **2.1 The EU environmental challenges and the future bio-based economy**

134 Viewed through the lens of environmental sustainability, many of the global concerns are  
135 also relevant to the situation of the EU, and span over-dependence on fossil fuels, intensive  
136 agriculture, over-fishing, non-sustainable forest and water resources management, pollution,  
137 and poor land use. The EU possesses a high ecological footprint of 4.7 global hectares per  
138 person, which is equal to twice the size of its biocapacity [15]. Worryingly, environmental  
139 concerns in other regions of the world also affect the EU directly, through the impact of  
140 global GHG, or via socio-economic pressures emanating from the global loss of biodiversity  
141 or over-exploitation of natural resources. Driven by such challenges, the EU launched the  
142 bioeconomy strategy in 2012 and established tangible action plans to actively shape the  
143 targeted circular economy in Europe by 2030, thus enabling it to assume leadership in this  
144 field. As a direct consequence, the industrial revolution in the 21st century is likely to be  
145 based on renewable biological resources, with a paradigm shift in evidence after the historical  
146 reliance on oil and other fossil fuels which came to dominance over the past three hundred

147 years. In this context, biorefining represents a bridge to a sustainable bio-based industry by  
148 conversion of biomass into valuable products. However, when compared to fossil-based  
149 refineries, biorefineries are an embryonic industry, with a variety of different biomass  
150 feedstocks, a need for efficient conversion technologies and a portfolio of products which  
151 may have varying market receptivity.

### 152 **3. The Challenges in the biorefining value chain**

#### 153 **3.1 Feedstocks**

154 Integral to the biorefinery concept is accessing suitable feedstocks which are amenable to  
155 cost-effective processing. Biorefining is a capital-intensive industry with large capital  
156 expenditure (CAPEX) and requires knowledge of the feedstock resource base that is  
157 sustainably available at low cost to support a facility.

##### 158 **3.1.1 First generation (food crops)**

159 The first generation of feedstocks depended on easily accessible and edible fractions of food  
160 crops, with the main product being biofuel. Bioethanol may be produced from sugar (e.g.  
161 sugarcane, sugarbeet, and sweet sorghum) and starch (e.g. corn, and cassava) crops, while  
162 biodiesel is produced from oil seed crops (e.g. soybean, oil palm, rapeseed, and sunflower)  
163 [16]. However, in recent years, serious criticisms have been raised about competition in land  
164 use that has arisen as a direct consequence of incentivizing energy and oil crops at the  
165 expense of food crops.

##### 166 **3.1.2 Second generation (Non-food crops and lignocellulosic wastes)**

167 The growing controversy of ‘food versus fuel’, along with associated production economics,  
168 biofuel policies and sustainability trends, promoted the rise of a second generation of  
169 feedstocks based on lignocellulosic biomass. The latter include non-food, short rotation  
170 grasses that have high yield and suitability to marginal lands or poor soils (e.g. poplar,



171 willow, eucalyptus, alfalfa, and grasses such as switch, reed canary, Napier and Bermuda),  
172 agricultural residues (e.g. forest thinning, sawdust, sugarcane bagasse, rice husk, rice bran,  
173 corn stover, wheat straw, and wheat bran), and agroindustrial wastes (e.g. potato and , orange  
174 peel, spent coffee grounds, apple pomace, ground nut oil and soybean oil cake) [17–19].  
175 Critically, the latter are so-called negative cost waste materials from other industries, and so  
176 theoretically the value proposition has heightened appeal. However, such materials are also  
177 the most refractory to extraction of sugars (Figure 1).

### 178 3.1.2.1 Non-food terrestrial biomass

179 Non-food energy crops have received much attention as an alternative to food crops during  
180 the first phase of transition toward the second generation biorefinery, and these may be  
181 categorized mainly into woody and herbaceous crops.

#### 182 3.1.2.1.1 Woody crops (short rotation woody crops)

183 Examples of short rotation woody crops (SRWC) are cottonwood, silver maple, black locust,  
184 willow, poplar, and eucalyptus. Generally, SRWC are hardwood trees that are traditionally  
185 used in paper and pulp industries [20]. Wood is an age-old source of energy for man and  
186 sustainable systems for its conservation are well established. Furthermore, SRWC has  
187 significant advantages over many other lignocellulosic biomass types in terms of widespread  
188 availability in most regions of the world, high energy density and existence of well-  
189 established handling technologies arising from the pulp and paper industries. However,  
190 utilizing the global forests for biorefining as a sole feedstock will have significant effects on  
191 forest management, wood processing, and the pulp and paper sectors; such aspects need to be  
192 explored fully. Long production cycles (up to 12 years from plantation) are complicated by  
193 aspects such as weed control and sustainability of supply. Additionally, the issue of  
194 competition with land for other uses (especially food) also remains. The best potential for  
195 utilizing woody crops as a biorefinery feedstock lies in integration with wood-based

196 industries, particularly the pulp and paper sectors, as these players currently only extract  
197 about 47% of value from lignocellulosic materials [21].

#### 198 3.1.2.1.2 Grassy crops (herbaceous perennials)

199 Challenges in exploiting woody crops have led to active investigation of herbaceous  
200 perennials as a potential energy crop, as these can grow on marginal lands. These species  
201 include herbaceous energy crops such as miscanthus, energy cane and sorghum. Early  
202 pioneering work in 1991 by the U.S. Department of Energy in North America focused on  
203 Switch grass as a model high energy crop. It was subsequently introduced into Europe and  
204 other parts of the world due to its high genetic diversity, good productivity and adaptability  
205 [22,23]. In addition, Miscanthus was first introduced from Japan to Europe and then to North  
206 America, and has become a leading contender as an energy crop due to its adaptability over a  
207 range of European and North American climatic conditions, as reported by the 2012 EU  
208 project OPTIMISC (Optimizing Miscanthus Biomass Production) [24]. Energy cane,  
209 sorghum, alfalfa, bluestem, and grass varieties such as elephant, wheat, reed canary, Napier  
210 and Bermuda are examples of other herbaceous plants which are being investigated as energy  
211 crops. Grassy crops have a number of advantages over food crops as an energy feedstock.  
212 They are perennial (no need for annual plantation), possess a high harvest index (all parts of  
213 plant are used), demonstrate reasonable productivity, and have relatively low water  
214 requirements and nutrient inputs. On the down-side, likely future competition with food crops  
215 for land use (and indirect land use change), combined with production issues (e.g. weed  
216 control) and required production inputs (e.g. nitrogen fertilizers) are all aspects that must be  
217 considered.

#### 218 3.1.2.2 Agroforestry residues & processing wastes

219 Separation of plant biomass intended for the biorefinery from that which may be used in the  
220 food/feed-chain is a key aspect of future sustainability. Hence, lignocellulosic materials from

221 wood processing, pulp and paper industries, agricultural residues and agro-industrial wastes  
222 hold the most potential for use as feedstocks; they are also low cost, abundantly available and  
223 generally comply with environment sustainability goals. However, the transport and handling  
224 logistics of this feedstock type, combined with a dearth of cost-effective lignocellulosic pre-  
225 treatment operations, are major drawbacks that are delaying progress in their utilization for  
226 this purpose. In response to such issues, the EU has funded the SUCELLOG project as an  
227 example of an integrated biomass logistics center (IBLC) in four EU countries (Spain,  
228 France, Italy, and Austria). The aim of this work is to overcome aspects such as the  
229 seasonable availability of feedstock and supply logistics via improved handling, pretreatment  
230 and storage of lignocellulosic biomass in a logistic center, with shipment directly to local  
231 biorefineries or transported to be sold to the global market [25].

#### 232 3.1.2.2.1 Primary agroforestry residues (agricultural & forestry residues)

233 Agricultural and forestry residues are generated during cultivation activities of crops and  
234 trees (e.g. harvesting and shaping) and have a low economic value for primary producers.  
235 While both are lignocellulosic in nature, agricultural residues contain a lower level of lignin  
236 as compared with forestry residues. It was estimated that the realistic potential of agricultural  
237 crop residues is 74.89 Mt/year in the EU, while the realistic potential of forestry residues is  
238 43.5 Mt/year in the EU, Ukraine and Belarus [26]. The realistic potential is calculated from  
239 the technical-sustainable potential, while the latter is derived from the theoretical potential.  
240 Examples of agricultural residues are non-edible components of cash crops such as straw  
241 (stalks, leaves) from cereals and legumes, as well as stalk, stubble and leaves from sugar,  
242 tuber, oil, and vegetable crops. Furthermore, examples of forestry residues are stumps,  
243 branches, treetops, needles and leaves after harvesting, weeding, trimming and pruning.

244 3.1.2.2.2 Secondary agroforestry wastes (food industry & wood processing wastes)  
245 Food industry byproducts encompasses wastes from various industries such as sugarcane  
246 bagasse (from sugar milling), pomace (pressing of tomato), apple and grapes (juice), olives  
247 (for oil), brewer's spent grain (BSG - from beer-brewing), spent coffee grounds (coffee  
248 preparation), as well as citrus and potato peels. The global production of some of these  
249 humble wastes are significant. For example, potato peels generate between 70 and 140  
250 thousand tons worldwide every year [27]; this compares with 5-9 million metric tonnes of  
251 grape pomace and 3-4.2 million metric tonnes from apple pomace per annum [28]. BSG  
252 generated from beer-brewing has been estimated at 3.4 million tonnes annually in the EU  
253 alone, and over 4.5 million tons in USA as the largest craft beer producer [29]. Wood  
254 processing industries include wastes such as cuttings, shavings, veneer, sawdust and sludge  
255 from the production of panels, furniture, cardboard, pulp and paper.

256 In the EU, around 11 million tonnes of solid waste were generated from paper and pulp  
257 industries per annum in 2005 [30]. Significantly, an increase in agricultural residues and  
258 wastes is expected to result from a required population-led increase in food production.  
259 Following on from this, an increase in forestry residues and wastes is also expected.

### 260 **3.1.3 Third generation (Non-food marine biomass)**

261 Algae have been proposed as a potential non-food marine biomass, spanning macroalgae  
262 (seaweed) and microalgae. However, the majority of algal species share some of the  
263 disadvantages of other second-generation feedstocks: variable efficacy of conversion  
264 technologies, and in some cases, high production cost and technical challenges in the scale-up  
265 of cultivation operations.

### 266 3.1.3.1 Macroalgae (Seaweeds)

267 Seaweeds include green, red and brown macroalgal species such as *Ulva lactuca*, *Gracilaria*  
268 *vermiculophylla* and *Saccharina latissimi*. Classification of seaweeds is based on the  
269 composition of their photosynthetic pigments and diverse cellular structures. Seaweeds are  
270 currently used in production of food, feed and nutritional supplements. They demonstrate a  
271 rapid growth rate, high photosynthetic efficiency and do not require either arable land or  
272 fresh water resources to grow [31]. Seaweeds (particularly green algae) have seen noticeable  
273 investigation for production of biofuels [32]; the ash content in red and brown algae can  
274 reach up to 60 %, while the cellulose content is generally low in all seaweeds [33].

### 275 3.1.3.2 Microalgae

276 Examples of microalgae include *Schiochytrium sp.*, *Botryococcus braunii*, *Nitzschia*,  
277 *Hantzschia*, and *Neochloris oleoabundans*. Microalgae are generally richer in lipid content  
278 compared with carbohydrate, and therefore attention has focused on their use for biodiesel  
279 production. However, biodiesel production from microalgae demonstrates a relatively low  
280 production capacity and higher production cost compared with the use of lignocellulosic  
281 biomass: about 90% of biodiesel production costs are represented by microalgae production  
282 [34].

## 283 3.2 Valorisation of second generation feedstock processes

284 Scale-up and industrialization of the first generation of biofuels was achieved smoothly. A  
285 key enabling factor in their development was the relative ease of extraction of fermentable  
286 sugars and oils from the plant biomass. Processes based on extraction of sucrose from the  
287 stem of sugarcane to produce bioethanol, or the transesterification of oils from oil palm,  
288 soybean or sunflower to produce biodiesel, could all take advantage of pre-existing large-  
289 scale extraction technology. However, lignocellulosic biomass from second generation

290 feedstocks are complex structures which contain variable levels of cellulose, in association  
291 with tough substrates such as hemicellulose and lignin, as well as other composites.  
292 Lignocellulosic structure has been a major impediment to the development of efficient,  
293 flexible and scalable pretreatment/conversion technologies: releasing fermentable sugars  
294 from this complex structure represents the major hurdle for full valorisation. Figure 2 shows  
295 various drivers, challenges, and opportunities exists for second generation lignocellulosic  
296 biorefineries in the EU. During the last two decades, and particularly the last ten years, there  
297 has been a tangible growing interest in biorefining (total 4,098 publications), with the  
298 majority of studies focusing on the development of cost-effective processing methods for  
299 biorefinery operations [35].

### 300 3.2.1 Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass

301 A disruption of the complex lignin-carbohydrate structure in lignocellulosic material is an  
302 essential first step in making carbohydrates more available for fermentative processes  
303 [36,37]. A variety of approaches have been investigated over the last few decades, spanning  
304 physical (e.g. steam explosion and liquid hot water), chemical (e.g. concentrated acid  
305 hydrolysis and dilute acid), biological (e.g. bacteria, fungi), physiochemical (e.g. steam  
306 explosion and ammonia fiber expansion) or other combinations of methods (e.g. fungal and  
307 physicochemical) [38–42]. However, conventional pretreatments have significant drawbacks.  
308 The latter include high energy consumption (cost), environmental concerns and the formation  
309 of inhibitors that may limit subsequent fermentation processes [43]. Additionally, the  
310 efficiency of thermochemical conversion of lignin may be compromised (e.g. lignin loss or  
311 unaltered lignin). Therefore, the development of flexible and scalable technology will be  
312 essential for full commercial valorisation of the lignocellulosic biorefinery [44–46].

### 313 3.2.2 Lignocellulose conversion technologies

314 Two principal conversion technologies are generally used for valorisation of lignocellulose in  
315 the biorefining industry and may be classified as biochemical and thermochemical.  
316 Biochemical conversion of lignocellulose involves the hydrolysis of carbohydrates to soluble  
317 sugars, followed by microbial fermentation, or by direct anaerobic digestion with/without  
318 fermentation [47], while the thermochemical route involves direct combustion, pyrolysis,  
319 gasification or torrefaction [48].

320 Fermentation is the process of converting sugars to alcohol or acids by microorganisms in the  
321 absence of oxygen, while anaerobic digestion is the process by which biomass is broken  
322 down by microorganisms in the absence of oxygen to form biogas [49]. In terms of  
323 optimizing the biochemical conversion of lignocellulose, the priority mainly lies in  
324 development of efficient pretreatment technologies, along with cost-effective hydrolytic  
325 enzymes and improved strains of microorganisms [50].

326 Combustion is a highly exothermic process which features the complete oxidation of  
327 biomass, compared with gasification which is the partial oxidation of biomass in the presence  
328 of reduced oxidant level. Pyrolysis is the thermo-chemical decomposition of biomass at  
329 elevated temperatures (approximately between 500°C and 800°C) in the absence of air, and  
330 torrefaction is a milder form of pyrolysis conducted at lower temperatures, typically between  
331 200 and 320 °C [51]. Efficient thermochemical conversion processes will also require  
332 improving and standardising the lignocellulose properties of the feedstock by the  
333 optimization of lignin content (via plant breeding and environmental stimuli) and heating  
334 value levels, and the reduction of minerals, elemental ions, ash and moisture content, as well  
335 as the reduction of pollution associated with conversion processes [52].

336 As a possible solution to these challenges, hybrid approaches based on combined  
337 thermochemical–biochemical methods are actively under investigation [53]. However,  
338 toxicity of the crude pyrolytic substrates, the formation of growth inhibitors from raw syngas  
339 contaminants, and mass-transfer limitations in syngas fermentation are critical challenges  
340 which limit the efforts to commercialize hybrid processing. Despite this, combined  
341 biochemical and thermochemical conversion technologies represent the greatest hope for  
342 exploitation of biomass to produce a broad range of value-added products.

### 343 **3.3 The opportunities: Bioenergy and Bioproducts**

344 Biorefining is analogous to petroleum refineries and have so far been conceptualized around  
345 production of energy and biofuels [54]. Furthermore, integrated biorefining to produce a  
346 wider range of bio-based products (spanning food, feed, chemicals and biofuels) is the  
347 preferred valorisation approach in future bioeconomic models [55]. The global biorefinery  
348 products market reached almost US\$438 billion in 2014, and is expected to reach US\$1128  
349 billion by 2022 [56]. While over 64 countries and sub-national governments in the world  
350 demonstrate strong support for bio-products, and particularly biofuels, the United States and  
351 Brazil are the major players in these sectors. The EU also has ambitious national plans in this  
352 area (particularly Germany), with an emphasis on biodiesel and biogas. Outside the EU and  
353 US, in Canada, 190 establishments were identified to be engaged in the production or  
354 development of industrial bio-products in 2015 (including biofuels, bioenergy, organic  
355 chemicals and intermediates, materials and composites). The latter featured estimated total  
356 lignocellulosic biomass purchases of \$2.3 billion: purchases representing 12.3 million metric  
357 tonnes of forestry biomass and 8.8 million metric tonnes of agricultural biomass [57].



### 358 3.3.1 Energy

359 The current EU policy for renewable energy includes the “20/20/20” mandatory goals for  
360 2020: a 20% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to 1990 levels, a 20% share the energy  
361 market for renewables (at least 10% blending target for transport biofuels) and a 20%  
362 increase in energy efficiency. In energy-driven biorefineries, biomass is utilized for the  
363 production of liquid (biodiesel or bioethanol) and/or gaseous (biomethane) road  
364 transportation biofuels [58].

#### 365 3.3.1.1 Liquid Biofuel

366 The EU shows an over-reliance on diesel as a transport fuel: the latter is divided into 71%  
367 diesel and 29% petrol [59]. In fact, 70% of world sales of diesel cars and vans are represented  
368 by Europe [60]. The boom in diesel vehicles that started at the end of the 1990s in the EU  
369 was supported by fuel taxation policies and vehicle emission regulations [61]. However, a  
370 recent re-evaluation of the polluting capacity of diesel fuel may mean that its EU market  
371 share could fall significantly in future years [62]. Contrasting with this, biodiesel engines  
372 have a demonstrably lower polluting capacity [63], and are a promising alternative to diesel  
373 fuel derived from petroleum sources.

374 The dominant liquid biofuel in the EU market is biodiesel (81%), with bioethanol  
375 representing 19% of the market place [59]. However, bioethanol is the dominant biofuel in  
376 the global market (80% market share compared with 20% for biodiesel; [64]). Table 1  
377 represent the key figures on biofuel production in the United States, Brazil and Europe  
378 [65,66].

379 Biodiesel can be used alone, or it can be blended with petro-diesel to be used in standard  
380 diesel engines; it can also be used as a low-carbon alternative to heating oil. It has many  
381 advantages over petroleum diesel in having a relatively low environmental impact, and in

382 being biodegradable, while maintaining similar combustion properties to petroleum diesel  
383 [67]. A total of 34.08 million tonnes of biodiesel were produced globally in 2016;  
384 approximately 37 % of this figure from the EU-28, with a total biodiesel production of  
385 12,610 million tonnes [68]. The key feedstock for production of biodiesel in the EU is  
386 rapeseed. However production of biodiesel can also be achieved by esterification of oils and  
387 fats from edible oil crops (e.g. palm, sunflower, soybean and rapeseed), non-edible oil crops  
388 (e.g. *Calophyllum inophyllum*, *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Jatropha curcas*, *Hevea brasiliensis*),  
389 waste oil (e.g. cooking oil, soapstocks, spent bleaching earth oil), microalgae (e.g.  
390 *Botryococcus braunii*, *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, *Neochloris oleoabundans*), cyanobacteria  
391 (e.g. *Cyanobacterium aponinum*, *Phormidium sp.*, *Synechococcus sp.*), or even yeasts  
392 (*Rhodotorula sp.*, *Cryptococcus sp.*, *Lipomyces sp.*, *Candida sp.*) [69].

393 Bioethanol can be used in the production of oxygenated fuel additives (ethanol-petrol blends)  
394 to improve petrol fuel properties and to decrease GHG in gasoline vehicles. More than  
395 119.3 million m<sup>3</sup> of bioethanol were produced globally in 2016, while approximately 73% of  
396 the global production came from the United States and Brazil, with a total bioethanol  
397 production of 58.5 and 28.4 million m<sup>3</sup>, respectively [68]. The key feedstock for the global  
398 production of bioethanol is maize. However, production of bioethanol can be achieved by  
399 fermentation of sugars or starch (after a hydrolysis step) from grain (e.g. maize, wheat) or  
400 sugar crops (e.g. sugar cane, sugarbeet) as in the first generation of biofuels, or from  
401 saccharification and subsequent fermentation of lignocellulosic feedstock, as in second  
402 generation biofuels [70].

#### 403 3.3.1.2 Biogas

404 Biogas can be used for a diverse range of purposes, including producing heat, steam and  
405 electricity, or it can be upgraded to biomethane and used as an equivalent of natural gas as a

406 fuel [71]. In the EU, biogas is mainly used for production of electricity and/or heat. Germany  
407 is the leader in biogas production from the fermentation of agricultural crops and residues,  
408 accounting for 64 percent of total EU production in 2015. The United Kingdom, along with  
409 Estonia, Greece, Ireland, Portugal, and Spain, rely on waste management processes of  
410 anaerobic digestion of landfill and sewage sludge for over 80 percent of their biogas [72].  
411 According to the European Biogas Association (EBA), a total of 17,662 biogas plants and  
412 503 biomethane plants were in operation in Europe in 2016 [73]. The EBA further reported  
413 that 67% (+7,699 units) of the total increase in biogas plants in the EU from 2009 to 2016  
414 (from 6,227 to 17,662 units) was due to an increase of biogas plants utilizing agricultural  
415 substrates. Moreover, in France for example, 48.5 % of the biomethane production in 2016  
416 (199 GWh production share from the total annual production of 410 GWh) was from  
417 facilities that utilize agricultural biomass.

418 Although the energy-driven model remains dominant in the biorefinery industry, there is a  
419 lack of energy balance studies in the published literature to justify the commercial feasibility  
420 of available technologies for biorefining of lignocellulose. Table 2 represents examples of  
421 literature data on the energy balances of lignocellulosic biorefinery scenarios.

### 422 3.3.2 Bioproducts

423 There are only a limited number of product-driven biorefineries in commercial operation  
424 today in the EU [74]. However, according to a 2016 survey conducted by the European  
425 Commission's Joint Research Centre on EU bio-based industry, 284 products have been  
426 developed in total by 50 companies which are either currently or expected to be produced as  
427 bio-based products [75].

#### 428 3.3.2.1 Bio-based food and feed ingredients

429 Food and feed ingredients that can be produced by biorefining of lignocellulose include  
430 xylitol (used as sweetener in chewing gum manufacture; [76]), xanthan gum (used as a  
431 thickening and stabilizing agent in both food and medicine; [77]) and animal feed co-  
432 products generated from biorefining of lignocellulose [74].

#### 433 3.3.2.2 Biochemicals

434 The Bio-based consortium in the EU aims to replace 30% of overall chemical production  
435 with biomass-derived biochemicals by 2030 [78]. According to the National Renewable  
436 Energy Laboratory in USA, the latter can be finished products or intermediates that then  
437 become a feedstock for further processing [79]. Biochemicals produced from the biorefining  
438 of lignocellulose include organic acids (e.g. citric, acetic, benzoic, lactic and succinic),  
439 microbial enzymes (e.g. amylase, cellulase, pectinase, xylanase, mannanase), and building  
440 blocks for bio-based polymers (e.g. phenylpropanoids, polyhydroxyalkanoates) [80–82]. The  
441 projected production of some lignocellulosic-based chemicals and materials in Europe (in  
442 2020 and 2030) is summarized in Figure 3 [83].

#### 443 3.3.2.3 Bio-Polymers

444 Novel materials that can be produced from biorefining include biosurfactants, biolubricants,  
445 and bioplastics (from bio-based polymers e.g. polyesters, polyamides, and polyimides)  
446 [74,80]. Global output of bio-based polymer production is forecast to increase from 6.6  
447 million tonnes in 2016 to 8.5 million tonnes in 2021, with Europe's share projected to grow  
448 from 27.1% to 26.0% [84]. Of special note, bioplastics are receiving significant global  
449 attention as a replacement for non-degradable plastics that are currently produced in large  
450 quantities. On a world-wide basis, 335 million tonnes of plastic materials were produced in  
451 2016, with 17.9 % of this being produced in the EU [85]. However, Europe's position in  
452 producing bio-based polymers is somewhat limited, due mainly to the current preference for

453 starch blends, arising from an unfavorable political framework and a tendency to import  
454 biopolymers (e.g. Polybutylene adipate-co-terephthalate and Polylactic acid from Asia; [86]).

455

#### 456 **4. Research impact and development trends**

457 The EU movement towards a “knowledgeable-based economy”, that prioritized research and  
458 innovation, started in earnest in 2000 when the Lisbon Strategy set out the development  
459 action plan for the EU for the first decade of the new century. The Horizon 2020 framework  
460 is the current Pan-European research funding programme that will last until 2020, having  
461 started in 2014. Under this scheme, seven grand challenges have been identified by the EU  
462 where targeted investment in research and innovation may bring the largest impact on  
463 society. In this context, Horizon 2020 aims to support European industry through stimulating  
464 heightened research and innovation activities. Of special note is the signaling of the  
465 importance of biorefining as a pivotal element of the engine of the new bioeconomy. Such  
466 innovation represents an important part of the solution for societal challenges relating to  
467 food Security and sustainable agriculture, marine, and inland water research, Energy security-  
468 efficiency, climate change and integrated transport solution.

469

470 The EU established the Bio-based Industries Joint Undertaking (BBI JU) in 2014 (due to run  
471 until 2024) as a €3.7 billion Public-Private Partnership between the EU and the Bio-based  
472 Industries Consortium. The BBI JU aims to develop new biorefining technologies to  
473 sustainably convert renewable biomass into biofuels, bioproducts, and biomaterials. Over the  
474 first two years, the BBI JU funded 65 projects (with a total investment of 414.29 EUR  
475 million) to support the biorefining sector [89]. The majority of BBI JU funding (Figure 4) is  
476 directed at developing lignocellulose-based biorefineries. Examples of current EU-funded  
477 projects in lignocellulose biorefining are shown in Table 3[90]. The ongoing development

478 trends to support biorefining in the EU is focused on three pillars: policies, biomass  
479 availability, and value chain modelling (feedstock logistics, processing, and marketing of  
480 value-added products) [91].

481

#### 482 4.1 Policies

483 The biorefining industry and research within this field has benefited greatly by many EU  
484 policy initiatives. The latter include the European bioeconomy strategy for 2020 and beyond  
485 (2012), the climate and energy framework for 2030 (2014), and recently the circular economy  
486 package for 2030 (2018) [92]. Through such measures, bioeconomy action plans have been  
487 developed for sectors such as environment, forestry, agriculture, industry, and energy [93].

488

489 However, arguably most of the current policies tend to focus on the bioeconomy in rather  
490 general terms. Terms such as ‘bioeconomy’ and ‘bio-based economy’ are not equivalent. The  
491 term “bioeconomy” is usually associated with conversion processes while “biobased  
492 economy” is usually employed in the context of a raw material focus (an instead of non-  
493 renewables, such as fossil-based raw material, which here represent the total economy) [94].

494

495 Recently, the FAO assessed the classification of sectors such as biorefineries as a pillar of  
496 bioeconomic strategy in different countries and regions, including the EU [95]. Results  
497 showed that countries such as USA, Australia, Malaysia, and South Africa are actively  
498 cultivating biorefining as a component of their bioeconomic strategies. However, while  
499 supporting the biofuel-bioenergy sectors, the EU (with the noted exception of Germany) is  
500 not taking such an inclusive approach to biorefining.

501

502 Over-exploitation of natural resources and food insecurity are among the potential risks from  
503 unsustainable practices in primary production [96], and may be partly addressed by novel  
504 biorefining approaches. Recently, the commission expert group on bio-based products in the  
505 EU reported that progress in the development of a renewables-based economy is at risk of  
506 being slower than the rest of the world in achieving the targeted shift to a renewables-based  
507 economy [97]. As a result, the expert group recommended the revision of the EU  
508 bioeconomic strategy and to extend the BBI JU for a second term.

509

510 European Commission initiatives, such as Projects-for-Policy (P4P), aims to use results from  
511 research and innovation projects to shape policy making. In this context, P4P (2018)  
512 published reports have recommended policy measures to unlock the unexploited potential of  
513 industrial waste streams, and to enhance circular utilisation of resources [98]. Moreover,  
514 independent alliances, such as the European Bioeconomy Alliance, have requested revision  
515 of the bioeconomy strategy to ensure that biorefineries and related technologies become an  
516 integral part of EU level policies [99].

517

#### 518 4.2 Biomass availability

519 The supply of lignocellulosic biomass in the EU varies with respect to source, quantity,  
520 composition and cost. A number of studies have produced varying data regarding the  
521 availability of (sustainable) lignocellulosic biomass in the EU (and beyond) [100]; part of this  
522 challenge relates to varying estimates of available land area and agricultural productivity in  
523 the future. The perspective is also complicated by additional factors, such as climate change.

524

525 The project “Biomass Futures” (2010-2012) estimated the future availability of  
526 lignocellulosic biomass based on review of previous studies (EUBIONET, RENEW,

527 REFUEL, BEE, Elobio,4FCROPS) and attempted to model the biomass supply chain to  
528 provide data for decision makers and other stakeholders [101]. The project identified  
529 agricultural wastes as the largest reservoir of cost-effective feedstocks while forestry residues  
530 represented the most expensive.

531

532 The S2Biom project (2013-2016) investigated the sustainable potential of about fifty  
533 feedstock types available across the EU (in addition to Western Balkans, Moldova, Turkey  
534 and Ukraine) [2]. However, S2Biom recommended further research work on improving yield,  
535 cropping technologies, biomass composition, and competition for resources (e.g. land and  
536 water).

537

538 The BioTrade2020plus project (2014-2016) studied the potential sustainability of sourcing  
539 lignocellulosic biomass (wood chips, pellets, torrefied biomass and pyrolysis oil) from the  
540 main geographic regions outside the EU (Canada, US, Russia, Ukraine, Latin America, Asia  
541 and Sub-Saharan Africa) [102]. The project raised concerns about the cost efficiency of  
542 importing lignocellulosic biomass from forest residues, and considered agricultural residues  
543 as “the cheapest option”. Furthermore, in the case of strong global climate policy, such  
544 regions will probably retain a greater percentage of biomass for domestic use. Therefore,  
545 future biomass supply to Europe may be jeopardized.

546

547 Recently, the AGRIFORVALOR Project (2018) studied the potential of lignocellulosic  
548 biomass residues and wastes for a sustainable biobased economy in the EU [103]. The project  
549 estimated the availability and type of lignocellulosic residues and wastes through conducting  
550 literature reviews and interviews with farmers, foresters and industry. The project developed  
551 three potential investment opportunity scenarios based on Spain (biorefinery of olive  
552 biomass), Ireland (biorefinery of grass) and Hungary (biorefinery of whey and straw).

553



554 The primary focus of most biomass availability studies recently conducted has been on the  
555 production of biofuels and bioenergy. More studies are required on cost efficiency of  
556 multiproduct biorefining, combined with an examination of greenhouse gas emissions  
557 associated with multiproduct biorefining of different biomass feedstock.

558

#### 559 4.2 Biomass value chain modelling

560 Feedstock supply, processing and product markets are the main components of the targeted  
561 value chain. Regardless of lignocellulosic biomass type, in most cases feedstock is collected  
562 at a certain location near the source(s) and then transported (by methods such as road and  
563 rail) to biorefineries at different locations. Therefore, managing the feedstock supply chain  
564 can effectively reduce the cost of feedstock supply, and therefore the cost of the final product,  
565 as well as ensuring sustainable supply of feedstock [104]. However, lignocellulosic biomass  
566 varies in nature, and the structure of the supply chain is different, so no standard model can  
567 be applied directly for supply of any biomass. Therefore, studies have attempted to optimize  
568 the feedstock supply chain, taking into account supply and demand uncertainties [105].

569

570 Additionally, value chain models have developed to allow for flexible conversion scenarios  
571 [106], and this has encouraged additional study of the impact of conversion technology  
572 choice and targeting of final products for value chain optimization. Lignin and sugar  
573 valorisation is a noteworthy focus in such work, as well as the production of biochemical,  
574 biopolymers and bioethanol. Such an integrated biorefining model, along with the use of  
575 efficient conversion technologies, is expected to provide the best chance for more widespread  
576 commercialization of lignocellulosic biorefineries, an aspect which thus far has been difficult  
577 to achieve [107-109]. However, given multi-faceted nature and fast-changing character of

578 this sector, predictions for the future of the biorefinery sector will carry a degree of  
579 uncertainty [110].

580

## 581 **Conclusion**

582 Driven by global environmental challenges, the EU is attempting to take a large step towards  
583 a modern bioeconomy. At the heart of this strategy is a new biorefinery concept based on  
584 replacement of first generation feedstocks derived from edible crops with second generation  
585 lignocellulosic materials and wastes. Valorisation of technologies is still a formidable hurdle  
586 facing the development of this nascent industry, and productive integration of individual  
587 biorefinery operations remains at a relatively early stage. Although biorefining aimed at  
588 energy production remains the most dominant model in this industry, product-driven  
589 biorefining is a promising business with a growing market share. The current ongoing  
590 research in the area of biorefineries is therefore focused on developing an advanced model  
591 which can utilize a wide range of feedstocks, have integrated conversion processes, and  
592 produce a greater variety of higher value end products.

## 593 **Acknowledgement**

594 Authors would like to acknowledge the funding from Dublin Institute of Technology (DIT)  
595 under the Fiosraigh Scholarship programme, 2017.

596

597

598

599

600

601 **References**

- 602 [1] IEA Bioenergy Task 42. Biorefineries: adding value to the sustainable utilisation of  
603 biomass. IEA Bioenergy 2009.
- 604 [2] S2Biom. Vision for 1 billion tonnes of dry lignocellulosic biomass for the biobased  
605 economy in 2030. 2016.
- 606 [3] Toivanen H, Novotny M. The emergence of patent races in lignocellulosic biofuels,  
607 2002–2015. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2017; 318-326-77.  
608 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.03.089.
- 609 [4] Nguyen Q, Bowyer J. *Global Production of Second Generation Biofuels : Trends and*  
610 *Influences*. Dovetail Partners; 2017.
- 611 [5] Dugarova E, Gülasan N. *Global Trends - Challenges and Opportunities in the*  
612 *Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals*. New York: 2017.
- 613 [6] *International Energy Outlook 2016*. U.S. Energy Information Administration; 2016.
- 614 [7] Alexandratos N, Bruinsma J. *World agriculture towards 2030/2050: the 2012 revision*.  
615 Rome: 2012.
- 616 [8] Bren C, Reitsma F, Baiocchi G, Barthel S, Güneralp B, Erb K-H, et al. Future urban  
617 land expansion and implications for global croplands. *PNAS Direct* Submiss  
618 2017;114:8939–44. doi:10.1073/pnas.1606036114.
- 619 [9] *The Emissions Gap Report 2017*. A UN Environment Synthesis Report. Nairobi: 2017.
- 620 [10] *Ambient air pollution: a global assessment and burden of disease*. Geneva: 2016.
- 621 [11] Hoornweg D, Bhada-Tata P. *What A Waste. A Global Review of Solid Waste*  
622 *Management*. The world bank; 2012.
- 623 [12] Unesco. *Wastewater: The Untapped Resource*. United Nations Educational, Scientific  
624 and Cultural Organization; 2017.
- 625 [13] WWF. *Living Planet Report 2016 Risk and resilience in a new era*. Gland: 2016.

- 626 [14] Sustainable development goals. World Wide Fund for Nature; 2015.
- 627 [15] Biodiversity Information system for Europe. Overexploitation.  
628 <https://biodiversity.europa.eu/topics/overexploitation> (accessed March 28, 2018).
- 629 [16] Mohr A, Raman S. Lessons from first generation biofuels and implications for the  
630 sustainability appraisal of second generation biofuels. *Energy Policy* 2013;63:114–22.  
631 doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2013.08.033.
- 632 [17] Amaducci S, Facciotto G, Bergante S, Perego A, Serra P, Ferrarini A, et al. Biomass  
633 production and energy balance of herbaceous and woody crops on marginal soils in the  
634 Po Valley. *GCB Bioenergy* 2017;9:31–45. doi:10.1111/gcbb.12341.
- 635 [18] Sath PK, Duhan S, Singh Duhan J. Agro-industrial wastes and their utilization using  
636 solid state fermentation: a review Background. *Bioresour Bioprocess* 2018;5-1.  
637 doi:10.1186/s40643-017-0187-z.
- 638 [19] Woiciechowski AL, Bianchi A, Medeiros P, Rodrigues C, Porto L, Vandenberghe DS.  
639 *Green Fuels Technology*, Springer; 2016. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-30205-8.
- 640 [20] Popa V, Volf I. *Biomass As Renewable Raw Material For Bioproducts Of High Tech-*  
641 *Value*. Elsevier Science Ltd; 2018.
- 642 [21] Chuniilall V. *Chemistry: the key to making more of the pulp and paper industry’s*  
643 *waste*. *CSIR Sci Scope* 2017;10:30–1.
- 644 [22] Lee DK, Aberle E, Anderson EK, Anderson W, Baldwin BS, Baltensperger D, et al.  
645 Biomass production of herbaceous energy crops in the United States: field trial results  
646 and yield potential maps from the multiyear regional feedstock partnership. *GCB*  
647 *Bioenergy* 2018. doi:10.1111/gcbb.12493.
- 648 [23] Wright L. *Historical Perspective on How and Why Switchgrass was Selected as a*  
649 *“Model” High-Potential Energy Crop*. U.S. Department of Energy; 2018.
- 650 [24] Lewandowski I, Clifton-Brown J, Trindade LM, van der Linden GC, Schwarz K-U,

- 651 Müller-Sämman K, et al. Progress on Optimizing Miscanthus Biomass Production for  
652 the European Bioeconomy: Results of the EU FP7 Project OPTIMISC. *Front Plant Sci*  
653 2016;7:1620. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01620.
- 654 [25] Annevelink B, van Gogh B, Sebastián Nogués F, Espatolero S, De la Cruz T, Luzzini  
655 D, et al. Updated conceptual description of an integrated biomass logistics centre  
656 (IBLC). 2016.
- 657 [26] Iqbal Y, Lewandowski I, Weinreich A, Wippel B, Pforte B, Hadai O, et al.  
658 Maximising the yield of biomass from residues of agricultural crops and biomass from  
659 forestry. Berlin: 2016.
- 660 [27] Wu D. Recycle technology for potato peel waste processing: A review. *Procedia*  
661 *Environ Sci* 2016;31:103–7. doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2016.02.014.
- 662 [28] Pfaltzgraff LA, De bruyn M, Cooper EC, Budarin V, Clark JH. Food waste biomass: a  
663 resource for high-value chemicals. *Green Chem* 2013;15:307.  
664 doi:10.1039/c2gc36978h.
- 665 [29] Buffington J. The Economic Potential of Brewer’s Spent Grain (BSG) as a Biomass  
666 Feedstock. *Adv Chem Eng Sci* 2014;4:308–18. doi:10.4236/aces.2014.43034.
- 667 [30] Barton A. Novel Bio-Based Products From Side Streams Of Paper And Board  
668 Production. 2016.
- 669 [31] Konda N, Singh S, Simmons BA, Klein-Marcuschamer D. An Investigation on the  
670 Economic Feasibility of Macroalgae as a Potential Feedstock for Biorefineries.  
671 *Bioenerg Res* 2015;8:1046–56. doi:10.1007/s12155-015-9594-1.
- 672 [32] Kawai S, Murata K. Biofuel Production Based on Carbohydrates from Both Brown  
673 and Red Macroalgae: Recent Developments in Key Biotechnologies. *Int J Mol Sci*  
674 2016;17:145. doi:10.3390/ijms17020145.
- 675 [33] Rocca S, Agostini A, Giuntoli J, Marelli L. Biofuels from algae: technology options,

- 676 energy balance and GHG emissions. JRC Science Hub: 2015. doi:10.2790/125847.
- 677 [34] Cheali P, Vivion A, Gernacy K, Sin G. 25th European Symposium on Computer-  
678 Aided Process Engineering. In: Krist V. Gernaey, Jakob K. Huusom RG, editor.,  
679 Copenhagen: Elsevier; 2015, p. 2600.
- 680 [35] Bauer F, Coenen L, Hansen T, McCormick K, Voytenko Palgan Y. Technological  
681 innovation systems for biorefineries – A review of the literature. *Biofuels, Bioprod*  
682 *Biorefining* 2017;11:534–48. doi:10.1002/bbb.1767.
- 683 [36] Mittal A, Katahira R, Donohoe BS, Pattathil S, Kandemkavil S, Reed ML, et al.  
684 Ammonia Pretreatment of Corn Stover Enables Facile Lignin Extraction. *ACS Sustain*  
685 *Chem Eng* 2017;5:2544–61. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b02892.
- 686 [37] Rinaldi R, Jastrzebski R, Clough MT, Ralph J, Kennema M, Bruijninx PCA, et al.  
687 Paving the Way for Lignin Valorisation: Recent Advances in Bioengineering,  
688 Biorefining and Catalysis. *Angew Chemie Int Ed* 2016;55:8164–215.  
689 doi:10.1002/anie.201510351.
- 690 [38] Amin FR, Khalid H, Zhang H, Rahman SU, Zhang R, Liu G, et al. Pretreatment  
691 methods of lignocellulosic biomass for anaerobic digestion. *AMB Express* 2017;7.  
692 doi:10.1186/s13568-017-0375-4.
- 693 [39] Fang Z, Smith RL, Qi X. Production of platform chemicals from sustainable resources.  
694 Springer; 2017.
- 695 [40] Harmsen PFH, Huijgen WJJ, Bermúdez López LM, Bakker RRC. Literature Review  
696 of Physical and Chemical Pretreatment Processes for Lignocellulosic Biomass.  
697 *BioSynergy*; 2010.
- 698 [41] Ravindran R, Jaiswal AK. A comprehensive review on pre-treatment strategy for  
699 lignocellulosic food industry waste: Challenges and opportunities Pre-treatment  
700 Enzymatic hydrolysis Inhibitor formation Reducing sugar formation Lignocellulose.

- 701 Bioresour Technol J 2016;199:92–102. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2015.07.106.
- 702 [42] Shirkavand E, Baroutian S, Gapes DJ, Young BR. Combination of fungal and  
703 physicochemical processes for lignocellulosic biomass pretreatment – A review.  
704 Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;54:217–34. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.003.
- 705 [43] Hassan SS, Williams GA, Jaiswal AK. Emerging Technologies for the Pretreatment of  
706 Lignocellulosic Biomass. Bioresour Technol J 2018; 262: 310-318.  
707 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2018.04.099.
- 708 [44] Jönsson LJ, Martín C. Pretreatment of lignocellulose: Formation of inhibitory by-  
709 products and strategies for minimizing their effects. Bioresour Technol 2016;199:103–  
710 12. doi:10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2015.10.009.
- 711 [45] Kumar AK, Sharma S. Recent updates on different methods of pretreatment of  
712 lignocellulosic feedstocks: a review Background. Bioresour Bioprocess 2017;4.  
713 doi:10.1186/s40643-017-0137-9.
- 714 [46] Meng X, Ragauskas AJ. Mini-review Recent Adv Petrochem Sci Pseudo-Lignin  
715 Formation during Dilute acid Pretreatment for Cellulosic Ethanol. Recent Adv  
716 Petrochem Sci 2017;1.
- 717 [47] Alrefai R, Benyounis K, Stokes J. Integration Approach of Anaerobic Digestion and  
718 Fermentation Process Towards Producing Biogas and Bioethanol with Zero Waste:  
719 Technical. J Fundam Renew Energy Appl 2017;7. doi:10.4172/2090-4541.1000243.
- 720 [48] Sanz A, Susmozas A, Peters J, Dufour J. Biorefinery Modeling and Optimization. In:  
721 Rabaçal M, Ferreira A, Silva C, Costa M, editors. Biorefineries Target. energy, high  
722 value Prod. waste Valoriz., Springer; 2017, p. 294.
- 723 [49] Coma M, Martinez-Hernandez E, Abeln F, Raikova S, Donnelly J, Arnot TC, et al.  
724 Organic waste as a sustainable feedstock for platform chemicals. Faraday Discuss  
725 2017;202:175–95. doi:10.1039/C7FD00070G.

- 726 [50] DOE. Biochemical Conversion: Using Hydrolysis, Fermentation, and Catalysis to  
727 Make Fuels and Chemicals. U.S. Department of Energy; 2013.
- 728 [51] Peduzzi E, Boissonnet G, Haarlemmer G, Maréchal F. Thermo-economic analysis and  
729 multi-objective optimisation of lignocellulosic biomass conversion to Fischer–Tropsch  
730 fuels. *Sustain Energy Fuels* 2018; 2:1069-1084. doi:10.1039/C7SE00468K.
- 731 [52] Tanger P, Field JL, Jahn CE, Defoort MW, Leach JE, Hazen SP, et al. Biomass for  
732 thermochemical conversion: targets and challenges. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2013;4.  
733 doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00218.
- 734 [53] Shen Y, Jarboe L, Brown R, Wen Z. A thermochemical–biochemical hybrid  
735 processing of lignocellulosic biomass for producing fuels and chemicals. *Biotechnol*  
736 *Adv* 2015;33:1799–813. doi:10.1016/J.BIOTECHADV.2015.10.006.
- 737 [54] Galanakis CM. Sustainable recovery and reutilization of cereal processing by-  
738 products. Woodhead Publishing; 2018.
- 739 [55] Jong E, Higson A, Walsh P, Maria W. Bio-based chemicals: value added products  
740 from biorefineries. IEA Bioenergy; 2010.
- 741 [56] Government Queensland. Queensland Biofutures 10-Year Roadmap and Action Plan.  
742 Queensland: 2016.
- 743 [57] Rancourt Y, Neumeyer C, Zou N. Reports on Special Business Projects: Results from  
744 the 2015 Bioproducts Production and Development Survey. Statistics Canada;2017.
- 745 [58] Jungmeier G, Hingsamer M, van Ree R. Biofuel-driven Biorefineries : A Selection of  
746 the Most Promising Biorefinery Concepts to Produce Large Volumes of Road  
747 Transportation Biofuels by 2025. 2013.
- 748 [59] ePure. Roadmap to 2030: The role of ethanol in decarbonising Europe’s road transport.  
749 The European renewable ethanol association; 2016.
- 750 [60] Urbancic N, Renshaw N, Archer G, Cuenot F, Fergusson M, Buffet L, et al. Diesel -



751 The true dirty story. European Federation for Transport and Environment; 2017.

752 [61] Hooftman N, Oliveira L, Messagie M, Coosemans T, Mierlo J Van. Environmental  
753 Analysis of Petrol, Diesel and Electric Passenger Cars in a Belgian Urban Setting.  
754 *Energies* 2016;9(2)–84. doi:10.3390/en9020084.

755 [62] Díaz S, Miller J, Mock P, Minjares R, Anenberg S, Meszler D. Shifting gears: The  
756 effects of a future decline in diesel market share on tailpipe CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions  
757 in Europe. The International Council on Clean Transportation; 2017.

758 [63] Angelovi M, Tká Z, Angelovi M. Particulate Emissions and Biodiesel: A review.  
759 *Anim Sci Biotechnol* 2013;46:192–8.

760 [64] Simbolotti G. Production of Liquid Biofuels. IRENA; 2013.

761 [65] Statista. Ethanol fuel production in top countries 2017.  
762 <https://www.statista.com/statistics/281606/ethanol-production-in-selected-countries/>  
763 (accessed May 10, 2018).

764 [66] Statista. Global biodiesel production by country 2016.  
765 <https://www.statista.com/statistics/271472/biodiesel-production-in-selected-countries/>  
766 (accessed May 10, 2018).

767 [67] Babu MKG, Subramanian KA. Alternative transportation fuels: utilisation in  
768 combustion engines. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis; 2013.

769 [68] UFOP. UFOP Report on Global Market Supply 2017/2018. Union for the Promotion  
770 of Oil and Protein Plants; 2017.

771 [69] Tabatabaei M, Karimi K, Horváth IS, Kumar R. Recent trends in biodiesel production.  
772 *Biofuel Res J* 2015;7:258–67. doi:10.18331/BRJ2015.2.3.4.

773 [70] Hirschnitz-Garbers M, Gosens J. Producing bio-ethanol from residues and wastes: A  
774 technology with enormous potential in need of further research and development.  
775 2015.

- 776 [71] CBB. EU Handbook Biogas Markets. Cross Border Bioenergy;2012.
- 777 [72] Flach B, Lieberz S, Rossetti A, Phillips S. EU Biofuels Annual 2017. USDA foregin  
778 agricultural service; 2017.
- 779 [73] EBA. Annual Statistical Report of the European Biogas Association. European Biogas  
780 Association; 2017.
- 781 [74] Tsagaraki E, Karachaliou E, Delioglani I, Kouzi E. D2.1 Bio-based products and  
782 applications potential. Bioways ; 2017.
- 783 [75] Natrass L, Biggs C, Bauen A, Parisi C, Rodríguez-Cerezo E, Gómez-Barbero M. The  
784 EU bio-based industry: Results from a survey. 2016. doi:10.2791/806858.
- 785 [76] Vallejos ME, Area MC. Xylitol as Bioproduct From the Agro and Forest Biorefinery.  
786 Food Bioconversion, Elsevier; 2017, p. 411–32. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-811413-  
787 1.00012-7.
- 788 [77] Jazini MH, Fereydouni E, Karimi K. Microbial xanthan gum production from alkali-  
789 pretreated rice straw. RSC Adv 2017;7:3507–14. doi:10.1039/C6RA26185J.
- 790 [78] BIC. The Bio-based Industries Vision : Accelerating innovation and market uptake of  
791 bio-based products. Biobased Industries Consortium; 2012.
- 792 [79] Bidy MJ, Scarlata C, Kinchin C. Chemicals from Biomass: A Market Assessment of  
793 Bioproducts with Near-Term Potential. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory  
794 (NREL); 2016.
- 795 [80] Kawaguchi H, Hasunuma T, Ogino C, Kondo A, Wittmann C, Gonzalez R.  
796 Bioprocessing of bio-based chemicals produced from lignocellulosic feedstocks. Curr  
797 Opin Biotechnol 2016;42:30–9. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2016.02.031.
- 798 [81] Kumar A, Gautam A, Dutt D. Biotechnological Transformation of Lignocellulosic  
799 Biomass in to Industrial Products: An Overview. Adv Biosci Biotechnol 2016;7:149–  
800 68. doi:10.4236/abb.2016.73014.

- 801 [82] Ravindran R, Jaiswal A. Microbial Enzyme Production Using Lignocellulosic Food  
802 Industry Wastes as Feedstock: A Review. *Bioengineering* 2016;3:30.  
803 doi:10.3390/bioengineering3040030.
- 804 [83] S2biom. Market analysis for biobased products. S2biom; 2016.
- 805 [84] Carus M, Aeschelmann F. Bio-based Building Blocks and Polymers: Global  
806 Capacities and Trends 2016–2021. Huerth: 2017.
- 807 [85] PlasticsEurope. Plastics – the Facts 2017: An analysis of European plastics  
808 production, demand and waste data. Brussels : 2017.  
809 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.015.
- 810 [86] Michael Carus. Bio-based Building Blocks and Polymers in the World – Capacities,  
811 Production and Applications: Status Quo and Trends toward 2020. Huerth: 2015.
- 812 [89] Thompson H, Lora-Tamayo E, Damm W, Dormoy J-L, Hobbs L, Jansz M, et al.  
813 Interim Evaluation of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking (2014-2016) operating under  
814 Horizon 2020. 2017. doi:10.2759/614017.
- 815 [90] BBI JU - Projects n.d. <https://www.bbi-europe.eu/projects> (accessed August 15,  
816 2018).
- 817 [91] R&D roadmap for lignocellulosic biomass in Europe 2016.
- 818 [92] Tsagaraki E, Karachaliou E, Delioglani I, Kouzi E. D2.1 Bio-based products and  
819 applications potential. 2017.
- 820 [93] Scarlat N, Dallemand J-F, Monforti-Ferrario F, Nita V. The role of biomass and  
821 bioenergy in a future bioeconomy: Policies and facts. *Environ Dev* 2015;15:3–34.  
822 doi:10.1016/J.ENVDEV.2015.03.006.
- 823 [94] Staffas L, Gustavsson M, McCormick K, Staffas L, Gustavsson M, McCormick K.  
824 Strategies and Policies for the Bioeconomy and Bio-Based Economy: An Analysis of

- 825 Official National Approaches. Sustainability 2013;5:2751–69.  
826 doi:10.3390/su5062751.
- 827 [95] ASSESSING THE CONTRIBUTION OF BIOECONOMY TO COUNTRIES’  
828 ECONOMY. 2018.
- 829 [96] Bio-based economy for Europe: state of play and future potential Part 1 Report on the  
830 European Commission’s Public on-line consultation n.d. doi:10.2777/67383.
- 831 [97] BBP EG. Commission Expert Group on Bio-based Products- Final Report 2017:60.
- 832 [98] Pathways to sustainable industries. 2018.
- 833 [99] POLICY ASKS FOR THE BIOECONOMY STRATEGY REVISION. 2017.
- 834 [100] Ros J, Olivier J, Notenboom J. Sustainability of biomass in a bio-based economy.  
835 2012.
- 836 [101] Böttcher H, Frank S, Berien A., Cres E, Alexopoulou E. Summary of main outcomes  
837 for policy makers. 2012.
- 838 [102] Supporting a Sustainable European Bioenergy Trade Strategy: Publishable Final  
839 Report. 2016.
- 840 [103] Hendriks K, Lambrecht E, Vandenhaute H, Welck H, Gellynck X, Nabuurs G-J.  
841 Potential of biomass sidestreams for a sustainable biobased economy. 2018.
- 842 [104] Ekşioğlu SD, Acharya A, Leightley LE, Arora S. Analyzing the design and  
843 management of biomass-to-biorefinery supply chain. Comput Ind Eng 2009;57:1342–  
844 52. doi:10.1016/J.CIE.2009.07.003.
- 845 [105] Gebreslassie BH, Yao Y, You F. Multiobjective optimization of hydrocarbon  
846 biorefinery supply chain designs under uncertainty. Proc IEEE Conf Decis Control  
847 2012:5560–5. doi:10.1109/CDC.2012.6426661.

- 848 [106] Bussemaker MJ, Day K, Drage G, Cecelja F. Supply Chain Optimisation for an  
849 Ultrasound-Organosolv Lignocellulosic Biorefinery: Impact of Technology Choices.  
850 Waste and Biomass Valorization 2017;8:2247–61. doi:10.1007/s12649-017-0043-6.
- 851 [107] Nagy E, Hegedüs I. Second generation biofuels and biorefinery concepts focusing on  
852 central europe. Chem. Eng. Trans., vol. 45, 2015, p. 1765–70.  
853 doi:10.3303/CET1545295.
- 854 [108] Menrad K, Klein A, Kurka S. Interest of industrial actors in biorefinery concepts in  
855 Europe. Biofuels, Bioprod Biorefining 2009;3:384–94. doi:10.1002/bbb.144.
- 856 [109] Sanna A. Advanced Biofuels from Thermochemical Processing of Sustainable  
857 Biomass in Europe. BioEnergy Res 2014;7:36–47. doi:10.1007/s12155-013-9378-4.
- 858 [110] Dammer L, Carus M, Iffland K, Piotrowski S, Sarmiento L, Chinthapalli R, et al.  
859 Current situation and trends of the bio-based industries in Europe. Curr Situat Trends  
860 Bio-Based Ind Eur with a Focus Bio-Based Mater 2017:213.
- 861

862

**Tables**

863 Table 1. Key figures on biofuel production in the Unites States, Brazil and Europe

Country/Region	Bioethanol Production (Billion liters)	Biodiesel Production (Billion liters)
The United States	<sup>a</sup> 59.8	<sup>b</sup> 5.5
Brazil	<sup>a</sup> 26.7	<sup>b</sup> 3.8
Europe	<sup>a</sup> 5.4	<sup>b</sup> 6.1

864 \* Where: <sup>a</sup>: figures of 2017, and <sup>b</sup>: figures of 2016.

865

866

867

868

869 Table 2. Literature data on energy balance of lignocellulosic biorefinery (Ethanol production).

Biomass	[87] Corn stover	[88] Switchgrass	[88] Woody energy crops	[88] Forest harvest residues
Biomass Yield	5,212	8,360	10000	8000
Energy Inputs	3.04	5.389	5.675	5.526
Net Energy	7.46	1.764	1.478	1.627

870 \* Where Biomass Yield unit is kg/ha/year, and Energy unit is MJ/kg biomass.

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883 Table 3. The BBI JU funded projects to support lignocellulose biorefining industry in the EU.

Project/Website	Start date	End date	BBI JU contribution (€)	Aim
BIOFOREVER <a href="https://www.bioforever.org">https://www.bioforever.org</a>	Sep. 2016	Aug. 2019	9,937,998.02	Demonstrate the commercial viability of lignocellulosic biorefining (from woody biomass) for the chemical industry.
BIOSKOH <a href="http://bioskoh.eu">http://bioskoh.eu</a>	June 2016	May 2021	21.568.195	Demonstrate the first of a series of new second generation bio-refineries for Europe.
EUCALIVA <a href="http://eucaliva.eu">http://eucaliva.eu</a>	Sep. 2017	Feb. 2021	1,795,009.88	Create a whole value chain from lignin, using Eucalyptus waste as its source.
GRACE <a href="http://www.grace-bbi.eu">http://www.grace-bbi.eu</a>	June 2017	May 2022	12,324,632.86	Explore the potential of the non-food industrial crops as a source of biomass for the bio-economy.
GREENSOLRES <a href="http://www.greensolres.eu">http://www.greensolres.eu</a>	Sep. 2016	Aug. 2021	7,451,945.63	Demonstrate the commercial viability of converting lignocellulosic biomass to levulinic acid.
HYPERBIOCOAT <a href="http://www.hyperbiocoat.eu">http://www.hyperbiocoat.eu</a>	Sep. 2016	Aug. 2019	4,617,423.75	Develop biodegradable polymers derived from food processing by-products.
IFERMENTER	May 2018	April 2022	3,997,825	Conversion of forestry sugar residual streams to antimicrobial proteins by intelligent fermentation.
LIBRE <a href="http://www.libre2020.eu">http://www.libre2020.eu</a>	Nov. 2016	Oct. 2020	4,566,560	Lignin based carbon fibres for composites
LIGNIOX <a href="http://www.ligniox.eu/">http://www.ligniox.eu/</a>	May 2017	April 2021	4,338,374.88	Lignin oxidation technology for versatile lignin dispersants
LIGNOFLAG <a href="http://www.lignoflag-project.eu">http://www.lignoflag-project.eu</a>	June 2017	May 2022	24.738.840	bio-ethanol production involving a bio-based value chain built on lignocellulosic feedstock.
PEPERENCE	Sep. 2017	Aug. 2022	24,999,610.00	Producing FDCA (furan dicarboxylic acid), a bio-based building block to produce high value products.
SSUCHY <a href="https://www.ssuchy.eu/">https://www.ssuchy.eu/</a>	Sep. 2017	Aug. 2021	4,457,194.75	Sustainable structural and multifunctional bio-composites from hybrid natural fibres and bio-based polymers
SWEETWOODS	June 2018	May 2022	20,959,745	Production and deploying of high purity lignin and affordable platform chemicals through wood-based sugars
UNRAVEL	June 2018	May 2022	3,603,545	Develop advanced pre-treatment, separation and conversion technologies for complex lignocellulosic biomass.
US4GREENCHEM <a href="http://www.us4greenchem.eu/">http://www.us4greenchem.eu/</a>	July 2015	June 2019	3.457.602,50	Combined Ultrasonic and Enzyme treatment of Lignocellulosic Feedstock as Substrate for Sugar Based Biotechnological Applications
VALCHEM <a href="http://www.valchem.eu">http://www.valchem.eu</a>	July 2015	June 2018	13.125.941	Value added chemical building blocks and lignin from wood
WOODZYMES	June 2018	May 2021	3,253,874	Extremozymes for wood based building blocks: From pulp mill to board and insulation products
ZELCOR <a href="http://www.zelcor.eu">http://www.zelcor.eu</a>	Oct. 2016	Sep. 2020	5,256,993.00	Zero Waste Lingo-Cellulosic Biorefineries by Integrated Lignin Valorisation.

884

885

886

887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911

Figures

Figure 1





Figure 1. Schematic diagram shows differences between lignocellulosic feedstocks from the first and second generation: sources, valorisation processes, and end products.



912 Figure 2



913

914

915 Figure 2. Drivers, challenges, and opportunities exists for second generation lignocellulosic

916 biorefineries in the EU.

917

918

919

920

921


922

923

924

925

926 Figure 3



927

928 Figure 3. Projected production of biobased chemicals and materials in Europe 2020/2030

929

930

931

932

933

934

935


936

937

938

939

940 Figure 4



941

942 Figure 4. BBI JU funding share per value chain (VC) in the EU (2014-2016).

943

944

945

946

947