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Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy for quantification of sodium and potassium in minced beef: a potential technique for detecting beef kidney adulteration

Y. Dixit\textsuperscript{a,}, Maria P. Casado-Gavalda\textsuperscript{a}, R. Cama-Moncunill\textsuperscript{a}, X. Cama-Moncunill\textsuperscript{a}, Maria Markiewicz-Keszycka\textsuperscript{a}, P. J. Cullen\textsuperscript{ab}, Carl Sullivan\textsuperscript{a}

Beef is a rich source of important minerals, with potassium (K) being the most abundant mineral quantitatively except in cured meats where Na from the added salt predominates. This study evaluates the potential of LIBS for quantification of the sodium (Na) and potassium (K) contents of minced beef as a potential method of detecting beef kidney adulteration. Additionally, the study aims at demonstrate the ability of LIBS to provide spatial mineral information of minced beef. A LIBS system was employed to collect spectral information of adulterated minced beef samples. Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) was used to obtain reference values for Na and K. The chemometric technique of partial least squares regression (PLSR) was used to build the prediction models. Spatial mineral maps of minced beef samples were generated based on the predicted percentages of Na and K. The models for Na and K yielded calibration coefficients of determination ($R^2$) of 0.97 and 0.91 respectively. Similarly, a good calibration model was obtained for adulteration yielding a $R^2$ of 0.97. Good prediction accuracy was observed for all models. Spatial mapping provided two major advantages: (a) representative measurements of samples and (b) spatial distribution of multi-elements. The results observed illustrate the ability of LIBS combined with chemometrics as a potential monitoring tool for mineral quantification as well as adulteration detection for the meat processing industry.

1. Introduction

Meat and meat products are popular among consumers due to their nutritional value and taste. It is projected that world meat production will double by 2050, with demand driven particularly in developing countries.\textsuperscript{1} Beef is a popular meat because of its flavour and nutritional quality. Minced beef is the main ingredient for products such as sausages, hamburger patties, meatballs and meat paste.\textsuperscript{2,3} Beef is a rich source of important minerals such as sodium (Na), potassium (K) and phosphorus (P). Potassium is the most abundant mineral except for cured meat where Na from the added salt predominates\textsuperscript{4}. The importance of Na and K for humans has been extensively studied \textsuperscript{5-9}; one of the basic functions of Na and K is to maintain electrolytes and fluid balance in human body.\textsuperscript{10} Declaration of mineral content in food products is a regulatory requirement as per European Union (EU) regulations and hence, mineral detection and quantification is important for complying with food regulations.\textsuperscript{11}

The growing meat market provides a significant opportunity to the meat processing industry while simultaneously posing the challenge of providing safe and hygienic meat products\textsuperscript{1}. One of the major issues faced by the meat processing industry is adulteration. Minced beef products have been targeted for adulteration, with cheaper substitutes such as offal. Food product authenticity is a regulatory requirement to protect consumer health, to meet the expectations of consumers and to ensure fair-
Chemometrics plays an important role in extracting useful information from the large spectral data sets collected during LIBS analysis. It employs various multivariate techniques to perform qualitative and quantitative analysis. Andersen et al. performed partial least square (PLS) modelling to predict the calcium content of minced poultry meat. Similarly, Bilge et al. performed principal component analysis (PCA) to discriminate three different meat species (beef, pork and chicken) followed by PLS modelling to predict pork and chicken adulteration in beef.

2. Materials and methods

The procedures explained in this section were conducted in three independent batches on random days to take into account bio-variability between different animals. These batches will be referred to as batch 1, batch 2 and batch 3.

2.1 Sample Preparation

Fresh lean beef steaks and beef kidney weighing approximately 500 g each were purchased from a local butchers shop in Dublin city, Ireland. Beef kidney was used to vary the levels of Na and K in minced beef pellets and (b) explore the potential of LIBS as a novel technique to detect beef kidney adulteration. Additionally, the study also aims at demonstrating the ability of LIBS combined with an automated sample chamber to provide spatial information of the elements, Na and K in dried and compressed minced beef samples.
liquid and dried before each use. Finally, samples were placed overnight in a hot air drying oven maintained at 105 °C using disposable aluminium dishes.

On the next day, dried samples were ground into powder form using a laboratory meat blender (8011G, Waring Laboratory Science, Stamford CT, USA) followed by sieving using a mechanical siever (VS 1000, Retsch (U.K.) Limited, Parsons lane, Hope valley, U.K.) with a 103-mesh screen for 10 minutes at 70 rpm. Forty-five samples (15 samples per batch) with varying percentages of beef kidney mixed with lean beef were prepared. Each sample comprised of approximately 400 mg of powdered mixture of lean beef and kidney containing 0%, 10%, 20%, 40% and 100 % of kidney in triplicates. Samples were then pelletted using a hydraulic press (GS01160, Specac Ltd., Orpington, United Kingdom) by applying a force of 98 kN for 3 minutes. All Samples were in the form of a circular disc of 13 mm diameter and 4 mm thickness.

2.2 Atomic absorption spectroscopy analysis

The Na and K content of lean beef and beef kidney were determined using a flame-atomic absorption spectrophotometer (SpectrAA-50, Varian, Australia) in order to obtain reference values. Sample preparation was carried out using the standard method of AOAC (FP-3) with slight modifications; approximately 1 g of powdered samples were transferred to crucibles and pre-ashed on a hot plate with the careful addition of small drops of pure nitric acid (CAS 7697-37-2, Sigma Aldrich, Inc.) to aid digestion. Once the samples were completely charred, they were transferred to a muffle furnace maintained at 550 °C for 5 hours. Ashes were then dissolved into 50 mL volumetric flasks with 1M purified nitric acid (CAS 7697-37-2, Sigma Aldrich, Inc.). A 0.1 ml aliquot and 0.2 ml aliquot of the resulting solution was further diluted in 25 ml and 50 ml of 1M nitric acid and 1% cesium chloride solution to maintain the mineral concentrations within the AAS optimum measuring range for Na and K respectively. The addition of cesium chloride produces a large quantity of free electrons in the flame due to cesium’s low ionization energy, which in turn suppresses the ionization of sodium and potassium and thus their mutual interferences can be neglected. For quantification, calibration curves were obtained using standard solutions of sodium (cat. no. 05201, Sigma Aldrich, Inc.) and potassium (cat. no. 96665, Sigma Aldrich, Inc.). All samples were measured in triplicates.

2.3 LIBS spectra acquisition

LIBS spectra were recorded using a LIBSCAN 150 system (Applied Photonics Limited, Skipton North Yorkshire, United Kingdom) which consists of a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (ultra, Quantel laser, 601 Haggerty Lane Bozeman, MT, USA) and a series of six spectrophotometers covering the wavelength range of 185-904 nm. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The head incorporates a miniature CCD camera and 6 lens holders which collect plasma light of different wavelength regions. The laser used for sample ablation had a pulse energy of 150 mJ and a pulse duration of 5 ns operating at 1064 nm. A repetition rate of 1 Hz was employed along with a 1.27 μs gate delay and 1.1 ms integration time in Q-switched mode. The spectrograph was externally triggered from the laser at every pulse with a delay generator. The sample was placed at a LTS 60 (lens to sample distance) of approximately 80 mm to ensure that the laser was focussed onto the sample. Samples were measured by scanning 100 different locations in a 10 X 10 grid pattern with the sample moved after each shot by an automated sample chamber (XYZ-750, Applied Photonics Limited, Skipton North Yorkshire, United Kingdom) with a step size of 0.70 mm. The surface of a kidney sample before and after the measurement is shown in Fig. 2.

2.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using R. The “pls” package was used for performing PLSR (partial least square regression) along with other in-house functions.

2.4.1 PLSR

In a chemometric bi-linear modelling such as partial least squares regression (PLSR), pre-processing of spectral data is the most significant step in order to remove non-linearities introduced by light scatter which can have a considerable effect on the spectra. Initially, the spectra recorded for each sample at 100 different locations were subjected to mean-centering followed by a normalization mode proposed in a study by Castro and Pereira-Filho where the raw data is divided by the highest signal in each individual spectrum. Increasing the number of spectra or shots per surface area helps to overcome the effects of material inhomogeneity. Finally, the pre-processed spectra were averaged into a single spectrum, obtaining 15 spectra per batch. Spectral data in the range of wavelengths from 567.36 to 821.169 nm was selected for calculations as emission peaks related to sodium (Na).
and potassium (K) exists in this region. The processed data obtained was analysed and modelled using PLSR. PLSR is a multivariate technique that develops a linear regression model by projecting the predicted and observed variables to a new space to which X and Y data are transferred. In this study, two different approaches were used in order to model the spectral data based on elemental concentration of Na and K or beef kidney adulteration. Since Na and K are quantitatively two of the most abundant elements in beef, they would have significant contribution to the LIBS spectra.

In order to develop the calibration models for Na and K, processed data acquired for batch 1 and batch 2 (30 total spectra) were used, along with their elemental reference values extracted from AAS analysis. Similarly, for kidney adulteration, processed data acquired for batch 1 and batch 2 were used, along with their kidney percentage values calculated on a dry weight basis. Pure kidney samples were not included for developing the kidney adulteration model, as 100% kidney is not considered as an adulteration (24 total spectra). The data acquired from batch 3, along with their elemental reference values and kidney percentage values, were used as a validation sample set for the elemental models (Na and K, 15 total spectra each) and the kidney adulteration model respectively (100% kidney not included, 12 total spectra). The method of leave-one-out was used for cross validation while developing the calibration models in order to avoid either over- or under-fitting of the models. Goodness of calibration models was evaluated by determining both root mean square error of calibration (RMSEC), root mean square error in cross validation (RMSECV), intercept and slope which provides information about the deviation of models from their reference values. 

The corresponding values of both coefficients of determination in calibration ($R^2_2$) and in cross validation ($R^2_{cv}$) were also calculated in order to evaluate the goodness of fit for the models. The prediction accuracy of the developed calibration models was evaluated by calculating the root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP), corresponding coefficients of determination in prediction ($R^2_p$) and bias values. Bias values were calculated as follows:

$$\text{bias} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)}{n} \quad (1)$$

Where, $n_i$ is the number of data samples for validation, $\hat{y}_i$ is the prediction value and $y_i$ is the measured value. The average differences between predicted and actual values were considered as bias.

### 2.4.2 Spatial mapping

Spatial mapping of minerals using LIBS have been reported with biological samples and infant formula. In the current study, LIBS coupled with an automated sample chamber was evaluated for spatial prediction of Na and K contents in minced beef sample pellets in order to study the elemental distribution within a sample, as well as an indication of homogeneity and therefore accuracy in the calibration models.

Quantification models obtained for Na and K were used to spatially predict Na and K content distribution in the areas analysed for batch 3. Raw data acquired from batch 3 was pre-processed by applying mean-centering followed by normalization using the individual spectral maximum, obtaining 1500 spectra with every 100 spectra corresponding to 100 shots of an individual sample. Spatial mineral maps of dried and pelleted minced beef samples were generated in R based on predicted values using a false colour scheme, with each colour corresponding to a different percentage of Na or K.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Atomic absorption spectroscopy analysis

AAS was performed to determine the concentration of Na and K in lean beef and beef kidney. The accuracy of AAS results rely heavily on the calibration curve obtained using standard solutions of the desired element. Good calibrations were obtained for both Na and K in all batches with a $R^2$ of 0.99. Results of AAS analysis are illustrated in Table 1. AAS results indicate that Na content in lean beef is generally lower and K content is generally higher than in beef kidney. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the Na levels for lean beef batches. The Na levels were not significantly different between these batches (Table 1). Similar analysis showed this to also be the case with regard to K levels for lean beef. However, with regard to beef kidney both Na and K levels respectively showed significant differences (Table 1). The results shown in Table 1 were in good agreement with those reported in the literature and were used as the reference values for the calibration and validation models.
Table 1 Sodium and potassium content in dry matter (DM) of samples determined by AAS over three independent batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>Na (g/100g DM)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>K (g/100g DM)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lean beef Powder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.21 (±0.01) (0.20 – 0.22)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.34 (±0.02) (1.31 – 1.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.24 (±0.01) (0.23-0.25)</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>1.29 (±0.08) (1.22-1.38)</td>
<td>P &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.26 (±0.04) (0.23-0.30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.40 (±0.01) (1.39-1.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef kidney powder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.93 (±0.03) (0.90-0.95)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04 (±0.02) (1.03 – 1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.84 (±0.04) (0.82-0.90)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>1.18 (±0.01) (1.18-1.19)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.78 (±0.01) (0.77-0.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.07 (±0.01) (1.06-1.08)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard deviation shown in brackets, preceded by the symbol ± (n = 3). Numbers in brackets succeeding standard deviations correspond to the minimum and maximum values respectively.

3.2 Spectral analysis

LIBS spectra are quite complex due to the emission of multi elements from samples. Fig. 3a shows the mean-centered and normalized LIBS spectra of powdered lean beef and beef kidney. Each spectrum corresponds to an average of 100 spectra collected at different locations of the pellet in order to overcome sample heterogeneity. Emission peaks related to various elements in Fig. 3a have been identified with reference to the NIST database and presented in Table 2. In Fig. 3a, spectral lines related to Na and K are evident and clearly differentiates lean beef from beef kidney. Na and K exists under group 1 of the periodic table, having a single electron in their outer shell and allowing LIBS to easily excite the lone electron and subsequent detection of these elements. Moreover, beef and beef kidney contains high amounts of Na and K making them suitable for detection by LIBS. The relationship between the percentages of kidney adulteration in the samples is based on the difference in elemental composition, especially the difference between Na and K contents. It is evident from Fig. 3b and c that the LIBS spectra clearly differentiated adulterated beef samples based on Na and K contents, which are in confirmation with the AAS results shown in Table 1.
Table 2 Possible contributions to identified spectral peaks from various elements in the 184.547-904.123 nm region (source: NIST database 48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Possible elements as per NIST database</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>279.560</td>
<td>Mg II (279.552)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280.281</td>
<td>Mg II (280.270)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.231</td>
<td>Mg I (285.212), Zn I (330.258)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330.263</td>
<td>Ca II (393.366)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393.386</td>
<td>Ca II (396.847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396.858</td>
<td>Ca I (422.673)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422.701</td>
<td>Ca I (430.253)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430.266</td>
<td>Ca I (443.496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443.491</td>
<td>Ca I (443.496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445.497</td>
<td>Na II (445.473), Ca I (445.478), K II (445.500), Fe I (445.502)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512.865</td>
<td>Fe II (512.875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516.469</td>
<td>Fe I (516.455), Rb II (516.457)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518.396</td>
<td>Mg I (518.360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568.335</td>
<td>Fe I (568.249), Na I (568.263)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568.945</td>
<td>Na I (568.820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588.932</td>
<td>Na I (588.995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589.645</td>
<td>Na I (589.592)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612.251</td>
<td>Ca I (612.222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616.279</td>
<td>Ca I (616.217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>643.931</td>
<td>Fe I (643.876), Ca I (643.907)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646.347</td>
<td>Ca I (646.257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656.348</td>
<td>H I (656.290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742.414</td>
<td>N I (742.364)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744.282</td>
<td>N I (744.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.909</td>
<td>N I (746.831)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766.458</td>
<td>K I (766.489)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>769.931</td>
<td>K I (769.896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777.224</td>
<td>O I (777.194)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777.431</td>
<td>O I (777.417)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780.010</td>
<td>Rb I (780.026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818.341</td>
<td>Na I (818.325)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819.474</td>
<td>Na I (819.482)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Multivariate data analysis

PLSR has been employed as a multivariate technique to quantify various minerals in meat samples using LIBS spectral data. In the current study, PLSR was performed on pre-processed LIBS data to develop predictive models for Na and K contents as well as kidney adulteration in beef.

PLSR indirectly predicts the kidney adulteration in beef by exploiting the difference in the elemental composition of the samples, especially Na and K concentrations of lean beef and beef kidney due to their high content. The data from batch 3 was used as a validation set. PLSR generates linear prediction models by optimising the covariance between spectral data and the reference values (percentage of kidney, Na and K contents). In order to do so, it performs decomposition on both the spectral and reference data simultaneously.

3.3.1 Development of the calibration model

Table 3 shows the performance summary of the developed PLSR models, containing the coefficients of determination and root mean square errors for calibration (RMSEC, $R^2_{cv}$) and cross validation (RMSECV, $R^2_{cv}$), intercept and slope along with the number of latent variables (LVs) used. It also includes the coefficients of determination and root mean square errors for prediction ($R^2_{cv}$) and calculated bias for the validation set. The model for Na showed a good fit with a $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.94 and the K model obtained a $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.86. The model for kidney adulteration also showed a good fit, indicated by a high $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.87. Moreover, the RMSECV for the three models were in the range of 0.03-5.27. A lower $R^2_{cv}$ value obtained for K may be attributed to the variability in emission intensities among sample replicates, along with a low variation in K content between lean beef and beef kidney (Fig. 1c, Table 1). At the same time, factors such as chemical composition, particle size and homogeneity of the sample surface could also have an important role in affecting the relative intensities of the emission lines. Furthermore, a slightly lower $R^2_{cv}$ and high RMSECV (5.27) for the kidney adulteration model could be related to batch-to-batch variability in the mineral composition of beef.

Model validation

Model validation is an important step, which analyses the performance of the developed PLSR model for an independent set of experiments. The primary aim of validating the model is to ensure that it will perform efficiently in the future for similar data. The data obtained for batch 3 was used as a validation set. All models showed good prediction accuracy as indicated by high values of $R^2_{cv}$ in the range of 0.87-0.94 (Table 3). Fig. 4 shows the prediction plots for Na and K contents and kidney adulteration. The model for Na showed very good performance with a $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.94 (Fig. 4a). The prediction accuracy observed for K was slightly lower yielding a $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.86 (Fig. 4b) and in accordance with the values obtained in the calibration. A lower $R^2_{cv}$ value obtained for K could be attributed to the same reasons as mentioned in section 3.3.1. Good prediction accuracy was observed for kidney adulteration obtaining a $R^2_{cv}$ of 0.87 (Fig. 4b). RMSEP values obtained for all the predictions were low and in the range of 0.04-5.28. RMSEP (5.28) and bias (-4.20) values obtained for kidney adulteration were slightly higher. As already mentioned, detection of kidney adulteration with LIBS relies on the difference in elemental composition; therefore, bio-variability within independent batches could have affected the performance of the model. Moreover, the effects due to factors such as surface homogeneity, chemical composition, particle size and LTSD cannot be neglected. Overall, the performance of the PLSR shows that the models were able to predict Na and K contents for the samples with good accuracy. The kidney adulteration model also showed promising results which could be further explored with other offal such as liver and heart in future experiments. Beef and beef liver differ in mineral content with respect to phosphorus (P) as well as trace elements such as copper (Cu). In a recent study, LIBS was used for quantification of Cu in beef using beef liver as a natural ingredient to spike Cu levels, which could be utilized to detect liver adulteration.

For future studies, the model performance could be further improved by controlling sampling factors such as sample homogeneity and particle size, which affect the precision and accuracy of LIBS measurements. Precise milling machines and freeze-drying could help in overcoming these factors. However, these procedures increase the amount of time required for sample preparation as well as possibility of contamination. Chemometric methods and mathematical corrections such as normalization could help in achieving better results with lesser time, minimum sample manipulation and minimal contamination. Moreover, LIBS need to be further explored for analysing fresh samples, increasing its
potential to be used in an industrial environment. Factors such as sample geometry and matrix effects play an important role in this regard.

Table 3. Performance of PLSR calibration models (Batch 1 and 2) and PLSR validation models (Batch 3) in predicting Na, K contents and kidney adulteration: no. Latent variables (LVs), coefficients of determination in calibration ($R^2_C$), Root mean square error of calibration (RMSEC), coefficients of determination in cross-validation ($R^2_{CV}$), root mean square error of cross-validation (RMSECV), intercept, slope, coefficients of determination in prediction ($R^2_P$), root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP) and bias. Prediction plots are shown in Fig. 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>LVs</th>
<th>Calibration (Batch 1 and 2)</th>
<th>Validation (Batch 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>$R^2_C$</td>
<td>RMSEC (g/100g DM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

In the present study, LIBS was successfully employed for quantification of sodium and potassium contents along with detection of beef kidney adulteration in dried and compressed minced beef samples. Moreover, LIBS was also evaluated to generate spatial mineral maps of Na and K. LIBS is an emerging technique in the field of meat analysis, which provides various advantages such as minimal sample preparation, being chemical free, rapid detection, provision of spatial information and system portability.

PLSR was performed to analyse the spectral information obtained from the LIBS analysis. High values of $R^2_C$ and low values of the corresponding RMSECV confirmed a good fit for all the models. Good prediction accuracy was observed for Na with a high $R^2_P$ 0.94 and low RMSEP of 0.02. For K, slightly lower $R^2_P$ of 0.86 was observed which could be related to the variability in the emission intensities among sample replicates, along with a low variation in K content between lean beef and beef kidney. A high $R^2_P$ of 0.87 was observed for kidney adulteration; however, a slightly high RMSEP of 5.28 was also observed which could be related to the dependency of adulteration detection on bio-variability within independent batches. Moreover, factors such as surface homogeneity, chemical composition, particle size and LTSD influence LIBS performance.
Mineral prediction maps obtained for Na and K illustrated the capability of LIBS combined with an automated sample chamber to provide spatial information as well as overcome sample heterogeneity. For future studies, improvements are required to make LIBS a suitable technique for routine analysis in an industrial environment, especially for fresh samples. Improved prediction models may be obtained by controlling sampling factors such as sample homogeneity and particle size, which affect the precision and accuracy of LIBS measurements. Adulteration detection capabilities of LIBS needs to be further explored with other offal such as liver, heart and with other meats such as pork, chicken and horsemeat. Overall, it can be concluded that LIBS combined with chemometrics demonstrates potential as a rapid monitoring tool for mineral detection and quantification as well as adulteration detection for the meat processing industry.
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7. **Figure captions**

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of LIBS setup.

Fig. 2. Pure kidney sample pellet (dried and compressed): (a) before LIBS analysis and (b) after LIBS analysis illustrating the 100 (10 x 10) craters created by the laser ablation.

Fig. 3. LIBS spectra: (a) lean beef and beef kidney, (b) sodium peaks at 568.2 nm and 568.8 nm for batch 1 (c) potassium peaks at 766.4 nm and 769.8 nm for batch 1. The upward arrow (↑) indicates an increase in sodium with increase in kidney percentage and the downward arrow (↓) indicates a decrease in potassium with increase in kidney percentage.

Fig. 4. Prediction plots: (a) sodium content, (b) potassium content and (c) kidney adulteration for calibration and validation. $R^2_p$ indicates the coefficient of determination in prediction.

Performance summary of PLSR models is shown in Table 3.

Fig. 5. Spatial mapping distribution of predicted mineral content of batch 3 pellets in triplicates (dried and compressed): (a) Na and (b) K. Each map is related to a different sample. The colour scale indicates the content of Na or K in g/100g DM.
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