A Comparative Analysis of Pretreatment Strategies on the Properties and Hydrolysis of Brewers’ Spent Grain

Rajeev Ravindran  
_Technological University Dublin_

Swarna Jaiswal  
_Technological University Dublin_

Nissreen Abu-Ghannam  
_Technological University Dublin_, nissreen.abughannam@tudublin.ie

Amit Jaiswal  
_Technological University Dublin_, amit.jaiswal@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart

Part of the Bioresource and Agricultural Engineering Commons

**Recommended Citation**


This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License.
A comparative analysis of pretreatment strategies on the properties and hydrolysis of Brewers’ spent grain

Rajeev Ravindran, Swarna Jaiswal, Nissreen Abu-Ghannam, Amit K. Jaiswal

PII: S0960-8524(17)30926-4
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.039
Reference: BITE 18276

To appear in: Bioresource Technology

Received Date: 30 April 2017
Revised Date: 6 June 2017
Accepted Date: 7 June 2017

Please cite this article as: Ravindran, R., Jaiswal, S., Abu-Ghannam, N., Jaiswal, A.K., A comparative analysis of pretreatment strategies on the properties and hydrolysis of Brewers’ spent grain, Bioresource Technology (2017), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.039

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.
A comparative analysis of pretreatment strategies on the properties and hydrolysis of Brewers’ spent grain

Rajeev Ravindran¹, Swarna Jaiswal², Nissreen Abu-Ghannam¹, Amit K. Jaiswal¹*

¹School of Food Science and Environmental Health, College of Sciences and Health, Dublin Institute of Technology, Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Republic of Ireland.

²Centre for Research in Engineering and Surface Technology, FOCAS Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Republic of Ireland.

*Corresponding author:

Email: amit.jaiswal@dit.ie; akjaiswal@outlook.com

Tel: +353 1402 4547
Abstract

In this study, brewer’s spent grain (BSG) was subjected to a range of pretreatments to study the effect on reducing sugar yield. Glucose and xylose were found to be the predominant sugars in BSG. Brewers spent grain was high in cellulose (19.21g/100g of BSG) and lignin content (30.84g/100g of BSG). Microwave assisted alkali (MAA) pretreatment was found to be the most effective pretreatment for BSG, where the pretreatment was conducted at 400W for 60s. A maximum reducing yield was observed with high biomass loading (1g/10ml), cellulase (158.76 µl/10ml), hemicellulase (153.3 µl/10ml), pH (5.4) and an incubation time (120h). Upon enzymatic hydrolysis, MAA pretreated BSG yielded 228.25 mg of reducing sugar/g of BSG which was 2.86-fold higher compared to native BSG (79.67 mg/g of BSG); simultaneously BSG was de-lignified significantly. The changes in functional groups, crystallinity and thermal behaviour was studied by means of FTIR, XRD and DSC, respectively.
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1 Introduction

Brewer’s spent grain is a by-product of the brewing industry. It is obtained from barley and is essentially the outer pericarp seed coat layer of the malted barley grain that remains after the mashing process (Mussatto et al., 2006). It is structurally heterogeneous in nature and consists of husk, pericarp and fractions of endosperm (Forssell et al., 2008). Almost 30% of the starting malted grain end up as BSG by the end of the brewing process. Every hectolitre of beer generates 15-20 kg of BSG which corresponds to 34 million tonnes of wet BSG generated annually worldwide (Xiros & Christakopulos, 2012). Although rich in polysaccharides, proteins and lignin, which can be used for industrial exploitation, BSG is generally used as animal feed. ‘The New Waste Framework Directive’ was introduced by the EU in 2008/09 as a new approach to curb food waste such as BSG and as well as new processes for reuse and recycling (Ravindran & Jaiswal, 2016a). BSG is mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin along with considerable amounts of proteins and lipids. The chemical composition of BSG along with its high fibre content enables it to act as a potential feedstock for several commercial processes with applications in biotechnology, thermochemical and biochemical engineering such as renewable energy, substrate cultivation, enzyme production, bread making, ethanol, butanol and xylitol, activated carbon, charcoal, lignin and oligo saccharides (Ferraz et al., 2013; Ravindran & Jaiswal, 2016c).

As mentioned above, BSG is lignocellulosic in nature and is mainly composed of polysaccharides, lignin, lipids and a small fraction of proteins. The polysaccharides in BSG are represented by cellulose (formed by repeating units of β-D-glucopyranose) and hemicellulose (heteropolymer formed by non-cellulose sugars such as mannose, xylose, galactose and
arabinose) and comprise almost 45% of total dry weight. Lignin, a highly branched phenyl propane polymer, forms the rest of the BSG composition (Mussatto et al., 2006). The effective utilisation of BSG for valorisation requires techniques to disrupt the naturally ordered structure and remove lignin. Pretreatments are essential strategies that can achieve this aim and facilitate the exposure of the polysaccharides for efficient utilisation (Ravindran & Jaiswal, 2016b).

Pretreatment methods are essential in increasing the efficiency of processes that involve the valorisation of lignocellulosic materials. They can broadly be classified into physical, chemical, biological and combinatorial with respect to the mechanism behind the process (Ravindran et al., 2016b). An efficient pretreatment strategy essentially should be simple, cost effective, devoid of corrosive materials and should not give rise to indigestible or inhibitory compounds. Furthermore, the fraction of interest (polysaccharide or lignin) should be safeguarded and should not result in its considerable loss (Ravindran et al., 2016b). Lastly, the whole process must be economically feasible.

The effect of several pretreatments have been presented in different studies as a part of valorisation of brewers spent grain. Macheiner et al. (2003) used microwave radiation as a pretreatment measure for BSG for increasing the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis. Accordingly, 25% release of saccharides was achieved after 4h of incubation at 50°C. An interesting study was published by Wolters et al. (2016) where BSG was used to produce erinacine C, a secondary metabolite produced by a medicinal fungus Hericium erinaceus. Acid hydrolysis using 0.2M H₂SO₄ was employed as the pretreatment measure in this study. A novel pretreatment for BSG was devised by Zhang and Zang (2016) where they used calcined red mud to pre-treat BSG for subsequent hydrogen production. Liguori et al. (2015) utilised acid-alkali
pretreated BSG for ethanol production with 55% efficiency. However, a comprehensive analysis of the effects of different physical and chemical pretreatments on the composition of BSG and efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis are yet to be performed.

Although several studies have been conducted on brewers spent grain and pretreatments, the authors could not come across any study that gave a comprehensive insight on the effect of numerous pretreatment on brewers spent grain or any lignocellulosic waste that was obtained from a single source to avoid any discrepancies or variations in observations. The authors were trying to conduct a study on the effect of pretreatment on brewers spent grain, very common agroindustry lignocellulosic waste. This study presents various attributes to the changes undergone by BSG when it was subjected to six different pretreatments. The aim of this study was to increase the cellulose content thereby facilitating better recovery of reducing sugars upon enzymatic hydrolysis. In lieu of this objective the best pretreatment strategy for maximum reducing sugar yield was determined. The pretreatments chosen in this study were well established strategies that are currently employed on a pilot scale for better utilisation of lignocellulose (Kapoor et al., 2017, Chen et al., 2013, Campbell et al., 2013). The changes in its chemical composition, structural conformation, crystallinity and thermal behaviour was analysed and compared upon subjecting BSG to different pretreatment strategies. The pretreated BSG as well as native BSG samples were then subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis using optimised parameters. The pretreatment liquor was analysed for the incidence of monosaccharides and inhibitory compounds.
2 Materials and methods

Brewer’s spent grain was generously donated by a local brewery in Waterford, Ireland. The BSG was dried at 60°C for 48h and thereafter ground and sieved using a 350 µm sieve. It was then stored at room temperature in a dry place for further experiments. All the chemicals such as cellulase from *Trichoderma reesei*, hemicellulase from *Aspergillus niger*, conc. H$_2$SO$_4$ (99.9%), ethanol (100%), Iron (III) chloride, anhydrous (97%), and other chemicals required for experimentation were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Ireland. Cellulase enzyme was purchased in liquid form. The cellulase activity was assayed by following laboratory analytical procedures for the measurement of cellulase activity devised by National Renewable Energy Laboratory (Adney & Baker, 1996). Meanwhile, hemicellulase was in powder form and therefore was dissolved in sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.8, 500mM) to make up a concentration of 10 g/l. The hemicellulase activity was assayed followed protocols described by Rickard and Laughlin (1980). Cellulase enzyme registered an enzyme activity of 77 FPU/ml while hemicellulase showed 72 U/ml enzyme activity.

2.1 Screening of various pre-treatments for spent coffee waste

2.1.1 Dilute acid hydrolysis

BSG (1% w/v) was mixed with 10 ml of sulphuric acid (1%, 2% and 3% v/v) in a 100 ml flask. The pretreatment was conducted in an autoclave. The reaction mixture was subjected to 121°C for 10, 20 and 30 min in an autoclave (Zheng et al., 2013). The solids were separated from liquids by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 12 min after pretreatment and the supernatant was analysed for the release of any individual sugars and by-products such as acetic acid, furfural and
hydroxymethyl furfural. The solids were dried and stored in cool and dry place until further analysis.

2.1.2 Steam explosion

Steam explosion of BSG was performed as described by Huang et al. (2015) with certain modifications. Five grams of BSG was moistened with de-ionized water to attain 50% moisture content (w/v). The samples that were in conical flasks were then loaded in to a stainless-steel autoclave. The temperature was raised and maintained at 121°C for 30 minutes after which the pressure was released by opening the pressure valve subjecting the biomass to an ‘explosion’. The steam exploded BSG was collected, dried and stored in sealed plastic bags for further analysis.

2.1.3 Ammonia Fibre Explosion

Ammonia Fibre Explosion was performed by soaking 2.5 g of BSG with 25 ml of NH₄OH. The mixture was then subjected it to high pressure and temperature in an autoclave. The experiment was carried out at 120°C, water loading of 2.0 g water/g dry biomass, for a residence time of 30 min. The treated biomass was removed from the autoclave and air-dried overnight (≈ 12 h) in a fume hood to remove residual ammonia. The treated samples were stored in a cool and dry place (Shao et al., 2013).

2.1.4 Pre-treatment using Ferric Chloride

Ferric chloride pretreatment was implemented on BSG according to the procedure described by Chen et al. (2015). BSG (10% w/v) was mixed in 50 ml of 0.1M FeCl₃ in an Erlenmeyer flask and subjected to high pressure (15 psi) and temperature (120°C) for 30 minutes in an autoclave.
The solids were separated from the liquid after allowing the mixture to cool. The solids were then washed five times with deionized water to remove any residual FeCl$_3$. The Fe (III) in the liquor was precipitated by gradually neutralising the solution using 0.1M NaOH. This resulted in the precipitation of residual FeCl$_3$. The salt free liquor was then subjected to HPLC analysis to identify and quantify any individual sugars present as well as hydroxyl-5-methyl furfural and furfural content. The solids were dried and stored for enzymatic hydrolysis and further analysis.

### 2.1.5 Organosolv Pre-treatment

Organosolv pretreatment was performed as described by Ostovareh et al. (2015) with minor modifications. 1% dry BSG was mixed in 25 ml of ethanol-water mixture (60% ethanol (v/v)) in an Erlenmeyer flask. In all experiments, 1% of sulphuric acid (w/w) per gram substrate was added as a catalyst. The pretreatment reaction was conducted at 100 °C and the temperature was maintained for 30 min. The contents in the flasks were quickly cooled to room temperature by placing them in an ice chamber. The contents were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 12 minutes to separate the solids from the liquids. The solids were washed with 250 ml of 50% ethanol mixture to extract the soluble products into the liquid phase. The pre-treated substrate was then washed several times with distilled water until pH 7 was obtained. The pretreated BSG was dried at 60°C overnight and stored in a cool and dry place until further analysis. Ethanol was evaporated and retrieved from the liquid fraction and recovered by condensation leaving behind a precipitate which mainly contained lignin.

### 2.1.6 Microwave assisted alkali (MAA) pre-treatment

MAA was carried out following the procedure described by Binod et al. (2012) with minor modifications. A domestic microwave (Sharp/R-269 KM, Sharp Electronics Ltd, Manchester,
UK.) with a maximum output power of 800W was employed for this purpose. 1% (w/v) biomass was loaded to 0.5% NaOH (w/v) solution in a stoppered flask and subjected to microwave radiation at varying power settings of 400W, 560W and 800W for different residence time varying from 30s, 60s and 120s. After pre-treatment, the biomass was thoroughly washed with distilled water till pH 6.0 and dried in air. The dried solid residue was used for enzymatic hydrolysis and compositional analysis.

### 2.2 Compositional analysis

Compositional analysis of the pretreated and native BSG samples were performed by two stage acid hydrolysis according to National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) protocol (Sluiter et al., 2005). The BSG samples were subjected to acid hydrolysis using 72% H$_2$SO$_4$ at 30°C for 60 min. The mixture was then diluted to 4% H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration by adding deionised water and autoclaved for 60 min. The solids were filtered using a filtration crucible and dried at 105°C for 48h to remove all the moisture content or until constant weight was achieved. The dried solids were then burned in blast furnace for 24h at 595°C to obtain acid insoluble lignin. The acid soluble lignin content in the liquid was determined using spectrophotometry at 205 nm.

The reducing sugar concentration in the hydrolysate was estimated by dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method (Miller, 1959). The presence and quantification of monosaccharides was done in an Alliance HPLC (Waters, e2695 Separation module) using a Rezex ROA-Organic acid H+ (8%) column, (350 x 7.8 mm; Phenomenex, UK) with 5 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ as the mobile phase at 65°C maintaining a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min (Jaiswal et al., 2012). The HPLC system was equipped with an autosampler, degasser and isocratic pump. A refractive index detector was used for the detection of the afore mentioned compounds. A guard column of the same kind was used along
with the regular column. This was kept outside the compartment to avoid overheating beyond the manufacturers recommended limit.

2.3 Enzymatic hydrolysis

The enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG was performed by employing commercially available cellulase and hemicellulase purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Ireland. The hydrolysis parameters were determined employing response surface methodology using STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV software (Table 1). A central composite design was created which included five parameters and five levels with five replicating centre points. The parameters considered for this study included biomass loading, cellulase, hemicellulase, pH and time. Designated amounts of BSG (subjected to alkali assisted microwave pretreatment), cellulase (77.08 FPU/ml), and hemicellulase (72.23 U/ml) was mixed in sodium citrate buffer (0.05M) and distilled water to maintain a reaction volume of 10 ml as presented in Table 1. The temperature for all the experiments were set at 50°C. The reactions were carried out for different time periods (24, 48, 72, 96 and 120) (Table 1). On completion of each experiment the hydrolysate was collected after filtration and the reducing sugar content was measured using DNS method.

2.4 Individual sugar, inhibitor and organic acid analysis

The liquor obtained after each pretreatment was analysed for the presence of monosaccharides organic acids, acetyl or any inhibitory compounds such as furfural and hydroxymethyl furfural. This was done by following the methodology described in section 2.2.
2.5 Characterization of native and pre-treated substrate

2.5.1 Scanning electron microscopy

The morphological structure and the effect of pretreatment on BSG were analysed by performing FE-SEM. Dried samples of the untreated and pretreated BSG were subject to FE-SEM. FE-SEM analysis was performed using a Hitachi SU-70 Field emission microscope operating at electron beam energy of 0.5 keV (Raghavi et al., 2016).

2.5.2 X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction studies were conducted to analyse the changes in crystallinity of brewer’s spent grain imparted by each pretreatment. This was done using a Siemens D-500 X-ray diffractometer with diffraction angles spanned from $2\theta=5^\circ$-50$^\circ$. The radiation was generated at a voltage of 40 kV and current of 30 mA using Cu Kα as the radiation source ($\lambda=0.154$ nm) (Binod et al., 2012).

2.5.3 FTIR analysis

FTIR spectroscopy studies were performed to observe any changes in the composition as a reflection of the variations in the functional groups in pretreated BSG at the backdrop of its raw counterpart. A Perkin Elmer Spectrum GX FT-IR (UATR) Microscope (USA) was employed for this study. The FTIR spectra was recorded from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ with 32 scans at a resolution of 0.3 cm$^{-1}$ in transmission mode (Raghavi et al., 2016)

2.5.4 Thermal behaviour

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to study the changes in the thermal behaviour of brewer spent grain before and after pretreatment. Each BSG sample (55 mg) was taken in an
aluminium pan with an empty pan used as a reference. All the measurements were carried out between 25°C and 500°C with a linear increase of 10°C/minute as described by Ballesteros et al. (2014) and Ferraz et al. (2013). Shimadzu DSC-60 installed with TA-60WS software was the equipment used for this purpose.

2.6 Statistical analysis

All the analytical experiments were carried out in triplicate and results are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation (SD). Central Composite Design (CCD) (conducted in random order) of the experiment was applied for the estimation of the regression parameters to fit a second-degree polynomial regression model for a given response. A polynomial, as given by equation (1), quantifies relationships among the estimated response y and a number of independent variables Xi (biomass loading, cellulase loading, hemicellulase loading, pH and incubation time), \( \beta \) are regressors associated with the model:

\[
y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \sum_{j=1}^{5} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \beta_{ii} X_i^2
\]

The regressors \( \beta_0, \beta_i, \beta_{ii} \) and \( \beta_{ij} \) are the model constant, linear coefficient, quadratic and cross-product coefficients, provide a quantitative measure of the significance of linear effects, quadratic of factors and interactions between factors. The regression analysis and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were carried. Values of \( P < 0.05 \) were considered as statistically significant. The significant differences between each pretreatment with respect to the components of BSG was analysed by performing analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparisons (Fischer’s
least significant difference test). All statistical analyses were carried out using out using the STATGRAPHICS Centurion XV.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Optimisation of enzymatic hydrolysis parameters using RSM

The parameters for enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG was optimised by using response surface methodology. This ensured that maximum reducing sugar was released using the RSM optimised hydrolysis conditions. The total reducing sugars obtained after the 30 experiments were conducted have been listed in table 2. The model was compared based on coefficient of determination (R²) and adjusted coefficient of determination (R²-adj). R² is regression of sum of squares proportional to the sum of squares. The value of R² ranges from 0 to 1 and a value closer to one indicates that the model is accurate. An R² value of 98.92 and adj-R² value of 96.5 illustrated that the model adequately fitted the data. The data obtained from the experiment were fitted into a second order polynomial equation. The polynomial equation depicted the relationship between various parameters used in the model and is mentioned below:

Reducing sugar (mg/ml) = 0.711 + 3.05458X₁ - 0.002755X₂ + 0.00879306X₃ + 0.211115X₄ + 1.38194X₅ + 0.2375X₁² - 0.0833X₁X₂ + 0.0239X₁X₃ - 0.0052X₁X₄ + 0.0312X₁X₅ + 0.0158X₂² + 0.0166X₂X₃ - 0.0371X₂X₄ + 0.0011X₂X₅ - 0.0352X₃² + 0.0289X₃X₄ + 0.0104X₃X₅ - 0.0417X₄² - 0.0126X₄X₅ - 0.0881X₅²
\(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\) and \(X_5\) represent biomass loading, cellulase, hemicellulase, pH and incubation time respectively. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the significance of the coefficients of the models. The ANOVA table indicated that 7 effects had P value less than 0.05 rendering them significantly different from the confidence interval spanning from zero to 95.0%. This also illustrated that these factors had considerable influence on the reducing sugar yield. All the linear coefficients were found to have a positive effect on the reducing sugar yield. However, positive significant interaction effects on reducing sugar release were exhibited only by biomass loading and time.

Three-dimensional response plots were generated to understand the interactions between different variables as well as to determine the optimal level of each variable for maximum response (Fig. 1). This gave further insights on the interactions between the five factors tested. The contour plots were indicative of significant interaction between each parameter considered in this study. The highest point on the three-dimensional plots represents the optimum conditions for maximum reducing sugar release. Accordingly, a maximum reducing yield was observed with high biomass loading (1g/10 ml), cellulase (158.76 µl/10ml), hemicellulase (153.3 µl/10ml), pH (5.4) and an incubation time (120h). The model predicted the maximum sugar yield to be 19.42 mg/ml when using the optimised parameters for enzymatic hydrolysis. A reducing sugar concentration of 18.61 ± 0.5 mg/ml confirmed that the model was valid for the enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG due to little disparity (< 5%) between predicted and observed values.

3.2 Influence of pre-treatments on composition of BSG and reducing sugar yield

Pretreatments breakdown lignocellulose creating disorder in an otherwise orderly structure with or without the removal of inherent components. The effect of each pretreatment varies according
to its mode of action (for example: physical, chemical, physico-chemical and biological) (Ravindran & Jaiswal, 2016b). The final chemical composition of the biomass post pretreatment is an important factor that governs the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis. For a lignocellulosic substrate to be effectively used in a bioconversion process it is necessary to relatively expose cellulose fibres along with possible removal of hemicellulose and lignin fractions. BSG was comprised of 19.21g of cellulose (glucose), 26.94g of hemicellulose and 30.48g of lignin per 100g of dry biomass (Table 3). The values obtained were more or less similar to composition of BSG described elsewhere (Robertson et al., 2010). Any variation can be attributed to the source of collection of BSG. The composition of BSG can vary with respect to the barley variety, harvest time and mashing conditions (Forssell et al., 2008). After each pretreatment the biomass was subjected to chemical composition analysis. Furthermore, all the pretreated samples were subjected to hydrolysis employing polysaccharide hydrolysing enzymes and optimised parameters.

In general, all the pretreatments tested were effective in increasing the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis. This was evident from the increase in reducing sugar release of pretreated BSG samples (Fig. 2). Dilute acid hydrolysis was conducted for BSG following different experimental settings. The pretreated BSG was weighed to examine if there was any weight loss after pretreatment. There was almost 50% loss in biomass post pretreatment. The effect of acid concentration and reaction time on the effectiveness of acid hydrolysis for BSG was studied by employing three acid concentrations (1%, 2% and 3%) and three different time settings (10, 20, and 30 min). The solids obtained after pretreatment were subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis. Longer treatment times resulted in reduction in reducing sugar yield. Best results were achieved when BSG was treated with 3% H$_2$SO$_4$ for 20 min (208.78 mg/g of BSG). Compositional
analysis of the biomass revealed that subjecting BSG to dilute acid hydrolysis resulted in the increase in cellulose content (35.43g/100g of BSG) as well as removal of considerable fractions of hemicellulose. This was evident in the reduction in the xylan, galactan, mannan and arabinan content. Also, there was reduction in the acid soluble lignin fraction (4.42g/100g of BSG).

MAA pretreatment was found to be the most effective pretreatment for BSG. Three different power settings (400W, 560W and 800W) and three time durations (30s, 60s, 120s) were employed to study the individual effects on each parameter on reducing sugar yield. Microwaving BSG at 400WW for 60s was found to be the most appropriate setting for this pretreatment strategy. After the pretreatment, the cellulose content in the BSG increased (43.67g/100g dry wt.) while the hemicellulose and lignin fractions diminished (Table 3) which was by far the best pretreatment strategy for BSG among all the treatments studied. Furthermore, subjecting BSG to microwave treatment, presence of alkali resulted in highest reducing sugar yield (228.78 mg/g of BSG). The difference in composition brought about this pretreatment was found to be significantly different from other pretreatments when it came to cellulose and lignin. However, no significant difference was brought about by microwave assisted alkali treatment in terms of xylan content (similar to AFEX pretreatment) and arabinan content (similar to ferric chloride pretreatment).

Steam explosion of BSG did not result in an increase of the cellulose content or substantial lignin removal from the biomass. This was evident from Table 3 were it was observed that BSG obtained after subjecting it to this strategy was not significantly different from native BSG in terms of cellulose content and acid soluble lignin content. However, there was an increase in reducing sugar content post enzymatic hydrolysis compared to native BSG. The mode of action
of this pretreatment resulted in structural disruption of lignocellulose by sudden decompression thereby revealing internal structures for enzymatic digestion (Jönsson & Martín, 2016). Steam explosion was conducted in an autoclave which limited the temperature and pressure used in this study to 121°C and 15 psi respectively. A reducing sugar concentration of 194 mg/g of BSG was obtained when steam-exploled BSG was subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis. AFEX pretreatment resulted in the melting of lignin and re-deposition of the same on the biomass. After treatment, BSG appeared to be dark in colour due to this phenomenon. The residual lignin prevents the evaporation of water and residual ammonia. Hence the pretreated BSG was left in the fume hood overnight for maximum ammonia removal. Similar observations were reported by Lee et al. (2010) when they subjected coastal Bermuda grass to AFEX pretreatment. The lignin content removal attained by this pretreatment was not significant enough when compared to native BSG. Moreover, this pretreatment was similar to organosolv pretreatment with respect to galactan content and arabinan content. AFEX pretreatment resulted in a reducing sugar release of 211.2 mg/g of BSG post enzymatic hydrolysis.

Organosolv pretreatment employs primary alcohols along with high temperatures are a pretreatment measure for delignification of plant biomass. Methanol and ethanol are employed for this purpose due to their low cost and availability. The advantage of this pretreatment is that solvent and the lignin dissolved by it can be recovered using simple distillation methods. (Zhao et al., 2009). The acid catalyst used in organosolv process is corrosive in nature and can give rise to inhibitory compounds when reacting with carbohydrate fractions in lignocellulose. However, in this case no inhibitory compounds were formed. Furthermore, there was considerable delignification (16.89g/100g of BSG) and increment in cellulose content (26.42g/100g of BSG).
Reducing sugar yields amounted to 204.3 mg/g of substrate when BSG pretreated using organosolv strategy was subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis.

The effect of ferric chloride pretreatment was generally insignificant with respect to delignification. This pretreatment results in the degradation of the polysaccharide fraction into the pretreatment liquor (Chen et al., 2015). There was obvious loss in weight of biomass after pretreatment. The cellulose content in the pretreated biomass amounted to 17.99g/100g of BSG while the hemicellulose content was found to be 9.55g/100g of BSG. The total lignin content (26.3 mg/100g of BSG) remained the same more or less compared to raw BSG. 199.4 mg/g of reducing sugar was obtained after enzymatic hydrolysis of BSG pretreated with ferric chloride.

3.3 Individual sugar, furfural, hydroxymethyl furfural and organic acid analysis

The hydrolysate obtained after the enzymatic hydrolysis experiment was analysed to identify reducing sugars released from BSG. The liqueur obtained after each pretreatment were subjected to HPLC analysis to identify the presence of individual sugars as well as inhibitory compounds such as furfural and hydroxymethyl furfural. All the pretreatments tested resulted in the release of small amounts of different component sugars into the pretreatment liquor. These sugars were identified to be arabinose, xylose, mannose, galactose and glucose. Glucose and xylose were found to be the most abundant monosaccharides in BSG making this type of food waste a good feedstock for bioethanol production (Robertson et al., 2010). A notable observation was the formation of furfural when BSG was subjected to dilute acid pretreatment (1.7g/100g of BSG). Furfural is formed as degradation product of xylose component in lignocellulose. A study conducted by Djioleu and Carrier (2016) revealed that the furfural formation from xylose is directly linked to the temperature applied during dilute acid hydrolysis.
3.4 SEM, XRD and FTIR profiles of untreated and pre-treated brewers spent grain

SEM analysis was performed to observe the physical modifications imparted by MAA pretreatment in the backdrop of native BSG as this pretreatment was found to be the most effective following composition analysis. The untreated brewers’ spent grain has a crumbled, nonporous surface with different layer like formations. The MAA pretreated BSG exhibited a sieve-like structure with uneven and non-uniform holes formed on the surface. This might be due to the removal of external fibres. Similar observations were reported by Binod et al. (2012) in a study involving MAA pretreatment of sugar cane bagasse. Individual fibers were observed on the surface of BSG indicating a breakdown in integral structure resulting in an increase in surface area. This facilitates enhanced hydrolysis or cellulose in BSG due to increased accessibility. Similar findings were reported by Santos et al. (2015) in study where BSG was subjected to alkali treatment.

Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is used to characterise the chemical structure of lignocellulosic biomass by identifying the functional groups present in the sample. The peak at 1739 cm\(^{-1}\) represents ester bonds or carboxylic linkages in lignin and hemicellulose. This peak was evident in untreated BSG but diminished in pretreated BSG samples according to the effectiveness of the pretreatment. The peak 1526 cm\(^{-1}\) represents C=C bonds in the aromatic ring of lignin. On the other hand, the peak observed at 1247 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to aryl-alkyl ether bonds (C-O-C). While these bands were present (albeit less prominent) in steam exploded, organosolv, ferric chloride and dilute acid pretreated BSG no trace of it was observed in AFEX and MAA pretreated samples. The peaks 895 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1053 cm\(^{-1}\) are directly related to the C-O stretching and C-H vibrations that are a characteristic of cellulose content in BSG. All the BSG
samples (pretreated and native alike) exhibited these peaks in their respective spectra (Santos et al., 2015).

The inherent components in lignocellulosic biomass can be crystalline or amorphous in nature. Crystallinity in any plant biomass is attributed by cellulose. Hemicellulose and lignin are amorphous in nature. The crystallinity of all the BSG samples was assessed by X-ray diffraction. Increase in crystallinity is an indication of increase of cellulose content and in turn effectiveness of the pretreatment (Binod et al., 2012). The increase in peaks at the 15° and 22° is caused by the disorderliness of the structure due to the effects of pre-treatments (Pereira et al., 2011). XRD spectra of all the pretreated BSG revealed an absence in distinct amorphous regions. This can be attributed to the attrition of hemicellulose and lignin fractions in pretreated BSG samples. MAA pretreated BSG exhibited highest crystallinity compared to all the other pretreated BSG. Comparatively, BSG samples that underwent ferric chloride pretreatment exhibited lowest crystallinity which may be explained by the low cellulose content observed after pretreatment.

3.5 Thermal behaviour study using differential scanning colorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) is a technique used to study the behaviour of materials as a function of temperature or time. Melting points, crystallisations and chemical reactions are some of the properties that can be studied using DSC. This technique measures the heat flow in a sample when it is heated, cooled or held at a constant temperature. A sample may undergo various phase changes during heating or cooling. The temperature of the sample was raised from 20°C to 500°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min at constant nitrogen atmosphere. An empty aluminium pan was used as reference. Pretreated samples such as steam explosion, dilute acid hydrolysis, ferric chloride pretreatment and organosolv, along with native BSG exhibited a
similar trend in their thermogram suggesting that they were similar in their composition. An exothermic event can be observed for all the samples with extensive mass loss between a temperature range of 20°C to 320°C. This temperature range marked several processes which gave rise to compounds such as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and other pyrolysis products. Some of the processes that occur within this temperature range is the degradation of lignin by the fragmentation of linkages between the phenyl propane units, protein degradation and decomposition of the polysaccharide fraction (Alriols et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2001). This was followed by an endothermic event that spanned between the temperature range of 300°C to 430°C and beyond. Microwave pretreated BSG sample exhibited a thermal behaviour which included a crystallisation peak between the temperature range of 360°C to 480°C. Interestingly, the thermogram of AFEX pretreated BSG was unique due to the sharp fall in heat flow which represented a transient ending at a very early stage of the experiment (355°C).

Serious issues such as the energy crisis coupled by environmental issues such as dwindling resources as well as global warming has called for wide-scale innovation in the utilisation of renewable resources such as lignocellulosic agro-waste. This type of waste holds great importance in this regard due to their abundance and renewable nature and being comparatively inexpensive. Lignocellulosic waste has untapped potential to replace fossil fuels as well as acting as raw materials to produce bio-based chemicals. For all this to be achieved extensive research and innovation must be carried out in the field of pretreatments to make industrial processes based on lignocellulose economically viable.
4 Conclusion

MAA pretreatment was highly successful as a pretreatment for BSG yielding 228.78 mg of reducing sugar /g of BSG after enzymatic hydrolysis. AFEX was found to be the second best pretreatment for BSG in terms of reducing sugar yield (211.2 mg/g of BSG). Dilute acid pretreatment although resulting in high sugar yield (208.8 mg/g of BSG) gave rise to furfural (1.7g/100g of BSG). Crystallinity studies revealed that crystallinity of pretreated BSG samples (except ferric chloride pretreatment) were more than the native BSG samples suggesting increase in cellulose content. All these results suggested that BSG is a potential feedstock to produce value added products.
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Figure Captions

Fig 1. Response surface plots representing the effect of independent variables on reducing sugar yield: (1a) the effect of cellulase and time on reducing sugar yield when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, hemicellulase = 180 µl/10 ml and pH = 6.0; (1b) representing the effect of time and biomass loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml, hemicellulase = 180 µl/10 ml, pH = 6.0; (1c) representing the effect of cellulase and hemicellulase loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, time = 72 h, pH = 6.0; (1d) representing the effect of hemicellulase and biomass loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml, time = 72 h, pH = 6.0; (1e) representing the effect of biomass and cellulase loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at hemicellulase loading = 180 µl/10 ml, time = 72 h, and pH = 6.0; (1f) representing the effect of incubation time and pH on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, hemicellulase loading = 180 µl/10 ml and cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml.

Fig 2. Total reducing sugar released (mg/g of BSG) after enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated and native BSG
Fig 1. Response surface plots representing the effect of independent variables on reducing sugar yield (1a) the effect of cellulase and time on reducing sugar yield when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, hemicellulase = 180 µl/10 ml and pH = 6.0; (1b) representing the effect of time and biomass loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml, hemicellulase = 180 µl/10 ml, pH = 6.0; (1c) representing the effect of cellulase and hemicellulase loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, time = 72h, pH = 6.0; (1d) representing the effect of hemicellulase and biomass loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml, time = 72 h, pH = 6.0; (1e) representing the effect of biomass and cellulase loading on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at hemicellulase loading = 180 µl/10 ml, time = 72 h, and pH = 6.0 (1f) representing the effect of incubation time and pH on reducing sugar yield, when the response surface is fixed at biomass loading = 0.6 g/10 ml, hemicellulase loading = 180 µl/10 ml and cellulase loading = 450 µl/10 ml.
Fig 2. Total reducing sugar released (mg/g of BSG) after enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated and native BSG
Table 1. Process variables and level in CCD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Coded symbols</th>
<th>Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass loading (g/10ml)</td>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulase loading (µl/10ml)</td>
<td>$X_2$</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulase loading (µl/10ml)</td>
<td>$X_3$</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>$X_4$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation time (h)</td>
<td>$X_5$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. CCD experimental designs for five independent variables, experimental and predicted values for total reducing sugar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Biomass loading (g/10ml) $X_1$</th>
<th>Cellulase loading (µl/10ml) $X_2$</th>
<th>Hemicellulase loading (µl/10ml) $X_3$</th>
<th>pH $X_4$</th>
<th>Incubation Time (h) $X_5$</th>
<th>Experimental total reducing sugar (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Predicted total reducing sugar (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16.74</td>
<td>16.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>13.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16.08</td>
<td>15.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>13.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>14.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>12.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>13.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>15.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>11.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>10.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14.48</td>
<td>14.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>15.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>13.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>14.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>15.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>13.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>15.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>14.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>12.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Compositional analysis of untreated and pretreated samples of BSG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Cellulose (glucose)</th>
<th>Galactan</th>
<th>Arabinan</th>
<th>Xylan</th>
<th>Mannan</th>
<th>AIL</th>
<th>ASL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFEX</td>
<td>21.32±0.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.40±0.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.91±0.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.23±0.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.30±0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.10±0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.26±0.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam Explosion</td>
<td>19.31±0.45&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.83±0.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.57±0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.41±0.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43±0.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.87±0.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.01±0.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dil. Acid hydrolysis</td>
<td>35.43±1.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35±0.9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.54±0.75&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.52±0.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21±0.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.76±0.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.42±0.9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric Chloride</td>
<td>17.99±0.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45±0.4&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.28±0.8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.61±0.9&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21±0.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.61±0.5&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.69±0.21&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organosolv</td>
<td>26.42±0.4&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.39±0.1&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.96±0.15&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.45±0.4&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.25±0.7&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.68±1.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.89±0.43&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave-alkali</td>
<td>43.67±1.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.70±0.6&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.35±0.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.53±0.2&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.46±0.54&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.09±0.65&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.77±0.9&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native BSG</td>
<td>19.21±1.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.06±0.4&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.99±0.9&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.21±0.7&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.68±0.1&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.36±1.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.12±0.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cellulose, Galactan, Arabinan, Xylan, Mannan, acid soluble and acid insoluble lignin are presented as g/100g of dry BSG. Means not sharing the same letter are significantly different (LSD) at P < 0.05 probability level for respective lignocellulosic components.
Highlights

- Brewer’s spent grain was subjected to six different pretreatment and compared.
- Extensive delignification was achieved with all the pretreatments but in different extent.
- Enzymatic hydrolysis was optimised using RSM to obtain maximum reducing sugar yield.
- Microwave assisted alkali pretreatment leads to high reducing sugar yield and delignification.
- Microwave assisted alkali pretreated BSG yielded 228.25 mg of reducing sugar/g of BSG.