


2016-04-22

## Antibacterial Derivatives of Marine Algae: An Overview of Pharmacological Mechanisms and Applications

Emer Shannon  
emer.shannon@tudublin.ie

Nissreen Abu-Ghannam  
Technological University Dublin, nissreen.abughannam@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>

 Part of the [Algae Commons](#), [Bacteria Commons](#), [Natural Products Chemistry and Pharmacognosy Commons](#), and the [Pathogenic Microbiology Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Shannon, E.; Abu-Ghannam, N. (2016) Antibacterial Derivatives of Marine Algae: An Overview of Pharmacological Mechanisms and Applications. *Marine Drugs* 2016, 14, 81. doi:10.3390/md14040081

This Review is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie](mailto:yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie), [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [brian.widdis@tudublin.ie](mailto:brian.widdis@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)

Review

# Antibacterial Derivatives of Marine Algae: An Overview of Pharmacological Mechanisms and Applications

Emer Shannon and Nissreen Abu-Ghannam \*

School of Food Science and Environmental Health, College of Sciences and Health, Dublin Institute of Technology, Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin D01 HV58, Ireland; Emer.shannon@dit.ie

\* Correspondence: nissreen.abughannam@dit.ie; Tel.: +3-531-402-7570

Academic Editors: Tracy John Mincer and David C. Rowley

Received: 24 February 2016; Accepted: 15 April 2016; Published: 22 April 2016

**Abstract:** The marine environment is home to a taxonomically diverse ecosystem. Organisms such as algae, molluscs, sponges, corals, and tunicates have evolved to survive the high concentrations of infectious and surface-fouling bacteria that are indigenous to ocean waters. Both macroalgae (seaweeds) and microalgae (diatoms) contain pharmacologically active compounds such as phlorotannins, fatty acids, polysaccharides, peptides, and terpenes which combat bacterial invasion. The resistance of pathogenic bacteria to existing antibiotics has become a global epidemic. Marine algae derivatives have shown promise as candidates in novel, antibacterial drug discovery. The efficacy of these compounds, their mechanism of action, applications as antibiotics, disinfectants, and inhibitors of foodborne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria are reviewed in this article.

**Keywords:** marine antibacterial; seaweeds; micro-algae; nutraceuticals; antibiotic-resistance; food preservation; disinfectants; allelopathy

## 1. Introduction

The search for bioactive compounds from marine organisms in recent decades has produced an abundance of extracts with pharmaceutical and industrial applications. In 2013 alone, over one thousand pharmacologically active compounds of marine origin were characterised worldwide, with potential efficacy against cancer, viruses, bacteria, fungi, hypertension, high cholesterol and other diseases. Marine organisms commonly targeted for screening include macro and microalgae, cnidarians, phytoplankton, molluscs, sponges, corals, tunicates, and bryozoans. Much of this research has concerned the identification of antimicrobial agents; particularly compounds active against pathogenic bacteria [1,2]. The global epidemic of bacterial resistance to existing antibiotics such as  $\beta$ -lactams and quinolones has prompted the search for naturally occurring candidate drugs, anti-fouling agents, and food preservation agents from terrestrial and marine sources. The evolution of antibacterial biomolecules alongside bacteria over millions of years may provide them with the potential to overcome strains such as methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and fluoroquinolone-resistant *Pseudomonas* [3]. Antibacterials from the marine environment in particular have been studied. Micro and macroalgae, such as diatoms and seaweeds, have developed indigenous systems to combat pathogenic bacteria and other microbes, ubiquitous to the ocean environment. This review focuses on antibacterial compounds derived from marine algae, their mechanism of pharmacological action, and outlines their current and potential applications as antibiotics, disinfectants, and inhibitors of foodborne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria.

## 2. Antibacterial Compounds in Marine Algae and Their Functional Groups

Algae have traditionally been used as food, or for hydrocolloids such as alginate and carrageenan. However, modern screening methods have identified antibacterial compounds in the secondary metabolites of algal classes such as the Phaeophyceae (brown), Rhodophyceae (red), Chlorophyceae (green), Chrysophyceae (golden) and Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) [4–8]. Functional groups with antibacterial activity in these compounds include phlorotannins, fatty acids, polysaccharides, peptides, terpenes, polyacetylenes, sterols, indole alkaloids, aromatic organic acids, shikimic acid, polyketides, hydroquinones, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, and halogenated furanones, alkanes, and alkenes [9–11]. The ecological function of some of these secondary metabolites in algae is not yet fully understood. Since they are not required for normal growth or reproduction, adaptation to conditions to which they are exposed in the ocean is proposed to be the main factor controlling their occurrence. Impediments to algal survival include grazing by herbivores, competition for space from other organisms, thallus injury, and biofilm formation. Algae are also exposed to osmotic stress; and high levels of UV light, oxygen, and salinity. In addition, an average of one million bacterial cells are present in each millilitre of seawater [12]. Some of the chemicals produced by algae to combat these stresses have antibacterial potential, and many have high biological activity due to the diluting effect of seawater and the harsh environment in which they live [13].

### *Algal Allelopathy*

The biological phenomenon of allelopathy in terrestrial and marine habitats contributes to the production of potentially antibacterial compounds. Allelochemicals are released by many algae into their environment. These secondary metabolites exert beneficial or detrimental effects on competing organisms, the end result of which offers a competitive advantage to the alga. Sessile algae such as seaweeds are more prone to bacterial biofilm formation and consumption by invertebrates compared to motile, single cell algae. Allelochemicals have been identified in several species which aid algae in competition for anchoring space on rocks and the seabed. Many of these compounds are toxic, with applications in cancer chemotherapy, and are currently being screened for antibacterial potential [14].

Vieira *et al.* [15] characterised three new lobophorenols (C<sub>21</sub> polyunsaturated alcohols) in the brown seaweed genus, *Lobophora*, which had significant allelopathic effects against the coral, *Acropora muricata*, causing bleaching and necrosis. Similarly, two acetylated diterpenes reported by Rasher *et al.* [16] in the green alga, *Chlorodesmis fastigiata*, and two loliolide derivatives from the red alga, *Galaxaura filamentosa*, acted as potent allelochemicals against corals. The lipophilic compounds were secreted from thallus surfaces and inhibited photosynthesis in the corals, causing bleaching, and in some cases, death. A kainic acid analogue, domoic acid, produced by the diatom genus, *Pseudo-nitzschia*, acts as an intra and inter-species allelochemical, and is responsible for toxic algal blooms. Domoic acid is an excitotoxic amino acid that affects the central nervous system in vertebrates such as shrimp, and competitively inhibits the growth of other *Pseudo-nitzschia* species [17,18]. Similarly, ovatoxins and palytoxins produced by the toxic dinoflagellate protozoan, *Ostreopsis*, [19] act as allelochemical deterrents to neighbouring vertebrates, and can cause algal blooms. Accoroni *et al.* [20] examined the allelopathic interactions between *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and three seaweeds, *Dictyota dichotoma*, *Rhodomenia pseudopalmata*, and *Ulva rigida* that compete for space in the same habitat. Fresh and dried thalli of *Dictyota dichotoma* and *Rhodomenia pseudopalmata* were found to significantly inhibit the growth of *Ostreopsis ovata*. The compounds responsible are currently being elucidated and have potential applications in the prevention of algal blooms, and as antibacterials.

Phlorotannins and terpenes in algae also act as allelochemical deterrents to herbivores, inhibitors of bacterial biofilm formation, and as antioxidants against UV damage [21–23]. Phlorotannins are composed of polyphenolic phloroglucinol units and occur in Phaeophyceae. They have the ability to bind with and precipitate proteins in the same way tannins do in terrestrial plants. This makes the algae more astringent and less palatable to grazers as it binds with proteins in the mouth [24–26].

Some seaweeds, such as the green alga, *Caulerpa cylindracea*, have developed immunity to several pathogenic epiphytic *Vibrio* species that live on their thallus surface. A symbiotic allelopathic relationship with the bacteria has been proposed by Rizzo *et al.* [7], where the presence of the *Vibrio* actually contributes to successful algal reproduction, allowing the two organisms to function as a holobiont [27]. Foodborne illness caused by *Vibrio* and other pathogenic bacteria is an increasing global issue. Isolation of the compounds and the mechanism responsible for algal immunity to these bacteria could produce useful therapeutics and sanitisers. The harnessing and bioengineering of recently characterised allelochemicals represents a potential area of new marine antibacterials.

### 3. Mechanisms of Pharmacological Action and Potential Applications

Bactericidal and bacteriostatic compounds were first isolated from algae when chloroform and benzene fatty acid extracts of chlorellin, from *Chlorella vulgaris*, were found to inhibit *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, and *Bacillus subtilis* [28]. Chlorellin was not suitable for large scale commercial use, however it heralded further research into algal antimicrobial inhibitors in genera such as *Scenedesmus* [29]. As discussed, several chemical functional groups in algae, such as phlorotannins, fatty acids, peptides, terpenes, polysaccharides, polyacetylenes, sterols, indole alkaloids, aromatic organic acids, shikimic acid, polyketides, hydroquinones, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, and halogenated furanones have been reported as bacterial inhibitors. The mechanism of pharmacological action for some remains uncertain, however, methods of bacterial inhibition employed by the following functional groups have been proposed.

#### 3.1. Phlorotannins

Phlorotannins occur in fucosan granules called physodes within algal cells and comprise 1%–15% of the thallus dry mass [30]. The antibacterial activity of phlorotannins has been reported to be due to inhibition of oxidative phosphorylation, and their ability to bind with bacterial proteins such as enzymes and cell membranes, causing cell lysis. The phenolic aromatic rings and OH groups of the phloroglucinol units bind to the -NH groups of bacterial proteins by H-bond and hydrophobic interactions [31,32]. Kamei and Isnansetyo [33] reported that the bacteriolytic activity of phloroglucinol compounds against *Vibrio* species increased when tertiary structures such as methyl- or acetyl-vinyl were present. However, a greater minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was required to penetrate the Gram-negative species, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, compared to the Gram-positive MRSA. This is the case for most antibacterials, due to physiological differences in  $\beta$ -lactamase mechanisms, and the less penetrable nature of the outer lipopolysaccharide membrane of Gram-negative species in comparison to the peptidoglycan Gram-positive layer [34].

Wei *et al.* [35] reported that low molecular weight phlorotannins extracted from *Sargassum thunbergii* damaged the cell membrane and cell wall of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, causing cytoplasm leakage and deconstruction of membrane permeability. The study suggested that low molecular weight phlorotannins from algae could potentially be used in food safety control and aquacultural drugs. Lee *et al.* [36] tested a range of solvent extracts from the brown seaweed, Arame (*Eisenia bicyclis*) against antibiotic resistant *Propionibacterium*-related acne. A phlorofucofuroeckol compound (phlorotannin with an alcohol substituent) exhibited the most potent antibacterial activity with an MIC of 32  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ , while also significantly reversing the resistance of *Propionibacterium* to erythromycin and lincomycin. The same research group tested the activity of phlorofucofuroeckol from *Eisenia bicyclis* against MRSA. Phlorofucofuroeckol suppressed *mecI*, *mecR1*, and *mecA* gene expression in the resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* cells. These three genes regulate the expression of methicillin resistance in bacteria. This resulted in suppression of penicillin-binding protein 2a production, which is considered the main mechanism by which MRSA strains resist methicillin [37,38]. Phlorotannins and their derivatives offer a potentially useful source of natural antibacterial agents for food and medical applications.

### 3.2. Fatty Acids

Algal free fatty acids have been reported to act as inhibitors of the electron transport chain and normal oxidative phosphorylation in bacterial cell membranes. This interferes with adenosine triphosphate energy transfer, and inhibits enzymes such as bacterial enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase, necessary for the synthesis of fatty acids within the bacterial cell. Lysis of the cell, and formation of peroxidation and auto-oxidation degradation products then occurs [39–42]. El Shafay *et al.* [43] identified the antibacterial fatty acids, cyclopentaneacetic acid, and 10,13-octadecadienoic acid as principal components of ethanol extracted *Sargassum vulgare* and diethyl ether extracted *Sargassum fusiforme*. Transmission electron microscopy was used to measure the morphological changes in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* cells treated with these brown seaweed extracts. The cell walls of both bacteria were perforated, resulting in rupture of the cell wall, cytoplasmic leakage, shrinking of the protoplasm, cytoplasmic vacuolation, scattering of chromatin, distortion of the outer cell shape, and decreased cell size. Čermák *et al.* [44] reported the antibacterial long-chain fatty acids in the green microalga *Planktochlorella nurekii* to be significant inhibitors of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* var. *Enteritidis*, *Salmonella enterica* var. *Infantis*, *Arcobacter butzleri*, and *Lactobacillus johnsonii* using a suspension concentration range of 0.75–6 mg/mL. The study proposed that green microalgae could be used as an alternative to in-feed antibiotics to prevent disease in livestock and poultry and to maintain the microbial safety of animal products in the human food chain.

### 3.3. Polysaccharides

Polysaccharides are composed of repeating monosaccharide units linked by glycosidic bonds. They function primarily as structural storage compounds in plants and algae. Algal polysaccharides and sulphated polysaccharides have been used successfully for pharmaceutical and dietary applications. Their mechanism of antibacterial action is proposed to be due to glycoprotein-receptors present on the cell-surface of polysaccharides which bind with compounds in the bacterial cell wall, cytoplasmic membrane, and DNA. This results in increased permeability of the cytoplasmic membrane, protein leakage, and binding of bacterial DNA [45–47]. Polysaccharides, such as fucoidan and laminarin, have been successfully used in drug delivery as oral antibiotics to inhibit the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*; and to prevent the adhesion of *Helicobacter pylori* biofilms in gastric mucosa. They have also been incorporated into food as a dietary supplement to improve the immune response of farmed fish, and reduce susceptibility to *Piscirickettsia salmonis* infection [48–51].

Kadam *et al.* [48] reported a significant inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* growth with ultrasound assisted extraction of laminarin from the Irish brown seaweeds *Ascophyllum nodosum* and *Laminaria hyperborea* using 0.1 M hydrochloric acid. Abou Zeid *et al.* [52] demonstrated that hot and cold water-extracted polysaccharides from the red seaweed *Pterocladia capillacea* and brown seaweed *Dictyopteris membranacea* inhibit the growth of Gram-positive *Bacillus cereus* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, and Gram-negative *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Escherichia coli* in disc diffusion assays. In the case of *Staphylococcus aureus*, cold water-extracted *Pterocladia capillacea* had an activity equivalent to 56.8% of the antibiotic standard, ampicillin. Vijayabaskar *et al.* [53] found that sulphated polysaccharides extracted from *Sargassum swartzii* inhibited both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in ten human pathogenic strains. In the case of *Escherichia coli*, the polysaccharide extract was more potent in the disc diffusion assay than an ampicillin antibiotic standard.

### 3.4. Proteins and Peptides

The antimicrobial activity of amino acids in the form of short-chain peptides, or larger, more complex proteins, has been demonstrated in a number of recent studies. The amphipathic conformation

of peptides enables them to bind with polar and non-polar sites on bacterial cytoplasmic membranes, thereby interfering with cellular processes and propagation [54–56].

Lectins, for example, are a diverse group of proteins that occur in animals, plants, algae, bacteria, and viruses [57]. In humans, they have multiple biological functions including carbohydrate-binding, cell adhesion, blood-protein regulation, and immune defence [58]. The ability of lectins to selectively bind with lipopolysaccharides,  $\beta$ -glucans, and peptidoglycans on the cell surface of bacteria affords them bactericidal properties, as normal cell processes such as nutrient uptake are blocked [59]. Several functional groups are involved. Hydrogen bonds are formed between polar moieties of amino acids in the lectins and the hydroxyl groups of polysaccharides, coupled with van der Waals interactions, and packing of hydrophobic polysaccharide regions against amino acid aromatic groups in the lectins [60–62]. Holanda *et al.* [63] evaluated the inhibitory effect of lectin extracts from the red alga *Solieria filiformis* against Gram-negative and Gram-positive pathogenic bacteria. At a concentration of 1000  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ , the extract inhibited growth of the Gram-negative species *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus* species. The binding of lectin with mannan was believed to be the method by which growth was inhibited. Mannan is a linear polymer of the saccharide monomer mannose, and occurs on the cell surface of Gram-negative bacteria. Mannan acts as a hapten upon binding with the large lectin molecule, eliciting an immune response. However, no inhibition of growth was observed against the Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*, possibly due to unsuitable lectin-polysaccharide binding sites on the cell surfaces of these species [64].

Enzymatic hydrolysis is the most common method used to isolate bioactive peptides from parent proteins for medical applications, thus avoiding toxic solvent residues. The bioactivity of individual peptide hydrolysates is often distinct from, or greater than that of the original protein. Some recently characterised peptides have been termed crypteins, due to their cryptic, or hidden, bioactivity, and are a current area of novel therapeutic study [65]. Marine algae are good candidates for the mining of such peptides as they contain a high proportion of diverse proteins [66,67].

Beaulieu *et al.* [68] extracted antibacterial peptides (>10 kDa mass) from the brown seaweed *Saccharina longicruris* by enzymatic hydrolysis with trypsin. Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry identified the sub-fractions as peptide precursors to proteins similar to ubiquitin, leucine, histone, and a ribosomal structure, which form part of the innate immune defence of the seaweed. Maximum specific growth rate of the food spoilage bacterium *Staphylococcus aureus* was significantly inhibited by the hydrolysate at concentrations of 0.31 mg/mL to 2.5 mg/mL making it a potential agent for food preservation.

### 3.5. Terpenes

Terpenes are compounds composed of repeating isoprene units, often with substituent groups. Terpenes with pharmacological activity have been successfully extracted from terrestrial plants. For example, paclitaxel (or taxol) from Pacific yew trees is used in cancer treatment, and Artemisinin from the plant *Artemisia annua* is an anti-malarial drug [69,70]. A number of terpene compounds from algae, such as diterpene-benzoate bromophycolides, have been found to inhibit bacterial growth. Lane *et al.* [71] extracted bromophycolides (diterpene-benzoate macrolides) from the Fijian red alga *Callophycus serratus* with water, methanol, and dichloromethane. Extracts significantly inhibited MRSA and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*, with maximal inhibitory concentration ( $\text{IC}_{50}$ ) values of 1.4  $\mu\text{M}$  and 5.8  $\mu\text{M}$  respectively. Their findings suggested that the mechanism of antibacterial action was due to the hydrophobicity and conformational rigidity of the tetrahydropyran structure. Rodrigues *et al.* [72] used dichloromethane to isolate sphaerane bromoditerpenes, including a previously uncharacterised, rare dactylomelane called sphaerodactylomelol, from the red alga *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*. The extracts were found to inhibit *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Candida albicans*. The greatest antibacterial was observed against *Staphylococcus aureus*, with an  $\text{IC}_{50}$  value of 6.35  $\mu\text{M}$ . Etahiri *et al.* [73] isolated bromosphaerone and

12S-hydroxybromosphaerodiol from the same alga, *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*. Bromosphaerone and 12S-hydroxybromosphaerodiol inhibited *Staphylococcus aureus* with MIC values of 0.104  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  and 0.146  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  respectively. It was proposed that the antibacterial activity of bromosphaerone was due to its amphipathic structure of three polar alcohol groups, with non-polar aliphatic carbon and bromine atoms on the opposite side of the molecule, enabling it to bind with bacterial cell membranes [13,73].

Xanthophylls, such as lutein and astaxanthin, are tetraterpene oxygen-containing compounds that function as light harvesting pigments in plants and algae. They have been extensively documented for their antioxidant activity, but are also effective against bacteria. Fucoxanthin is a xanthophyll that occurs predominantly in brown algae (Phaeophyceae), diatoms (Bacillariophyceae), and at lower concentrations in golden algae (Chrysophyceae) and Raphidophyceae [74–78]. Rajauria and Abu-Ghannam [79] extracted fucoxanthin from the Irish brown seaweed *Himantalia elongata* using diethyl ether, n-hexane, and chloroform, and purified the crude extract using preparative TLC. In disc diffusion assays, the purified extract was shown to be a potent inhibitor of *Listeria monocytogenes*, with an inhibition zone of 10.27 mm at a concentration of 1 mg/mL (25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{disc}$ ). The extract was 98.4% as effective as an analytical grade fucoxanthin standard (inhibition zone 10.89 mm). Similarly, Deyab and Abou-Dobara [80] extracted fucoxanthin from the brown seaweed *Turbinaria triquetra*, the green *Ulva lactuca*, and the red *Laurencia obtusa* with chloroform and methanol. Extracts were purified by silica column chromatography and identified by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Turbinaria triquetra* showed the greatest bacterial inhibition, followed by *Laurencia obtusa*. *Ulva lactuca* extracts had significantly lower antibacterial activity. Zones of inhibition for *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ranged from 4.0 mm to 7.0 mm (100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  extract/disc) and in the case of some Gram-negative species, were equivalent to antibiotic standards.

### 3.6. Chrysosphaentins

Plaza *et al.* [81] isolated eight compounds from the chrysophyte alga *Chrysosphaeum taylori* using hexane, chloroform, and methanol. The compounds were of a new chemical structural class which they named chrysosphaentins, which consisted of two polyhydroxylated, polyhalogenated  $\omega,\omega'$ -diarylbutene units connected via two ether bonds. The chrysosphaentins exerted powerful inhibition *in vitro* of MRSA (MIC = 1.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (MIC = 2.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ), and multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MIC = 1.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ). The pharmacological mechanism of action of chrysosphaentin is proposed to be unlike any existing antibacterial agent. The functional groups within chrysosphaentin act as enzyme inhibitors by binding with guanosine triphosphatase in bacterial cells. This prevents the synthesis of a protein called FtsZ (filamenting temperature-sensitive mutant Z), required for bacterial cell division. The development of antibiotics and other antibacterial products from chrysosphaentin continues to be an important area of marine pharmacological investigation [82,83].

### 3.7. Lactones

Lactones are a chemical class of cyclic esters, which includes furanones. The Australian red seaweed *Delisea pulchra* has been studied for its ability to remain free of surface bacterial colonisation. Halogenated furanone extracts from *Delisea pulchra* have been used as effective surface sanitisers in the prevention of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation. This halogenated furanone also inhibits quorum sensing mechanisms by interfering with bacterial inter-cell communication. In order for bacteria to express specific genes during quorum sensing, signalling molecules called acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) are required, as well as luminescence transcriptional activator (LuxR) regulatory proteins. The furanone extract from *Delisea pulchra* competes with AHL for the LuxR receptor site, thereby inhibiting virulence factor production and pathogenesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [84,85]. Ren *et al.* [86] found a similar inhibition of quorum sensing in *Escherichia coli* with a (5Z)-4-bromo-5-(bromomethylene)-3-butyl-2(5H)-furanone extract from

*Delisea pulchra*. Quorum sensing in *Escherichia coli* was inhibited by blocking S-ribosylhomocysteine lyase (LuxS) mediated AI-2 signalling. This influences genes and proteins involved in the normal production of flagellar synthesis, motility, and chemotaxis in the bacterium. Manefield *et al.* [87] identified another mechanism of inhibition exerted by a halogenated furanone from *Delisea pulchra*. The bacterium, *Erwinia carotovora*, produces carbapenem as a virulence factor during quorum sensing. A commercially available 4-bromo-5-(bromomethylene)-3-(1'-hydroxybutyl)-2(5H)-furanone was found to inhibit carbapenem production in *Erwinia carotovora* by disrupting the 3-oxo-C6-HSL dependent expression of the *carABCDEFHG* operon. Castillo *et al.* [88] also reported that a commercially produced furanone, similar to the *Delisea pulchra* extract, was effective against Gram-negative *Campylobacter jejuni*. When combined with epigallocatechin gallate from green tea and a citric acid extract, AI-2 activity, bacterial motility, and biofilm formation was significantly decreased.

Considering the efficacy of the *Delisea pulchra* extract against the Gram-negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli in vitro*, it is not unreasonable to propose that it could also inhibit Gram-negative *Campylobacter jejuni*. Algal furanones and other lactones may have potential as alternatives to synthetic surface sanitisers, and antibiotics. *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Escherichia coli* are two of the leading causes of food poisoning worldwide, and have developed resistance to many traditional antibiotics [89]. The demonstrated ability of algal furanone extracts to prevent biofilm formation may be useful in the treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection, which is characterised by the formation of a mucoid film in the lungs of cystic fibrosis sufferers. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is also an increasing risk to the immunocompromised, such as premature babies, and long-term hospital patients [90].

Algal polysaccharides, fatty acids, peptides, proteins, phlorotannins, terpenes, chrysophanins, and lactones make good candidates for antibiotics, and incorporation into human food products for safety and preservation as they are edible, non-toxic, and inexpensive. Further research, including *in vivo* toxicology studies, into these antibacterial extracts could produce very useful food preservation and pharmaceutical products.

#### 4. Effect of Extraction Methodology on Antibacterial Potency

##### 4.1. Environmental Influences

A number of factors influence the efficacy of algal antibacterial compounds and should be taken into consideration when comparing extraction methods. Natural factors such as ontogenetic and environmental influences, seasonal variations, ocean temperature, salinity, and pollutants have a profound influence on the content and potency of algal bioactives. For example, the alginate, mannitol, laminarin, and polyphenolic contents of *Laminaria digitata*, *Laminaria hyperborea*, *Saccharina latissima*, and *Alaria esculenta* are known to vary significantly throughout the year. In *Laminaria* species sampled off the west Scottish coast, polyphenols have been reported to accumulate with the on-set of the growth phase between May and July and reach their lowest levels in October [91,92]. Bioactive compounds are present at fluctuating concentrations during different stages of the algal life cycle. In addition, distribution varies in each discrete part of the thallus, *i.e.*, holdfast, blade, and stipe [93]. Sampling of each section of the thallus, and analysis of the same species at different points throughout the year provides more accuracy.

##### 4.2. Extraction Method and Solvent

The means by which algal antibacterial compounds are extracted from raw biomass impacts their pharmacological efficacy. The extraction method and solvent choice influence the physical and chemical properties of the extract. Various extraction protocols for the recovery of antibacterials from marine algae have been reported. Some involve freeze drying, Soxhlet extraction, and the use of organic solvents, enzymes, or bacterial fermentation. Maceration, often with liquid nitrogen, is generally required for algae, in order to release cell components from the tough, polysaccharide-rich thallus of



seaweeds, and the silica-bound cell walls of microalgal diatoms. This exposes them to the extraction solvent. Protocols employ different extraction temperatures, times, pH ranges, and concentrations. All these variables influence the efficacy of the final antibacterial product.

#### 4.2.1. Solvent Polarity

The polarity of the extraction solvent profoundly affects the manner in which the extract will interact with functional groups on bacterial surfaces. For example, depending on the polarity of the solvent used, functional groups extracted may be polar hydrophilic or non-polar lipophilic. This influences the ability of the algal extract to act upon the cell membrane and other components of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. In a recent study, Moorthi and Balasubramanian [94] compared acetone, methanol, and chloroform Soxhlet extraction in the evaluation of the antibacterial efficacy of the brown seaweed *Sargassum muticum* harvested in India. Disc diffusion assays were used to measure its efficacy against foodborne human pathogenic bacteria *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Staphylococcus epidermis*, *Enterobacter aerogenus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Shigella fleschneri*, *Proteus vulgaris*, MRSA, and *Salmonella typhimurium*. At concentrations of 30 µL/mL, acetone extracts were significantly more potent than chloroform or methanol extracts against *Salmonella paratyphi*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Shigella fleschneri*. Chemical analysis of the *Sargassum muticum* acetone extracts showed the presence of tannins. The effectiveness of acetone for the extraction of antibacterials from *Sargassum wightii* and *Caulerpa scalpelliformis* has previously been reported, and attributed to the ability of polar acetone to bind with the hydrophilic phlorotannins in seaweed which, as discussed, have potent antibacterial activity [95,96].

Since lipophilic compounds in algae also have antibacterial activity, non-polar solvents such as hexane, or supercritical carbon dioxide are also commonly employed. Mendiola *et al.* [97] used supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> for 60 min, at 40 °C, 400 atm to extract lipids from the microalga *Chaetoceros muelleri*. The free fatty acids extracted significantly inhibited *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* growth. Unlike most organic solvents, supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> has the benefit of being environmentally friendly since it is gaseous at room temperature and pressure, leaving no trace in the extracts. Solvent-free antibacterial extracts such as these have potential as food preservation ingredients.

Extraction yield is also impacted by solvent choice, which in turn affects efficacy of the antibacterial. Rajauria *et al.* [98] reported different combinations of methanol and water (20%–80%) to have a significant effect on the yield of antimicrobial and antioxidant polyphenolic compounds from the Irish brown seaweed *Himanthalia elongata*. The greatest yield (6.8%) was achieved using 60% methanol, compared to the lowest yield (1.2%) using 100% methanol. Disc diffusion and broth dilution tests showed the 60% methanol extract at a concentration of 60 mg/mL to be the most potent inhibitor of Gram-positive *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Enterococcus faecalis*; and Gram-negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella abony*. The *Himanthalia elongata* extract had greater, or at least equal, zones of inhibition against all bacteria compared to the synthetic food preservatives sodium nitrite and sodium benzoate. Cox *et al.* [5] also found that the antibacterial activity of polyphenolic seaweed extracts was solvent-dependent. Methanol was determined to be the most effective for the brown seaweeds *Himanthalia elongata*, *Laminaria digitata*, and *Saccharina latissima*; while acetone and ethanol were more effective for the green species *Enteromorpha spirulina*; and the red species *Palmaria palmata* and *Chondrus crispus*. Antibacterial activity was tested on food spoilage (*Enterococcus faecalis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and pathogenic (*Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella abony*) bacteria using the microwell plate method. Using methanol only, green and red seaweed extracts had significantly lower antibacterial activity than the brown species, however their potency increased significantly when ethanol and acetone were used as solvents. In the case of *Chondrus crispus*, *Enterococcus faecalis* inhibition increased from 39% to 100% using ethanol and acetone. Methanol extracts of *Himanthalia elongata* showed 100% inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Salmonella abony*, which was, on average, 4.34% greater than that of sodium nitrite and sodium benzoate. *Laminaria digitata* also inhibited *Listeria monocytogenes* by 100%.

Since the structure and solubility of algal antibacterial compounds varies so greatly, using a series of solvents with an incremental range of polarities and concentrations would ensure the extraction of polar and non-polar fractions.

#### 4.2.2. Food Grade Solvents

Solvent choice also influences potential applications of algal antibacterial extracts in terms of human and animal consumption. Many organic solvents such as methanol and dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) produce high extraction yields, but leave toxic levels of residue. A number of food-grade solvents have been approved for use in the extraction of bioactives for consumption. Since 1966, The Food Chemicals Codex has been recognised internationally as the authority for agreed standards in ingredients, preservatives, extraction solvents, enzymes, and bacteria that are permissible for food use [99]. The European Union also issues Directives on food-approved solvent systems and residual limits, which are administered by the European Food Safety Authority. For example, Commission Directive 2010/67/EU sets out the maximum acceptable residues for acetone, hexane, and ethanolic extracts of rosemary. The acceptable concentrations are given as not more than 500 mg/kg acetone; 25 mg/kg hexane; and 500 mg/kg ethanol. The Directive also recommends further purification of the extract with active carbon and/or molecular distillation [100]. Alternatively, solvents that are generally recognised as safe (GRAS) under Sections 201 and 409 of the U.S. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act can be utilised, with no issues of toxicity [101]. For example, in a recent study, Boisvert *et al.* [102] used pressurised liquid extraction with approved, food-grade ethanol to extract high yields of antibacterial and antioxidant-rich compounds from three species of seaweed, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, *Ulva lactuca*, and *Saccharina longicuris*, from the St Lawrence Estuary, Canada. Antibacterial activity was tested against three food spoilage bacteria, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Brochothrix thermosphacta*, using the microtiter method. The greatest inhibition of *Escherichia coli* (69.5%), *Micrococcus luteus* (61.4%), and *Brochothrix thermosphacta* (21.4%) was exerted by *Ulva lactuca* extracts at a concentration of 500 µg/mL. The greater potency of the *Ulva lactuca* compared to the other species was thought to be due to a higher mineral concentration of metals such as copper, zinc, silver and mercury, which have innate antibacterial activity. This choice of food grade solvent and green extraction method exemplifies some of the approaches that can be used in the development of safe algal antibacterials.

#### 4.3. Novel Extraction Technologies

Aside from traditional solid-liquid extraction, a number of novel technologies have been developed with the aim of improving yields, minimising damage to analytes, reducing solvent waste, and conserving energy and time. These can have significant effects on the yield and potency of algal antibacterial extracts. Technologies include extraction assisted by microwaves, pulsed electric fields, pressurised solvents, supercritical fluids, ultrasound, and enzymes [103]. The latter three technologies are most applicable to algal extraction, and are outlined here.

##### 4.3.1. Supercritical Fluid Extraction

When brought to a pressure and temperature above its critical point, a fluid or gas becomes supercritical. While in this state, it possesses the physical properties of a gas and can effuse through solids; but can also act as a liquid solvent [104]. These properties are highly useful for the extraction of bioactive compounds from organic tissues. Carbon dioxide is most commonly used for food and pharmaceutical applications as it completely dissipates after extraction at room temperature. Coffee, for example, is decaffeinated using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. As discussed in Section 4.2.1, Mendiola *et al.* [97] used CO<sub>2</sub> supercritical fluid extraction to isolate lipids with antibacterial activity from the microalga *Chaetoceros muelleri*. A highly significant increase in antibacterial activity was reported using this method in comparison to traditional methodologies. To compare the efficiency of their method, a liquid-liquid DMSO flask extraction of raw *Chaetoceros muelleri* was prepared. A broth microdilution method was used to evaluate the MIC value of each extract against *Escherichia coli* and

*Staphylococcus aureus*. The supercritical fluid extractions exerted three times more bacterial inhibition than the DMSO extract. Despite the increased energy demands of supercritical fluid extraction, it offers a green chemical alternative to solvent based methods for antibacterial agents destined for food and pharmaceutical uses.

#### 4.3.2. Ultrasound Assisted Extraction

Ultrasound or ultrasonic technology uses high intensity sound waves to penetrate a liquid, producing alternating high and low pressure cycles. During low-pressure, high-intensity ultrasonic waves create tiny vacuum bubbles. When the high-pressure cycle begins they implode, or cavitate, releasing liquid jets at extremely high velocity. This high shear force ruptures the cells of organic tissue, releasing their contents [105]. This has a positive effect on the yield and potency of bioactive extracts. Kadam *et al.* [48] compared the efficiency of ultrasound assisted and solid-liquid flask extraction of laminarin from the Irish brown seaweeds *Laminaria hyperborea* and *Ascophyllum nodosum*. Two solvents were compared for both extraction methods; water, and 0.1 M hydrochloric acid. As discussed, laminarin is an algal polysaccharide with antibacterial activity. The percentage yield of laminarin was significantly greater in both water and HCl ultrasound assisted extracts for both seaweeds. *Laminaria hyperborea* ultrasound/HCl yield was 6.240%, compared to only 3.254% from the flask/HCl extraction. The ultrasound assisted yield was 47.85% greater. Similarly, *Ascophyllum nodosum* ultrasound/HCl yield was 5.822%, compared to 4.304% from the flask/HCl extraction. The ultrasound assisted yield was 26.08% greater. The ultrasound assisted water extracts of *Laminaria hyperborea* (5.975%) and *Ascophyllum nodosum* (5.290%) were also greater than the water flask extractions (4.362% and 4.599% respectively). Aside from the substantial increase in laminarin yields, the ultrasound process was also far more energy efficient, requiring only 15 min in a 750 W ultrasonic processor, at an amplitude level of 60%; compared to 2.5 h at 70 °C for solid-liquid extraction. Ultrasound assisted extraction is a suitable technology for deriving antibacterial compounds from algae, as it obviates the need for high temperatures, toxic solvents, and prolonged extraction times. It also fractionates the hardy, complex cell walls of algae [106].

#### 4.3.3. Enzyme Assisted Extraction

Extraction through the application of enzymes, such as cellulases, has the potential to increase the yield and safety of algal antibacterials. The presence of branched, sulphated, or complex polysaccharides, such as alginate and laminarin, in algal cell walls limits the efficiency of classical extraction methods [11,107]. Food-grade digestive enzymes, such as proteases and carbohydrases, could aid in the degradation of cell wall matrices, thus releasing cell components. To date, little has been published on the use of enzymes for the extraction of antibacterial compounds from algae specifically. However, in the extraction of algal bioactives such as antioxidants, polysaccharides, carotenoids and polyphenols, the use of enzymes has shown significant potential as a viable alternative, or addition to, pure solvent methods [108–111]. Since antioxidants, polysaccharides, carotenoids, and polyphenols, have proven antibacterial activity, it is expected that their enzymatic extracts could also be used to inhibit bacteria. For example, there is an implied correlation between antioxidant activity and antibacterial activity, since algal antioxidant compounds, like fucoxanthin, have been shown to be potent antibacterials. Enzyme assisted extraction could potentially increase extraction yields of algal antibacterials. Bioactive yields from terrestrial plants, such as the carotenoid lycopene from tomatoes, have been increased by enzymolysis. Zuorro *et al.* [112] reported an eighteen fold increase in lycopene yield from tomato processing waste in pectinase and cellulase pre-treated samples, compared to hexane extraction alone. In the extraction of fucoxanthin from *Undaria pinnatifida*, Billakanti *et al.* [113] reported a 9.3% increase in fucoxanthin yield using an enzyme pre-treatment of alginate lyase, followed by dimethyl ether and ethanol extraction, compared to non-enzyme treated. Optimum parameters for alginate lyase pre-treatment were 37 °C, for 2 h, pH 6.2, 5% (*w/v*) solids, with 0.05 wt % enzyme.

Each of these novel extraction technologies has the potential to replace or reduce solvent driven methods for the recovery of algal antibacterial compounds. Initial set-up costs may be more costly than traditional solvents, but there are several long-term advantages. Supercritical fluid extraction, ultrasound assisted extraction, and enzyme-assisted extraction are non-toxic, environmentally harmless, and in many cases, increase product yields.

## 5. Marine vs. Terrestrial Antibacterials

Both marine and terrestrial organisms produce secondary metabolites that protect them from bacterial infection. It is serendipitous that these metabolites also have activity against many pathogenic bacteria which affect humans and animals. Marine algal extracts, such as those discussed in this article, along with terrestrial plant extracts like lavender and tea tree oil, have been used throughout history as natural antimicrobials and as medicines in pharmacognosy. Since marine and terrestrial organisms face vastly different environmental challenges, the structural features and pharmacological activity of their metabolites differ greatly [114]. It has been proposed that some marine antibacterial compounds, from organisms such as algae, have greater antibacterial efficacy than those from terrestrial sources. This has been attributed to the greater bacterial cell numbers in seawater compared to air, and the need for sessile organisms to prevent surface biofouling in the ocean [115].

In study by Wang *et al.* [32] the bacteriostatic and bactericidal activity of methanol extracted phlorotannins from *Ascophyllum nodosum* harvested in Nova Scotia was compared with hydrolysable and condensed tannins from two trees, Quebracho (*Schinopsis balansaei*) and Chinese sumac (*Rhus semialata*). Four strains of *Escherichia coli* resistant to ampicillin, kanamycin, and nalidixic acid were used to measure inhibition at OD<sub>600</sub>. At a concentration of only 25 µg/mL, the phlorotannins from *Ascophyllum nodosum* exerted bacteriostatic effects on three of the strains for up to 24 h, after which time two strains resumed growth. Phlorotannins were fully bactericidal to *Escherichia coli* at 50 µg/mL in the case of two strains, and at 100 µg/mL in the case of the other two. The hydrolysable and condensed tannins from the tree extracts were compared at the same concentrations against two of the *Escherichia coli* strains. However, condensed tannins did not exert a bactericidal effect against either of the two strains, and only had bacteriostatic activity against one strain for 6 h. Hydrolysable tannins had no bactericidal or bacteriostatic activity against either of the two strains. The treated and untreated *Escherichia coli* cells were examined by transmission electron microscopy. The membrane structure of the untreated cells was smooth and even. The action of the tannins was apparent on the cell walls of treated samples, particularly in the case of *Ascophyllum nodosum*, where disorganised structures and electron-dense precipitated deposits were visible. The significant differences demonstrated between the marine algal and terrestrial tannins may be attributed to their chemical structure. Although all tannins are composed of cyclic phloroglucinol groups, terrestrial hydrolysable and condensed tannins have a maximum of three rings, whereas algal phlorotannins have up to eight. This means phlorotannins have more hydroxyl groups, enabling them to produce more hydrogen peroxide under aerobic conditions. Hydrogen peroxide is toxic to bacteria [11]. Hydroxyl groups can also form hydrogen bonds with proteins on the bacterial surface, further denaturing the cell.

Although this study by Wang *et al.* [32] clearly illustrates the comparative activity of marine algal vs. terrestrial antibacterial compounds, further comparisons and research into this area with a wide range of chemical classes is required.

## 6. Inhibition of Foodborne Pathogenic and Spoilage Bacteria in Food Production

Incidents of food poisoning have increased dramatically in the last few decades due to changes in consumer eating habits, and the growing resistance of spoilage and pathogenic foodborne bacteria to antibacterial agents [116]. With increasing pressure from the public, food manufacturers are moving away from traditionally used synthetic preservatives, and high levels of salt towards naturally derived alternatives. These natural antimicrobials derived from terrestrial plants and marine algae offer

shelf-life extension and increased safety from bacteria that cause food poisoning, without the side effects associated with many synthetic preservatives or high salt intake.

Gupta *et al.* [117] evaluated the antibacterial activity of three edible Irish brown seaweeds, *Himanthalia elongata*, *Saccharina latissima*, and *Laminaria digitata* in raw and heat processed (95 °C) form. Their activity was tested against pathogens which commonly cause problems in the food industry, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella abony*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In microtiter assays, methanol extracts of raw *Himanthalia elongata* (60 mg/mL) inhibited *Listeria monocytogenes* by 98.7%, compared to 96.5% inhibition by the synthetic preservative standard sodium benzoate, and sodium nitrite (96.2%). Raw *Himanthalia elongata* was also more potent than the standards against *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Raw *Saccharina latissima* extracts were almost as potent against all four bacteria, followed by *Laminaria digitata*. Heat treatment significantly reduced the antibacterial activity of all seaweeds. These raw seaweed extracts may be useful for incorporation into products such as raw meats and fish, as they would exert their bacterial inhibition during the uncooked, cold storage phase, before inactivation by heat, at which stage the product would be consumed. A recent study by Dussault *et al.* [118] explored the potential of developing several commonly consumed Pacific Island seaweeds into food preservation agents. In broth dilution assays, methanol extracts of the brown species, *Padina* and *Dictyota*, were found to inhibit the growth of Gram-positive foodborne pathogens *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* at low concentrations ( $\leq 500$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ). However, the extracts had no activity against Gram-negative species, possibly due to the inability of the moderate to low polarity, hydrophobic extracts to breach the hydrophilic lipopolysaccharide, Gram-negative bacterial membrane. The extracts are not known to have any toxicity at the concentrations used, making them good candidates for incorporation into foods prone to Gram-positive bacterial growth.

### 6.1. Active Food Packaging Applications

Marine algal compounds also have potential for use as components of antibacterial films made from biodegradable materials which are widely used in active food packaging applications. Several edible food coatings from alginates and carrageenan have been developed [119,120]. Olaimat *et al.* [121] reported a significant reduction in viable *Campylobacter jejuni* numbers on vacuum-packed raw chicken breasts using a carrageenan and chitosan based coating containing heat-treated oriental mustard extract. Although the mustard contained a natural antibacterial compound, allyl isothiocyanate, chicken treated with a mustard-only extract coating did not have significantly lower *Campylobacter jejuni* numbers than the untreated control-coated chicken after 21 days storage. The carrageenan-chitosan-mustard coated samples reduced *Campylobacter jejuni* numbers by up to 2.78  $\log_{10}$  CFU/g more than the control coating at 21 days. Lactic acid bacterial growth was also reduced. Methods of controlling *Campylobacter jejuni* would be highly beneficial to poultry based food producers. Gram-negative, microaerophilic *Campylobacter jejuni* is one of the most frequent causes of foodborne illness worldwide, with hens being the main source in most disease cases [122].

Rodríguez-Martínez *et al.* [123] formulated a new, active biodegradable film based on polylactic acid and brown seaweed. An extrusion process was used to incorporate 8% dried *Fucus spiralis* seaweed extract and 0.5% natural sorbic acid into a polylactic acid based biodegradable film. The migration values of the film components into food simulants were found to be generally within the maximum acceptable EU limit. It was concluded that the film was suitable for further development as a packaged food protectant and for shelf-life extension. *Fucus spiralis* was selected because it showed the greatest inhibition in disc diffusion assays of the foodborne bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Vibrio alginolyticus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, when compared to other seaweed genera, *Ascophyllum*, *Ulva*, *Bifurcaria*, and *Gracilaria*. A concentration of only 10  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  of *Fucus spiralis* was required to exert zones of inhibition up to 15 mm (for *Bacillus subtilis*). Although sorbic acid has antimicrobial properties against fungi and bacteria, the addition of *Fucus spiralis* extract reduces the amount required, and

broadens the scope of antibacterial activity. Sorbic acid was first isolated from mountain ash berries, and has antimicrobial action mainly against yeasts and moulds, but is selective against bacteria [124]. It can also be detected as an acrid taste by some consumers. The addition of small amounts of dried seaweeds, or antibacterial extracts of marine algal compounds to active food-films could minimise the volume of traditional preservatives required. This could reduce costs and bring concomitant nutritional benefits.

### 6.2. Animal Feed Supplementation and Aquaculture

Antibacterial algal extracts such as laminarin and fucoidan can also be incorporated into animal feed to improve the safety and nutritional profile of animal products destined for human consumption [9,125,126]. Moroney *et al.* [127] reported an enhancement of pork quality in meat from pigs fed extracts of *Laminaria digitata* containing laminarin and fucoidan for three weeks prior to slaughter. Animals were fed either 450 mg or 900 mg laminarin and fucoidan per kg feed. In both cases, pork meat was found to have an improved fatty acid profile without loss of lipid stability. Saturated fatty acid content was significantly lowered and lipid oxidation was reduced. Aquacultural food products can be a source of foodborne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. *Vibrio* genera are a common source of foodborne illness in fish products. Seaweeds have been shown to have antibacterial properties against many species that infect farmed fish, which in turn reduces the occurrence of pathogenic bacteria in the final food product [128]. Roohi Fatima *et al.* [129] enhanced the microbial safety of farmed Mozambique tilapia fish by adding an antibacterial methanol extract of the red seaweed, *Portieria hornemannii*, to housing tanks (1 part per trillion per litre). The instances of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection amongst the fish were significantly decreased compared to the untreated group. Histology tests also showed the same significant decrease of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in muscle tissue.

### 6.3. Consumer Acceptance

Preservatives are necessary to ensure the safety of perishable foods such as meat, fish, and ready to eat products. Consumer trends are influencing the use and development of natural alternatives to synthetic preservatives such as sodium benzoate and sodium nitrite which have associated health side-effects. A number of antibacterial extracts from terrestrial plants have already gained consumer acceptance. Plant extracts with pharmacological activity such as linalool, thymol, and camphor have been used successfully as preservatives in many commercial products [130]. Existing consumer acceptance of preservatives from plants such as citrus fruits, thyme, rosemary, basil, lemon balm, and marjoram may aid the introduction of marine algal antibacterials to mainstream foods. However, organoleptic impacts must be assessed with sensory trials as well as any interactions with food matrices. The antibacterial activity demonstrated by marine algal extracts *in vitro* and in pilot food studies provides a basis for further research and product development in this area. The high efficiency of bacterial inhibition in comparison to industry standard preservatives discussed in this review makes algal extracts excellent candidates for incorporation into foods. Seaweeds are also non-toxic, inexpensive, and contain minerals, vitamins, and proteins.

## 7. Synergistic Effects

Synergism can occur between two or more compounds with pharmacological activity, such as orthodox drugs or natural products. This can produce a negative effect in the form of contraindication, where active substances exert a more potent effect when combined than they would if used individually. However, controlled synergistic interaction allows lower doses of the active substances to be used, which can result in fewer side effects [131]. Some marine algal derivatives have been reported to enhance the pharmacological activity of drugs used to combat human pathogenic bacteria. Lee *et al.* [132] examined the synergistic effect of combining the sulphated polysaccharide fucoidan from brown seaweeds with antibiotics to inhibit cariogenic and periodontopathogenic bacteria which

cause dental infections. The fucoidan (analytical grade) was combined with either ampicillin or gentamicin, two common antibiotics. The minimum inhibitory and bactericidal concentrations of the fucoidan/antibiotic complexes were measured against *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus sanguinis*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Streptococcus rattii*, *Streptococcus criceti*, *Streptococcus anginosus*, *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Prevotella intermedia*, and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. The results were highly significant. The MIC and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) values were reduced on average by a factor of four. Therefore, only a quarter of the ampicillin or gentamicin dose was required to kill all species of bacteria when combined with fucoidan. The study concluded that the inherent antibacterial properties of fucoidan, and its ability to affect bacterial cell wall synthesis, was responsible for the synergistic effect observed. The formation of bacterial biofilms in the mouth commonly leads to dental cavities, gingivitis, and periodontitis. If antibiotics must be prescribed for a patient, a significant reduction in the required dose such as observed in the above study would be beneficial for the individual's gut health and immune system.

He *et al.* [133] also reported a synergistic effect using marine algal derivatives and antibiotics. In the study, the antibiotic azithromycin was combined with a marine alginate-derived oligosaccharide (ADO). MIC values required to inhibit wild-type resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were measured for azithromycin, and for the azithromycin/ADO complex. The MIC value was significantly reduced by a factor of 2.8 by the addition of the ADO. The MIC for azithromycin alone was 152.6 µg/mL. This was reduced to 54.2 µg/mL using the azithromycin/ADO complex. This synergistic effect was attributed to the ability of charged groups in ADO to interact with anionic/cationic groups on the bacterial cell surface, forming a layer that blocks the transport of essential materials into the cell. In addition, G subunits of ADO chelate cations such as Ca<sup>2+</sup> thereby acting as an antagonist toward voltage-operated calcium channels. Quorum sensing-controlled virulence factors (elastase B and pyocyanin) and biofilm development was also suppressed by the addition of ADO, allowing the reduced level of azithromycin to act more effectively. Synergism such as this between marine algal derivatives and traditional antibiotics continues to be developed as an innovative approach to combat antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

## 8. Biotechnological Potential of Marine Algae

Algal biotechnology entails the use of micro or macroalgae to produce or transform useful products for specific functions [134]. This may involve manipulating the algal cells into overexpressing a secondary metabolite, or some compound of pharmacological or industrial interest by altering environmental parameters such as temperature, pH, or food availability. Using an organism as a cell factory in this manner has been successfully applied to bacteria, plants, and many other organisms for decades. The microalga, *Dunaliella salina*, for example, naturally produces high concentrations of β-carotene to survive its hypersaline environment. Controlling salinity in bioprocessors containing *Dunaliella salina* forces the alga to increase β-carotene synthesis which can then be harvested for human use [135]. Biotechnology may also involve transgenesis, which is the introduction of a foreign (*trans*) gene into a cell in order for the organism to produce a new, inheritable trait such as the synthesis of a new biomolecule or expression product. Genes can be introduced to algal cells to produce biomolecules with antibacterial activity such as phlorotannins or terpenes. A recent study by Guzmán-Zapata *et al.* [136] described the successful, inheritable genetic transformation of chloroplasts in the green microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* to produce useful recombinant proteins.

In addition, marine algal antibacterial compounds, such as polysaccharides, are currently being studied for their nanobiotechnological applications in drug delivery, wound dressing, cancer therapy, and tissue engineering. Their biocompatibility, biodegradability, and lack of toxicity makes them promising leads in this area [137].

### Omics Studies

More recently developed biotechnological tools such as transcriptomics, nutrigenomics, metabolomics, proteomics, and metagenomic profiling are now being applied to algae, and will aid in the understanding of their genome and their pharmacological interactions with bacteria [138–140]. For example, transcriptomic studies on marine algae provide useful genomic data that may be used to identify species with antibiotic potential. The transcriptome is the set of all messenger RNA molecules in one cell or population of cells. Sequencing the transcriptome allows for the comparison and identification of genes that are differentially expressed in discrete cell populations, or in response to different environmental factors [141,142]. Hovde *et al.* [143] sequenced the transcriptome of the microalga, *Chrysochromulina tobin* at seven time points over a 24h light/dark circadian cycle. Significant differences in gene expression were seen at different time points for processes such as fatty acid synthesis and modification. Amongst the genes identified, those involved in defence of the alga were found to encode potential antibiotics, antibiotic extrusion proteins, and novel antibacterial peptides. The transcriptomic sequencing also revealed the first algal polyketide synthase-non ribosomal peptide synthetase. The findings may provide potential routes for the synthesis of therapeutics and useful novel metabolites. Algal compounds with potential pharmacological activities were also reported by de Oliveira *et al.* [144] from the transcriptomic sequencing of the red seaweed, *Laurencia dendroidea*, and its microbiome. Six specimens of *Laurencia dendroidea* and their transcriptomes were sequenced from three Rio de Janeiro coastal locations. Genetic sequences related to terpene biosynthesis and the mevalonate-independent pathway were identified. Understanding the genomic mechanisms of these pharmacologically active secondary metabolites provides a potential for novel biotechnological applications.

### 9. Chemical Synthesis

A number of challenges exist for the development of marine algal antibacterial products, such as supply, sourcing, and ecological issues. This is particularly applicable to compounds with pharmacological activity that are present in miniscule quantities within a microalga or seaweed. Mariculture of selected species is an option, however it is labour intensive and would still necessitate the production of large volumes of biomass. The marine environment in which an alga of interest grows can be very inaccessible, and incur huge harvesting risks and costs [145]. It would also be ecologically damaging to remove substantial quantities of any species from their natural marine, or other, environment. Total chemical synthesis of pharmacologically active natural compounds offers a solution. Chemical synthesis of rare natural drug compounds has been used successfully for many terrestrial plants. An example is the synthetic anticancer drug paclitaxel, which was developed from taxol, a naturally occurring extract of Pacific yew (*Taxus brevifolia*) bark. This rare tree would have become extinct if harvested continuously. However, its chemical structure was elucidated, with the synthetic form exerting an identical mechanism of action on cancer cells. Several natural marine products have been successfully synthesised, such as the analgesic ziconotide (Prialt). This is the synthetic form of  $\omega$ -conotoxin peptide, originally derived from a defence toxin produced by the marine Cone Snail. Urosa *et al.* [146] synthesised Luffarin I, a sesterterpenolide, originally found in the sea sponge, *Luffariella geometrica*, using commercially available sclareol. The chemical synthesis of marine algal antibacterial compounds is now a growing area of research. The genetic mechanism of terpene biosynthesis in the red seaweed, *Laurencia dendroidea*, was recently elucidated by de Oliveira *et al.* [147]. This genus of seaweed produces halogenated terpenoids and acetogenins which have important biotechnological applications, however, little was known about the genes that code for these compounds. The study identified forty one genes involved in the biosynthesis of terpenoid precursors and terpene synthases. The findings pave the way for the chemical synthesis of terpenes with antibacterial and other applications.

Total chemical synthesis of bioactive compounds such as algal antibacterials has many advantages aside from increased supply. It protects the marine environment from over-harvesting and allows for



research into chemical structure-activity relationships and drug lead optimisation of the antibacterial. Modifications of the structure, such as the addition of polar or non-polar functional groups, can lead to increased efficacy at the target site, reduced side effects, and improved physicochemical and metabolic properties [148,149].

## 10. Conclusions

Drug-resistant bacteria pose an increasing challenge to global health. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control estimates that antimicrobial resistance results in over 25,000 deaths across Europe each year [150]. Antibacterial agents with pharmacological mechanisms of action that differ to those of traditional antibiotics must be developed to stem the rise in global bacterial resistance. The *in vitro* studies discussed in this review show promise for similar *in vivo* efficacy. Some hurdles exist in marine product development, such as supply issues, determination of the efficacy target, and full chemical synthesis. As with all areas of drug discovery, extensive clinical trials will be required to determine the *in vivo* fate of marine antibacterial extracts on mammalian cells in terms of first pass metabolism and possible toxicity. The marine environment is home to an immense taxonomic diversity that has remained relatively unexplored in drug discovery by terrestrial standards. In order to overcome the challenges to marine natural product development a multi-disciplinary strategy can be adapted which utilises nascent technologies and tools for developing novel antimicrobial agents.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Hu, Y.; Chen, J.; Hu, G.; Yu, J.; Zhu, X.; Lin, Y.; Chen, S.; Yuan, J. Statistical research on the bioactivity of new marine natural products discovered during the 28 years from 1985 to 2012. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 202–221. [PubMed]
2. Miller, A.A.; Miller, P.F. *Emerging Trends in Antibacterial Discovery: Answering the Call to Arms*; Caister Academic Press: Norfolk, UK, 2011.
3. Ramanan, R.; Kim, B.-H.; Cho, D.-H.; Oh, H.-M.; Kim, H.-S. Algae-bacteria interactions: Evolution, ecology and emerging applications. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2016**, *34*, 14–29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Cox, S.; Abu-Ghannam, N.; Gupta, S. An assessment of the antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of six species of edible Irish seaweeds. *Int. Food Res. J.* **2010**, *17*, 205–220.
5. Abu-Ghannam, N.; Rajauria, G. Antimicrobial activity of compounds isolated from algae. In *Functional Ingredients from Algae for Foods and Nutraceuticals*; Dominguez, H., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing Ltd.: Sawston, UK, 2013; pp. 287–306.
6. Rizzo, L.; Frascchetti, S.; Alifano, P.; Tredici, M.S.; Stabili, L. Association of *Vibrio* community with the Atlantic Mediterranean invasive alga *Caulerpa cylindracea*. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **2016**, *475*, 129–136. [CrossRef]
7. Ördög, V.; Stirk, W.; Lenobel, R.; Bancířová, M.; Strnad, M.; van Staden, J.; Szigeti, J.; Németh, L. Screening microalgae for some potentially useful agricultural and pharmaceutical secondary metabolites. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2004**, *16*, 309–314. [CrossRef]
8. Venugopal, V. *Marine Products for Healthcare: Functional and Bioactive Nutraceutical Compounds from the Ocean*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2008.
9. Blunt, J.W.; Munro, M.H.G.; Copp, B.R.; Keyzers, R.A.; Prinsep, M.R. Marine natural products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2015**, *32*, 116–211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Mayer, A.; Rodríguez, A.D.; Tagliatela-Scafati, O.; Fusetani, N. Marine pharmacology in 2009–2011: Marine compounds with antibacterial, antidiabetic, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, antiprotozoal, antituberculosis, and antiviral activities; affecting the immune and nervous systems, and other miscellaneous mechanisms of action. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 2510–2573. [PubMed]
11. Chojnacka, K.; Kim, S.-K. Introduction of Marine Algae Extracts. In *Marine Algae Extracts*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2015; pp. 1–14.
12. Amsler, C.D. *Algal Chemical Ecology*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2008; Volume 468.
13. Hughes, C.C.; Fenical, W. Antibacterials from the Sea. *Chemistry* **2010**, *16*, 12512–12525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Singh, A.; Thakur, N.L. Significance of investigating allelopathic interactions of marine organisms in the discovery and development of cytotoxic compounds. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2016**, *243*, 135–147. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Vieira, C.; Thomas, O.P.; Culioli, G.; Genta-Jouve, G.; Houllbreque, F.; Gaubert, J.; de Clerck, O.; Payri, C.E. Allelopathic interactions between the brown algal genus *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) and scleractinian corals. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Rasher, D.B.; Stout, E.P.; Engel, S.; Kubanek, J.; Hay, M.E. Macroalgal terpenes function as allelopathic agents against reef corals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 17726–17731. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Zabaglo, K.; Chrapusta, E.; Bober, B.; Kaminski, A.; Adamski, M.; Bialczyk, J. Environmental roles and biological activity of domoic acid: A review. *Algal Res.* **2016**, *13*, 94–101. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Smeti, E.; Roelke, D.; Gremion, G.; Linhart, J.; Danielidis, D.; Spatharis, S. Potential mechanisms of coexistence between two globally important *Pseudo-nitzschia* (Bacillariophyta) species. *Hydrobiologia* **2015**, *762*, 89–101. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. García-Altare, M.; Tartaglione, L.; Dell'Aversano, C.; Carnicer, O.; Iglesia, P.; Forino, M.; Diogène, J.; Ciminiello, P. The novel ovatoxin-g and isobaric palytoxin (so far referred to as putative palytoxin) from *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* (NW Mediterranean Sea): Structural insights by LC-high resolution MS. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 1191–1204. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Accoroni, S.; Percopo, I.; Cerino, F.; Romagnoli, T.; Pichierri, S.; Perrone, C.; Totti, C. Allelopathic interactions between the HAB dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and macroalgae. *Harmful Algae* **2015**, *49*, 147–155. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Balboa, E.M.; Li, Y.X.; Ahn, B.N.; Eom, S.H.; Domínguez, H.; Jiménez, C.; Rodríguez, J. Photodamage attenuation effect by a tetraprenyltoluquinol chromane meroterpenoid isolated from *Sargassum muticum*. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* **2015**, *148*, 51–58. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Potin, P.; Bouarab, K.; Salaün, J.-P.; Pohnert, G.; Kloareg, B. Biotic interactions of marine algae. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **2002**, *5*, 308–317. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Shibata, T.; Miyasaki, T.; Miyake, H.; Tanaka, R.; Kawaguchi, S. The influence of phlorotannins and bromophenols on the feeding behavior of marine herbivorous gastropod *Turbo cornutus*. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 387–392. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Eom, S.-H.; Kim, Y.-M.; Kim, S.-K. Antimicrobial effect of phlorotannins from marine brown algae. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2012**, *50*, 3251–3255. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Gómez, I.; Huovinen, P. Induction of phlorotannins during UV exposure mitigates inhibition of photosynthesis and DNA damage in the kelp *Lessonia nigrescens*. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2010**, *86*, 1056–1063. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Jormalainen, V.; Honkanen, T.; Koivikko, R.; Eränen, J. Induction of phlorotannin production in a brown alga: Defense or resource dynamics? *Oikos* **2003**, *103*, 640–650. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Egan, S.; Harder, T.; Burke, C.; Steinberg, P.; Kjelleberg, S.; Thomas, T. The seaweed holobiont: Understanding seaweed-bacteria interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2013**, *37*, 462–476. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Pratt, R.; Daniels, T.C.; Eiler, J.J.; Gunnison, J.B.; Kumler, W.D.; Oneto, J.F.; Strait, L.A.; Spoehr, H.A.; Hardin, G.J.; Milner, H.W.; et al. Chlorellin, an antibacterial substance from *Chlorella*. *Science* **1944**, *99*, 351–352. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
29. Willis, R.J. *The History of Allelopathy*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2007.
30. Sahoo, D.; Seckbach, J. *The Algae World*; Springer Science & Business Media: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2016.
31. Heldt, H.-W.; Piechulla, B. *Plant Biochemistry*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2010.
32. Wang, Y.; Xu, Z.; Bach, S.; McAllister, T. Sensitivity of *Escherichia coli* to seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) phlorotannins and terrestrial tannins. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **2009**, *22*, 238–245. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Kamei, Y.; Isnansetyo, A. Lysis of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol produced by *Pseudomonas* sp. AMSN isolated from a marine alga. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2003**, *21*, 71–74. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Golan, D.E.; Tashjian, A.H.; Armstrong, E.J. *Principles of Pharmacology: The Pathophysiologic Basis of Drug Therapy*; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2011.

35. Wei, Y.; Liu, Q.; Xu, C.; Yu, J.; Zhao, L.; Guo, Q. Damage to the membrane permeability and cell death of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* caused by phlorotannins with low molecular weight from *Sargassum thunbergii*. *J. Aquat. Food Prod. Technol.* **2015**. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Lee, J.-H.; Eom, S.-H.; Lee, E.-H.; Jung, Y.-J.; Kim, H.-J.; Jo, M.-R.; Son, K.-T.; Lee, H.-J.; Kim, J.H.; Lee, M.-S. *In vitro* antibacterial and synergistic effect of phlorotannins isolated from edible brown seaweed *Eisenia bicyclis* against acne-related bacteria. *Algae* **2014**, *29*, 47–55. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Lee, S.H.; Kim, S.K. Biological phlorotannins of *Eisenia bicyclis*. *Mar. Algae Extr. Processes Prod. Appl.* **2015**, 453–464. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Eom, S.-H.; Lee, D.-S.; Jung, Y.-J.; Park, J.-H.; Choi, J.-I.; Yim, M.-J.; Jeon, J.-M.; Kim, H.-W.; Son, K.-T.; Je, J.-Y.; et al. The mechanism of antibacterial activity of phlorofucofuroeckol-A against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 9795–9804. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Pradhan, J.; Das, S.; Das, B.K. Antibacterial activity of freshwater microalgae: A review. *Afr. J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *8*, 809–818.
40. Susilowati, R.; Sabdono, A.; Widowati, I. Isolation and characterization of bacteria associated with brown algae *Sargassum* spp. from Panjang Island and their antibacterial activities. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *23*, 240–246. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Hemming, D. *Animal Science Reviews 2010*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2011.
42. Zheng, C.J.; Yoo, J.-S.; Lee, T.-G.; Cho, H.-Y.; Kim, Y.-H.; Kim, W.-G. Fatty acid synthesis is a target for antibacterial activity of unsaturated fatty acids. *FEBS Lett.* **2005**, *579*, 5157–5162. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. El Shafay, S.M.; Ali, S.S.; El-Sheekh, M.M. Antimicrobial activity of some seaweeds species from Red sea, against multidrug resistant bacteria. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* **2016**, *42*, 65–74. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Čermák, L.; Pražáková, Š.; Marounek, M.; Skřivan, M.; Skřivanová, E. Effect of green alga *Planktochlorella nurekis* on selected bacteria revealed antibacterial activity *in vitro*. *Czech J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *60*, 427–435. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Amorim, R.d.N.d.S.; Rodrigues, J.A.G.; Holanda, M.L.; Quinderé, A.L.G.; Paula, R.C.M.D.; Melo, V.M.M.; Benevides, N.M.B. Antimicrobial effect of a crude sulfated polysaccharide from the red seaweed *Gracilaria ornata*. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **2012**, *55*, 171–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Pierre, G.; Sopena, V.; Juin, C.; Mastouri, A.; Graber, M.; Maugard, T. Antibacterial activity of a sulfated galactan extracted from the marine alga *Chaetomorpha aerea* against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* **2011**, *16*, 937–945. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. He, F.; Yang, Y.; Yang, G.; Yu, L. Studies on antibacterial activity and antibacterial mechanism of a novel polysaccharide from *Streptomyces virginia* H03. *Food Control* **2010**, *21*, 1257–1262. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Kadam, S.U.; O'Donnell, C.P.; Rai, D.K.; Hossain, M.B.; Burgess, C.M.; Walsh, D.; Tiwari, B.K. Laminarin from Irish brown seaweeds *Ascophyllum nodosum* and *Laminaria hyperborea*: Ultrasound assisted extraction, characterization and bioactivity. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 4270–4280. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Besednova, N.N.; Zaporozhets, T.S.; Somova, L.M.; Kuznetsova, T.A. Review: Prospects for the use of extracts and polysaccharides from marine algae to prevent and treat the diseases caused by *Helicobacter pylori*. *Helicobacter* **2015**, *20*, 89–97. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Hernández, A.J.; Romero, A.; Gonzalez-Stegmaier, R.; Dantagnan, P. The effects of supplemented diets with a phytopharmaceutical preparation from herbal and macroalgal origin on disease resistance in rainbow trout against *Piscirickettsia salmonis*. *Aquaculture* **2016**, *454*, 109–117. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Yu, S.-H.; Wu, S.-J.; Wu, J.-Y.; Wen, D.-Y.; Mi, F.-L. Preparation of fucoidan-shelled and genipin-crosslinked chitosan beads for antibacterial application. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2015**, *126*, 97–107. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Abou Zeid, A.H.; Aboutabl, E.A.; Sleem, A.A.; El-Rafie, H.M. Water soluble polysaccharides extracted from *Pterocladia capillacea* and *Dictyopteris membranacea* and their biological activities. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2014**, *113*, 62–66. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Vijayabaskar, P.; Vaseela, N.; Thirumaran, G. Potential antibacterial and antioxidant properties of a sulfated polysaccharide from the brown marine algae *Sargassum swartzii*. *Chin. J. Nat. Med.* **2012**, *10*, 421–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Nguyen, L.T.; Haney, E.F.; Vogel, H.J. The expanding scope of antimicrobial peptide structures and their modes of action. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2011**, *29*, 464–472. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Pimenta, D.C.; Lebrun, I. Cryptides: Buried secrets in proteins. *Peptides* **2007**, *28*, 2403–2410. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

56. Lordan, S.; Ross, R.P.; Stanton, C. Marine bioactives as functional food ingredients: Potential to reduce the incidence of chronic diseases. *Mar. Drugs* **2011**, *9*, 1056–1100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Bahar, A.A.; Ren, D. Antimicrobial Peptides. *Pharmaceuticals* **2013**, *6*, 1543–1575. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Maverakis, E.; Kim, K.; Shimoda, M.; Gershwin, M.E.; Patel, F.; Wilken, R.; Raychaudhuri, S.; Ruhaak, L.R.; Lebrilla, C.B. Glycans in the Immune system and the Altered Glycan Theory of Autoimmunity: A Critical Review. *J. Autoimmun.* **2015**, *57*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Cheung, R.C.F.; Wong, J.H.; Pan, W.; Chan, Y.S.; Yin, C.; Dan, X.; Ng, T.B. Marine lectins and their medicinal applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 3755–3773. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Weis, W.I.; Drickamer, K. Structural Basis of Lectin-Carbohydrate Recognition. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1996**, *65*, 441–473. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
61. Sharon, N.; Lis, H. *Lectins*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007.
62. Hudson, K.L.; Bartlett, G.J.; Diehl, R.C.; Agirre, J.; Gallagher, T.; Kiessling, L.L.; Woolfson, D.N. Carbohydrate-Aromatic Interactions in Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15152–15160. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Holanda, M.L.; Melo, V.M.M.; Silva, L.M.C.M.; Amorim, R.C.N.; Pereira, M.G.; Benevides, N.M.B. Differential activity of a lectin from *Solieria filiformis* against human pathogenic bacteria. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 1769–1773. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Strathmann, M.; Wingender, J.; Flemming, H.-C. Application of fluorescently labelled lectins for the visualization and biochemical characterization of polysaccharides in biofilms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2002**, *50*, 237–248. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Ng, J.H.; Ilag, L.L. Cryptic protein fragments as an emerging source of peptide drugs. *IDrugs Investig. Drugs J.* **2006**, *9*, 343–346.
66. Fan, X.; Bai, L.; Zhu, L.; Yang, L.; Zhang, X. Marine Algae-Derived Bioactive Peptides for Human Nutrition and Health. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62*, 9211–9222. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Nair, R.; Chabhadiya, R.; Chanda, S. Marine algae: Screening for a potent antibacterial agent. *J. Herb. Pharmacother.* **2007**, *7*, 73–86. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Beaulieu, L.; Bondu, S.; Doiron, K.; Rioux, L.-E.; Turgeon, S.L. Characterization of antibacterial activity from protein hydrolysates of the macroalga *Saccharina longicuris* and identification of peptides implied in bioactivity. *J. Funct. Foods* **2015**, *17*, 685–697. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Wang, G.; Tang, W.; Bidigare, R.R. Terpenoids as therapeutic drugs and pharmaceutical agents. In *Natural Products*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2005; pp. 197–227.
70. Kuete, V.; Efferth, T. *Biodiversity, Natural Products and Cancer Treatment*; World Scientific: London, UK, 2014.
71. Lane, A.L.; Stout, E.P.; Lin, A.-S.; Prudhomme, J.; le Roch, K.; Fairchild, C.R.; Franzblau, S.G.; Hay, M.E.; Aalbersberg, W.; Kubanek, J. Antimalarial bromophycolides J-Q from the Fijian red alga *Callophycus serratus*. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2736–2742. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Rodrigues, D.; Alves, C.; Horta, A.; Pinteus, S.; Silva, J.; Culioli, G.; Thomas, O.P.; Pedrosa, R. Antitumor and antimicrobial potential of bromoditerpenes isolated from the red alga, *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 713–726. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Etahiri, S.; Bultel-Poncé, V.; Caux, C.; Guyot, M. New bromoditerpenes from the red alga *Sphaerococcus coronopifolius*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 1024–1027. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Roy, S.; Llewellyn, C.A.; Egeland, E.S.; Johnsen, G. *Phytoplankton Pigments: Characterization, Chemotaxonomy and Applications in Oceanography*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2011.
75. Kim, S.K. *Springer Handbook of Marine Biotechnology*; Springer: Berlin, Germany; Heidelberg, Germany, 2015.
76. Xia, S.; Wang, K.; Wan, L.; Li, A.; Hu, Q.; Zhang, C. Production, characterization, and antioxidant activity of fucoxanthin from the marine diatom *Odontella aurita*. *Mar. Drugs* **2013**, *11*, 2667–2681. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Larkum, A.; Douglas, S.; Raven, J.A. *Photosynthesis in Algae*; Springer Science & Business Media: New York, NY, USA, 2012; Volume 14.
78. Posten, C.; Walter, C. *Microalgal Biotechnology: Potential and Production*; De Gruyter: Berlin, Germany, 2013.
79. Rajauria, G.; Abu-Ghannam, N. Isolation and partial characterization of bioactive fucoxanthin from *Himantalia elongata* brown seaweed: A TLC-based approach. *Int. J. Anal. Chem.* **2013**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
80. Deyab, M.A.; Abou-Dobara, M.I. Antibacterial activity of some marine algal extracts against most nosocomial bacterial infections. *Egypt. J. Exp. Biol. (Bot.)* **2013**, *9*, 281–286.

81. Plaza, A.; Keffer, J.L.; Bifulco, G.; Lloyd, J.R.; Bewley, C.A. Chrysophaentins A–H, antibacterial bisdiarylbutene macrocycles that inhibit the bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9069–9077. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
82. Keffer, J.L.; Huecas, S.; Hammill, J.T.; Wipf, P.; Andreu, J.M.; Bewley, C.A. Chrysophaentins are competitive inhibitors of FtsZ and inhibit Z-ring formation in live bacteria. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21*, 5673–5678. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
83. Li, X.; Ma, S. Advances in the discovery of novel antimicrobials targeting the assembly of bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *95*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
84. Brameyer, S.; Heermann, R. Specificity of signal-binding via non-AHL LuxR-type receptors. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Hentzer, M.; Givskov, M. Pharmacological inhibition of quorum sensing for the treatment of chronic bacterial infections. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2003**, *112*, 1300–1307. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Ren, D.; Bedzyk, L.A.; Ye, R.W.; Thomas, S.M.; Wood, T.K. Differential gene expression shows natural brominated furanones interfere with the autoinducer-2 bacterial signaling system of *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2004**, *88*, 630–642. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
87. Manefield, M.; Welch, M.; Givskov, M.; Salmond, G.P.C.; Kjelleberg, S. Halogenated furanones from the red alga, *Delisea pulchra*, inhibit carbapenem antibiotic synthesis and exoenzyme virulence factor production in the phytopathogen *Erwinia carotovora*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2001**, *205*, 131–138. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
88. Castillo, S.; Heredia, N.; García, S. 2 (5H)-Furanone, epigallocatechin gallate, and a citric-based disinfectant disturb quorum-sensing activity and reduce motility and biofilm formation of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Folia Microbiol.* **2015**, *60*, 89–95. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
89. Stewart, L.D.; Elliott, C.T. The impact of climate change on existing and emerging microbial threats across the food chain: An island of Ireland perspective. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *44*, 11–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Chatterjee, M.; Anju, C.P.; Biswas, L.; Anil Kumar, V.; Gopi Mohan, C.; Biswas, R. Antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and alternative therapeutic options. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **2016**, *306*, 48–58. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Mueller, R.; Fischer, A.M.; Bolch, C.J.; Wright, J.T. Environmental correlates of phenotypic variation: Do variable tidal regimes influence morphology in intertidal seaweeds? *J. Phycol.* **2015**, *51*, 859–871. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. Schiener, P.; Black, K.D.; Stanley, M.S.; Green, D.H. The seasonal variation in the chemical composition of the kelp species *Laminaria digitata*, *Laminaria hyperborea*, *Saccharina latissima* and *Alaria esculenta*. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2014**, *27*, 363–373. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Marinho-Soriano, E.; Fonseca, P.C.; Carneiro, M.A.A.; Moreira, W.S.C. Seasonal variation in the chemical composition of two tropical seaweeds. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2006**, *97*, 2402–2406. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Moorthi, P.V.; Balasubramanian, C. Antimicrobial properties of marine seaweed, *Sargassum muticum* against human pathogens. *J. Coast. Life Med.* **2015**, *3*, 122–125.
95. Rosaline, X.D.; Sakthivelkumar, S.; Rajendran, K.; Janarthanan, S. Screening of selected marine algae from the coastal Tamil Nadu, South India for antibacterial activity. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2012**, *2*, S140–S146. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Jebasingh, S.E.J.; Rosemary, S.; Elaiyaraja, S.; Sivaraman, K.; Lakshmikandan, M.; Murugan, A.; Raja, P. Potential antibacterial activity of selected green and red seaweeds. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Sci.* **2011**, *5*, 1–7.
97. Mendiola, J.A.; Torres, C.F.; Toré, A.; Martín-Álvarez, P.J.; Santoyo, S.; Arredondo, B.O.; Señoráns, F.J.; Cifuentes, A.; Ibáñez, E. Use of supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> to obtain extracts with antimicrobial activity from *Chaetoceros muelleri* microalga. A correlation with their lipidic content. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2007**, *224*, 505–510. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Rajauria, G.; Jaiswal, A.K.; Abu-Ghannam, N.; Gupta, S. Antimicrobial, antioxidant and free radical-scavenging capacity of brown seaweed *Himanthalia elongata* from western coast of Ireland. *J. Food Biochem.* **2012**, *37*, 322–335. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. United States Pharmacopeia. *Food Chemicals Codex*; The United States Pharmacopeial Convention: Rockville, MD, USA, 2012.
100. EU. Commission Directive (2010) 2010/67/EU of 20 October 2010 amending Directive 2008/84/EC laying down specific purity criteria on food additives other than colours and sweeteners. *Off. J. Eur. Union (L Ser.)* **2010**, *277*, 17–26.

101. FDA. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD & C Act) 2002. *United States Code, Title 21*; The Food and Drug Administration: Silver Spring, MD, USA, 2002.
102. Boisvert, C.; Beaulieu, L.; Bonnet, C.; Pelletier, É. Assessment of the Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Three Species of Edible Seaweeds. *J. Food Biochem.* **2015**, *39*, 377–387. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Grosso, C.; Valentao, P.; Ferreres, F.; Andrade, P.B. Alternative and efficient extraction methods for marine-derived compounds. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 3182–3230. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Da Silva, R.P.; Rocha-Santos, T.A.; Duarte, A.C. Supercritical fluid extraction of bioactive compounds. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2016**, *76*, 40–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Feng, H.; Barbosa-Cánovas, G.V.; Weiss, J. *Ultrasound Technologies for Food and Bioprocessing*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2011; Volume 1.
106. Greenly, J.M.; Tester, J.W. Ultrasonic cavitation for disruption of microalgae. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2015**, *184*, 276–279. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Kim, S.-K. *Handbook of Marine Macroalgae: Biotechnology and Applied Phycology*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011.
108. Rhein-Knudsen, N.; Ale, M.T.; Meyer, A.S. Seaweed hydrocolloid production: An update on enzyme assisted extraction and modification technologies. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 3340–3359. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
109. Wijesinghe, W.; Jeon, Y.-J. Enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) of bioactive components: A useful approach for recovery of industrially important metabolites from seaweeds: A review. *Fitoterapia* **2012**, *83*, 6–12. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
110. Je, J.-Y.; Park, P.-J.; Kim, E.-K.; Park, J.-S.; Yoon, H.-D.; Kim, K.-R.; Ahn, C.-B. Antioxidant activity of enzymatic extracts from the brown seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida* by electron spin resonance spectroscopy. *Food Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *42*, 874–878. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Rodrigues, D.; Sousa, S.; Silva, A.; Amorim, M.; Pereira, L.; Rocha-Santos, T.A.P.; Gomes, A.M.P.; Duarte, A.C.; Freitas, A.C. Impact of enzyme- and ultrasound-assisted extraction methods on biological properties of red, brown, and green seaweeds from the central west coast of Portugal. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2015**, *63*, 3177–3188. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
112. Zuorro, A.; Fidaleo, M.; Lavecchia, R. Enzyme-assisted extraction of lycopene from tomato processing waste. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **2011**, *49*, 567–573. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
113. Billakanti, J.M.; Catchpole, O.J.; Fenton, T.A.; Mitchell, K.A.; MacKenzie, A.D. Enzyme-assisted extraction of fucoxanthin and lipids containing polyunsaturated fatty acids from *Undaria pinnatifida* using dimethyl ether and ethanol. *Process Biochem.* **2013**, *48*, 1999–2008. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Larsen, T.O.; Smedsgaard, J.; Nielsen, K.F.; Hansen, M.E.; Frisvad, J.C. Phenotypic taxonomy and metabolite profiling in microbial drug discovery. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2005**, *22*, 672–695. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Bixler, G.D.; Bhushan, B. Biofouling: Lessons from nature. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **2012**, *370*, 2381–2417. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
116. Chen, C.-Y.; Yan, X.; Jackson, C.R. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Food Safety: Methods and Techniques*; Elsevier: New York, NY, USA, 2015.
117. Gupta, S.; Rajauria, G.; Abu-Ghannam, N. Study of the microbial diversity and antimicrobial properties of Irish edible brown seaweeds. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *45*, 482–489. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Dussault, D.; Vu, K.D.; Vansach, T.; Horgen, F.D.; Lacroix, M. Antimicrobial effects of marine algal extracts and cyanobacterial pure compounds against five foodborne pathogens. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *199*, 114–118. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
119. Tavassoli-Kafrani, E.; Shekarchizadeh, H.; Masoudpour-Behabadi, M. Development of edible films and coatings from alginates and carrageenans. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2016**, *137*, 360–374. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
120. Alboofetileh, M.; Rezaei, M.; Hosseini, H.; Abdollahi, M. Antimicrobial activity of alginate/clay nanocomposite films enriched with essential oils against three common foodborne pathogens. *Food Control* **2014**, *36*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Olaimat, A.N.; Fang, Y.; Holley, R.A. Inhibition of *Campylobacter jejuni* on fresh chicken breasts by  $\kappa$ -carrageenan/chitosan-based coatings containing allyl isothiocyanate or deodorized oriental mustard extract. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2014**, *187*, 77–82. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

122. Anjum, A.; Brathwaite, K.J.; Aidley, J.; Connerton, P.L.; Cummings, N.J.; Parkhill, J.; Connerton, I.; Bayliss, C.D. Phase variation of a Type IIG restriction-modification enzyme alters site-specific methylation patterns and gene expression in *Campylobacter jejuni* strain NCTC11168. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
123. Rodríguez-Martínez, A.V.; Sendón, R.; Abad, M.J.; González-Rodríguez, M.V.; Barros-Velázquez, J.; Aubourg, S.P.; Paseiro-Losada, P.; Rodríguez-Bernaldo de Quirós, A. Migration kinetics of sorbic acid from polylactic acid and seaweed based films into food simulants. *Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *65*, 630–636. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Lück, E.; Jager, M. *Antimicrobial Food Additives: Characteristics-Uses-Effects*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin, Germany, 2012.
125. Evans, F.; Critchley, A. Seaweeds for animal production use. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2014**, *26*, 891–899. [[CrossRef](#)]
126. Makkar, H.P.S.; Tran, G.; Heuzé, V.; Giger-Reverdin, S.; Lessire, M.; Lebas, F.; Ankers, P. Seaweeds for livestock diets: A review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *212*, 1–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Moroney, N.C.; O’Grady, M.N.; Robertson, R.C.; Stanton, C.; O’Doherty, J.V.; Kerry, J.P. Influence of level and duration of feeding polysaccharide (laminarin and fucoidan) extracts from brown seaweed (*Laminaria digitata*) on quality indices of fresh pork. *Meat Sci.* **2015**, *99*, 132–141. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
128. Vatsos, I.N.; Rebours, C. Seaweed extracts as antimicrobial agents in aquaculture. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2014**, *27*, 2017–2035. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Roohi Fatima, M.; Dinesh, S.; Mekata, T.; Itami, T.; Sudhakaran, R. Therapeutic efficiency of *Portieria hornemannii* (Rhodophyta) against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in experimentally infected *Oreochromis mossambicus*. *Aquaculture* **2016**, *450*, 369–374. [[CrossRef](#)]
130. Gutierrez, J.; Barry-Ryan, C.; Bourke, P. The antimicrobial efficacy of plant essential oil combinations and interactions with food ingredients. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2008**, *124*, 91–97. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
131. Tallarida, R.J. Quantitative methods for assessing drug synergism. *Genes Cancer* **2011**, *2*, 1003–1008. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
132. Lee, K.-Y.; Jeong, M.-R.; Choi, S.-M.; Na, S.-S.; Cha, J.-D. Synergistic effect of fucoidan with antibiotics against oral pathogenic bacteria. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2013**, *58*, 482–492. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
133. He, X.; Hwang, H.-m.; Aker, W.G.; Wang, P.; Lin, Y.; Jiang, X.; He, X. Synergistic combination of marine oligosaccharides and azithromycin against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiol. Res.* **2014**, *169*, 759–767. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
134. Borowitzka, M. Algal Biotechnology. In *The Algae World*; Sahoo, D., Seckbach, J., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2015; Volume 26, pp. 319–338.
135. Ben-Amotz, A.; Avron, M. *Dunaliella: Physiology, Biochemistry, and Biotechnology*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1992.
136. Guzmán-Zapata, D.; Macedo-Osorio, K.; Almaraz-Delgado, A.; Durán-Figueroa, N.; Badillo-Corona, J. Production of recombinant proteins in the chloroplast of the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. In *Recombinant Proteins from Plants*; MacDonald, J., Kolotilin, I., Menassa, R., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2016; Volume 1385, pp. 69–85.
137. Manivasagan, P.; Oh, J. Marine polysaccharide-based nanomaterials as a novel source of nanobiotechnological applications. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2016**, *82*, 315–327. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
138. Stengel, D.B.; Connan, S. Marine algae: A source of biomass for biotechnological applications. In *Natural Products from Marine Algae*; Stengel, D.B., Connan, S., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2015; Volume 1308, pp. 1–37.
139. Guarnieri, M.T.; Pienkos, P.T. Algal omics: Unlocking bioproduct diversity in algae cell factories. *Photosynth. Res.* **2014**, *123*, 255–263. [[PubMed](#)]
140. Kumar, M.; Kuzhiumparambil, U.; Pernice, M.; Jiang, Z.; Ralph, P.J. Metabolomics: An emerging frontier of systems biology in marine macrophytes. *Algal Res.* **2016**, *16*, 76–92. [[CrossRef](#)]
141. Piganeau, G. *Genomic Insights into the Biology of Algae*; Elsevier Science: Oxford, UK, 2012.
142. Prakash, D.; Sharma, G. *Phytochemicals of Nutraceutical Importance*; CABI: Oxfordshire, UK, 2014.
143. Hovde, B.T.; Deodato, C.R.; Hunsperger, H.M.; Ryken, S.A.; Yost, W.; Jha, R.K.; Patterson, J.; Monnat, R.J., Jr.; Barlow, S.B.; Starkenburg, S.R. Genome sequence and transcriptome analyses of *Chrysochromulina tobin*: Metabolic tools for enhanced algal fitness in the prominent order Prymnesiales (Haptophyceae). *PLoS Genet.* **2015**, *11*, e1005469.

144. De Oliveira, L.S.; Gregoracci, G.B.; Silva, G.G.Z.; Salgado, L.T.; Filho, G.A.; Alves-Ferreira, M.; Pereira, R.C.; Thompson, F.L. Transcriptomic analysis of the red seaweed *Laurencia dendroidea* (Florideophyceae, Rhodophyta) and its microbiome. *BMC Genom.* **2012**, *13*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
145. Hurd, C.L.; Harrison, P.J.; Bischof, K.; Lobban, C.S. *Seaweed Ecology and Physiology*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2014.
146. Urosa, A.; Marcos, I.; Díez, D.; Lithgow, A.; Plata, G.; Padrón, J.; Basabe, P. Synthesis and bioactivity of Luffarin I. *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 2407–2423. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
147. De Oliveira, L.; Tschoeke, D.; de Oliveira, A.; Hill, L.; Paradas, W.; Salgado, L.; Thompson, C.; Pereira, R.; Thompson, F. New insights on the terpenome of the red seaweed *Laurencia dendroidea* (Florideophyceae, Rhodophyta). *Mar. Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 879–902. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
148. Montaser, R.; Luesch, H. Marine natural products: A new wave of drugs? *Future Med. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 1475–1489. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
149. Kilcoyne, A.; O'Connor, D.; Amberly, P. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*; OUP Oxford: Oxford, UK, 2013.
150. Howard, S.J.; Hopwood, S.; Davies, S.C. Antimicrobial resistance: A global challenge. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2014**, *6*. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).