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Abstract

Cold plasma science and technology is increasingly investigated for translation to a plethora of issues presenting in the agriculture and food sectors. The diversity of the mechanisms of action of cold plasma and the flexibility as a standalone technology or one that can integrate with other technologies, provide a rich resource for driving innovative solutions. The emerging understanding of the longer term role of cold plasma reactive species and follow on effects across a range of systems will provide understanding of how cold plasma may be optimally applied to biological systems in agricultural and food sectors. Here we present the current status, emerging issues, regulatory context and opportunities with respect to the broad stages of primary and secondary food production.
The promise of cold plasma for safety and sustainability in agriculture and food production.

There are many reasons that lead to increased demand for innovative sustainable technologies for the agriculture and food sectors. These include an increasing global population leading to increased demand for food, water and energy resources. Inputs to agriculture and food production are under regulatory review in many regions in terms of sustainability, human safety and long term eco-safety. Many of the persistent contamination, spoilage and safety issues in the agriculture and food sectors are microbially derived, thus a parallel pressure emanates from the issue of antimicrobial resistance, and alternative approaches for microbial control across the agriculture and food sectors, as well as healthcare, are required. The safety of foods in terms of their immune reactivity is increasingly important, and food producers seek ways to mitigate or reduce allergenicity either in foods or in processing environments. Atmospheric cold plasma is a flexible approach with demonstrated efficacy for control of many risks across these sectors, and has the potential to provide transformative and sustainable technology interventions. This potential is based on the combination of increased knowledge of mechanisms and interactions with known risks and advances in engineering and design. Thus, this review presents the current status, issues and opportunities in relation to applying cold plasma to the agriculture and food continuum (Figure 1, Key Figure). The regulatory status from a European and United States perspective is discussed to provide insights for the adoption of this technology. **Cold plasma (CP) (see Glossary)** technology has been explored for a number of applications at multiple stages of primary and secondary food production, including treatment of raw materials, intermediate or finished products and treatment of the processing equipment, facilities and environment, due to the abundance of advantages it presents. These advantages include operation at low temperatures, short processing times, energy efficiency and high antimicrobial efficacy with minimal impact on food quality and the environment (Figure 2).

**Primary production**

*Antimicrobial control*

Primary production encompasses the growing, cultivation, harvesting or collection of food. Devine [1] describe that there is usually some primary processing to make the food available to the consumer after crops are harvested or animals are slaughtered, to prepare them for...
consumption or to turn them into other products. This includes transporting, sorting, cleaning, blending, and milling. Foods may then also undergo secondary processing, where they are transformed into new products.” In the primary stages of food production, atmospheric pressure CP has been successfully applied to inactivate a wide range of microorganisms, including foodborne pathogenic bacteria and fungi, and spoilage microorganisms on grains and seeds or crops intended for sowing or storage. In the past 5 years, a diverse range of CP laboratory scale systems with various application modes (Box 1) have been tested for surface decontamination of seeds of dill, carrot, parsley, wheat, pepper corns, alfalfa, onion, radish, cress seed, chickpea, rapeseed and maize and wheat grains [2–7]. The characteristics of target microorganisms play an important role in achieving successful decontamination with CP technology. Higher inactivation rates are achieved for monospecies surface inoculations than for seed native microflora, which presents as multispecies microbial communities that are distributed on the surface as well as within internal seed structures [5]. Dasan and colleagues [8] reported higher resistance of fungal spores inoculated on maize grains as compared to bacterial cells, which was related to the differences in cytology, morphology, reproductive cycles and growth.

Quality retention

Besides high antimicrobial potential, any decontamination technology including CP should retain and/or improve the physicochemical and physiological properties of seeds to ensure that high quality seeds are provided for growers and consumers. However, in recent investigations, this combination of achieving maximal microbial reductions and significant improvements in seed quality parameters has not always been studied or demonstrated in tandem. Unfortunately, increases in treatment duration and/or input power required to obtain the highest antimicrobial efficiency (Box 2) results in inhibiting germination and the growth potential of seeds [5–7,9]. The potential of CP technology to improve physicochemical (hydrophobicity, wettability, moisture content, enzymatic activity, protein concentration, chlorophyll, nitrogen and soluble phenol content) and physiological (germination, growth, vigour, fresh weight and overall yield) parameters of various seeds during different plant formation stages has been demonstrated in a number of studies that do not focus on the microbiological safety aspects of seeds. Importantly, for achieving enhanced seed growth parameters, the plasma chemical composition and treatment dose (in terms of the working...
gas, power input and treatment time) should be adequately selected, controlled and evaluated with respect to each individual type of seed intended for treatment.

The duration of treatment is one of the most important plasma treatment parameters investigated to date and, depending on the system design and voltage levels used for generation of plasma, it may vary widely (5 s – 30 min). In most of the cases, shorter treatment durations can significantly improve seed growth parameters, while extended treatments may have inhibitive effects on seeds [9–13].

The response of seeds to plasma treatment was shown to depend on plasma gas composition: apparent (wheat) seed growth inhibition symptoms occurred in samples exposed to nitrogen plasma than in samples exposed to helium plasma, attributed to the higher NO content [14].

**Stimulatory effects of plasma treatment on germination and seedling growth characteristics of soybean and wheat vary with different CP treatment power levels** [15,16]. Another important parameter that needs to be considered is the type of seed, since different seeds may respond differently to plasma treatment [17–19]. Moreover, differences in response to plasma treatment may occur among different cultivars within one plant taxon [11]. A positive effect of **plasma treated water (PTW)** and combination of treatment with plasma processed air and PTW on plant germination rates and seedling growth have been reported, however, the duration of plasma treatment has to be optimized for each type of seed individually [19,21,22].

The interactions between plasma and growing plants may be complex, so the question remains whether long-term positive treatment effects could be also achieved, and if these relate predominantly to the manipulation of the plant micro-flora, plant biochemical responses or the plant growth medium.

The retention of nutritional characteristics of seeds is also of major importance, as it is known that plasma generated reactive species, especially ozone, can induce major changes in the physicochemical constituents of grains. For example, protein modification and/or lipid and starch oxidation can occur through multiple chemical reactions during the treatment [20]. To date, the influence of plasma treatment on seed or grain sensory attributes has rarely been reported. Puligundla and colleagues [7] reported that sprouts of plasma treated rapeseeds for up to 2 min did not exhibit undesirable sensory properties (appearance, color, flavor, taste, texture and overall acceptance) compared to sprouts of seeds treated for longer duration (3 min), where the quality was negatively affected.

Insect control
The potential applications of CP have been demonstrated for insect control in stored products. El-aziz et al. [23] and Mohammadi et al. [24] demonstrated that CP treatment resulted in significant increases in larval and pupal mortality and a decrease in adult emergence due to the stress caused by the action of reactive oxygen species generated during the treatment.

Mycotoxin degradation

Due to its high oxidizing potential, CP technology has been successfully utilized for degradation of mycotoxins that can contaminate seeds, grains or crops, thereby posing high risks to human and animal health. However, the food matrix and the type of mycotoxin can influence the efficacy of plasma [25]. Shi and colleagues [26] demonstrated that applying higher levels of relative humidity with a modified gas with high oxygen content and post-treatment storage could improve the efficacy of CP for the reduction of mycotoxins on seeds.

Applications of CP for pesticide degradation have been demonstrated for a number of different organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticide compounds and on various substrates. CP can furthermore be utilised for air pollution control and soil remediation (Box 3).

Secondary production

The application of plasma treatment at secondary stages of food production can serve a range of different purposes, such as improvement of food safety, extension of shelf-life, maintaining quality and nutrition or improving processing. Of importance is the compatibility of such technology with current practices, including the interaction with gases and packaging being used. Cold plasma interactions with food packaging materials were recently comprehensively presented by Pankaj and colleagues [27].

Decontamination for shelf-life extension

The globalization of the food market with increasing distances between the point of production/processing and consumption along with mounting pressure to reduce food waste and improve sustainability are driving forces behind efforts toward shelf-life extension in particular for fresh produce and meat products. Due to its ability to inactivate microorganisms, CP can delay food spoilage resulting from bacterial and fungal growth. Investigations on improving food shelf-life through atmospheric cold plasma have considered ready-to-eat foods such as fresh fruit and vegetables [28,29] and meat [30,31]. Microbiological interactions with cold plasma and mechanisms were recently reviewed by Bourke and colleagues [32].

Food and target related limitations
The food matrix and surface structure have strong influence on the efficacy of plasma based microbial decontamination. Cells in a liquid carrier were found to be more resistant to plasma inactivation than those on a solid like surfaces due to the need for reactive species to diffuse into the liquid [33]. Internalization of bacteria and bacterial biofilms in cracks, crevasses or structures such as plant leaf stomata can protect microorganisms against plasma-based inactivation [34]. The food structure hence needs to be taken into account in designing plasma treatments for food products to ensure efficacy and safety. Food intrinsic factors such as osmolarity and pH also affect the efficacy of plasma treatment as they can result in stress hardening of bacteria, making them more resistant [35], while lipid and protein content and antioxidant state can diminish the activity of plasma reactive species.

**Food quality**

Few studies have addressed the organoleptic acceptability of plasma-treated food products, and those that have are primarily focused on the impact of plasma on visual appearance and colour in particular. One of the few studies that included product consumption found no difference in sensory acceptability, namely appearance, colour, flavour, taste and texture, of dried squid shreds treated with corona discharge for microbial decontamination despite decreases in water content and increased lipid peroxidation [36]. A sensory evaluation of colour, freshness, firmness and texture of treated radicchio found no difference directly after treatment but scored the treated product at 2/10 in terms of overall acceptability after 1 and 3 days of storage [37]. Plasma treatment of pork meat was found to result in lighter meat colour but also greening possibly due to the reaction of myoglobin with plasma generated H$_2$O$_2$ [38]. The generation of ROS such as ozone could lead to a bleaching of produce colour and negatively impact the visual appearance. Fresh produce including tomatoes, carrots, and lettuce showed small but insignificant colour changes when exposed to plasma treatment [39] or plasma-activated water [40]. In fact, the inactivation of polyphenoloxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) could prevent undesirable browning of fruit and vegetables [41]. In blueberries, treatment with plasma resulted in reduced concentrations of anthocyanin but produced a darker blue surface colour of the fruits [42].

Importantly, in a study of the nutritional parameters of orange juice, no significant effects of plasma treatment on its vitamin C content or its pH, turbidity or Brix, were observed [43]. Antioxidant content (ascorbic acid polyphenols) and activity of minimally processed kiwifruit remained unaffected by plasma treatment [44] and the enzyme polyphenol oxidase was observed to be inhibited by plasma treatment.
The use of plasma treatment for modification of the functionality of foods has been investigated. CP was found to modify the functionality of wheat flour by producing a stronger dough and accelerating lipid peroxidation [45]. Plasma treatment of brown rice resulted in reduced cooking time by increasing grain hydrophilicity [46] and rice starch showed modified rheological properties [47]. The inactivation of enzymes involved in the browning of fruit and vegetables through plasma exposure can maintain food quality and consumer acceptability over storage time.

**Food Safety**

*Biological/chemical changes*

A range of chemical changes to food components have been reported, including the oxidation of sugars to organic acids, the modification of amino acid residues in proteins [48], loss of protein structure [49], and the peroxidation of lipids and unsaturated fatty acids in particular [50]. The inactivation of enzymes such as polyphenoloxidase (PPO) and peroxidase (POD) in response to plasma treatment result from the disruption of the alpha-helical structure [41].

*Potential toxicity*

In depth investigations of the safety of plasma for food applications remain lacking. Plasma-treated solutions, ranging from simple PTW to complex compositions containing carbohydrates, lipids or proteins, have demonstrated cytotoxic activity in mammalian cell models, which has sparked interest in their use for cancer treatment [51]. Mutagenic effects of protein-treated solutions have been observed in some studies but not in others [52–55] and highlight the complexity resulting from the range of diverse plasma devices, treatment regimens and target substances.

These investigations have been performed in the context of medical applications of plasma, and while they highlighted the need for a better understanding of the effect of plasma-treated substances, studies focused more directly on food-related toxicity are needed. Of significance here are evaluations of the persistence of cytotoxic effectors in food over time, their concentration and their oral toxicity, which should be evaluated similarly to other decontaminants used in food processing including chlorine or ozone. The potential of adverse effects resulting from plasma-induced changes to food constituents must therefore be addressed and contextualized.

In view of the generation of substantial concentrations of nitrite and/or nitrate in plasma-treated solutions, which can reach the mM range depending on plasma device and treatment parameters [56], the potential accumulation of nitrogen compounds in food products needs to be considered. In fact, plasma-treated water has been tested as an alternative source of nitrite.
for the curing of sausages [57]. Nitrite and nitrate concentrations should be monitored in foods, subjected to either direct plasma-treatment or washing with plasma-activated water, to ensure acceptable levels and also to avoid a critical build-up in processing effluent, which could be of environmental concern. The concentrations generated in plasma treated water can in fact exceed WHO outlined safety guidelines for drinking water of 50mg/l nitrate and 3mg/l nitrite by more than an order of magnitude.

A study on the oral toxicity of plasma-treated edible film coatings conducted in rats found very low toxicity and concluded that the plasma treatment had not generated harmful compounds in the films [58].

Allergen control

Food allergies affect approximately 10% of world population; the ‘Big 8’ food protein sources that trigger allergic reactions are milk, eggs, fish, crustacean/shellfish, tree nuts, peanuts, wheat, and soy. The only prevention option available is total avoidance of the food allergen, with individually variable threshold doses. Meinlschmidt and colleagues [59] compared non-thermal technologies for allergen control of soybean and found that maximal efficacy for reduction of soybean protein fraction immuno-reactivity was achieved with direct CP exposure, but that reductions up to 89% were also achieved using indirect exposure. Segat and colleagues [60] demonstrated that direct CP can unfold whey protein molecules and change its 3-D structures. These recent studies illustrate the potential for CP as a tool to reduce immunoreactivity of food allergens in foodstuffs and processing environments, and may be particularly suitable for those allergens that prove recalcitrant to standard processing due to their thermostability.

Regulatory aspects

There is a diversity of global regulatory approaches and processes for applying new technologies to foodstuffs; pertinent details from US and EU jurisdictions only are presented here. In the United States, approval for a new technology such as atmospheric cold plasma potentially has to receive review and primary approval from three federal agencies: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). Memorandum of Understanding agreements between these agencies allow sharing information and internal communications in the review of new technology reviews for food and food packaging. The US EPA currently has primary regulatory authority for approval of any antimicrobial under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (www.epa.gov/enforcement/federal-insecticide-fungicide-
and-rodenticide-act-fifra-and-federal-facilities). Before the US EPA approves (registers) a pesticide under FIFRA, the applicant must show efficacy under conditions of use and, among other things, that using the pesticide according to specifications "will not generally cause unreasonable adverse effects on the environment" (www.epa.gov/enforcement/federal-insecticide-fungicide-and-rodenticide-act-fifra-and-federal-facilities). In the context of applying atmospheric cold plasma in agriculture or food processing this would at a minimum require evidence (i.e., scientific data) that the process delivers a consistent treatment for the most extreme process conditions likely to be encountered without creating any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, taking into account the economic, social, and environmental costs and benefits of the use of any pesticide, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from a use of a pesticide in or on any food inconsistent with the standard under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act." (www.epa.gov/enforcement/federal-insecticide-fungicide-and-rodenticide-act-fifra-and-federal-facilities). A case study outlining regulatory considerations for approval of a cold plasma process for spoilage prevention of wheat is presented in Box 4.

Historically, the FDA evaluated new technologies as having a direct or indirect impact on the food or package and referred to these as a “food additive”. The FDA defines a "food additive" as any substance used in producing, processing, treating, packaging, transporting, or storing food, including ionizing radiation. For new technology this occurs under the Premarket Approval for Food Contact Substance (FCS) and is referred to as the Food Contact Notification (FCN) Program. An applicant will submit a FCN request to FDA for a food contact substance (FCS). Atmospheric cold plasma technology used for treatment of food or food packages would be regulated under the US EPA as a pesticide and then US FDA as a FCS (www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/PackagingFCS/ucm064161.htm.). Additionally, any use of Atmospheric Cold Plasma in meat, poultry or eggs must get approval from the US Department of Agriculture’s Food Safety Inspection Service (USDA-FSIS) which has responsibility for approval of any technology, food additive, and its condition of use in meat, poultry and egg products before it can be used in a USDA-FSIS inspected plant.

The European Commission’s Food Safety approval for new technology is detailed in the MEMO-15-5875 (http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-15-5875_en.htm). Novel food will only be approved for use in the EU if they do not present a risk to public health, are not nutritionally disadvantageous when replacing a similar food and are not misleading to the consumer. A first step requires a “scientific assessment prior to authorisation to ensure their safety”. This is performed by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and is called
PROmoting METHods for Evidence Use in Scientific assessments (PROMETHEUS). The EFSA convenes an expert scientific panel, collects relevant information and then develops an expert report on the benefits and risks of the technology resulting from a “Novel Food” designation. Those novel food applications that receive “Authorisation” can then be sold in the EU. The “Authorisation” sets out the conditions for the novel food use, their designation as a food/food ingredient and labeling requirements (http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/store/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4737/asset/efs24737.pdf?v=1&t=j5qe9gpf&s=88c071a4bbcb331ed669e20cfe08557a0509374b).

In the last five years (2012 and 2016) a total of 40 novel food ingredient approvals were made, but only four of these involved new technology: UV [technology] treatment of mushrooms, bread, Baker’s yeast, and milk. Currently, uncertainty remains in the EC regulatory approval process for cold plasma technologies due to the lack of definition within the evaluation criteria (e.g., “risk to public health”, “nutritionally disadvantageous” and “not misleading to the consumer”).

Concluding remarks

Overall, recent research demonstrates that it is possible to harness the efficiency of plasma technology for different applications within different stages of food production by optimizing system design for enhancement of microbiological, physiological and chemical quality characteristics of different types of foods. Excitingly, the modification of chemical structures within foods has been demonstrated and provides an avenue for adding value to byproducts waste streams, discovery of functional properties of foods as well as safety in terms of reducing immunoreactivity. There is a need to fully assess the benefits and risks of standalone cold plasma unit processes or their integration as a processing chain, and what the economic, ecological and consumer benefits and acceptability are (see Outstanding Questions). Within primary food production, more research is required to address long-term and multi-generation effects of plasma on seeds and plant growth to produce sustainable foods. The increasing knowledge of the ongoing cold plasma mediated effects within biological systems that has emerged from plasma medicine research, has relevance to plant tissues, insects and cells which are also living systems. This exposes the need to understand the biochemical interactions in detail in each whole system to determine and control the optimum plasma process designs for agriculture and food.

Box 1
Plasma modes and applications for food production

Cold plasma (CP) technology finds applications during different stages of agricultural food production. Depending on the product or point of application, plasma treatment may be:

- Direct CP, applied to food products in bulk and integrated into the food-processing stream has been proposed for a conveyor belt system [61].
- Indirect CP through application of plasma-activated water (PTW) in the form of washes, sprays or mists, can be used for decontamination of fresh produce or processing equipment where liquid disinfectants are currently employed [62-64].
- In-package CP discharge generated inside a sealed food package provides decontamination through the action of reactive species over an extended time period and mitigates against recontamination [65,66]. This is particularly beneficial for ready-to-eat foods or may be combined with modified atmosphere packaging for meat or fish products.

A majority of studies of CP have focused on fresh produce intended for uncooked consumption. The nature of these foods and the demand for fresh and minimally processed foods requires technologies that provide non-thermal treatment with minimal detrimental impact and/or improvement in product quality. Antimicrobial efficacy needs to be balanced with color loss and damage to delicate plant structures especially for ROS-rich discharges [67].

CP has been applied for decontamination of dried spices and nuts such as almonds. Cooked food products are less common targets for plasma applications since the cooking procedure itself serves as a decontamination step and the advantage of low temperature treatment offered by cold plasma is not required. The application of plasma to liquid food products such as juice or milk has been studied with regards to decontamination of natural microflora or challenge organisms and products quality preservation [68,69]. Foods with high protein and/or lipid content pose a greater challenge to cold plasma applications both in terms of the efficacy of microbial decontamination and changes to the product quality. A high protein content greatly reduces the antimicrobial efficacy due to scavenging of plasma reactive species as well as allowing microorganisms to recover and regrow more easily post-treatment [70]. Meat products with high lipid content are prone to lipid peroxidation, which can affect product appearance and quality. Application to fish foods also poses challenges in terms of quality retention [71].

Box 2

Selecting cold plasma treatment operating parameters to enhance food safety
The WHO Initiative to Estimate the Global Burden of Foodborne Diseases provided a first estimate on global foodborne disease incidence, mortality, and disease burden in 2015 and reported approximations of 600 million foodborne illnesses and 420,000 deaths globally in 2010. Whilst foodborne disease-outbreaks are particularly widespread in the developing world, they still present considerable public health risk in the developed world despite a plethora of food safety measures and hygiene practices. Microorganisms adapt, consumption and trade patterns change and processing errors occur. Microbial pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria* and Hepatitis A virus figured strongly in the cases of multi-state disease outbreaks in the US reported by the CDC for 2016 and resulted from products such as fresh or frozen fruit and vegetables, meat or dairy products, while Campylobacteriosis associated with poultry and Salmonellosis presented the predominant outbreaks in Europe. Experimental studies with cold plasma have shown efficient inactivation of pathogenic bacteria such as *Salmonella*, *E. coli*, *Listeria* or surrogate viruses in liquid media, on surfaces or in food models [72].

If cold plasma is considered a food process, it is important to consider the key risks and how processing parameters interact with those risks to arrive at a risk appropriate intervention. To date, key plasma processing variables responsible for efficient inactivation of these microorganisms with ‘dry’ cold plasma systems have included voltage level, AC waveform frequency, frequency of treatment, treatment time, species retention post treatment and working gas composition. Generally, longer treatment duration, higher frequency and voltage levels can significantly increase antibacterial properties of treatment. The size and the geometry of plasma system is also among essential treatment parameters, influencing the decontamination efficiency of resulting plasma discharge and should be considered in optimization studies with respect to different products for successful integration of this technology in food production lines. For example, a large volume treatment chamber may reduce the total density of plasma reactive species and the probability of collision of these reactive species with inoculated produce, thereby impacting antimicrobial efficacy. As for any surface decontamination technology, the microbiological target, produce surface complexity, geometries and surface area are the main restricting factors limiting CP microbial inactivation process. In addition, disinfection can become less effective against bacterial biofilms associated with rough surfaces of produce and against bacterial cells internalised in produce tissue.
**Pesticide degradation and agricultural environmental remediation**

Cold plasma can degrade pesticide residues for a number of different organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticide compounds and on various substrates. Key to the degradation process is the multitude of reactive species in the plasma discharge including $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{O}$, $\text{H}$, $\text{OH}$ radicals, which can be employed for an advanced oxidation process. Pesticide residues in water and on strawberries were significantly reduced by high voltage in-package Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) discharge after 5-8 min of treatment [73,74]. Analysis of plasma-treated samples suggested the generation of degradation products with simpler chemical groups and lower toxicity than the parent compound. Thus, cold plasma treatment of agrochemicals on food surfaces offers potential for zero-residue clean labels and reduced consumer risk.

With regard to air pollution control, Ye and colleagues [75] successfully utilized continuous direct-current corona discharge plasma for disinfection of air contaminated with *Penicillium*, suggesting that this technology is a promising technique to control postharvest mold rots during cold storage or to prevent contamination of a controlled growth environment. This offers great potential for safety and sustainability in intensive fresh food production where pesticide alternatives are sought, but where cross contamination is easy with enormous cost implications.

CP technology has drawn increasing attention for soil remediation contaminated with organic compounds generated from industrial waste emission, agricultural production and atmospheric deposition [76]. The soil remediation from non-aqueous phase organic liquids (NAPLs) (the main contaminants of soil and groundwater environment) is a challenge since they tend to sink in groundwater systems, with complex dispersal and plume patterns. Depending on NAPL composition, atmospheric pressure DBD CP removed high concentrations of NAPL within minutes [77]. Approximately 94% of glyphosate (non-selective herbicide) was degraded within 45 min of DBD plasma treatment with no phytotoxic effect of the treated soil leachate observed on wheat seed germination and seedling growth [80]. CP DBD has been shown to degrade persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in soil, with removal of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), acid scarlet GR and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT). This presents a viable approach to remediate recalcitrant POPs with high efficiency [78].

**Box 4**

**Case Study**
Regulatory aspects: Cold Plasma control of wheat spoilage

The process of getting regulatory review and approval in the United States for a new technology is process specific and claim dependent. For example, if one applied for approval of a new dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) direct plasma treatment for whole wheat grain with the claim of spoilage reduction; initial pre-paperwork regulatory meetings are needed to define the specific experimentation, data analysis and post-data analysis protocols required.

For cereal grains such as wheat, regulatory oversight resides with USDA-FGIS (https://www.gipsa.usda.gov/fgis/fgis.aspx). However, they do not provide a safety opinion or effectiveness evaluation of a new technology. This is the task of the US EPA (treatment efficacy) and US FDA (product labeling and residue determination). Thus, the initial meeting for this new DBD technology use in wheat requires discussion amongst three agencies to determine what data each needs to adequately inform their regulatory decisions and ultimately approval of the process. Based on the intended benefit(s) being claimed and the evaluations completed by US EPA and US FDA, FGIS may allow approval with no declaration or may require labeling of the finished product receiving the plasma treatment (depending on FDA findings regarding residues or whether the process is classified to result in an indirect food additive). Also, if there are measureable deleterious effects on the grain performance, the agencies may decide to place strict limits on its conditions of use and require specific certification or residue testing. US EPA has regulatory authority for efficacy of treatment process and assessment of any environmental impact resulting from the technology. The FDA has the responsibility to determine if the treatment process results in any potential changes to the food and if so, whether these changes are substantive and need to be labeled. Examples of the types of data needed for regulatory evaluation include controlled studies on efficacy for the most difficult product to treat while achieving the targeted efficacy with corresponding quality, chemical, and sensory changes. Additionally, if the claims result in an improved nutrition, enhanced safety (e.g., pasteurization or sterilization), or other marketable benefits, then additional studies and additional regulatory data are required. For example, pasteurization requires identifying the pathogenic organism most resistant to the technology commonly found within or on the product, with demonstrated consistent reductions that meet the regulatory definition of pasteurization. Thus, the emphasis on resistance profiles and mechanistic insights from microbiology studies using cold plasma.
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Applying CP or plasma activated liquids to the agriculture and food continuum has the potential to increase food safety and quality through non-thermal pasteurization or sterilization of food at harvest, post-harvest or processing stages. This can reduce food waste; efficiently degrade pesticides and mycotoxins as well as inactivate pests. Cold plasma processes may be used to generate nitrate rich substrates, thereby increasing seeds germination and yield.

Numerous studies demonstrated that CP has inactivation effects on bacteria via bacterial cell wall/membrane or extracellular polymeric substance disruption or via action on intracellular components, metabolic activity or virulence factors such as prevention of biofilm formation leading to cell death. Besides improving microbiological quality, CP treatment results in enhanced physicochemical, physiological and functional properties of foods.

**Glossary**

**Biofilms**: Biofilms are 3D communities of sessile microorganisms either in mono or mixed populations, which provide protection to microbial contaminants against antimicrobial or decontamination treatments.

**Cold plasma (CP)**: is commonly referred to as the fourth state of matter where increases in a material energy levels converts its state from solid to liquid to gas and ultimately to an ionized state of the gas, “plasma”, which exhibits unique properties. Cold plasma (CP) is comprised of several excited atomic, molecular, ionic, and radical species, co-existing with numerous reactive species, including electrons, positive and negative ions, free radicals, gas atoms, molecules in the ground or excited state and quanta of electromagnetic radiation (UV photons and visible light). Depending on the generation conditions, plasma can be classified into low-, atmospheric- or high-pressure and also subdivided into thermal and non-thermal (i.e. cold) plasmas. Thermal plasma can be generated by heating the gas to high temperatures, which may exceed several thousands of Kelvins, where all the constituent chemical species electrons and ions exist in a thermodynamic equilibrium. In contrast, non-thermal or cold
Plasmas are characterized by non-equilibrium, where cooling of the ions and uncharged molecules is significantly more effective than that of energy transfer from electrons resulting in the gas remaining at a low temperature.

**Dielectric barrier discharge (DBD):** is the electrical discharge between two electrodes separated by an insulating dielectric material.

**Fungal spores:** small resistant structures (2–20 μm) that serve for fungal reproduction and survival.

**Mycotoxins:** secondary metabolites produced by fungi that can cause adverse health effects in both humans and animals. Mycotoxins are mainly produced by 6 genera of fungi: *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Fusarium, Alternaria, Claviceps* and *Stachybotrys* occurring in food and feed commodities both pre- and post-harvest. The adverse effects include carcinogenic, mutagenic, estrogenic, nephrotoxic, neurotoxic, hepatotoxic, immunosuppressive and gastrointestinal toxicity.

**Pesticides:** constitute any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pests. The term pesticide covers a wide range of compounds including insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, rodenticides, molluscicides, nematicides, plant growth regulators and others. They can also serve as plant regulators, defoliants, or dessicants.

**Plasma treated water (PTW):** PTW can be obtained by subjecting water to a plasma process. This results in the generation of a wide range of chemically reactive species that can be retained within the water. Plasma treatment can be applied to liquid effluent from various industrial sectors for decontamination purposes, which can refer to plasma processed liquids. Term “plasma activated water” is widely used for plasma medicine applications.

**Stress hardening:** microorganisms adapted to stress, which develop homologous or cross-resistance. Continuous exposure of bacteria to sub-lethal stresses may lead to a homologous resistance, i.e. lead to the increased resistance to subsequent applications of the same stress. Foods are complex environments in which microorganisms may face a range of stresses from the food itself (intrinsic stress) or process (extrinsic stress) environment. Cells adapted to stress, hardened, are able to withstand further stresses coming from processing environment or food treatments. For example, *E. coli* is more resistant to ozone treatment when cells are pre-exposed to acidic environment; *L. monocytogenes* develops cross resistance to antibiotic treatment due to either an increase in salt concentration, acidic environment or reduced temperature conditions.
Future reading


Trends

- In package decontamination of foods using cold plasma has advanced this technology as a unit process for fresh foods decontamination and shelf-life extension.
- Chemical residues of agricultural pesticides of varying structure can be degraded to safe or less toxic structures using cold plasma.
- Cold plasma mediated control of contaminants with the promotion of seeds germination and plant growth offers alternatives to current pesticides and fertilizers for agriculture.
- Controlling plasma reactive species formulations in dry and liquid delivery formats advances the potential for understanding and successful translation to multiple points along the agriculture and food sectors.
- Employing predictive microbiology, process optimization tools and a systems approach with controlled reactive species formulations may achieve risk or problem tailored solutions for whole food systems.
Outstanding questions

- Can plasma treatment achieve commercial sterility with regards to biological, chemical and allergenic contaminants and result in ‘zero residue’ products? In the context of ‘clean labels’ this would provide market advantage and a potential route to consumer acceptance.

- Importantly, in terms of antimicrobial resistance or pesticide resistance - key issues in agriculture and food production - can cold plasma treatment exert long-term selective pressure for resistant microorganisms and pests?

- Can cold plasma treatment lead to increased horizontal gene transfer in the existing microflora?

- In terms of multi-species contaminants and microbial ecologies, will cold plasma treatment enhance or decrease the safety or shelf-life profile?

- Are cold plasma reactive species and their effects controllable in complex food or environmental matrices?

- What are the cold plasma reactive species formulations required to control specific biological or chemical risks?

- Can cold plasma deposition lead to chemical cross-contamination of food products?

- How does plasma treatment effect the biodegradability of agricultural and food waste?

- What are the organoleptic impacts on food formulations? Does it impact taste positively or at all?

- What is the consumer acceptability of this technology for food processing?
Atmospheric Cold Plasma Science and Technology - a platform technology with potential to replace or integrate with many production stages on the agriculture and food continuum due to its low energy requirement and flexibility in system design.

- Plasma generated nitrate fertiliser
- Plasma activated liquids for decontamination
- Reduced food waste
- Non-thermal Pasteurisation/sterilisation of food
- Pest and mycotoxin removal
- Reduced bacterial levels at harvest
- Pesticides degradation
- Increased germination/yield
- Reduced RESIDUES

Key Figure
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Effect on bacterial cells

- Cell membrane or cell wall disruption (cell leakage)
- DNA damage
- Lipid peroxidation
- Protein dysfunction
- Extracellular polymeric substance destruction
- Biofilm formation prevention
- Interference with cell metabolic activity and virulence factors

Effect on food products

- Microbiological quality
- Physicochemical quality
- Functional properties
- Physiological properties
- Enhanced plant growth parameters
- Delayed spoilage
- Enhanced safety
- Enzymatic activity
- Nutritional characteristics
- Sensory properties (color, taste, texture)