2019

From dreaming to believing: A review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process

Dean Creevey

National University of Ireland, Maynooth, Dean.Creevey@mu.ie

Etain Kidney

Technological University Dublin, etain.kidney@tudublin.ie

Glenn Mehta

Technological University Dublin, glenn.mehta@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/buschmarart>

Part of the [Business Administration, Management, and Operations Commons](#), [Hospitality Administration and Management Commons](#), [Marketing Commons](#), [Technology and Innovation Commons](#), and the [Tourism and Travel Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Dean Creevey, Etain Kidney & Glenn Mehta (2019) From dreaming to believing: a review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process, *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 36:6, 679-691, DOI: 10.1080/10548408.2019.1624242

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Marketing at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, gerard.connolly@tudublin.ie.

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333514767>

From dreaming to believing: a review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process

Article in *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* · July 2019

DOI: 10.1080/10548408.2019.1624242

CITATIONS

7

READS

690

3 authors, including:

Dean Creevey

National University of Ireland, Maynooth

2 PUBLICATIONS 8 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

From dreaming to believing: a review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process

Dean Creevey, Etain Kidney & Glenn Mehta

To cite this article: Dean Creevey, Etain Kidney & Glenn Mehta (2019) From dreaming to believing: a review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process, Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, 36:6, 679-691

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2019.1624242>

Published online: 31 May 2019.

Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)

View Crossmark data [↗](#)

ARTICLE

From dreaming to believing: a review of consumer engagement behaviours with brands' social media content across the holiday travel process

Dean Creevey^a, Etain Kidney^b and Glenn Mehta^c

^aSchool of Business, Maynooth University, Co Kildare, Ireland; ^bSchool of Marketing, College of Business, Technological University Dublin – City Campus, Dublin, Ireland; ^cDepartment of Marketing and Business Computing, School of Business & Humanities, Technological University Dublin – Tallaght Campus, Dublin, Ireland

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews social media user engagement behaviours with brands and proposes a new stage within the travel process. Specifically, the pre-trip stage is examined and investigation into a two sub-stage split is proposed; pre-purchase and post-purchase. Dubbed the Believing phase, this paper calls for research into the patterns of engagement behaviour towards hotel brands' content at this currently under-researched stage. Current social media-focussed research examines influence on purchasing decisions of users, social media usage on-site, and post-trip evaluation. There remains a conceptual gap highlighting the behavioural patterns of users during the period between initial purchase and subsequent departure.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 December 2018
Revised 25 March 2019
Accepted 20 May 2019

KEYWORDS

Social media; consumer behaviour; engagement; holiday travel process; pre-trip; content marketing; hospitality marketing; hotels; online content; decision-making process

Introduction

Both business and society continue to be shaped by technological evolution. Digital platforms, including social media, have transformed the ways in which consumers interact and engage with brands (Kabadayi & Price, 2014; Ritz, Wolf, & McQuitty, *in press*), particularly how travellers access and utilise travel-related information (Xiang, Magnini, & Fesenmaier, 2015). These platforms have become crucial components within travel planning and play pivotal roles in travellers' overall experiences (Amaro, Duarte, & Henriques, 2016), due to the intangibility of the tourism product and the absence of evaluation prior to consumption (Schmallegger & Carson, 2008). Marketers have increasingly adopted social media platforms as pivotal consumer engagement avenues (Harrigan, Evers, Miles, & Daly, 2017). Concurrently, scholarly interest in social media's role within the tourism and hospitality spheres has grown (Leung, Law, van Hoof, & Buhalis, 2013; Zeng & Gerritsen, 2014).

Previous research has examined social media's role across each individual stage of the travel process, i.e. *pre-trip*, *during the trip*, and *post-trip* (Leung et al., 2013). The classical three-stage model has also been proposed as a cyclical process with the addition of the *dreaming* stage (Fotis, Buhalis, & Rossides, 2011). However, there remains a paucity within the extant literature which

examine how organisations may utilise social media platforms to engage consumers sequentially across all stages of the travel process, (Wang, Xiang, & Fesenmaier, 2014). The conceptualisations of consumers' behaviour at both the *dreaming* and *pre-trip* stages within the literature omit one key behavioural stage; that being consumer activity *post-purchase* but *pre-consumption* (see Wang, Xiang, et al., 2014). This paper proposes the *Believing* phase, a novel proposition within the context of consumer engagement behaviour and social media platforms enabling a more holistic travel process model. This phase will entail the split of the classical pre-trip phase into two distinct phases, separated by the point of actual purchase or booking. The Believing phase represents a to-date wholly under-researched phase of the travel process yet also, with the advent of social media platforms, a rich opportunity for brands to connect and engage with their clients prior to their departure.

Approach to this review

The purpose of this paper is to investigate past research on consumer engagement behaviours with hotel brands on social media platforms at varying stages of the travel cycle. For the purposes of this literature review, only full-length peer-reviewed articles from recognised high-quality publications were considered.

Whilst a literature search for engagement behaviours with hotel brands on social media specifically may have proven too narrow in scope, the search was broadened to include consumer/customer engagement more generally, including relevant studies incorporating social media marketing in hospitality to further strengthen the contextual contribution of the review. Adopting this approach ensured relevant literature published in other specialist fields was not overlooked; for example engagement behaviour research within a general strategic marketing journal (i.e. Dolan, Conduit, Fahy, & Goodman, 2016). To guarantee consistency in consideration of source quality, only publications listed within the 2018 Academic Journal Guide (ABS, 2018) or the Australian Business Deans Council Journal List (ABDC, 2019) were included, omitting other sources such as book chapters, conference proceedings, and trade publications.

Online databases and collections were utilised for searching for relevant articles for the review (Amaro & Duarte, 2013), including Web of Science, EBSCO, Scopus, and ScienceDirect. However, as the search function differs amongst the various databases, the search strategy was not always identical. For example, while Scopus allows for a search within article *title*, *abstract*, and *keywords*, Web of Science allows for a search within articles' *topic*. However, where appropriate, multiple searches were carried out to minimise the potential for oversight. Relevant articles were found utilising search strings such as < engagement AND "social media" AND hotel >. Article abstracts were then consulted to ensure relevancy to the current review as well as adherence to our screening criteria and either accept or discarded as appropriate. Finally, within the chosen articles, the reference lists were consulted for any additional literature which was not initially found during the search stage. This ensured a comprehensive pool of relevant and valuable articles for analysis.

Literature review

Engagement

Described by Thakur (2018) as "a state of mind of being emotionally invested with the focal object (brand or medium), which leads to customers' frequent interactions with the focal object" (p. 49), engagement continues to generate discussion across multiple domains (Hinson, Boateng, Renner, & Basewe Kosiba, *in press*). While not a new concept within the domain of business relationships, it has experienced an increase in practitioner interest over the past number of years (Alvarez-Milán, Felix, Rauschnabel, & Hinsch, 2018; Brodie, Hollebeek, Jurić, &

Ilić, 2011; Dessart, Veloutsou, & Morgan-Thomas, 2015; de Villiers, 2015; Hollebeek, 2018; So, King, & Sparks, 2014) as organisations strive to encourage and foster participation with their brands (Pralhad & Ramaswamy, 2004; Sawhney, Verona, & Prandelli, 2005; So, King, Sparks, & Wang, 2016). Nevertheless, there exists a level of debate regarding its conceptualisation (Hinson et al., *in press*; Kumar & Pansari, 2016; Leeflang, 2011). Despite the increasing interest in engagement as a marketing concept, there remains a lack of consensus regarding the appropriate definition, forms, dimensionality, and operationalisation of the term within that domain (Calder, Malthouse, & Maslowska, 2016; Gambetti, Graffigna, & Biraghi, 2012; Hollebeek, 2011b).

While both consumer engagement and customer engagement are seemingly used interchangeably by scholars (see Table 1), Brodie, Ilic, Juric, and Hollebeek (2013) scrutinise the relatively few succinct definitions of the terms within the literature. Further related terms including 'brand engagement' and "brand community engagement" have also been utilised in the literature (Dessart, Veloutsou, & Morgan-Thomas, 2016). Patterson, Yu, and de Ruyter (2006) describe customer engagement as the level of a *customer's* various presence in their relationship with an organisation, incorporating behavioural, emotional, and cognitive presences. Alternatively, consumer engagement is defined by Hollebeek, Glynn, and Brodie (2014) as a *consumer's* (i.e. not necessarily having made the purchase) positively-valenced cognitive, emotional and behavioural brand-related activity during, or related to, specific interactions between brand and consumer.

It may be argued that initial content marketing efforts on social media may be an attempt to generate favourable levels of brand awareness among *consumers* (Bruhn, Schoenmueller, & Schäfer, 2012) in the early stages of the consumer journey. Efforts, therefore, at latter stages (post-purchase) may then be geared toward more *customer* service elements (Schamari & Schaefer, 2015) and relationship building (Atwood & Morosan, 2015; Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh, & Gremler, 2004; Ho & Lee, 2015). Drawing on this distinction, customer engagement pertains to engagement activities occurring post-purchase, while consumer engagement represents a more all-encompassing definition and may be applied to engagement activities that occur at any stage of the holiday travel cycle.

Marketing literature shows a wide approach to the concept that is indistinctly referred to as consumer engagement, customer engagement, or brand engagement, adopting different approaches, meanings, and foci (Martí, Bigné, & Hyder, 2013). Some scholars have posited their own conceptualisations of consumer engagement as either predominantly behavioural

(Bijmolt et al., 2010; van Doorn et al., 2010) or psychological (Brodie et al., 2011) in nature, while further positions pertain to a multidimensional construct, consisting of cognitive, emotional, and behavioural dimensions (Hollebeek, 2011b; Brodie et al., 2013; Patterson et al., 2006; So et al., 2014; Solem & Pedersen, 2016; Hinson et al., *in press*; see Table 1). The proceeding discussion centres on the behavioural manifestations of engagement on social media, as the success of content marketing strategies on social media platforms is predicated on the continuous generation of user interactions with brands. This may entail behavioural engagement with brands' content (likes, shares, retweets, filters/lenses) as well as the creation and distribution of brand-related user-generated content (reviews, hashtags, direct mentions). A behavioural based perspective of engagement has gained wide recognition within the literature (Dessart et al., 2015; Hinson et al., *in press*; Hollebeek et al., 2014) and is therefore deemed appropriate as a focus for the subsequent discussion. While the role of both cognitive and affective dimensions of engagement are widely acknowledged, as mentioned above, the constantly altering social media landscape consistently introduces

novel ways for brands to interact with users, further vindicating the behavioural focus within a social media context, as explored below.

Consumer engagement behaviours

Consumer engagement is viewed as a central pillar in determining the effectiveness of marketing and communications strategies on social media platforms, yet little is currently known about the underpinning factors which initiate it (Ge & Gretzel, 2018). In bestowing the term *brand dialogue behaviours*, Maslowska, Malthouse, and Collinger (2016) recognise the growing role of engagement behaviours outside that of actual purchase. Within a service environment specifically, previous frameworks have acknowledged the role of the customer in contributing to the overall experience, yet typically focus solely on the duration of the service encounter. The consumer engagement behaviour concept views consumers as being driven by own personal intentions and motivations, rather than those originating from the firm (Jaakkola & Alexander, 2014). The definition put forward by van Doorn et al. (2010; Table 1) has also been adopted in

Table 1. Engagement conceptualisations and dimensionality in the marketing literature (adapted from Brodie et al., 2011, p. 256; Hollebeek et al., 2014, p. 151).

Author(s)	Concept	Definition	Dimensionality
Patterson et al (2006)	Customer engagement	The level of a customer's physical, cognitive, and emotional presence in their relationship with a service organisation	Multidimensional: absorption (C), dedication (E), vigour/interaction (B)
Vivek, Beatty, and Morgan (2012)	Consumer engagement	The intensity of an individual's participation and connection with the organisation's offerings and activities initiated by either the customer or the organisation (cf.Vivek, 2009)	Multidimensional:C, E, B
Mollen and Wilson (2010)	Online brand engagement	The customer's cognitive and affective commitment to an active relationship with the brand as personified by the website or other computer-mediated entities designed to communicate brand! value	Multidimensional:sustained cognitive processing (C), instrumental value (C), experiential value (E)
Bowden (2009)	Customer engagement process	A psychological process that models the underlying mechanisms by which customer loyalty forms for new customers of a service brand as well as the mechanisms by which loyalty may be maintained for repeat purchase customers of a service brand	Multidimensional:C, E, B*
van Doorn et al. (2010)	Customer engagement behaviour	Customers' behavioural manifestation toward a brand or firm, beyond purchase, resulting from motivational drivers such as word-of-mouth activity, recommendations, helping other customers, blogging, writing reviews	Unidimensional: B
Hollebeek (2011a)	Customer brand engagement	The level of a customer's motivational, brand-related and context-dependent state of mind characterised by specific levels of cognitive, emotional, and behavioural activity in brand interactions	Multidimensional:C, E, B
Brodie et al. (2013)	Customer engagement	A multidimensional concept comprising cognitive, emotional, and/or behavioral dimensions, [which] plays a central role in the process of relational exchange where other relational concepts are engagement antecedents and/or consequences in iterative engagement processes within the brand community	Multidimensional: C, E, B
Hollebeek et al (2014)	Consumer brand engagement	A consumer's positively valenced cognitive, emotional and behavioural brand- related activity during, or related to, specific consumer/brand interactions	Multidimensional:C, E, B

Engagement dimensions: C, Cognitive; E, Emotional; B, Behavioural.

*Inferred from, rather than made explicit in the relevant research.

subsequent social media-focussed studies (see Schultz, 2017). One additional note on van Doorn et al. (2010) definition is that it explicitly pertains to “customer engagement behaviors” (p. 254, emphasis added), yet the authors proceed to suggest that these behaviours may also be targeted to “a much broader network of actors including other current and *potential customers* ... ” (p. 254, emphasis added). van Doorn et al. (2010) clearly acknowledge the capacity for not only current customers, but consumers in general, to generate these engagement behaviours with either the brand directly or other consumers. Kumar et al. (2010) acknowledge van Doorn et al. (2010) view yet argue that such a conceptualisation is incomplete while actual purchases remain omitted. Such a stance would further exemplify the requirement for a more holistic view of engagement, such as throughout the entire travel process.

Social media in tourism and hospitality

Social media platforms have fundamentally altered the ways in which travellers search, find, read, co-produce, and distribute information regarding tourism providers, destinations, and experiences (Sotiriadis, 2017). The term *social media* refers to a group of internet-based applications built on the ideological and technological foundations of Web 2.0, facilitating the creation and exchange of user-generated content (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010). Through engaging on social media platforms, travellers gain the capacity to become co-designers, co-producers, co-marketers, and co-consumers of the tourism experience (Sigala, Christou, & Gretzel, 2012). However, social media is relatively under-researched in the field of tourism and hospitality despite travellers’ increased usage of social platforms (Ying, Ze, & Law, 2018). Many previous social media-focussed studies have examined areas such as consumers’ online search behaviours and perceptions of social media (Hudson & Thal, 2013; Kang & Schuett, 2013; Xiang & Gretzel, 2010) as well as social media’s role in shaping post-trip experience and evaluation (Kim & Fesenmaier, 2017). Research adopting a practitioner’s perspective includes the use of social media by national tourism organisations and destination marketing organisations (Dwivedi, Yadav, & Venkatesh, 2012; Hays, Page, & Buhalis, 2013; Wozniak, Stangl, Schegg, & Liebrich, 2016), hospitality brands (Chan & Guillet, 2011; Leung & Bai, 2013), as well as the lesser-investigated small business sector (Ritz et al., *in press*).

Much of past research examining social media within tourism and hospitality has adopted a consumer perspective (Ying et al., 2018). Consumers’ destination- and hotel-related decision-making has fundamentally changed over

the last number of years (Hudson & Thal, 2013; Pabel & Prideaux, 2016; Serra Cantallops & Salvi, 2014), particularly driven by the continued development of information and communications technologies (Buhalis & Law, 2008; Neuhofer, Buhalis, & Ladkin, 2012). Research regarding the use of social media among travellers has primarily examined its impact on travellers’ travel planning process and there is a consensus that travel decisions are highly influenced by social media (Amaro et al., 2016). Recent work has examined social media’s role in creating and shaping tourist expectations (Narangajavana, Callarisa Fiol, Moliner Tena, Rodríguez Artola, & Sánchez García, 2017). Typically discussed within the scope of the expectancy disconfirmation paradigm (Oliver, 1980), consumers develop expectations regarding a product or service before they proceed to purchase. Within the tourism sphere, travellers will usually develop initial expectations regarding the form and quality of services offered within a specific destination (Lorenzo, Avilés, & Centeno, 2010) and, by extension, hotels. The study by Narangajavana et al. (2017) found that user-generated content on social media platforms does play a role in the development of traveller expectations. A user’s level of trust in the information is based on its content, not on the providers, fuelling the notion that tourists’ expectations will be created once they believe in or trust the information they receive, regardless of its source (Narangajavana et al., 2017). This finding raises important questions regarding the effectiveness of brand-created content, especially when considering the study of Cox, Burgess, Sellitto, and Bultjens (2009) who state that, while user-generated content is well-accepted, it is not considered to be as credible or as reliable as official, government sponsored websites. Taking the findings of both Narangajavana et al. (2017) and Cox et al. (2009) into account, future research may look at the impact of social media content in creating and shaping expectations after a purchase or booking has been made, yet before the individual or group travels (i.e. the *Believing* phase). Such an inquiry may further guide brands who continue to seek to understand how and why travellers utilise social media platforms at varying stages of the travel cycle (i.e. Amaro et al., 2016).

Consumer engagement behaviours on social media platforms

Online environments such as social media platforms have significantly extended the manner and depth of consumer–brand interactions (Christodoulides, 2009; Vohra & Bhardwaj, 2019) as well as consumer–consumer interactions (Hook, Baxter, & Kulczynski, 2018). Examining online content marketing as a prospective, as well as already-existing, customer relationship marketing tool potentially

revolutionises the scope of relationship marketing (Hollebeek & Macky, 2019). While their influence within the hospitality industry has already been recognised (Cervellon & Galipienzo, 2015), there remains an open question regarding the users' motivations in interacting with brands on these platforms (Schultz, 2017). The most common approach typically involves brands designing and posting content (text, images, and/or videos) on their social media pages for their consumer "fans" or community to see and, hopefully, interact with. This is commonly referred to as social media content marketing and has become an important part of major brands' media mixes (Stephen, Sciandra, & Inman, 2015).

Engagement has been found to be a predictor of multiple brand-related outcomes, such as brand usage intent and self-brand connection, while consumer involvement has been found to be a predictor consumer-brand engagement (Harrigan, Evers, Miles, & Daly, 2018). Increasing engagement is desirable because it is thought to be associated with a stronger consumer-brand relationship and brand affinity, increased satisfaction and loyalty, and increased purchases (Brodie et al., 2013; Calder, Malthouse, & Schaedel, 2009; Gummerus, Liljander, Weman, & Pihlström, 2012; Laroche, Habibi, & Richard, 2013; van Doorn et al., 2010; Vivek, Beatty, & Morgan, 2012). However, few studies have considered engagement from both a consumer-initiated and firm-initiated perspective (Yang, Lin, Carlson, & Ross Jr., 2016). The idea of a brand-initiated and controlled marketing communications model has been described as being unreflective of today's reality of a more democratised marketing communications landscape (Maslowska et al., 2016).

While also recognising the role and importance of the cognitive and affective components of engagement, previous studies within a social media context have examined the *behavioural* manifestations of engagement (Dessart et al., 2016; Gummerus et al., 2012; van Doorn et al., 2010) including within a service marketing context (Solem & Pederson, 2016). Both consumer and expert informants focus heavily on behavioural aspects when discussing and defining engagement which has led to three sub-dimensions of engagement being proposed; sharing, learning, and endorsing (Dessart et al., 2016). Users who are engaged with brands on Facebook not only invest their energy, time, and effort on behaviours such as posting comments and liking posts, but also feel enthused and positive toward these brands (Hinson et al., *in press*). The definition of social media engagement behaviours proposed in this paper originates from the seminal definition posited by van Doorn et al. (2010, p. 254), which was also later adapted to include a consumer

and social media-specific focus by Dolan et al. (2016, p. 265). Our definition is as follows:

Social media engagement behaviours may be specifically defined as a consumer's behavioural manifestations that have a brand-created social media content focus, resulting from motivational drivers, across all stages of the travel cycle.

Dolan et al. (2016) focus on the behavioural aspects of engagement and propose a seven-pronged typology of social media engagement behaviours (SMEBs): *co-creation*, *positive contribution*, *consumption*, *dormancy*, *detachment*, *negative contribution*, and *co-destruction* (cf. Brodie et al., 2011; Hollebeek & Chen, 2014; Muntinga, Moorman, & Smit, 2011). The typology highlights the importance of recognising negatively-valenced engagement behaviours (NVBs) (Hollebeek & Chen, 2014), despite much of current literature examining customer engagement focusing on positive-valenced engagement behaviours (PVBs) (van Doorn et al., 2010). Due to the diverse nature of the communication and interaction between brands and users offered by varying social media platforms, a typology such as that proposed by Dolan et al. (2016) remains of import. As Figure 1 graphically depicts on the positive scale, *consumption* represents a passive viewing, listening, or reading of content (such as a tweet or Facebook post), while *positive contribution* behaviour would include liking and/or commenting on social media content. The highest-level construct, *co-creation*, refers to users' spontaneous and positive contribution to brands' social media presences through behaviour such as posting brand-related images or video, reviews, or blogs. Conversely, the negative constructs represent the opposing instances of such behaviours (Dolan et al., 2016). Co-production of marketing content reflects a transfer of control to users, facilitating their input on the frequency, timing, and relevance of marketing content they consume online (Ritz et al., *in press*).

So far, there have been few empirical studies on customer engagement behaviours, in general, and particularly in social media (Gummerus et al., 2012; Stephen et al., 2015). Stephen et al. (2015) assert that research that has been conducted within this context has offered only a limited perspective on how *different types* of brand content on social media (such as what is said as well as how it's communicated) affect *different forms* of CEB in response to that content. For example, persuasive content was found to have a significant effect on engagement behaviours. Consumers were found to react more favourable to content which was relevant to the brand, yet not overtly advertising-focussed (Stephen et al., 2015).

Figure 1. Typology of social media engagement behaviours (Dolan et al., 2016).

Characteristics of social media content

An emerging theoretical literature in advertising has started to investigate the effects of content (Lee, Hosanagar, & Nair, 2018) although the volume of digital content research remains sparse (Hollebeek & Macky, 2019). Social media platforms offer brands myriad options for marketing, including the sharing of branded content (Tuten & Solomon, 2013). The travel industry can be viewed as occupying an ideal position to exploit the potential of information and communications technology (Law, Buhalis, & Cobanoglu, 2014); this explains the increasing use of the internet to research and purchase tourism products and services (Buhalis & Law, 2008). This has paved the way for social media content to become a powerful force driving travel planning and decision-making, playing a crucial role in travellers' overall experience. Travellers now have access to ample travel information and content created by other travellers and brands alike (Amaro et al., 2016), although few studies to date have examined social media message effectiveness within the hospitality industry (Leung, Tanford, & Jiang, 2017).

Contrary to advertising's traditional role of generating desire and persuading consumers into a purchase, social media content aims to engage users to develop a more substantial appreciation of the brand by providing various forms of value (Hollebeek & Macky, 2019). Existing literature has categorised four distinct groups of social media content: *informational*, *entertainment*, *remunerative*, and *relational* content (see de Vries, Gansler, & Leeflang, 2012; Dolan et al., 2016; Muntinga et al., 2011; Taylor, Lewin, & Strutton, 2011). Previous research has outlined how *information-seeking* remains an important motivating factor for individuals to utilise social media platforms (Lin & Lu, 2011; Park, Kee, & Valenzuela, 2009). Content with a *functional*

appeal (i.e. describing the utility or functionality of a product or service) has been cited as the most commonly used appeal among brands (Ashley & Tuten, 2015). The value of *entertaining* media is rooted in its propensity to fulfil an individual's need for escapism, hedonistic pleasure, aesthetic enjoyment and emotional release (McQuail, 1983), while the entertainment value of a social networking site is important for attracting users (Lin & Lu, 2011; Park et al., 2009). Further, several social media-focused studies have signalled *remuneration* as a driver of online community contribution, involving engagement with social media to gain some future reward (Muntinga et al., 2011), such as special offers, promotions, prices and exclusive deals (Dolan, 2016). Finally, Dolan (2016) explains that *relational* content as appealing to fans' needs for social interaction by asking the audience questions, providing fun quizzes, or posting photos of customers or staff members. Such content can appease consumers' needs for integration and social interaction and their desires for social benefits which have been highlighted as key motivators for internet usage (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2004).

Previous research into brand content strategies and audience response has indicated only a marginal support for the hypothesis that entertaining posts generate a higher number of likes than informational content, while there existed no statistically significant difference between the two content categories in terms of shares on social media (Tafesse, 2015). Tafesse (2015) surmises that content type does not seem to play a role in determining the electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) potential of brand's posts. Similar content analysis by Lee et al. (2018) found that directly informative content yielded lower engagement rates, yet certain types could induce higher click-through rates. More positive engagement levels were found to be associated with more brand

personality-related content (Lee et al., 2018). In terms of modality of content, Leung et al. (2017) maintain that it is not the format which is the key factor in determining the effectiveness of hotel content, but its purpose. Leung et al. (2017) found that product-centric messages in either a word-based or web link format were most effective in influencing intention to spread eWOM and booking intention. However, the varying methodologies employed in these studies, in terms of both the industry examined and the use of genuine Facebook pages, may account for these discrepancies. Finally, readability has also been shown to impact social media post popularity, whether such posts were shorter (such as tweets) or longer (such as Facebook posts) (Pancer, Chandler, Poole, & Noseworthy, 2019). One consistent thread throughout these studies is the omission of any postulation as to the most effective forms of content at varying stages of the consumer journey. Further inquiry is needed to address this gap, while a more holistic approach to examining consumer engagement behaviours with brands' content over the course of the entire consumer journey will provide a more comprehensive content marketing framework for tourism and hospitality brands.

Re-examining the holiday travel process

Many travellers are nowadays connected to the internet in all stages of the travel cycle (Leung et al., 2013; Pabel & Prideaux, 2016). Social media remains a force in shaping travel planning behaviour (Pabel & Prideaux, 2016; Xiang et al., 2015) across all age groups (Kim, Xiang, & Fesenmaier, 2015). However, its use in the hotel decision-making decisions of consumers remains an under-developed topic (Varkaris & Neuhofer, 2017) in favour of other stakeholders such as destination marketing organisations (Pabel & Prideaux, 2016). The travel process is often characterised in a three-stage framework: pre-trip, during-the-trip, and post-trip and search for varying forms of information across these diverse stages (Choe, Kim, & Fesenmaier, 2017; Choi, Lehto, & O'Leary, 2007; Cox et al., 2009). Tourism and hospitality brands require effective social media strategies to enhance users' ability to maximise these platforms in their information search activities (Pabel & Prideaux, 2016). Correia (2002) investigated the decision-making process of travellers and proposed the act of purchasing a trip be classified into three distinct phases: the pre-decision, and the decision stage, and the post-decision stage. Other prior research has shown that, in terms of information search, professional sources play a significant role before a definite decision is made, with information from friends and family being more significant after the definitive trip decision (Bieger & Laesser, 2004). Internet tools remain a critical source of information pre- and post- purchase of trips,

with little deviation occurring between these two stages in terms of source (Björk & Kauppinen-Räsänen, 2015), still research is yet to enquire whether there is a deviation in behaviour regarding social media activity with brands.

Information and communications technologies have been widely-cited as playing an important role within the travel planning process and subsequent traveller behaviour and decision-making across all stages of the process (Gretzel et al., 2006; Korneliusson & Greenacre, 2018; Vogt & Fesenmaier, 1998; Wang, Park, & Fesenmaier, 2012). Social media platforms specifically have been found to be more important sources of information for younger travellers rather than for those within a more mature age group (Draper, 2016). However, it has been argued that travellers' use of social media at the pre-trip phase is not exclusively devoted to trip planning but also dreaming or fostering a desire to travel at some time in the future (Gretzel et al., 2006; Volo, 2010). Consumers often search for information regarding their already-made purchases, even when such purchases are irreversible (Russo & Leclerc, 1994). Given the myriad of options available to travellers, credible and trustworthy sources have been highlighted as key components in predicting the use of information sources (Kerstetter & Cho, 2004). First-time visitors to a destination have been found to be more likely to frequent various websites in search of information regarding a destination over a repeat visitor (Draper, 2016; Lehto, Kim, & Morrison, 2006). Social media platforms can influence traveller perception and (re)interpretations of places and people (Jansson, 2007; Kang & Gretzel, 2012; Tussyadiah & Fesenmaier, 2009) and even facilitated new experiences through the reinforcement (or reinterpretation) of the meaning of a trip (Tussyadiah & Fesenmaier, 2009). However, more recent research has endeavoured to examine the influence of social media platforms in the decision-making process of consumers when seeking to book travel accommodation (Varkaris & Neuhofer, 2017). Online consumers now not only expect truthful information, but also seek hedonic pleasure while searching for such information (Song & Yoo, 2016).

One significant literature gap remains in examining the examining consumers' propensity to generate consumer engagement behaviours towards hotel brands own social media content at these distinct phases of the travel cycle. For example, Choe et al. (2017) call for further research to be conducted exploring the various touchpoints of travellers to understand their behaviours and experiences through all phases of the travel process, and how social media platform use varies throughout the entire process. Recent research has sought to investigate the role and influence of online reviews and evaluations at all stages of the tourism experience, yet the area remains insufficiently investigated (Sotiriadis, 2017;

Thakur, 2018). Barger, Peltier, and Schultz (2016) mirror this sentiment in their call for the development of comprehensive frameworks examining antecedents and consequences of consumer engagement on social media.

One area which is yet to be specifically investigated is consumers' engagement behaviour with hotel's social media content post-purchase, but before consumption (i.e. during-the-trip). Under the current model, the cessation of the dreaming stage and the beginning of the pre-trip stage occurs once the *decision* to travel to a specific destination has been made, this research acknowledges the *decision* to travel and actual *purchase* or *booking* remain two mutually exclusive events within the travel process. Therefore, this research proposes a distinct *Believing* stage within the historically holistic *pre-trip* phase of previous conceptualisations of the travel cycle. As Figure 2 shows, the *Believing* phase occupies the time between the *booking* and departure.

While social media has already been cited as being a key factor in consumer decision-making across the pre-, during, and post-trip phases of the cycle, there remains little research empirically examining how consumers interact with hotel brands' content across these three broad stages and, therefore, the diverse sub-phase encased within. Further inquiry into the use of online information channels throughout the travel consumption cycle has also been called for (e.g. Cohen, Prayag, & Moital, 2014). One note on this proposal is the length of time, and its susceptibility to change, a traveller will occupy the *Believing* phase of the travel cycle. Certain "deal-seekers" adopt a strategy of minimising the financial investment in travel via a high-involvement approach in researching and comparing varying providers, often only completing a booking at the last minute (Scaglione, Johnson, & Favre, 2018). Such an approach would constitute a very low amount of time that the consumer would be in the *Believing* phase. However, some consumers, particularly groups, tend to book further in advance of travel (Kimes, 1989),

resulting in a longer occupancy of the *Believing* phase. Further research could explore the consequences of travellers being in the *Believing* phase for longer or shorter time periods, and the subsequent effect on their social media engagement behaviours.

Conclusion & further research

This paper calls for increased attention to be paid to the antecedents and consequences of consumer engagement behaviours of social media users towards hotel brands' content across all stages of the travel cycle. Reducing cognitive dissonance has been found to be a decisive factor in the post-purchase behaviour of tourists (Decrop & Snelders, 2004); further inquiry should also examine the forms and characteristics of content which generate positively- (and negatively-) valenced engagement behaviours across these diverse phases to generate a more holistic consumer engagement behaviour with hotel brands' social media content model (Figure 3). As consumers continue to rely on such technologies for gaining purchase information, an increased focus from brands on targeting consumers more effectively at distinct stages of the consumer journey will be critical to their marketing success. The *Believing* phase represents an under-researched phase of the travel cycle to date, yet one which may uncover multiple avenues for consumer behaviour research. For example, researchers may investigate if, upon completing a booking with a hotel brand, consumers are more likely to interact with the brand on social media, thus potentially increasing engagement levels prior to their actual visit. Additionally, would social media user's behavioural engagement levels with hotel brands' content rise following a booking and would factor such as escalation of commitment influence engagement behaviours.

Practitioners will gain invaluable insight into which forms of brand-created content holds the most value for users at varying stages of the travel cycle, leading

Figure 2. Updated holiday travel process model.

Figure 3. Future research directions.

to more in-depth content marketing and targeting strategies as well as higher levels of engagement. A potential contribution of the *Believing* phase would be the establishment of more targeted content marketing processes on social media platforms, targeting specific forms of content to social media users based on their current position along the cyclical travel cycle, rather than solely by the more traditional indicators, such as demographics, interests, etc. In practice, how this may operate would be through the design and development of a system which would allow hotel brands to connect with their recently-booked guests via social media platforms, adding them to a database of other guests occupying specific phases of the travel cycle (such as *Believing*, on-site, post-trip, etc.) in real time. This would enable the brand to add further contextual awareness to their social media marketing strategies which may target specific forms of content to users at certain travel cycle stages.

While past research has examined the performance of varying forms of content across multiple social media platforms via netnographic methodological approaches, such inquiry lacks the contextual evidence to aid brands in understanding which forms of content generate consumer engagement behaviours at which stages of the travel cycle. More focussed inquiry into addressing this literary gap can make significant contributions to both the marketing and travel consumer behaviour literatures. Finally, varying adoption and usage rates of social media platforms across cultures (Alsaleh, Elliott, Fu, & Thakur, 2019) also poses interesting opportunities for tourism and hospitality brands to refine their content marketing strategies toward consumers from diverse backgrounds.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

ORCID

Dean Creevey <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8534-1968>

References

- ABDC. (2019). ABDC Journal Quality List. Australian Business Deans Council. Retrieved from <https://abdc.edu.au/research/abdc-journal-list/>
- ABS. (2018). Academic Journal Guide. Chartered Association of Business Schools. Retrieved from <https://charteredabs.org/academic-journal-guide-2018/>
- Alsaleh, D. A., Elliott, M. T., Fu, F. Q., & Thakur, R. (2019). Cross-cultural differences in the adoption of social media. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 13, 119–140.
- Alvarez-Milán, A., Felix, R., Rauschnabel, P. A., & Hinsch, C. (2018). Strategic customer engagement marketing: A decision making framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 92, 61–70.
- Amaro, S., & Duarte, P. (2013). Online travel purchasing: A literature review. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30, 755–785.
- Amaro, S., Duarte, P., & Henriques, C. (2016). Travelers' use of social media: A clustering approach. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 59, 1–15.
- Ashley, C., & Tuten, T. (2015). Creative strategies in social media marketing: An exploratory study of branded social content and consumer engagement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32, 15–27.
- Atwood, M., & Morosan, C. (2015). An investigation of the persuasive effects of firm-consumer communication dyads using Facebook. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 7, 295–313.

- Barger, V., Peltier, J., & Schultz, D. (2016). Social media and consumer engagement: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 10, 268–287.
- Bieger, T., & Laesser, C. (2004). Information sources for travel decisions: Toward a source process model. *Journal of Travel Research*, 42, 357–371.
- Bijmolt, T., Leeflang, P., Block, F., Eisenbeiss, M., Hardie, B., Lemmens, A., & Saffert, P. (2010). Analytics for customer engagement. *Journal of Service Research*, 13, 341–356.
- Björk, P., & Kauppinen-Räsänen, H. (2015). Contemporary insights to the dynamic pre-trip information sourcing behaviour. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 15, 39–53.
- Bowden, Jana Lay-Hwa. (2009). The process of customer engagement: a conceptual framework. *Journal Of Marketing Theory And Practice*, 17(1), 63-74. doi:10.2753/MTP1069-6679170105
- Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14, 252–271.
- Brodie, R. J., Ilic, A., Juric, B., & Hollebeek, L. (2013). Consumer engagement in a virtual brand community: An exploratory analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(1), 105–114.
- Bruhn, M., Schoenmueller, V., & Schäfer, D. B. (2012). Are social media replacing traditional media in terms of brand equity creation? *Management Research Review*, 35, 770–790.
- Buhalis, D., & Law, R. (2008). Progress in information technology and tourism management: 20 years on and 10 years after the internet - The state of etourism research. *Tourism Management*, 29, 609–623.
- Calder, B. J., Malthouse, E. C., & Maslowska, E. (2016). Brand marketing, big data and social innovation as future research directions for engagement. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32, 579–585.
- Calder, B. J., Malthouse, E. C., & Schaedel, U. (2009). Engagement with online media and advertising effectiveness. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 23, 321–331.
- Cervellon, M.-C., & Galipienzo, D. (2015). Facebook pages content, does it really matter? Consumers' responses to luxury hotel posts with emotional and informational content. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 32, 428–437.
- Chan, N. L., & Guillet, B. D. (2011). Investigation of social media marketing: How does the hotel industry in Hong Kong perform in marketing on social media websites? *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 28, 345–368.
- Choe, Y., Kim, J., & Fesenmaier, D. (2017). Use of social media across the trip experience: An application of latent transition analysis. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 34, 431–443.
- Choi, S., Lehto, X. Y., & O'Leary, J. T. (2007). What does the consumer want from a DMO website? A study of US and Canadian Tourists' perspectives. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 9, 59–72.
- Christodoulides, G. (2009). Branding in the post-internet era. *Marketing Theory*, 9, 141–144.
- Cohen, S., Prayag, G., & Moital, M. (2014). Consumer behaviour in tourism: Concepts, influences and opportunities. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 17, 872–909.
- Correia, A. (2002). How do tourists choose? A conceptual framework. *Tourism - An International Interdisciplinary Journal*, 50, 21–29.
- Cox, C., Burgess, S., Sellitto, C., & Buultjens, J. (2009). The role of user-generated content in tourists' travel planning behavior. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 18, 743–764.
- de Villiers, R. (2015). Consumer brand enmeshment: Typography and complexity modeling of consumer brand engagement and brand loyalty enactments. *Journal of Business Research*, 68, 1953–1963.
- de Vries, L., Gensler, S., & Leeflang, P. (2012). Popularity of brand posts on brand fan pages: An investigation of the effects of social media marketing. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26, 83–91.
- Decrop, A., & Snelders, D. (2004). Planning the summer vacation: An adaptable process. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31, 1008–1030.
- Dessart, L., Veloutsou, C., & Morgan-Thomas, A. (2015). Consumer engagement in online brand communities: A social media perspective. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 24, 28–42.
- Dessart, L., Veloutsou, C., & Morgan-Thomas, A. (2016). Capturing consumer engagement: Duality, dimensionality and measurement. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32, 399–426.
- Dolan, R. (2016). Are Facebook fans really 'engaging' with our wine brands? *Wine & Viticulture Journal*, 31, 67–69.
- Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J., & Goodman, S. (2016). Social media engagement behaviour: A uses and gratifications perspective. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 24, 261–277.
- Draper, J. (2016). An exploratory study of the differences in prior travel experience and tourist information sources. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 16, 133–143.
- Dwivedi, M., Yadav, A., & Venkatesh, U. (2012). Use of social media by national tourism organisations: A preliminary analysis. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 13, 93–103.
- Fotis, J., Buhalis, D., & Rossides, N. (2011). Social media impact on holiday travel planning: The case of the russian and the fsu markets. *International Journal of Online Marketing*, 1, 1–19.
- Gambetti, R. C., Graffigna, G., & Biraghi, S. (2012). The grounded theory approach to consumer-brand engagement: The practitioner's standpoint. *International Journal of Market Research*, 54, 659–687.
- Ge, J., & Gretzel, U. (2018). Impact of humour on firm-initiated social media conversations. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 18, 61–83.
- Gretzel, U., & Fesenmaier, D. R., & O'Leary, J. (2006). The transformation of consumer behaviour. In D. Buhalis & C. Costa (Eds.), *Tourism Business Frontiers* (pp. 9–18). Burlington, MA: Elsevier doi:10.1016/B978-0-7506-6377-9.50009-2
- Gummerus, J., Liljander, V., Weman, E., & Pihlström, M. (2012). Customer engagement in a Facebook brand community. *Management Research Review*, 35, 857–877.
- Harrigan, P., Evers, U., Miles, M., & Daly, T. (2017). Customer engagement with tourism social media brands. *Tourism Management*, 59, 597–609.

- Harrigan, P., Evers, U., Miles, M. P., & Daly, T. (2018). Customer engagement and the relationship between involvement, engagement, self-brand connection and brand usage intent. *Journal of Business Research*, 88, 388–396.
- Hays, S., Page, S. J., & Buhalis, D. (2013). Social media as a destination marketing tool: Its use by National Tourism Organisations. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 16, 211–239.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004). Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the internet? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18, 38–52.
- Hinson, R., Boateng, H., Renner, A., & Basewe Kosiba, J. P. (in press). Antecedents and consequences of customer engagement on Facebook: An attachment theory perspective. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*. doi: 10.1108/JRIM-04-2018-0059
- Ho, C.-I., & Lee, P.-C. (2015). Are blogs still effective to maintain customer relationships?: An empirical study on the travel industry. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Technology*, 6, 5–25.
- Hollebeek, L. D. (2011a). Demystifying customer brand engagement: Exploring the loyalty nexus. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 27, 785–807.
- Hollebeek, L. D. (2011b). Exploring customer brand engagement: Definition and themes. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 19, 553–573.
- Hollebeek, L. D. (2018). Individual-level cultural consumer engagement styles: Conceptualization, propositions & implications. *International Marketing Review*, 35, 42–71.
- Hollebeek, L. D., & Chen, T. (2014). Exploring positively- versus negatively-valenced brand engagement: A conceptual model. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 23, 62–74.
- Hollebeek, L. D., Glynn, M. S., & Brodie, R. J. (2014). Consumer brand engagement in social media: Conceptualization, scale development and validation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28, 149–165.
- Hollebeek, L. D., & Macky, K. (2019). Digital content marketing's role in fostering consumer engagement, trust, and value: Framework, fundamental propositions, and implications. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 45, 27–41.
- Hook, M., Baxter, S., & Kulczynski, A. (2018). Antecedents and consequences of participation in brand communities: A literature review. *Journal of Brand Management*, 25, 277–292.
- Hudson, S., & Thal, K. (2013). The impact of social media on the consumer decision process: Implications for tourism marketing. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30, 156–160.
- Jaakkola, E., & Alexander, M. (2014). The role of customer engagement behavior in value cocreation a service system perspective. *Journal of Service Research*, 17, 247–261.
- Jansson, A. (2007). A sense of tourism: New media and the dialectic of encapsulation/decapsulation. *Tourist Studies*, 7, 2–24.
- Kabadayi, S., & Price, K. (2014). Consumer – Brand engagement on Facebook: Liking and commenting behaviors. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 8, 203–223.
- Kang, M., & Gretzel, U. (2012). Effects of podcast tours on tourist experiences in a national park. *Tourism Management*, 33, 440–455.
- Kang, M., & Schuett, M. A. (2013). Determinants of sharing travel experiences in social media. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30, 93–107.
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53, 59–68.
- Kerstetter, D., & Cho, M.-H. (2004). Prior knowledge, credibility and information search. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31, 961–985.
- Kim, H., Xiang, Z., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2015). Use of the internet for trip planning: A generational analysis. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 32, 276–289.
- Kim, J., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2017). Sharing tourism experiences: The posttrip experience. *Journal of Travel Research*, 56, 28–40.
- Kimes, S. E. (1989). The basics of yield management. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 30, 14–19.
- Korneliusson, T., & Greenacre, M. (2018). Information sources used by European tourists: A cross-national study. *Journal of Travel Research*, 57, 193–205.
- Kumar, V., Aksoy, L., Donkers, B., Venkatesan, R., Wiesel, T., & Tillmans, S. (2010). Undervalued or overvalued customers: Capturing total customer engagement value. *Journal of Service Research*, 13, 297–310.
- Kumar, V., & Pansari, A. (2016). Competitive advantage through engagement. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 53, 497–514.
- Laroche, M., Habibi, M. R., & Richard, M.-O. (2013). To be or not to be in social media: How brand loyalty is affected by social media? *International Journal of Information Management*, 33, 76–82.
- Law, R., Buhalis, D., & Cobanoglu, C. (2014). Progress on information and communication technologies in hospitality and tourism. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 26, 727–750.
- Lee, D., Hosanagar, K., & Nair, H. S. (2018). Advertising content and consumer engagement on social media: Evidence from Facebook. *Management Science*, 64, 5105–5131.
- Leeflang, P. (2011). Paving the way for distinguished marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 28, 6–88.
- Lehto, X. Y., Kim, D.-Y., & Morrison, A. M. (2006). The effect of prior destination experience on online information search behaviour. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 6, 160–178.
- Leung, D., Law, R., van Hoof, H., & Buhalis, D. (2013). Social media in tourism and hospitality: A literature review. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30, 3–22.
- Leung, X., Tanford, S., & Jiang, L. (2017). Is a picture really worth a thousand words? An experiment on hotel Facebook message effectiveness. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 8, 19–38.
- Leung, X. Y., & Bai, B. (2013). How motivation, opportunity, and ability impact travelers' social media involvement and revisit intention. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30, 58–77.
- Lin, K. Y., & Lu, H. P. (2011). Intention to continue using Facebook fan pages from the perspective of social capital theory. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 14, 565–570.
- Lorenzo, J., Avilés, G., & Centeno, M. (2010). Revisiting the expectancy/disconfirmation paradigm for small questionnaires: The cultural/heritage tourism case. *Revista Electrónica De Comunicaciones Y Trabajos De ASEPUMA*, 11, 155–177.

- Martí, J., Bigné, E., & Hyder, A. (2013). Brand engagement. In E. B. Luiz Moutinho & A. K. Manrai (Eds.), *The routledge companion to the future of marketing* (pp. 250–267). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Maslowska, E., Malthouse, E. C., & Collinger, T. (2016). The customer engagement ecosystem. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32, 469–501.
- McQuail, D. (1983). *Mass communication theory*. London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Mollen, A., & Wilson, H. (2010). Engagement, telepresence, and interactivity in online consumer experience: reconciling scholastic and managerial perspectives. *Journal of Business Research*, 63, 919–925.
- Muntinga, D. G., Moorman, M., & Smit, E. G. (2011). Introducing COBRAs. *International Journal of Advertising*, 30, 13–46.
- Narangajavana, Y., Callarisa Fiol, L. J., Moliner Tena, M. Á., Rodríguez Artola, R. M., & Sánchez García, J. (2017). The influence of social media in creating expectations. An empirical study for a tourist destination. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 65, 60–70.
- Neuhofer, B., Buhalis, D., & Ladkin, A. (2012). Conceptualising technology enhanced destination experiences. *Journal of Destination Marketing and Management*, 1, 36–46.
- Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17, 460–469.
- Pabel, A., & Prideaux, B. (2016). Social media use in pre-trip planning by tourists visiting a small regional leisure destination. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 22, 335–348.
- Pancer, E., Chandler, V., Poole, M., & Noseworthy, T. J. (2019). How readability shapes social media engagement. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 29, 262–270.
- Park, N., Kee, K. F., & Valenzuela, S. (2009). Being immersed in social networking environment: Facebook groups, uses and gratifications, and social outcomes. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*, 12, 729–733.
- Patterson, P., Yu, T., & de Ruyter, K. (2006). Understanding customer engagement in services. *ANZMAC 2006 Conference*, Brisbane, Australia.
- Prahalad, C. K., & Ramaswamy, V. (2004). Co-creation experiences: The next practice in value creation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18, 5–14.
- Ritz, W., Wolf, M., & McQuitty, S. (in press). Digital marketing adoption and success for small businesses: The application of the do-it-yourself and technology acceptance models. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*. doi: 10.1108/JRIM-04-2018-0062
- Russo, J. E., & Leclerc, F. (1994). An eye-fixation analysis of choice processes for consumer nondurables. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21, 274–290.
- Sawhney, M., Verona, G., & Prandelli, E. (2005). Collaborating to create: The internet as a platform for customer engagement in product innovation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 19, 4–17.
- Scaglione, M., Johnson, C., & Favre, P. (2018). When “last-minute” really is “last minute”. In B. Stangl & J. Pesonen (Eds.), *Information and communication technologies in tourism 2018* (pp. 501–514). Switzerland: Springer.
- Schamari, J., & Schaefer, T. (2015). Leaving the home turf: How brands can use webcare on consumer-generated platforms to increase positive consumer engagement. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 30, 20–33.
- Schultz, C. (2017). Proposing to your fans: Which brand post characteristics drive consumer engagement activities on social media brand pages? *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 26, 23–34.
- Serra Cantallops, A., & Salvi, F. (2014). New consumer behavior: A review of research on eWOM and hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 36, 41–51.
- Sigala, M., Christou, E., & Gretzel, U. (eds). (2012). *Social media in travel, tourism and hospitality: Theory, practice and cases*. London: Ashgate.
- So, K. K. F., King, C., & Sparks, B. A. (2014). Customer engagement with tourism brands: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 38, 304–329.
- So, K. K. F., King, C., Sparks, B. A., & Wang, Y. (2016). The role of customer engagement in building consumer loyalty to tourism brands. *Journal of Travel Research*, 55, 64–78.
- Solem, B. A. A., & Pederson, P. E. (2016). The effects of regulatory fit on customer brand engagement: An experimental study of service brand activities in social media. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32, 445–468.
- Song, S., & Yoo, M. (2016). The role of social media during the pre-purchasing stage. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 7, 84–99.
- Sotiriadis, M. D. (2017). Sharing tourism experiences in social media: A literature review and a set of suggested business strategies. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29, 179–225.
- Stephen, A. T., Sciandra, M. R., & Inman, J. J. (2015). Is it what you say or how you say it? How content characteristics affect consumer engagement with brands on Facebook. *Saïd Business School Research Papers*, 1–59.
- Tafesse, W. (2015). Content strategies and audience response on Facebook brand pages. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 33, 927–943.
- Taylor, D. G., Lewin, J. E., & Strutton, D. (2011). Friends, fans, and followers: Do ads work on social networks? How gender and age shape receptivity. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 51, 258–275.
- Thakur, R. (2018). Customer engagement and online reviews. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 41, 48–59.
- Tussyadiah, I., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2009). Mediating tourist experiences: Access to places via shared videos. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 36, 24–40.
- Tuten, T., & Solomon, M. (2013). *Social media marketing*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., Nass, S., Pick, D., Pirner, P., & Verhoef, P. C. (2010). Customer engagement behaviour: Theoretical foundations and research directions. *Journal of Service Research*, 13, 253–266.
- Varkaris, E., & Neuhofer, B. (2017). The influence of social media on the consumers’ hotel decision journey. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 8, 101–118.
- Vivek, S. D., Beatty, S. E., & Morgan, R. M. (2012). Customer engagement: Exploring customer relationships beyond purchase. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 20, 122–146.

- Vogt, C. A., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (1998). Expanding the functional information search model. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 25, 551–578.
- Vohra, A., & Bhardwaj, N. (2019). Customer engagement in an e-commerce brand community: An empirical comparison of alternate models. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 13, 2–25.
- Volo, S. (2010). Bloggers' reported tourist experiences: Their utility as a tourism data source and their effect on prospective tourists. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 16, 297–311.
- Wang, D., Park, S., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2012). The role of smartphones in mediating the touristic experience. *Journal of Travel Research*, 51, 371–387.
- Wang, D., Xiang, Z., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2014). Adapting to the mobile world: A model of smartphone use. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 48, 11–26.
- Wong, H. Y., & Merrilees, B. (2015). An empirical study of the antecedents and consequences of brand engagement. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 33, 575–591.
- Wozniak, T., Stangl, B., Schegg, R., & Liebrich, A. (2016). Do social media investments pay off?: Preliminary evidence from swiss destination marketing organizations. In A. Inversini & R. Schegg (Eds.), *Information and communication technologies in tourism 2016* (pp. 267–280). Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Xiang, Z., & Gretzel, U. (2010). Role of social media in online travel information search. *Tourism Management*, 31, 179–188.
- Xiang, Z., Magnini, V. P., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2015). Information technology and consumer behavior in travel and tourism: Insights from travel planning using the internet. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 22, 244–249.
- Yang, S., Lin, S., Carlson, J. R., & Ross, W. T., Jr. (2016). Brand engagement on social media: Will firms' social media efforts influence search engine advertising effectiveness? *Journal of Marketing Management*, 32, 526–557.
- Ying, L., Ze, C., & Law, R. (2018). Mapping the progress of social media research in hospitality and tourism management from 2004 to 2014. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 35, 102–118.
- Zeng, B., & Gerritsen, R. (2014). What do we know about social media in tourism? A review. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 10, 27–36.