

2017

## A National Audit of Smoking Cessation Services in Irish Maternity Units

C. Reynolds  
*University College Dublin*

B. Egan  
*University College Dublin*

S. Cawley  
*Technological University Dublin*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/scschbioart>



Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Reynolds, C. et al. (2017). *A national audit of smoking cessation in Irish maternity cases*, Irish Medical Journal. doi:10.21427/D7T21Q

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Biological Sciences at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie](mailto:aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](#)

---

**Authors**

C. Reynolds, B. Egan, S. Cawley, S. Sheehan, and M. Turner

# A National Audit of Smoking Cessation Services in Irish Maternity Units.

Reynolds C.M.E.<sup>1,2</sup> Egan B.<sup>2,3</sup> Cawley S.<sup>4</sup> Kennedy, R.<sup>4</sup> Sheehan S.R.<sup>1</sup> Turner M.J.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UCD Centre for Human Reproduction, Coombe Women and Infants University Hospital, Dublin 8, Ireland.

<sup>2</sup>UCD School of Public Health, Physiotherapy & Sports Science, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland.

<sup>3</sup>School of Health and Human Performance, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland

<sup>4</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Ireland.

## **Abstract**

*There is international consensus that smoking cessation in the first half of pregnancy improves foetal outcomes. We surveyed all 19 maternity units nationally about their antenatal smoking cessation practices. All units recorded details on maternal smoking at the first antenatal visit. Only one unit validated the self-reported smoking status of pregnant women using a carbon monoxide breath test. Twelve units (63%) recorded timing of smoking cessation. In all units women who reported smoking were given verbal cessation advice. This was supported by written advice in 12 units (63%), but only six units (32%) had all midwives trained to provide this advice. Only five units (26%) reported routinely revisiting smoking status later in pregnancy. Although smoking is an important modifiable risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes, smoking cessation services are inadequate in the Irish maternity services and there are variations in practices between hospitals.*

## **Introduction**

Maternal smoking is an important modifiable risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes. It is associated with an increased risk of miscarriage, preterm delivery, placental abruption, low birth weight and stillbirth<sup>1-3</sup>. Furthermore, maternal smoking increases the risk of sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) in the postnatal period<sup>4</sup>. Despite these negative consequences, 11% of Irish women continue to smoke during pregnancy<sup>5</sup>. Smoking cessation early in pregnancy can reduce the increased risk of low birth weight, preterm birth and small for gestational age to levels similar to that of non-smokers<sup>6-8</sup>. Tobacco Free Ireland, Ireland's national tobacco control policy document, recommended in 2013 that we 'undertake targeted approaches for specific groups, particularly... pregnant and post-partum women'<sup>9</sup>. More recently, Ireland's first National Maternity Strategy in January 2016 recommended that all maternity units have tobacco-free campuses, an onsite smoking cessation service available and that midwives and other frontline healthcare professionals are trained in smoking cessation<sup>10</sup>. There is no information available currently on whether these recommendations are being implemented. The purpose of this national audit was,

firstly, to identify information recorded during antenatal appointments on maternal smoking. Secondly, we examined the extent of smoking cessation support and services that are available for women who report they are continuing to smoke when they attend for their first antenatal visit.

### **Methods**

In the absence of a validated questionnaire, a survey was designed to examine the maternal smoking information collected at the first antenatal appointment and to investigate the smoking cessation support and services available during pregnancy. Data were collected between March and July 2016 from all 19 maternity units in the Republic of Ireland. Under the auspices of the HSE Director of the Clinical Programme in Obstetrics and Gynaecology (MJT), contact details were obtained for the antenatal midwifery department in all units. The telephone survey was conducted by a single researcher to limit intra-observer bias. Eligibility criteria for midwives to complete the survey included their involvement in the recording of women's medical and sociodemographic histories at first antenatal appointment. These midwives are typically one of the first healthcare professionals (HCP) to collect current smoking status from women in pregnancy and, therefore, the first HCP with the opportunity to deliver smoking cessation advice. Sixteen maternity units responded immediately through the telephone survey. Timing for a telephone conversation was unsuitable for four units. Two surveys were completed over the telephone at a later date and two were sent to the antenatal Department and were reported back to the researcher.

For the purposes of this study onsite smoking cessation services refer to those that are within the hospital the women are attending for antenatal care. Offsite smoking cessation services refer to those that are ran at a location other than the hospital i.e. HSE Smoking Cessation Support Services in primary care clinics. The results of the audit were anonymised and inputted to an Excel© (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) spreadsheet before being exported to the statistical software program SPSS version 20.0.0 for statistical analysis (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were the sole method of data analysis.

### **Results**

Smoking related information collected by midwives at the first antenatal appointment is presented in Table 1. All 19 units recorded women's current smoking status at first antenatal visit. However, only one unit validated the self-reported smoking status of women using a carbon monoxide breath test. Of all units, 68% (n=13) recorded whether women reported previous smoking, with 63% (n=12) also recording when smoking cessation occurred. The self-reported number of cigarettes smoked per day was recorded by 95% (n=18) of units, whilst validation of smoking status took place in just one unit using a carbon monoxide breath test.

**Table 1. Information collected at first antenatal appointment.**

	% (n)
Is the smoking status of all pregnant women recorded at first antenatal appointment?	
Yes	100.0 (19)
No	0.0 (0)
Is it recorded whether the woman smoked in the past (i.e. is an ex-smoker)?	
Yes	68.4 (13)
No	31.6 (6)
Is it recorded when smoking cessation occurred?	
Yes	63.2 (12)
No	36.8 (7)
Are the number of cigarettes smoked per day recorded?	
Yes	94.7 (18)
No	5.3 (1)
Is smoking status verified (i.e. co2 monitor, blood tests, urine samples)?	
Yes	5.3 (1)
No	94.7 (18)

Table 2 shows the smoking cessation advice provided to women at first antenatal appointment. Of the 19 units, 84% (n=16) asked women if they wished to quit smoking during pregnancy. All units provided information on smoking cessation in pregnancy, which was delivered by the midwives at first antenatal appointment. All maternity units gave verbal advice, 63% (n=12) of which accompanied this advice with written support in the form of a leaflet. Verbal advice was given by the midwives, the length of which ranged from 10 seconds to 15 minutes. Although advice was given in all 19 units, only 32% (n=6) had all midwives trained to deliver smoking cessation advice.

**Table 2. Smoking cessation advice provided at first antenatal appointment.**

	% (n)
Are women asked if they wish to quit smoking during their pregnancy?	
Yes	84.2 (16)
No	15.8 (3)
Is smoking cessation information given to all women who report smoking?	
Yes	100.0 (19)
No	0.0 (0)
What type of smoking cessation advice is given to women who report smoking in pregnancy?	
Verbal advice	36.8 (7)
Leaflet	0.0 (0)
Both verbal and leaflet	63.2 (12)
If advice is given verbally, what healthcare professional delivers this advice?	
Midwife	100 (19)
How long does the advice last?	
Mean time (range) (seconds)	333.3 (10.0-780.0)
Are all midwives that give the smoking cessation advice trained to give this advice?	
Yes	31.6 (6)
No	68.4 (13)

Table 3 shows that smoking status was routinely revisited in only 26% (n=5) of units; 16% (n=3) never revisited the topic whereas 58% (n=11) reported that this may be

checked later in pregnancy, although this was at the discretion of each HCP, for example, following the diagnosis of intrauterine foetal growth restriction.

**Table 3. Follow up of smoking cessation information.**

	% (n)
Is the woman's smoking status formally collected at any other time point during pregnancy?	
Yes	26.3 (5)
No	15.8 (3)
At healthcare professionals discretion	57.9 (11)
If formally collected again, at what other time points is smoking status recorded?	
16/18weeks gestation	20.0 (1)
28weeks gestation	40.0 (2)
All appointments	40.0 (2)

Onsite and offsite smoking cessation services available to pregnant women are presented in Table 4. All units were tobacco-free campuses, but only 58% (n=11) offered an onsite smoking cessation service to pregnant women. Offsite smoking cessation services were available from 47% of units (n=9) with just half of these referring women to the service, and the other half giving contact details for the woman to make her own appointments. Only 16% (n=3) of units had both onsite and offsite services available. Two maternity units (11%) had no on- or offsite smoking cessation services available. No units checked whether women attended offsite services.

**Table 4. Onsite and offsite smoking cessation services available to pregnant women.**

	% (n)
Do you have a tobacco-free unit/campus?	
Yes	100.0 (19)
No	0.0 (0)
Is there an onsite smoking cessation service available for pregnant women?	
Yes	57.9 (11)
No	42.1 (8)
What healthcare professional runs this service?	
Smoking cessation practitioner	36.4 (4)
RGN nurse	9.1 (1)
CNS nurse	27.3 (3)
Did not know	27.3 (3)
Is this service offered to all pregnant smokers?	
Yes	100.0 (11)
No	0.0 (0)
Is this an individual counselling session or group based?	
Individual	72.7 (8)
Group	0.0 (0)
Individuals preference	18.2 (2)
Did not know	9.1 (1)
Are there offsite pregnancy specific programmes available for smoking cessation support?	
Yes	47.3 (9)
No	52.7 (10)
Are all women referred by the midwife or are contact details given to make their own appointments if they wish?	
Referred onwards	55.6 (5)
Contact details given	44.4 (4)
Is attendance of this service checked?	
Yes	0.0 (0)
No	100.0 (9)

## Discussion

This national audit of all maternity units found that smoking cessation services are inadequate in the Irish maternity services, and that there are wide variations in practices between hospitals. While all units collect data on maternal smoking at the first antenatal visit, there were differences in the details collected. We also found that smoking cessation services were inadequate compared to both national and international recommendations. As clinical improvements in neonatal outcomes can

be achieved if women successfully stop smoking in the first half of pregnancy, improved support services should be prioritised<sup>7</sup>.

A strength of this national audit is it is the first of its kind to be conducted in all Irish maternity units, therefore, providing a novel insight to the current services and support available to pregnant smokers. The sample is nationally representative as it included all 19 maternity units. A limitation of this study is that the responses from midwives surveyed were self-reported, which may introduce a level of bias. However, it is unlikely that respondents would exaggerate the deficiencies in the services provided. Secondly, the survey included midwives only and therefore a wider stakeholder consultation is necessary to capture a more complete picture of the existing cessation services, particularly in primary care. The World Health Organization (WHO) has made similar recommendations for the prevention and management of tobacco use and second-hand smoke exposure in pregnancy that are also not being met<sup>11</sup>. The WHO recommends that all 'health-care providers should routinely offer advice and psychosocial interventions for tobacco cessation to all pregnant women, who are either current tobacco users or recent tobacco quitters...ask all pregnant women about their tobacco use (past and present) and exposure to second hand smoke as early as possible in the pregnancy and at every antenatal care visit.' In our audit "recently quit" smokers were not being identified and did not receive the advice or support they require to maintain abstinence. Healthcare professionals were not revisiting smoking habits at subsequent antenatal visits. Collection of smoking status and cessation advice was often explored only at the first antenatal visit.

Almost all maternity units in Ireland currently rely on self-reported smoking status. In Scotland, carbon monoxide testing is now routinely offered at first antenatal appointment, but this is only practiced by one maternity unit in Ireland<sup>12</sup>. The potential value of this approach is demonstrated by validation of smoking status being able to identify up to 25% more women who smoking during pregnancy, and thus direct them to the support that they need to reduce or quit smoking<sup>13</sup>. Currently in Ireland 63% of units document when smoking cessation occurred in ex-smokers. Research has shown that approximately 17% of pregnant women who quit smoking before their first antenatal visit relapse by the end of pregnancy, but when individual relapse prevention counselling is provided, the rates of relapse almost half<sup>14</sup>. If information on smoking status is sought again, it may only occur if there is a complication such as foetal growth restriction, which may have been prevented had the woman received the appropriate support<sup>6</sup>. Rechecking smoking habits throughout pregnancy and reinforcing smoking cessation advice as late as the third trimester can improve pregnancy outcomes such as birth weight<sup>15</sup>.

The lack of follow-up on smoking status in Irish maternity units suggests that there is a lack of emphasis on the importance of smoking cessation, a fact also apparent by the often short amount of time allocated to smoking cessation advice at first prenatal visit. Effective cessation counselling requires 5 to 15 minutes of advice provided by a trained individual that is delivered alongside the provision of pregnancy specific, self-help materials<sup>16</sup>. Our study found that very few maternity units had all midwives trained to deliver smoking cessation advice, many spend less than the minimum of 5 minutes on advice, and more than a third did not provide written material to support the counselling. There are also wide variations in the length of time spent in initial individual smoking cessation sessions outside pregnancy in Ireland<sup>17</sup>.

The HSE Tobacco Free Campus Policy recommended that in light of the European Network for Tobacco Free Health Care Services standards 'The healthcare organisation ensures appropriate education and training for clinical and non-clinical



staff<sup>18</sup>. However, similar to our results another study found that only one third HCPs had received training to deliver smoking cessation advice although 98% of them believed it was their responsibility to advise patients<sup>19</sup>. Online support in the form of an evidence based website has the potential to offer ongoing pregnancy specific advice on smoking cessation. This could provide a cost- and time effective, safe way to provide education and support to maternal smokers given the high internet use in pregnant women<sup>20</sup>. Regardless of the platform, extra time must be spent on delivering smoking cessation advice. Informing and advising women at the start of pregnancy has the potential to ease staff burden due to less antenatal hospitalisation, less NICU admissions and shorter length of stay in NICU if cessation is successful<sup>21,22</sup>. Additionally, it could decrease the financial strain that maternal smoking places on the healthcare system. An infant born prematurely and with low birthweight is estimated to cost the healthcare system €2,450 per day depending on the level of care<sup>23</sup>. Access is an important determinant of attendance at outpatient clinics<sup>24</sup>. Many hospitals give contact details of smoking cessation services to attend but not a specific appointment. This reduces the likelihood of women attending the clinic by almost half<sup>25</sup>. On a positive note however, all maternity unit/hospitals were reported tobacco-free as per the HSE Tobacco Control Frameworks aim that all HSE campuses would be tobacco-free by 2015<sup>18</sup>.

We recommend that smoking cessation services are implemented in all maternity units in a standardised way. All units should ensure women are screened for smoking using a carbon monoxide breath test so that the opportunity for support is not missed. Women who recently quit smoking should also be identified as they too require support. We also recommend all midwives and other HCPs receive training in smoking cessation counselling.

#### *Declaration of Conflict of Interest*

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Corresponding Author**

Ms Ciara M.E. Reynolds, UCD Centre for Human Reproduction, Coombe Women and Infants University Hospital, Cork Street, Dublin 8, Ireland

Email: [ciara.reynolds@ucdconnect.ie](mailto:ciara.reynolds@ucdconnect.ie)

#### **References**

1. Hammoud AO1, Bujold E, Sorokin Y, Schild C, Krapp M, Baumann P. Smoking in pregnancy revisited: findings from a large population-based study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005; 192(6):1856-62.
2. Salihu HM, Wilson RE. Epidemiology of prenatal smoking and perinatal outcomes. *Early Hum Dev.* 2007; 83(11):713-20.
3. Varner MW, Silver RM, Hogue CJ, Willinger M, Parker CB, Thorsten VR, Goldenberg RL, Saade GR, Dudley DJ, Coustan D, Stoll B. Association between stillbirth and illicit drug use and smoking during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2014; 123(1): 113–125.
4. Mitchell EA, Taylor BJ, Ford RP, Stewart AW, Becroft DM, Thompson JM, Scragg R, Hassall IB, Barry DM, Allen EM, Roberts AP. Four modifiable and other major risk factors for cot death: the New Zealand study. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 1992; 28(s1):S3-8.
5. Reynolds CME, Egan B, McKeating A, Daly N, Sheehan SR, Turner MJ. Five year trends in maternal smoking behaviour reported at the first prenatal appointment. *Ir J Med Sci.* 2017: doi: 10.1007/s11845-017-1575-2 [Epub ahead of print].
6. Andersen MR, Simonsen U, Uldbjerg N, Aalkjaer C, Stender S. Smoking

cessation early in pregnancy and birth weight, length, head circumference, and endothelial nitric oxide synthase activity in umbilical and chorionic vessels: an observational study of healthy singleton pregnancies. *Circulation*. 2009; 119(6):857-64.

7. McCowan LM, Dekker GA, Chan E, Stewart A, Chappell LC, Hunter M, Moss-Morris R, North RA, SCOPE consortium. Spontaneous Preterm Birth and Small for Gestational Age Infants in Women Who Stop Smoking Early in Pregnancy: Prospective Cohort Study. *BMJ*. 2009; 338:b1081.

8. Polakowski LL, Akinbami LJ, Mendola P. Prenatal smoking cessation and the risk of delivering preterm and small-for-gestational-age newborns. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2009; 114(2 Pt 1):318-25.

9. Tobacco Free Ireland (2013). Report of the Tobacco Policy Review Group. Department of Health. <http://health.gov.ie/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/TobaccoFreeIreland.pdf> (accessed 14/07/2016)

10. Department of Health (2016). Creating a Better Future Together. National Maternity Strategy 2016-2026. <http://health.gov.ie/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Final-version-27.01.16.pdf> (accessed 01/07/2016)

11. World Health Organisation (2013). WHO recommendations for the prevention and management of tobacco use and second-hand smoke exposure in pregnancy. <http://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/pregnancy/guidelinestobaccosmokeexposure/en/> (accessed 16/09/2016)

12. Ready Steady Baby. NHS Health Scotland.

<http://www.readysteadybaby.org.uk/you-and-your-pregnancy/antenatal-care/tests-and-checks-you-may-have.aspx> (accessed 28/08/2016)

13. Shipton D, Tappin DM, Vadiveloo T, Crossley JA, Aitken DA, Chalmers J. Reliability of self-reported smoking status by pregnant women for estimating smoking prevalence: a retrospective, cross sectional study. *BMJ*. 2009; 339:b4347.

14. Secker-Walker RH, Solomon LJ, Flynn BS, Skelly JM, Lepage SS, Goodwin GD, Mead PB. Smoking relapse prevention counselling during prenatal and early postnatal care. *Am J Prev Med*. 1995; 11(2):86-93.

15. Macarthur C, Knox EG. Smoking in pregnancy: effects of stopping at different stages. *BJOG*. 1988; 95(6):551-5.

16. Melvin CL, Dolan-Mullen P, Windsor RA, Whiteside HP, Goldenberg RL. Recommended cessation counselling for pregnant women who smoke: a review of the evidence. *Tob Control*. 2000; 9(suppl 3):iii80-4.

17. Currie L, Keogan S, Campbell P, Gunning M, Kabir Z, Clancy L. An evaluation of the range and availability of intensive smoking-cessation services in Ireland. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2010; 179(2):225-31.

18. How to Implement HSE Tobacco Free Campus Policy' Tobacco Free Campus Implementation Guidance Document.

<http://hse.ie/eng/about/Who/TobaccoControl/campus/tobacco-Free-Campus-toolkit-Document-.pdf> (accessed 06/02/2017)

19. Kabir Z, Ward B, Clancy L; Tobacco Control Committee of European Respiratory Society. Attitudes, training and smoking profile of European Respiratory Society members. *Eur Respir J*. 2011; 38(1):225-7.

20. O'Higgins A, Murphy OC, Egan A, Mullaney L, Sheehan S, Turner MJ. The use of digital media by women using the maternity services in a developed country. *IMJ*, 2014; 107(10):313-5.

21. Adams EK, Miller VP, Ernst C, Nishimura BK, Melvin C, Merritt R. Neonatal health care costs related to smoking during pregnancy. *Health Econ*. 2002;

11(3):193-206.

22. Bendix J, Hegaard HK, Langhoff-Roos J, Bergholt T. Changing prevalence and the risk factors for antenatal obstetric hospitalizations in Denmark 2003–2012. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2016; 11(8):165-75.

23. European Foundation for the Care of Newborn Infants (EFCNI). 2011. EU Benchmarking Report 2009/2010 Too Little Too Late? Why Europe Should do More for Preterm Infants.

[http://www.efcni.org/fileadmin/Daten/Web/Brochures\\_Reports\\_Factsheets\\_Position\\_Papers/benchmarking\\_report/EFCNI\\_report\\_light\\_copyright.pdf](http://www.efcni.org/fileadmin/Daten/Web/Brochures_Reports_Factsheets_Position_Papers/benchmarking_report/EFCNI_report_light_copyright.pdf) (accessed 28/01/2016)

24. Sharp DJ, Hamilton W. Non-attendance at general practices and outpatient clinics: Local systems are needed to address local problems. *BMJ.* 2001; 323(7321):1081-3.

25. Norman P, Conner M. The role of social cognition models in predicting attendance at health checks. *Psychology and Health.* 1993; 8(6):447-62.

p580



•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

Theme by