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A STUDY OF ANTIFERROELECTRIC LIQUID CRYSTALS USING THE PYROELECTRIC TECHNIQUE
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Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland.
A. J. Seed, M. Hird and J. W. Goodby
School of Chemistry, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, U.K.

Abstract Antiferroelectric liquid crystals are studied using the pyroelectric technique. The effects of temperature and applied voltage on the pyroelectric signal are examined. The pyroelectric signal can detect phase changes that occur due to temperature and bias voltage. A high temperature ferroelectric phase FlLC is found and the stability of this phase under different bias voltages is examined.

INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of anti-ferroelectricity in some chiral liquid crystals1 has regenerated enormous interest both in liquid crystal research2-5 and in industrial applications6. Pyroelectricity is an intrinsic property of Ferroelectric Liquid Crystals (FLC) and has been investigated previously by a number of research groups7,8. In this paper we study the pyroelectric properties that occur in AFLC's. The sample to be investigated in this paper is AFLC (AS-573) synthesised at Hull with the formula given as follows:

\[ \text{H}_25\text{C}_{12}\text{O} - \text{CH} - \text{COO} - \text{CH} - \text{COO} - \text{CH} - \text{CH}_3 \]

This sample exhibits ferro-, ferri- and antiferroelectric phases with transitions as defined by spontaneous polarization measurements as follows: SmA 93°C SmC* 89°C FlLC(?), 85°C AF 83°C SmC? 78°C SmC_A. The existence of these phases is predicted by the Ising model which takes into consideration competition between the Ferroelectric (F) and Antiferroelectric (A) ordering. The Ising model produces a temperature induced Devil's staircase which predicts the possible phases which may exist with increasing temperature. Any structure on the staircase can be defined by a parameter q_T, which

*author for correspondence
denotes the fraction of ferroelectric ordering in a periodic structure, \( q_1 = \frac{F}{A+F} \). Here \( F \) is the number of ferroelectric ordering and \( A \) is the number of antiferroelectric ordering in a periodic structure with a period defined by \( A+F \). The Ising model can also predict the electric field induced staircase, described by structure parameter \( q_e \), where \( q_e = \frac{R}{R+L} \), and \( R \) and \( L \) are the number of right and left tilting molecules. Thus we examine the pyroelectric properties of an AFLC to find the phases that are predicted by the Ising model on the temperature and field induced staircases.

The pyroelectric coefficient \( (\gamma) \) is defined as

\[
\gamma = \frac{dP}{dT} \tag{1}
\]

where \( P_s \) is the spontaneous polarization \( (nC/cm^2) \) and \( dT \) is the change in temperature of the sample caused by its heating. In our recent paper, we showed that the pyroelectric properties of FLCs depend not only on the fundamental property given in Eq.(1), but are also strongly dependent on the structure of the material within the cell. Under certain experimental conditions e.g. \( d(\text{cell thickness}) > p_o \) (pitch of helix) and in the absence of a bias voltage, the sample possesses a helical structure with an average (macroscopic) polarization equal to zero. The application of an electric field leads to distortion of the helix thus producing a non-zero macroscopic polarization \( P_s^* \). For the case where the director structure is arranged on a helix, the pyroelectric signal \( I \) as measured using a lock-in amplifier is written as:

\[
I(f) = K \frac{dP_s^*}{dT} = K \frac{dP_s}{dT} \int_0^{p_o} \cos \phi(z, E) dz \tag{2}
\]

Here \( K = S \frac{dT}{dt} \); \( S \) is the electrode area, \( \frac{dT}{dt} \) is the rate at which the sample is heated, \( p_o \) is the pitch of the helix, \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle, \( z \) lies along the axis of the helix, \( f \) is the chopping frequency of the heat signal. In such a cell, the pyroelectric signal given by \( I \) depends not only on \( \frac{dP_s}{dT} \) but also on the structural parameter over one pitch length \( p_o \), given by the integral in Eq (2).

EXPERIMENTAL

An automated version of the pyroelectric technique as devised by Glass et al\(^8\) to examine the pyroelectric properties of FLC's is used. This technique involves dynamic heating of a FLC cell using a chopped light source at a modulation frequency \( f \) (125 Hz) and subsequent detection of the pyroelectric signal using a lock-in amplifier. The cells consisted of two glass plates (20 x 14 mm\(^2\)), coated with a thin layer of Indium Tin.
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Oxide (ITO) with an active electrodes region of dimension 8 x 8 mm². A polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) coating was spun on the ITO electrodes. Mylar thin-film spacers of 8µm thicknesses are used to achieve the required cell-spacing. The cells are filled in the isotropic phase at 160°C and allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. Homogenous alignment of the sample was obtained and this was verified using optical microscopy. The sample was subsequently heated at a rate 0.2°C/min.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the spontaneous polarization for different temperatures and applied voltages using the integral current reversal technique. The applied signal is a rectangular wave of frequency 50 Hz.

FIGURE 1 Spontaneous polarization \( P_s^* \) (nC/cm²) versus Temperature(°C) and Applied Voltage (V). The region in bold lines is proposed to be a FiLC phase.

The spontaneous polarization is zero in the antiferroelectric \( \text{SmC}_A \) phase, and increases on heating to 45nC/cm² in the ferroelectric \( \text{SmC}_\gamma \) phase at \( T \geq 78°C \). At \( T=83°C \) a high temperature antiferroelectric phase (AF) is clearly observed. Here the measured spontaneous polarization, \( P_s^* \), is 0. This phase is stable over a temperature interval of 1.5-2°C but is destroyed by an applied field \( V_{\text{app}} \geq 5V \). For \( V_{\text{app}} >1V \) an unusual phase...
labelled as FiLC, is observed with $P_s^* = 75\text{nC/cm}^2$ and this appears to represent a field stabilised high temperature ferrielectric phase. This region is marked with bold lines in Figure 1. This phase is not likely to be a single component phase, it is a mixture of a high temperature ferrielectric phase and a distorted helical SmC* phase. For an applied voltage, $V_{\text{app}} > 7\text{V}$, the FiLC is not observed and the ferroelectric SmC* phase with $P_s^* = 135\text{nC/cm}^2$ is found to be stable. This corresponds to an unwound SmC* phase.

The results given in Fig. 2 show that the pyroelectric signal is strongly dependent on the applied voltage. This is a result of different phases being induced by a varying bias voltage across the sample.

![FIGURE 2 The Pyroelectric Signal I (arbitrary units) versus Temperature (°C) for bias voltages of V=1, 2, 2.5, 7 V. The pyroelectric signal shown for 7 V is divided by 3.](image)

For bias voltages of 1 and 2 V, two negative pyroelectric peaks are found. These indicate that the phase transitions SmA-SmC* transition occurring at $T=93^\circ\text{C}$ and SmC*-FiLC at $T=87^\circ\text{C}$. For bias voltages ≥ 2.5 V only one pyroelectric peak is observed for the SmA-SmC* transition.
DISCUSSION

The dependence of the pyroelectric signal on temperature and bias voltage in the FiLC-SmC* phase temperature region shown in Figure 2, is found to be specifically extremely interesting.

For a bias voltage of 1 V, two sharp negative pyroelectric peaks were found. The peak at T=93°C corresponds to the SmA-SmC* phase transition and that at T=87°C is due to the SmC*-FiLC transition. In the temperature range T=89-92°C, the pyroelectric signal is close to zero and the pyroelectric coefficient does not change much with temperature. This behaviour indicates that the polarization is almost temperature independent in this region, see Figure 1. On cooling further the pyroelectric signal decreases, a second negative peak at T=87°C is observed, indicating that the polarization increases sharply. This behaviour is also in agreement with $P_S^*$ measurements. Such an increase in polarization is consistent with the formation of a high temperature ferroelectric phase with $P_S^*=3/5P_s$(Ferro) and $q_4=4/5$, where $P_S$(Ferro) corresponds to the spontaneous polarization of the unwound SmC* phase. The origin of the FiLC phase is most likely to be a phase with $q_4=3/5$ and $P_S^*=1/5P_s$(Ferro) and on the application of bias, polarization is higher due to a field induced parameter.

For a bias voltage of 2 V, two negative peaks are also observed. In the T=91-92°C temperature range the pyroelectric signal is very low and $P_S$ does not change much with temperature. A broad pyroelectric transition peak centring at T=88°C, due to the SmC*-FiLC phase transition is observed. The pyroelectric peak is broad due to softening of the SmC*-FiLC transition. The broad peak is probably due to the co-existence of high temperature FiLC and SmC* phases. This happens because the nature of the transition between the ferroelectric and ferroelectric phases is effected by the bias voltage. Electric field induced transitions of regions within the ferroelectric phase (FiLC) to SmC* occur due to interactions of the electric field with the molecular dipoles.

For a bias voltage of 2.5 V, the SmA-SmC* transition is again observed, however the negative pyroelectric peak at T=88°C disappears and is replaced by a very broad and slowly varying signal. This indicates that the SmC*-FiLC phase transition is not sharp and that FiLC phase has somewhat been transformed to a SmC* phase.

At a bias voltage of 7 V, the FiLC phase is completely transformed to the field induced SmC* phase, in agreement with the spontaneous polarization measurements (see Fig. 1). A sharp negative pyroelectric peak at the SmA-SmC* transition indicates that $P_S^*$ increases at the transition SmA-SmC*. This is a typical result for normal ferroelectric liquid crystals.
At lower temperatures (T<85°C) discrepancies between the integrated pyroelectric signal (S) and spontaneous polarization measurements increase. This could be explained by taking into account the results obtained by Ema et al. They showed that the relaxation time ($\tau_{AF}$) between antiferroelectric and ferroelectric phases is of the order of tens of seconds and that the thermal hysteresis between phases is high. The modulation frequency of the heat-signal in our experiment (dT/dt) is 125 Hz. In this case $\tau_{AF}$ is greater than the period, 8 ms, of the heat-signal and this leads to inaccuracy in the detection of the antiferroelectric-ferroelectric phase transition by the pyroelectric technique.

CONCLUSIONS

A strong dependence of the pyroelectric signal on temperature and bias has been reported. The existence of a high temperature FLC phase, with $q_T = 3/5$, is found from the pyroelectric measurements. The stability of this phase is found to be dependent on temperature and bias voltage. It is found that for a sufficiently large bias voltage this phase is transformed to a SmC* phase. At temperatures below those of AF-SmC$_T$ phase transition, discrepancies between the pyroelectric and polarization measurements occur. The main reasons for these discrepancies are the large relaxation times of the antiferroelectric phases.
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