

# Technological University Dublin [ARROW@TU Dublin](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/)

[Articles](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart) **School of Food Science and Environmental Health** 

2009

# Application of Natural Antimicrobials for Food Preservation

Brijesh Tiwari University College Dublin

Vasilis Valdramidis Technological University Dublin

Colm O' Donnell University College Dublin

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart?utm_source=arrow.tudublin.ie%2Fschfsehart%2F134&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 



# Recommended Citation

Cullen, P.J. et al. (2009) Application of Natural Antimicrobials for Food Preservation, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57 (14), pp 5987–6000 DOI: 10.1021/jf900668n

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie,%20aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,%20vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie)  [vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie,%20aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie,%20vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie).

Funder: National Development Plan, Dept of Agriculture, Food & Fisheries

# Authors

Brijesh Tiwari, Vasilis Valdramidis, Colm O' Donnell, Kasiviswanathan Muthukumarappan, Patrick Cullen, and Paula Bourke

# 1 Application of Natural Antimicrobials for Food Preservation

2 BRIJESH K. TIWARI,<sup>†</sup> VASILIS P. VALDRAMIDIS,<sup>§</sup> COLM P. O' DONNELL,<sup>†</sup> 3 KASIVISWANATHAN MUTHUKUMARAPPAN,<sup>†,‡</sup> Paula Bourke,<sup>§</sup> and P. J. Cullen<sup>\*,§</sup>

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$   $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$   $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$   $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ Biosystems Engineering, School of Agriculture, Food Science and Veterinary Medicine, 5 University College Dublin, Belfied, Dublin 4, Ireland, ‡ Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering 6 Department, South Dakota State University, Brookings, South Dakota, and <sup>§</sup>School of Food Science & 7 Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin 1, Ireland

 In this review, antimicrobials from a range of plant, animal, and microbial sources are reviewed along with their potential applications in food systems. Chemical and biochemical antimicrobial compounds derived from these natural sources and their activity against a range of pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms pertinent to food, together with their effects on food organoleptic proper- ties, are outlined. Factors influencing the antimicrobial activity of such agents are discussed including extraction methods, molecular weight, and agent origin. These issues are considered in conjunction with the latest developments in the quantification of the minimum inhibitory (and noninhibitory) concentration of antimicrobials and/or their components. Natural antimicrobials can be used alone or in combination with other novel preservation technologies to facilitate the replacement of traditional approaches. Research priorities and future trends focusing on the impact of product formulation, intrinsic product parameters, and extrinsic storage parameters on the design of efficient food preservation systems are also presented.

22 KEYWORDS: Antimicrobial activity; chemical compounds; plant/animal/microbial antimicrobials 23 mechanism; minimum inhibitory concentration

## <sup>24</sup> INTRODUCTION

8

21

 A number of nontraditional preservation techniques are being developed to satisfy consumer demand with regard to nutritional and sensory aspects of foods. Generally, foods are thermally processed by subjecting them to temperatures varying from 60 to 29 100 °C for the duration of a few seconds to a minute in order to destroy vegetative microorganisms. During this period of treat- ment, a large amount of energy is transferred to the food. However, this energy can trigger unwanted reactions, leading to undesirable organoleptic and nutritional effects (1). Ensuring food safety and at the same time meeting such demands for retention of nutrition and quality attributes has resulted in increased interest in alternative preservation techniques for inactivating microorganisms and enzymes in foods. Quality attributes of importance include flavor, odor, color, texture, and nutritional value. This increasing demand has opened new dimen- sions for the use of natural preservatives derived from plants, animals, or microflora. In biopreservation, storage life is extended, and/or safety of food products is enhanced by using natural or controlled microflora, mainly lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and/or their antibacterial products such as lactic acid, bacteriocins, and others (2). Typical examples of investigated compounds are lactoperoxidase (milk), lysozyme (egg white, figs), saponins and flavonoids (herbs and spices), bacteriocins (LAB), and chitosan (shrimp shells) (3). Antimicrobial compounds present in foods can extend the shelf life of unprocessed or processed foods by reducing 49 the microbial growth rate or viability  $(4)$ . Originally, spices and 50 herbs were added to change or to improve taste. Some of these 51 substances are also known to contribute to the self-defense of 52 plants against infectious organisms  $(5, 6)$ .  $53$ 

Extensive research has investigated the potential application of 54 natural antimicrobial agents in food preservation. In this review, 55 antimicrobials and their chemical and biochemical components 56 from a range of natural sources and their applications in food 57 systems are reviewed. Natural antimicrobials in food preservation 58 can be used alone or in combination with other nonthermal 59 technologies. Naturally derived antimicrobial systems from 60 plant, animal, and microbial origin are detailed, and the latest 61 developments in the quantification of the minimum (and non- 62) inhibitory) concentration of antimicrobials and/or their compo- 63 nents are presented. 64

## PLANT ORIGIN ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS 65

Edible, medicinal, and herbal plants and their derived essential 66 oils (EO) (and their hydrosols, i.e., byproducts of an essential oil 67 purification procedure) and isolated compounds contain a large 68 number of secondary metabolites that are known to retard or 69 inhibit the growth of bacteria, yeast, and molds  $(7, 8)$ . Many of  $\qquad$  70 these compounds are under investigation and are not yet 71 exploited commercially. The antimicrobial compounds in plant 72 materials are commonly found in the essential oil fraction of 73 leaves (rosemary, sage, basil, oregano, thyme, and marjoram), 74 flowers or buds (clove), bulbs (garlic and onion), seeds (caraway, 75

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Phone:  $+353$  14027595. Fax: þ35314024495. E-mail: pjcullen@dit.ie.

### Scheme 1. Plant Origin Antimicrobial Agents



 fennel, nutgem, and parsley), rhizomes (asafetida), fruits (pepper and cardamom), or other parts of plants (9, 10). Plant EOs and their constituents have been widely used as flavoring agents in foods since the earliest recorded history, and it is well established 80 that many have a wide spectra of antimicrobial action  $(11-15)$ . These compounds may be lethal to microbial cells or they might inhibit the production of secondary metabolites (e.g., mycotox- ins) (16). Plant essential oils are generally more inhibitory against Gram-positive than Gram-negative bacteria (10, 17, 18). While this is true for many EOs, there are some agents that are effective against both groups, such as oregano, clove, cinnamon, and 87 citral  $(19-21)$ . The major EO components with antimicrobial effects found in plants, herbs, and spices are phenolic compounds, terpenes, aliphatic alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, acids, and iso- flavonoids (8, 22-27). Chemical analysis of a range of EOs revealed that the principal constituents of many include carva- crol, thymol, citral, eugenol (see Scheme 1 for their chemical 93 structure), and their precursors  $(8, 28-30)$ . It has been reported that some nonphenolic constituents of EOs are more effective or quite effective against Gram-negative bacteria, e.g., allyl isothio-96 cyanate (AIT)  $(31)$  and garlic oil  $(32)$ , respectively. In addition, AIT is also effective against many fungi (33). Generally, the antimicro- bial efficacy of EOs is dependent on the chemical structure of their components as well as the concentration. Many of the antimicro- bial compounds present in plants can be part of their pre- or postinfectional defense mechanisms for combating infectious or parasitic agents (34). Consequently, plants that manifest relatively high levels of antimicrobial action may be sources of compounds that inhibit the growth of foodborne pathogens (35). Compounds are also generated in response to stress from inactive precur- sors (36), which may be activated by enzymes, hydrolases or oxidases, usually present in plant tissues (37). In mustard and horse radish, precursor glucosinolates are converted by enzyme myrosinase to yield a variety of isothiocynates including the allyl form, which is a strong antimicrobial agent (38).

 The application of plant EOs for controlling the growth of foodborne pathogens and food spoilage bacteria requires evalua- tion of the range of activity against the organisms of concern to a particular product, as well as effects on a food's organoleptic properties. Plant EOs are usually mixtures of several components. Oils with high levels of eugenol (allspice, clove bud and leaf, bay, and cinnamon leaf), cinnamamic aldehyde (cinnamon bark and 118 cassia oil), and citral (lemon myrtle, Litsea cubeba, and lime) are 119 usually strong antimicrobials (39, 40). The EOs from Thymus spp. possess significant quantities of phenolic monoterpenes and have reported antiviral (41), antibacterial (42, 43), and antifungal (44, 45) properties. The volatile terpenes carvacrol, p-cymene,  $\gamma$ -terpinene, and thymol contribute to the antimicrobial activity of oregano, thyme, and savory (18). The antimicrobial activity of sage and rosemary can be attributed to borneol and other phenolic compounds in the terpene fraction. Davidson and Naidu (40) reported that the terpene thejone was responsible

for the antimicrobial activity of sage, whereas in rosemary, a 128 group of terpenes (borneol, camphor, 1,8 cineole, a-pinene, 129 camphone, verbenonone, and bornyl acetate) was responsible. 130 Plant EOs such as cumin, caraway, and coriander have inhibitory 131 effects on organisms such as Aeromonas hydrophila, Pseudomonas 132 fluorescens, and Staphylococcus aureus (46, 47), marjoram and 133 basil have high activity against B. cereus, Enterobacter aerogenes, 134 Escherichia coli, and Salmonella, and lemon balm and sage EOs 135 appear to have adequate activity against  $L$ . monocytogenes and  $S$ . 136 *aureus* (10). Gutierrez et al. (10) showed that oregano and thyme 137 EOs had comparatively high activity against enterobacteria 138 (minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of oregano and thyme 139 at a range of 190 ppm and 440 ppm, respectively, for E. cloacae), 140 lactic acid bacteria (MIC of oregano and thyme at a range of 141 55 ppm and 440 ppm, respectively, for Lactobacillus brevis), B. 142 cereus (MIC of oregano and thyme at a range of 425 ppm and 143 745 ppm, respectively, for Lactobacillus brevis), and Pseudomonas 144 spp (MIC of oregano and thyme at a range of 1500 ppm for P. 145 putida), although in general Pseudomonas species are consistently 146 highly resistant to plant antimicrobials  $(10, 48)$ . One of the 147 attributed factors can be the production of exopolysaccharide 148 layers forming biofilms of the microorganism that can delay 149 penetration of the antimicrobial agent (49). 150

Lee et al.  $(50)$  investigated the antibacterial activity of vegetables 151 and juices and concluded that green tea and garlic extracts have 152 broad applications as antibacterial agents against a wide range of 153 pathogens. Arrowroot tea extract has reported antimicrobial 154 activity against E. coli O157:H7 (19). Ibrahim et al. (35) reported 155 the potential of caffeine at a concentration of  $0.5\%$  or higher as an 156 effective antimicrobial agent for the inactivation of  $E$ . *coli* O157: 157 H7 in a liquid system (i.e., brain heart infusion (BHI)). 158

Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Action. The possible modes of 159 action for phenolic compounds (EO fractions) as antimicrobial 160 agents have been previously reviewed  $(16, 24, 27, 36, 51-53)$ . 161 However, the exact mechanism of action is not clear. The effect of 162 phenolic compounds can be concentration dependent (54). At low 163 concentration, phenols affect enzyme activity, particularly those 164 associated with energy production, while at high concentrations, 165 they cause protein denaturation. The antimicrobial effect of 166 phenolic compounds may be due to their ability to alter microbial 167 cell permeability, thereby permitting the loss of macromolecules 168 from the interior (for example ribose and Na glutamate) (55). They 169 could also interfere with membrane function (electron transport, 170 nutrient uptake, protein, nuclein acid synthesis, and enzyme 171 activity) (55) and interact with membrane proteins, causing defor- 172 mation in structure and functionality  $(56-58)$ . The high antibac- 173 terial activity of phenolic components can be further explained in 174 terms of alkyl substitution into the phenol nucleus (25). The 175 formation of phenoxyl radicals that interact with alkyl substituents 176 does not occur with more stable molecules such as the ethers 177 myristicin or anethole, which was related to the relative lack of 178 antimicrobial activity of fennel, nutmeg, or parsley  $EOs (10)$ . 179

Delaquis and Mazza (38) reported that the antimicrobial 180 activity of isothiocynates derived from onion and garlic is related 181 to the inactivation of extracellular enzymes through oxidative 182 cleavage of disulfide bonds and that the formation of the reactive 183 thiocyanate radical was proposed to mediate the antimicrobial 184 effect. Carvacrol,  $(+)$ -carvone, thymol, and *trans*-cinnamalde-<br>hyde are reported to decrease the intracellular ATP (adenosine 186 hyde are reported to decrease the intracellular ATP (adenosine triphosphate) content of E. coli O157:H7 cells while simulta- 187 neously increasing extracellular ATP, indicating the disruptive 188 action of these compounds on the plasma membrane (59). 189 Inactivation of yeasts can be attributed to the disturbance of 190 several enzymatic systems, such as energy production and struc- 191 tural component synthesis (60). 192

 Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Activity. Antimicrobial activity of EOs is influenced by a number of factors including botanical source, time of harvesting, stage of development, and method of extraction (61). For example, Chorianopoulos et al. (62) reported 197 that Satureja EOs obtained during the flowering period were the most potent with bactericidal properties. The composition, struc- ture as well as functional groups of the oils play an important role in determining their antimicrobial activity. Usually compounds 201 with phenolic groups are the most effective  $(5, 25)$ . Most studies related to the antimicrobial efficacy of EOs have been conducted 203 in vitro using microbiological media  $(63-71)$ . Consequently, there is less understanding related to their efficacy when applied to complex food systems. Key areas requiring further knowledge for optimized application of natural antimicrobials in food include targeting the microorganism of concern, the intelligent use of combinations to provide a synergy of activity, matching the activity of the compounds to the composition, and processing and storage conditions of the food (9, 72).

 Plant EOs of thyme, clove, and pimento were tested against Listeria monocytogenes and were found to be highly effective in peptone water. However, when the EOs were applied in a food system, Singh et al. (73) concluded that efficacy of EOs was reduced due to interaction with food components. In general, higher concentrations of EOs are required in foods than in laboratory media. Combinations of EOs could minimize the application concentrations required, thereby reducing any adverse organolep- tical impact; however, their application for microbial control may also be affected by food composition (74). The antimicrobial efficacy of EOs was found to be a function of ingredient manipula- tion, for example, the antimicrobial activity of thyme is increased in high protein concentrations, concentrations of sugars above 5% on the microbial growth medium did not reduce EO efficacy, and high potato starch concentrations decreased the EO antimicrobial 226 activity of oregano and thyme on L. monocytogenes in food model systems (74,75). Finally, low pH values (of the range of 5) seemed to have the highest impact on the increase of the antimicrobial effect of EOs on L. monocytogenes (74). Low pH values appear to increase the hydrophobicity of EOs, consequently enabling easier dissolu-tion in the lipids of the cell membrane of target bacteria (54).

 Accordingly, the challenge for practical application of EOs is to develop optimized low dose combinations to maintain product safety and shelf life, thereby minimizing the undesirable flavor and sensory changes associated with the addition of high con-centrations of EOs.

#### 237 ANIMAL ORIGIN ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS

 There are numerous antimicrobial systems of animal origin, where they have often evolved as host defense mechanisms. Lysozyme is a bacteriolytic enzyme, commercially sourced from hen's egg white which is reported to inhibit the outgrowth of Clostridium tyrobutyricum spores in semihard cheeses (76). Lyso- zyme has found commercial applications; inovapure is said to be effective against a wide range of food spoilage organisms and can be successfully used to extend the shelf life of various food products, including raw and processed meats, cheese, and other dairy products. The lactoperoxidase system, which is naturally active in milk, has strong antimicrobial effects against both bacteria and fungi. A wide range of both Gram-negative (77) and Gram- positive bacteria (78) are inhibited by the lactoperox- idase system. However, studies have shown that Gram-negative bacteria were generally found to be more sensitive to lactoperox- idase mediated food preservation than Gram-positive species (79, 80). Many of the antimicrobial agents inherent to animals are in the form of antimicrobial peptides (polypeptides).

Antimicrobial peptides were first isolated from natural sources 256 in the 1950s when nisin was isolated from lactic acid bacteria for 257 potential application as a food preservative (81). Subsequently, 258 antimicrobial peptides were isolated from other natural sources, 259 such as plants, insects, amphibians, crustaceans, and marine 260 organisms (82-84). Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are widely 261 distributed in nature and are used by many if not all life forms as 262 essential components of nonspecific host defense systems. The list 263 of discovered AMPs has been constantly increasing, with much 264 discovery in the last two decades. The list of AMPs produced by 265 animal cells includes magainin (85), MSI-78 (86), PR-39 (87), 266 spheniscin (88), pleurocidin (89), dermaseptin S4 (90), K4S4- 267 (1-14) (91), cecropin P1 (92), melittin (93), LL-37 (94), clavanin 268 A (92), and curvacin A (95). Antimicrobial peptides present a 269 promising solution to the problem of antibiotic resistance because, 270 unlike traditional antimicrobial agents, specific molecular sites are 271 not targeted, and their characteristic rapid destruction of mem- 272 branes does not allow sufficient time for even fast-growing 273 bacteria to mutate. Some of the potential antimicrobials of animal 274 origin which could be used as food additives are discussed below. 275

Pleurocidin. Pleurocidin, a 25 amino acid peptide isolated from 276 the skin mucus membrane of the winter flounder (*Pleuronectes* 277 americanus) is active against Gram-positive and Gram-negative 278 bacteria. It is heat-stable, salt-tolerant, and insensitive to physio- 279 logical concentrations of magnesium and calcium (96). Pleuroci-<br>280 din has potential for use in food applications and was found to be 281 effective against foodborne organisms including Vibrio parahe- 282 molyticus, L. monocytogenes, E. coli O157:H7, Saccharomyces 283 cerevisiae, and Penicillium expansum (97). The antimicrobial 284 activity of pleurocidin against foodborne microorganisms was 285 reported at levels well below the legal limit for nisin  $(10,000 \text{ IU/g})$  286 without significant effect on human red blood cells (97), thereby 287 indicating its potential as a food preservative and a natural 288 alternative to conventional chemicals. However, pleurocidin 289 was inhibited by magnesium and calcium (96), which may limit 290 the use of this AMP in environments rich in these cations. 291

Defensins. Defensins are another group of antimicrobial pep- 292 tides widely found in nature including mammalian epithelial cells 293 of chickens, turkeys, etc. They are abundant in cells and tissues 294 active in host defense against microorganisms (98, 99). They are 295 reported to have a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity  $(100)$ , 296 including Gram-positive, Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, and 297 enveloped viruses (101, 102). 298

Lactoferrin. Bovine and activated lactoferrin (ALF), an iron- 299 binding glycoprotein present in milk, has antimicrobial activity 300 against a wide range of Gram-positive and negative bacteria  $(102)$  301 fungi, and parasites (103). Lactoferrin has been applied in meat 302 products  $(104-106)$  as it has recently received approval for 303 application on beef in the USA (USDA-FSIS 2008. FSIS Direc- 304 tive 7120.1 Amendment 15). 305

Other AMPs. Protamine, like salmine and clupeine, has been 306 reported to be isolated from fish and is found to be effective against 307 Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, yeasts, and molds 308  $(108-111)$ . Magainin peptides isolated from frogs  $(112)$  have been 309 found effective against a range of food-related pathogens (113), 310 implying a possible application as food preservatives (91, 114, 115). 311

Chitosan. Chitosan, a natural biopolymer obtained from the 312 exoskeletons of crustaceans and arthropods, is known for its 313 unique polycationic nature and has been used as active material 314 for its antifungal activity (72, 116) and antibacterial activity ( $117 - 315$ 120). Liu et al.  $(121)$  studied the efficacy of chitosan against E. coli 316 and concluded that low molecular weight chitosan is effective for 317 controlling growth. The strong antibacterial activity of chitosan 318 was also observed against *S. aureus*, while its molecular weight 319 appeared to be a significant parameter defining its activity (122). 320  Lipids. Like lipids of plant origin, lipids of animal origin have antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms. Free fatty acids at mucosal surfaces have been shown to inactivate S. aureus (123). Milk lipids have recorded activity for inactivation of Gram-positive bacteria including S. aureus, Cl. botulinum, B. subtilis,B. cereus,L. monocytogenes, Gram- negative bacteria such 327 as P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and Salmonella enteriditis  $(124-126)$ , and also against various fungi such as Aspergillus niger, Saccharo- myces cerevisiae, and C. albicans (36, 124). Lipids may serve to inhibit the proliferation as well as the prevention of the establish-ment of pathogenic or spoilage microorganisms in food matrixes.

 Shin et al. (127) studied eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), which are formed in animal (including fish and shellfish) tissues but not plant tissues (18:3  $335 \omega$ -3). DHA is a component of membrane structural lipids that are enriched in certain phospholipid components of the retina and nonmyelin membranes of the nervous system in animals. Bio- converted EPA and DHA exhibited antibacterial activities against four Gram-positive bacteria, B. subtilis, L. monocyto- genes, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538, S. aureus KCTC 1916, and seven Gram-negative bacteria, E. aerogenes, E. coli, E. coli O157:H7, E. coli O157:H7 (human), P. aeruginosa, Salmonella enteritidis, and S. typhimurium (127). The growth inhibition by both EPA and DHA was similar against Gram-positive bacteria, while the bioconverted extract of DHA was more effective than EPA against Gram-negative bacteria.

 Mechanism of Antimicrobial Action. The mechanism of action of AMPs seems to involve multiple targets. The plasma membrane is the most cited target; however, recent studies suggest intracel- lular targets at least for some peptides (128, 129). Although most AMPs act by nonspecific mechanisms, they often display some selectivity between different microorganisms, for example, Gram- negative compared with Gram-positive bacteria (130, 131) and susceptibility of fungal cells compared with other eukaryotic cells (132). Antimicrobial peptides can assume amphipathic struc- tures, which are able to interact directly with the microbial cell membrane, rapidly disrupting the membrane in several locations, resulting in leaching out of vital cell components (96, 133). Previous studies conducted on the mechanism of action of pleurocidin revealed that it exhibits strong membrane transloca- tion and pore-formation ability, reacting with both neutral and acidic anionic phospholipid membranes (134). Lipids inactivate microorganisms mainly by disruption of bacterial cell wall or membrane, inhibition of intracellular replication, or inhibition of an intracellular target (135). Monoacylglycerols lower the heat resistance of certain bacteria and fungi; therefore, they may find application in reducing the required heat treatment for certain 368 foods (36). Lysozyme hydrolyses the  $\beta$ -1,4-glycosidic linkage in sugar polymers such as N-acetylmuramic acid and N-acetylglu-cosamine linkages found in bacterial peptidoglycan (136).

#### <sup>371</sup> MICROBIAL ORIGIN ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS

 Bacteria produce many compounds that are active against other bacteria, which can be harnessed to inhibit the growth of potential spoilage or pathogenic microorganisms. These include fermentation end products such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, and diacetyl, in addition to bacteriocins and other antagonistic compounds such as reuterin (137). Both Gram- negative and Gram-positive bacteria produce bacteriocins. Bac- teriocins are proteinaceous antibacterial compounds, which con- stitute a heterologous subgroup of ribosomally synthesized antimicrobial peptides (138). Bacteriocin production can be exploited by food processors to provide an additional barrier to undesirable bacterial growth in foods (Table 1).

Bacteriocins are cationic peptides that display hydrophobic 384 or amphiphilic properties, and in most cases, the target for 385 their activity is the bacterial membrane. Depending on the 386 producer organism and classification criteria, bacteriocins can 387 be categorized into several groups  $(139-142)$  with as many as 388 five classes of bacteriocins proposed  $(143-145)$ . The majority 389 fall into classes I and II, which are the most intensively 390 researched to date. The class I group, termed lantibiotics, are 391 small peptides that are characterized by their content of several 392 unusual amino acids  $(146)$ . The class II bacteriocins are small, 393 nonmodified, heat stable peptides  $(147)$ . Another classification 394 is with respect to the producing microorganism and is specifi- 395 cally named after the genus, species, or the group of micro- 396 organisms, e.g., lantibiotics for bacteriocins of lactic acid 397 bacteria, colicins of E. coli, klebisins of Klebsiella pneumo- 398 *niae* (148). A large number of bacteriocins have been isolated  $\frac{399}{2}$ and characterized from lactic acid bacteria, and some have 400 acquired a status as potential food preservatives because of 401 their antagonistic effect on important pathogens. Many bac- 402 teriocins are active against food borne pathogens and spoilage 403 bacteria  $(149-152)$ . The important ones include nisin, diplo- 404 coccin, acidophilin, bulgarican, helveticin, lactacin, and plan- 405 taricin (153). Nisin is produced by various *Lactococcus lactis* 406 strains, is the most thoroughly studied bacteriocin to date, and 407 is applied as an additive in food worldwide  $(154)$ . While the 408 antimicrobial polypeptide nisin and related compounds such 409 as pediocin are the only bacteriocins widely used for food 410 preservation (155, 156), many other bacteriocins have been 411 reported and have shown potential for food preservation and 412 safety applications. 413

Reuterin. Reuterin ( $\beta$ -hydroxypropionaldehyde) is a water- 414 soluble nonproteinaceous metabolite of glycerol  $(157)$ . It is a 415 broad spectrum antimicrobial compound produced by some 416 strains of *Lactobacillus reuteri*, with recorded activity against 417 Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, yeasts, and filamen- 418 tous fungi ( $158$ ). Reuterin was isolated, purified, and identified by  $419$ Talarico and Dobrogosz  $(159)$  and is active over a wide range of 420 pH values and resistant to the action of proteolytic and lipolytic 421 enzymes (160). Reuterin is reported to exhibit bacteriostatic 422 activity against Listeria monocytogenes but was only slightly 423 bactericidal against Staphylococcus aureus at 37 °C. However, 424 higher bactericidal activity was reported against E. coli O157:H7, 425 S. choleraesuis subsp. Choleraesuis, Y. enterocolitica, A. hydro- 426 phila subsp. Hydrophila, and C. jejuni  $(161)$ .  $427$ 

Pediocin. Pediocin is produced by strains of Pediococcus 428 acidilactici and P. pentosaceus and is designated generally recog- 429 nized as a safe (GRAS). The organism is commonly isolated from 430 and used in fermented sausage production. The bacteriocins 431 produced by *P. acidilactici* are AcH, PA-1, JD, and 5, and those 432 produced from *P. pentosaceus* are A, N5p, ST18, and PD1 (162). 433 Most pediocins are thermostable proteins and function over a 434 wide range of pH values. Pediocin AcH has proven efficacy 435 against both spoilage and pathogenic organisms, including 436 L. monocytogenes, Enterococcus faecalis, S. aureus, and Cl. 437 Perfringens (163). Natamycin is an antifungal produced by 438 Streptomyces natalensis that is effective against nearly all molds 439 and yeasts but has little or no effect on bacteria. 440

Nisin. Nisin is the most widely used bacteriocin. To date, nisin is 441 the only natural antimicrobial peptide (see Scheme 2 for its 442 structure) approved by the FDA for use as a food preservative; 443 however, it has a limited spectrum of activity, does not inhibit 444 Gram-negative bacteria or fungi, and is only effective at low 445 pH (164, 165). Nisin is produced by fermentation of a modified 446 milk medium by certain strains of lactic acid bacterium, Lacto- 447 coccus lactis. Nisin functions by interacting with the phospholipids 448



Table 1. Effect of Natural Antimicrobial Agents on Food Preservation and Quality<sup>a</sup>

a AU: arbitrary units were defined as the reciprocal of the highest two-fold dilution that did not allow the growth of the indicator strain. AC: anthocyanin content. 1 and  $\downarrow$  indicate increase and decrease, respectively, while ∼ shows no significant difference. LR: microbial log reduction.

 in the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria, thus disrupting membrane function and preventing outgrowth of spores by inhibiting the swelling process of germination. It is highly active against many of the Gram-positive bacteria and speci- fically used by the cheese industry to control the growth of Clostridium spp. (166). Substantial research has evaluated the efficacy of nisin against various pathogens and its use for 456 different food products  $(167-174)$ . Nisin has been used to inhibit microbial growth in beef (173), sausages (2), liquid 458 whole egg  $(174)$ , ground beef  $(175)$ , and poultry  $(176)$ . It has also been reported to reduce initial levels of Listeria mono- cytogenes and suppress subsequent growth in ready-to-eat (RTE) meat products (177, 178). Komitopoulou et al. (179) reported that nisin could be used for the effective control of Alicyclobacillus acidoterrestris in fruit juices. A nisin level of 463 6.25  $\mu$ g/g could inhibit lactic acid bacteria (LAB) growth for 464 over 28 days and for 35 days with 25  $\mu$ g/g (180). The effects of 465 three types of phosphate (used as emulsifiers) on nisin activity 466 in sausage were compared, and LAB growth rate was fastest in 467 samples containing orthophosphate and slowest in sausages 468 containing diphosphate. 469

Mechanism of Antimicrobial Action. The antimicrobial action 470 of bacteriocins is based on pore formation in the cytoplasmic 471 membrane of the target microorganism. This leads to a loss of 472 small intracellular molecules and ions and a collapse of the proton 473 motive force (181). Nisin is less effective on Gram-negative 474 bacteria, as the outer membrane disables the entry of this 475 molecule to the site of action  $(50, 119, 182, 183)$ . The first step 476 Scheme 2. Structure of Nisin



 in the mode of action of nisin is to pass through the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria. Generally, it is assumed that nisin passes the cell wall by diffusion. However, the Gram-positive cell wall can act as a molecular sieve against nisin depending on its composition, thickness, or hydrophobicity (184). The removal of the cell wall from nisin-resistant Listeria resulted in the removal of nisin resistance, suggesting that the cell wall plays a role in the differences in susceptibility toward nisin (185). The next step of the antimicrobial process of nisin is to associate with the cytoplasmic membrane of the target microorganism. It has been suggested that nisin interacts electrostatically with the negatively charged phosphate groups of surface membrane phospholipids (173).

 Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Activity. Various factors can impact the antimicrobial efficacy of bacteriocins. These include the emergence of bacteriocin-resistant bacteria, conditions that destabilize the biological activity of proteins such as proteases or oxidation processes, binding to food components such as fat particles or protein surfaces, inactivation by other additives, poor solubility, and uneven distribution in the food matrix and/or pH effects on bacteriocin stability and activity (137). The application of bacteriocins in combination with other preservation hurdles has been proposed to reduce the selection for resistance to bacteriocins in target strains and/or to extend its inhibitory activity to Gram-negative species (182). Interactions between bacteriocin and the food matrix may result in a decrease in the efficacy of the bacteriocin. The combination of bacteriocins with other minimal or nonthermal preservation technologies may prove useful for practical applications. This approach is of value for the control of Gram-negative bacteria as their outer mem- brane acts as an efficient barrier against hydrophobic solutes and macromolecules, such as bacteriocins (119).

### <sup>509</sup> QUANTIFICATION OF THE MINIMUM AND NONINHIBITORY 510 **CONCENTRATION**

 The use of antimicrobials as preservatives in food systems can be constrained when effective antimicrobial doses exceed organoleptic acceptable levels (especially for essential oils) or when they are added to complex food systems. Two specific concentrations appear to be of interest, i.e., the noninhibitory concentration, NIC, the concentration above which the inhi- bitor begins to have a negative effect on growth, and the minimum inhibitory concentration, MIC, which marks the concentration above which no growth is observed by compar- ison with the control (186). Therefore, these concentrations are quantified with the aim of defining the boundaries of sensory acceptability and antimicrobial efficacy of antimicrobials (26). Most of the studies on the calculation of MIC and NIC are semiquantitative, while quantitative approaches have been mainly applied on studies concerning the antimicrobial activity of plant origin antimicrobial agents, i.e., essential oils and their components.

The MIC and NIC are dependent on experimental conditions. 528 The influencing conditions include the incubation temperature, 529 organism, and inoculum size, and therefore, they should be 530 reported in studies where MIC and NIC are evaluated (187, 531 188). In vitro studies for identifying the MIC can be divided into 532 groups such as diffusion, dilutions, impedance, and optical 533 density (or absorbance) methods (see for e.g., refs (189–191)). 534 Most of these evaluations are based on an end-point approach for 535 evaluating the MIC, i.e., end result in which no growth is obtained 536 for a test level of preservative, into which an inoculum of 537 microbes is added. This kind of approach is considered semi- 538 quantitative  $(188)$ . 539

Lambert and Pearson  $(188)$  examined the inhibitory activity of  $540$ single compounds of EOs and developed a fully quantitative 541 approach. This is given by the Lambert-Peason model (LPM) 542 inspired by a modified Gompertz equation (eq 1) to evaluate the  $543$ dose-responses of microorganisms against several inhibitors. 544 This modeling approach has already been examined for optical 545 density, O.D. (187, 188), and impedance microbial measure- 546 ments (62). 547

$$
fa = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x}{P_1}\right)^{P_2}\right] \tag{1}
$$

In eq 1,  $fa$  is the fractional area which is defined as the ratio of  $548$ inhibited growth to uninhibited growth as measured by the 549 applied method (impedance, optical density, etc.),  $x$  is the  $550$ inhibitor concentration (mg/L),  $P_1$  is the concentration at max- 551 imum slope (of a log x vs  $fa$  plot; see **Figure 1** for a graphical 552 example of this equation), and  $P_2$  is a slope parameter. Observe 553 that fa can be measured by using the trapezoidal rule under the O.  $554$ D. (or other microbial measurements)/time curves and then 555 taking the ratio of the test area to that of the control  $(187)$ . 556 Therefore, the range of fa will be between 0 and 1 (**Figure 1**). The  $557$ routine, trapz, provided by Matlab is an example of a software 558 package that can be used for performing a trapezoidal numerical 559 integration. 560

The MIC (eq 2) and the NIC (eq 3) can then be calculated as the  $561$ intercept of the concentration axis to the tangent at the maximum 562 gradient of the  $fa/log$  concentration curve and the intercept of the 563 tangent at the maximum gradient of the  $fa/log$  concentration 564 curve to the  $fa = 1$  contour.  $565$ 

$$
MIC = P_1 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{P_2}\right) \tag{2}
$$

$$
NIC = P_1 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1 - e}{P_2}\right) \tag{3}
$$

Guillier et al. (192) developed another approach for evaluating  $567$ the MIC based on the use of growth rate models. After estimation 568 of the maximum specific growth rates  $(\mu_{\text{max}})$  from optical density 569





570 growth kinetics by a modified Gompertz model, they assessed the

571 antimicrobial concentration dependence on  $\mu_{\text{max}}$  (eq 4).

$$
\sqrt{\mu_{\text{max}}} = \sqrt{\mu_{\text{max}}(c=0) \cdot f(c)} \tag{4}
$$

572  $f(c)$  can be described either as eq 5, i.e., the  $SR_u$  model, or as eq 6, 573 i.e., the  $LP_\mu$  model.

$$
f(c) = \left(1 - \frac{c}{MIC}\right)^{\beta}, c < MIC \text{or } 0, c \ge MIC
$$
 (5)

$$
f(c) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{c}{MIC/\exp\left(\frac{\ln(NIC/MIC)}{-e}\right)}\right)^{-e/(\ln(NIC/MIC))}\right] \tag{6}
$$

574  $\mu_{\text{max}}(c = 0)$  is the growth rate in the absence of the antimicrobial 575 ( $c = 0$ ) and  $\beta$  a shape parameter representing the sensitivity of the 576 microorganism to an antimicrobial in eq 5. These two approaches 577 appeared to give equivalent results. Observe that for estimating 578 the parameters of MIC, NIC, and  $\mu_{\text{max}}(c=0)$  of eq 6, a regression 579 is performed for the data that relate the maximum specific growth 580 rates  $(\mu_{\text{max}})$  with the concentration of the inhibitor.

 Lambert et al. (26) argued that the majority of antimicrobial activity could be attributed to two components acting indepen- dently. Therefore, they also suggested another expression for a mixture of two inhibitors that could be extended in case there are more inhibitors as presented in eq 7:

$$
f_{a_{x_i},...,x_k} = \exp\left\{-\left[\left(\frac{x_i}{C_{i,1}}\right)^{C_{i,2}}+...+\left(\frac{x_k}{C_{k,1}}\right)^{C_{k,2}}\right]^{C_Q}\right\} \quad (7)
$$

586 where parameters  $C_{i,1}$  are the concentrations of the  $x_i$  inhibitors at the maximum slope. The main difference is that the current expression takes into account interactions between the antimi- crobials, which means that it could be considered for any additive, antagonistic, and synergistic activity between the studied inhibi- tors. For an example in which a mixture of two antimicrobials is studied reference is made to Lambert and Lambert (187). In that 593 case, the MIC of any of the  $x_i$  antimicrobials is then given by eq 8.

$$
MIC = C_{i,1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{C_{i,2} + C_Q}\right) \tag{8}
$$

 595 Another interesting quantitative approach for evaluating the bactericidal effect of different agents has been suggested by Lui et al. (193). This is based on a concentration killing curve approach and the estimation of the so-called median bactericidal concentration and bactericidal intensity. The developed method is based on the correlation (by the use of a sigmoidal curve with an  $600$ inflection point) of the population size (number  $CFU$  per plate) 601 with respect to the concentration of the agent. This approach has 602 been applied for quantifying the bactericidal potency of anti- 603 biotics against  $E.$  coli and might have to be further investigated  $604$ for different antimicrobials. Similar to the discussed approaches, 605 novel modeling methods for quantitatively expressing the effect 606 of antimicrobials through MIC and NIC values can be developed  $607$ by knowledge coming from predictive microbiology. An overview 608 of representative cases for different modeling expressions tackling 609 the effect of both chemical and natural inhibitory compounds can 610 be found in Devlieghere et al. (194). 611

Accurate quantitative evaluations of MIC and NIC are 612 important for designing effective preservation methods that 613 are based on the use of the discussed antimicrobials. These 614 quantitative methods can be exploited to give insight to optimal  $615$ concentrations or combinations for real food systems by direct 616 comparison of the antimicrobial efficacy of different antimicro- 617 bials, their individual or combined components, or their mix- 618 tures, and for efficient design of preservation for food products 619 based on the principles of hurdle technology. These approaches 620 have not received much attention for evaluating the MIC or the 621 minimum bactericidal concentration of the antimicrobials of 622 animal and microbial origin, but their potential is evident. 623

### APPLICATIONS OF NATURAL ANTIMICROBIALS IN FOOD 624

The extrapolation of results obtained from in vitro experiments 625 with laboratory media to food products is not straightforward as 626 foods are complex, multicomponent systems consisting of differ- 627 ent interconnecting microenvironments. Though there is vast 628 potential for natural antimicrobial agents in food preservation, 629 most of the literature presents inactivation data from model foods 630 or laboratory media. Table 1 reports inactivation studies in real 631 food systems. The level of natural preservatives required for 632 sufficient efficacy in food products in comparison with laboratory 633 media may be considerably higher, which may negatively impact 634 the organoleptic properties of food. 635

Monoacylglycerols have increased the shelf life of various 636 foods including soy sauce, miso, sausages, cakes, and noodles  $(36)$ . 637 The lauric acid ester of monoacylglycerol has reported antimi- 638 crobial potential in seafood salads and various flesh foods 639 including deboned chicken meat, minced fish, refrigerated beef 640 roasts, and frankfurter slurries  $(126, 195)$ . Hao et al.  $(196)$  studied 641 the efficacy of a range of plant extracts for inhibition of 642 A. hydrophila and L. monocytogenes in refrigerated cooked 643 poultry and found that eugenol reduced pathogen counts by 644  $4 \log_{10} \frac{\text{ctu}}{\text{g}}$  over a 14 day storage trial. Similarly,  $1-2\%$  w/w clove 645 oil inhibited the growth of a range of *Listeria* spp. in chicken 646 frankfurters over 2 weeks at  $5^{\circ}C(197)$ . Conversely, Shekarforoush 647

 et al. (198) found that EOs of oregano and nutmeg were effective against E. coli O157:H7 in a broth system but had no effect in ready-to-cook chicken. Careaga et al. (199) recorded that 1.5 mL/ 100 g of capsicum extract was sufficient to prevent the growth of 652 S. typhimurium in raw beef but that  $3 \text{ mL}/100 \text{ g}$  was required for a bactericidal effect against P. aeriginosa. Ahn et al. (200) also found a range of plant extracts to be useful for reduction of pathogens associated with cooked beef and quality maintenance; however, Uhart et al. (201) concluded that when in direct contact, spices inactivated S. typhimurium DT104 but that the activity decreased considerably when added to a complex food system such as ground beef. Gutierrez et al. (74,75) concluded that plant essential oils are more effective against food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria when applied to ready-to-use foods containing a high protein level at acidic pH as well as lower levels of fats or carbohydrates and moderate levels of simple sugars. The success of plant derived antimicrobials when applied to fruit and vegetable products is also documented in the literature. Karapinar et al. (202) recommended unripe grape juice as an alternative antimicrobial agent for enhancing the safety of salad vegetables, and Martinez-Romero et al. (203) suggested that carvacrol could be applied as a novel tool for the control of fungal decay on grapes. Although Valero and Frances (204) found that low concentrations of carvacrol, cinna- maldehyde, or thymol had a clear antibacterial effect against B. cereus in carrot broth, cinnamaldehyde retained a significant 673 activity at storage temperatures of 12 °C. Gutierrez et al.  $(205)$  found that the efficacy of oregano EO was comparable with chlorine as a decontamination treatment for ready-to-eat carrots. Use of this essential oil contributed to the acceptability of sensory quality and appreciation. A novel application of plant extracts is for the production of chocolate; Kotzekidou et al. (206) reported enhanced inhibitory effects of plant extracts against an E. coli 680 cocktail at 20 °C.

 Antimicrobials from microbial sources, especially nisin, find application in a number of foods such as milk, orange juice (207), and tomato juice (208), and for increasing the shelf life of chicken meat without altering sensory properties of the product (209). The efficacy of enterocin AS-48 for inhibition of B. cereus in rice and S. aureus in vegetable sauces was investigated (210, 211) with 687 bacteriocin levels in the range of  $20-35 \mu$ g/mL and 80  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.

 Investigation of the antimicrobial properties of preservatives from animal sources and their possible potential in food applica- tion is still in its infancy, with few published studies available as described above. A common conclusion that could be drawn from these studies is the fact that the significant potential of antimicrobials from animal sources is not being exploited.

 Some other applications in foods that got attention in previous years are the use of bioactive packaging technologies. These systems can be applied for all of the discussed antimicrobials, i.e., plant, animal, and microbial origin agents either by adding a sachet (or possibly by encapsulating the agents (212)) into the package, dispersing bioactive agents in the packaging, coating bioactive agents on the surface of the packaging material, or utilizing antimicrobial macromolecules with film-forming prop- erties or edible matrixes (213, 214). Film-coating applications have been reported for meat, fish, poultry, bread, cheese, fruits, and vegetables (215).

### <sup>706</sup> USE OF NATURAL ANTIMICROBIALS IN THE MULTIPLE-<sup>707</sup> HURDLE CONCEPT

 Investigations based on combinations of natural antimicro- bials with other nonthermal processing technologies within the multiple-hurdle concept are warranted to counteract any poten-tial organoleptic or textural effects on food products as well as optimizing microbial inactivation. The preservative action of 712 bacteriocins alone in a food system is unlikely to ensure compre- 713 hensive safety. This is of particular significance with regard to 714 Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria that are protected from the 715 antimicrobial action of bacteriocins by the presence of an outer 716 membrane. When the outer membrane is disrupted by agents 717 such as the food grade chelating agent ethylene diamine tetra- 718 acetate (EDTA), which acts by binding to  $Mg^{2+}$  ions in lipopo- 719 lysaccharide, the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria are 720 rendered sensitive to the antimicrobial action of bacterio- 721 cins (181). Potential synergistic effects may be found with other 722 chemical or physical inactivation technologies including dense 723 phase carbon dioxide, ultrasound, pulsed-electric field, high 724 pressure, and ozone treatment. As a consequence of applying 725 these nonthermal methods, bacterial cell membranes can weaken 726 or become susceptible to additional antimicrobial agents such as 727 bacteriocins, causing lethality. The use of bacteriocins in combi- 728 nation with organic acids or other antimicrobials can similarly 729 result in enhanced inactivation (216). Studies reporting the 730 effective use of nisin against Gram-negative organisms and fungi 731 are those in which nisin was used in combination with traditional 732 food preservatives such as organic acids and chelating 733 agents (217). Rajkovic et al. (218) found that the activity of nisin 734 combined with carvacrol was enhanced in a potato puree by 735 comparison with BHI broth and that more obvious effects against 736 B. cereus and B. circulans were observed at higher temperatures. 737 The application of bacteriocins in combination with treatments 738 that could enhance their effectiveness in foods requires investiga- 739 tion. Examples of the synergistic effects that can be obtained 740 using mild traditional preservation techniques in conjunction 741 with novel food processing technologies are better studied in 742 vitro but require further investigation in food products to ensure 743 successful practical application. The antibacterial activity of 744 inhibitory compounds, such as nisin, enterocin, monolaurin, 745 and the lactoperoxidase system (LPS), can be enhanced if applied 746 in combination (219-221), with chelating agents (182, 222, 223) or  $747$ with preservative treatments such as high hydrostatic pressure, 748 pulsed electric field, low pH, or freeze/thaw cycles (224-228). The 749 combination of plant EOs with modified atmosphere packaging 750 for control of spoilage species was reported by Skandamis and 751 Nychas (229) and Matan et al., (230). Seydim and Sarikus (231) 752 also investigated the use of EOs in an active packaging system 753 based on an edible whey protein film and concluded that oregano 754 was the most effective EO against a range of food pathogens. 755 Allyl isothiocyanate was successfully applied to chopped, refri- 756 gerated, nitrogen packed beef for the control of E. coli at levels in 757 excess of 1000 ppm. 758

Conclusions and Future Trends. Interest in natural antimicro- 759 bials has expanded in recent years in response to consumer 760 demand for greener additives. During the last two decades, 761 natural preservatives have been investigated for practical applica- 762 tions. These technologies have been shown to inactivate micro- 763 organisms and enzymes without significant adverse effects on 764 organoleptic or nutritional properties. Reported studies have 765 demonstrated that natural antimicrobial agents described in this 766 review may offer unique advantages for food processing. In 767 addition to improving the shelf life and safety of foods, natural 768 antimicrobial agents may allow novel food products with en- 769 hanced quality and nutritional properties to be introduced to the 770 market. 771

The applications of natural antimicrobial agents are likely to 772 grow steadily in the future because of greater consumer demands 773 for minimally processed foods and those containing naturally 774 derived preservation ingredients. More complex considerations 775 arise for combinations of technologies, particularly with respect 776

 to optimization of practical applications. Intelligent selection of appropriate systems based on detailed, sequential studies and quantitative approaches to evaluate the efficiency of antimicro- bials is necessary. The impact of product formulation, extrinsic storage parameters, and intrinsic product parameters on the efficacy of novel applications of combined nonthermal systems requires further study.

### <sup>784</sup> ABBREVIATIONS USED

 Abu, amino butyric acid; Ala, alanine; asn, asparagine; Dha, dehydroalanine; Dhb, dehydrobutyrine (β-methyldehydroala- nine); Gly, glycine; His, histidine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine ; Lys, lysine ;Met, methyonine ; Pro, proline; Ser, serine; Val, valine.

### <sup>789</sup> LITERATURE CITED

- 790 (1) Barbosa-Canovas, G. V.; Pothakamury, U. H.; Palou, E.; Swanson, 791 B. G. Nonthermal Preservation of Foods; Marcel Dekker: New York, 792 1997; p 304.
- 793 (2) Hugas, M.; Garriga, M.; Aymerich, M. T.; Monfort, J. M. Inhibition 794 of Listeria in dry fermented sausages by the bacteriocinogeni 795 Lactobacillus sake CTC494. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1995, 79 (3), 322–330.
- 796 (3) Devlieghere, F.; Vermeulen, A.; Debevere, J. Chitosan: antimicro-797 bial activity, interactions with food components and applicability as 798 a coating on fruit and vegetables. Food Microbiol. 2004, 21 (6), 799 703–714.
- 800 (4) Beuchat, L. R.; Golden, D. A. Antimicrobials occurring naturally in 801 foods. Food Technol. **1989**, 43 (1), 134-142.
- 802 (5) Deans, S. G.; Noble, R. C.; Hiltunen, R.; Wuryani, W.; Penzes, L. G. 803 Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of Syzygium aromaticum 804 (L.) Merr. & Perry: impact upon bacteria, fungi and fatty acid levels in 805 ageing mice. Flavour Frag. J. 1995, 10, 323–328.
- 806 (6) Kim, H. Y.; Lee, Y. J.; Hong, K.-H.; Kwon, Y.-K.; Sim, K.-C.; Lee, 807 J.-Y.; Cho, H.-Y.; Kim, I.-S.; Han, S.-B.; Lee, C.-W.; Shin, I.-S.; 808 Cho, J. S. Isolation of antimicrobial substances from natural 809 products and their preservative effects. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 2001, 810  $10(1)$ , 59–71.
- 811 (7) Burt, S. A.; Reinders, R. D. Antibacterial activity of selected plant 812 essential oils against Escherichia coli O157:H7. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 813 2003, 36, 162–167.
- 814 (8) Chorianopoulos, N. G.; Giaouris, E. D.; Skandamis, P. N.; 815 Haroutounian, S. A.; Nychas, G. J. E. Disinfectant test against 816 monoculture and mixed-culture biofilms composed of technological, 817 spoilage and pathogenic bacteria: bactericidal effect of essential oil 818 and hydrosol of Satureja thymbra and comparison with standard acid-819 base sanitizers. *J. Appl. Micrbiol.* **2008**, 104, 1586-1869.
- 820 (9) Nychas, G. J. E.; Skandamis, P. N. Chapter 9: Antimicrobials from 821 Herbs and Spices. In Natural Anti-Microbials for the Minimal 822 Processing of Foods; Roller, S., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, 823 U.K., 2003; pp 176-200.
- 824 (10) Gutierrez, J.; Rodriguez, G.; Barry-Ryan, C.; Bourke, P. Efficacy of 825 plant essential oils against foodborne pathogens and spoilage 826 bacteria associated with ready-to-eat vegetables: Antimicrobial 827 and sensory screening. *J. Food Prot.* **2008**, 71 (9), 1846–1854.
- 828 (11) Lis-Balchin, M.; Deans, S. G. Bioactivity of selected plant essential 829 oils against Listeria monocytogenes. J. Appl. Microbiol. 1997, 82, 830 759–762.
- 831 (12) Smith-Palmer, A.; Stewart, J.; Fyfe, L. Antimicrobial properties of 832 plant essential oils and essences against five important foodborne 833 pathogens. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1998, 26, 118–122.
- 834 (13) Kim, J.; Marshall, M. R.; Wei, C. Antimicrobial activity of some 835 essential oil components against five food borne pathogens. J. Agric. 836 Food Chem. 1995, 43, 2839–2845.
- 837 (14) Packiyasothy, E. V.; Kyle, S. Antimicrobial properties of some herb 838 essential oils. *Food Aust*. **2002**, 54 (9), 384–387.
- 839 (15) Alzoreky, N. S.; Nakahara, K. Antimicrobial activity of extracts 840 from some edible plants commonly consumed in Asia. *Int. J. Food* 841 *Microbiol.* **2002**, 80, 223-230.
- 842 (16) Davidson, P. M. Chemical Preservatives and Naturally Antimicro-
- 843 bial Compounds. In Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers,

2nd ed.; Doyle, M. P., Beuchat, L. R., Montville, T. J., Eds.; ASM Press: 844 Washington, DC, 2001; pp 593–628. 845

- (17) Marino, M.; Bersani, C.; Comi, G. Impedance measurement to study 846 antimicrobial activity of essential oils from Lamiaceae and Compo- 847 sitae. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2002, 67, 187-195. 848
- (18) Chorianopoulos, N.; Kalpoutzakis, E.; Aligiannis, N.; Mitaku, S.; 849 Nychas, G. J.; Haroutounian, S. A. Essential oils of Satureja, 850 Origanum, and Thymus species: Chemical composition and anti- 851 bacterial activities against foodborne pathogens. J. Agric. Food 852 Chem. 2004, 52, 8261–8267. 853
- (19) Kim, S.; Fung, D. Y. Antibacterial effect of water soluble arrowroot 854 (Puerariae radix) tea extract on foodborne pathogens in ground beef and 855 mushroom soup. *J. Food Prot.* **2004**, 67, 1953–1956. 856
- (20) Sivropoulou, A.; Papanikolaou, E.; Nikolaou, C.; Kokkini, S.; 857 Lanaras, T.; Arsenakis, M. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities 858 of Origanum essential oils. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1996, 44, 1202-1205. 859
- (21) Skandamis, P.; Tsigarida, E.; Nychas, G.-J.E. The effect of oregano 860 essential oil on survival/death of Salmonella typhimurium in meat 861 stored at 5 1C under aerobic, VP/MAP conditions. Food Microbiol. 862 2002, 19, 97–103. 863
- (22) Katayama, T.; Nagai, I. Chemical significance of the volatile 864 components of spices in the food preservative view point. VI. 865 Structure and antibacterial activity of Terpenes. Bull. Jpn. Soc. 866 Sci. Fish. 1960, 26, 29–32. 867
- (23) Farag, R. S.; Daw, Z. Y.; Hewed, F. M.; El-Baroty, G. S. A. 868 Antimicrobial activity of some Egyptian spice oils. J. Food Prot. 869 1989, 52, 665–667. 870
- (24) Nychas, G. J. E. Natural Antimicrobials from Plants. In New 871 Methods of Food Preservation; Gould, G. W., Ed.; Blackie Academic 872 & Professional: Glasgow, Scotland, 1995; pp 58-89. 873
- (25) Dorman, H. J. D.; Deans, S. G. Antimicrobial agents from plants: 874 antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2000, 875 88, 308–316. 876
- (26) Lambert, R. J. W.; Skandamis, P. N.; Coote, P. J.; Nychas, G.-J. E. 877 A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of 878 action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. J. Appl. 879 Microbiol. 2001, 91, 453-462. 880
- (27) Lopez-Malo, A.; Alzamora, S. M.; Palou, E. Naturally Occurring 881 Compounds: Plant Sources. In Antimicrobials in Food, 3rd ed.; 882 Davidson, P. M., Sofos, J. N., Branen, A. L., Eds.; CRC Press: New 883 York, 2005; pp 429-251. 884
- (28) Juliano, C.; Mattana, A.; Usai, M. Composition and in vitro 885 antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of Thymus herba-borona 886 Loisel growing wild in Sardinia. J. Essent. Oil Res. 2000, 12, 516-522. 887
- (29) Demetzos, C.; Perdetzoglou, D. K. Composition and antimicrobial 888 studies of the oils of Origanum calcaratum Juss and O. scabrum Boiss 889 from Greece. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2001**, 13, 460–462. 890
- (30) Shelef, L. A. Antimicrobial effects of spices. *J. Food Saf.* 1983, 6, 29–44. 891
- (31) Ward, S. M.; Delaquis, P. J.; Holley, R. A.; Mazza, G. Inhibition 892 ofspoilage and pathogenic bacteria on agar and pre-cooked roasted 893 beefby volatile horseradish distillates. Food Res. Int. 1998, 31, 19-26. 894
- (32) Yin, M. C.; Cheng, W. S. Antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of 895 four garlic-derived organosulfur compounds in ground beef. Meat 896 Sci. 2003, 63, 23–28. 897
- (33) Nielsen, P. V.; Rios, R. Inhibition of fungal growth on bread by 898 volatile components from spices and herbs, and their possible 899 application in active packaging, with special emphasis on mustard 900 essential oil. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2000, 60, 219-229. 901
- (34) Rauha, J. P.; Remes, S.; Heinonen, M.; Hopia, A.; Kahkonen, M; 902 Kujala, T.; Pihlaja, K.; Vuorela, H.; Vuorela, P. Antimicrobial 903 effects of Finnish plant extracts containing flavonoids and other 904 phenolic compounds. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. **2000**, 56, 3–12. 905
- (35) Ibrahim, S. A.; Salameh, M. M.; Phetsomphou, S.; Yang, H.; Seo, C. 906 W. Application of caffeine, 1,3,7-trimethylxanthine, to control 907 Escherichia coli O157:H7. Food Chem. 2006, 99, 645-650. 908
- (36) Sofos, J.; Beuchat, L. R.; Davidson, P. M.; Johnson, E. A. Naturally 909 Occurring Antimicrobials in Food. Task Force Report; Council of 910 Agricultural Science and Technology: Ames, IA, 1998; p 103. 911
- (37) Holley, R. A.; Patel, D. Improvement in shelf-life and safety of 912 perishable foods by plant essential oils and smoke antimicrobials. 913 Food Microbiol. 2005, 22, 273-292. 914

#### J J. Agric. Food Chem., Vol. XXX, No. XX, XXXX Times and The Chemical Chemical

- 915 (38) Delaquis, P. J.; Mazza, G. Antimicrobial properties of isothiocya-916 nates in food preservation. Food Technol. 1995, 49 (11), 73-84.
- 917 (39) Lis-Balchin, M.; Deans, S. G.; Eaglesham, E. Relationship between 918 bioactivity and chemical composition of commercial essential oils. 919 Flavour Frag. J. 1998, 13, 98-104.
- 920 (40) Davidson, P. M.; Naidu, A. S. Phyto-Phenols. In Natural Food 921 Antimicrobial Systems; Naidu, A. S., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 922 2000; pp 265-294.
- 923 (41) Wild, R. The complete book of natural and medical cures; Rodale Press, 924 Inc.: Emmaus, PA, 1994.
- 925 (42) Essawi, T.; Srour, M. Screening of some Palestinian medicinal 926 plants for antibacterial activity. *J. Ethnopharmacol*. **2000**, 70, 343– 927 349
- 928 (43) Cosentino, S.; Tuberoso, C. I. G.; Pisano, B.; Satta, M.; Mascia, V.; 929 Arzedi, E. In-vitro antimicrobial activity and chemical composition 930 of Sardinian Thymus essential oils. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1999, 29, 931 130–135.
- 932 (44) Pina-Vaz, C.; Gonc, A.; Rodrigues, A.; Pinto, E.; Costa-de-Oliveira, 933 S.; Tavares, C.; Salgueiro, L. Antifungal activity of Thymus oils and 934 their major compounds. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2004, 18, 935 73–78.
- 936 (45) Karaman, S.; Digrak, M.; Ravid, U.; Ilcim, A. Antibacterial and 937 antifungal activity of the essential oils of *Thymus revolutus* Celak from 938 Turkey. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2001, 76, 183-186.
- 939 (46) Wan, J.; Wilcock, A.; Coventry, M. J. The effect of essential oils of 940 basil on the growth of Aeromonas hydrophila and *Pseudomonas* 941 fluorescence. J. Appl. Microbiol. 1998, 84, 152-158.
- 942 (47) Fricke, G.; Hoyer, H.; Wermter, R.; Paulus, H. Staphylococcus 943 aureus as an example of the influence of lipophilic components on 944 the microbiological activity of aromatic extracts. Arch. Lebensmit-945 teltechn. 1998, 49, 107–111.
- 946 (48) Matasyoh, J. C.; Kiplimo, J. J.; Karubiu, N. M.; Hailstorks, T.P. 947 Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oil of 948 Tarchonanthus camphorates. Food Chem. 2007, 101, 1183-1187.
- 949 (49) Mah, T.-F. C.; O'Toole, G.A. Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to 950 antimicrobial agents. Trends Microbiol. 2001, 9, 34–39.
- 951 (50) Lee, D. U.; Heinz, V.; Knorr, D. Effects of combination treatments 952 of nisin and high-intensity ultrasound with high pressure on the 953 microbial inactivation in liquid whole egg. Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. 954 Technol. **2003**, 4, 387-393.
- 955 (51) Wilkins, K. M.; Board, R. G. Natural Antimicrobial Systems. In 956 Mechanisms of Action of Food Preservation Procedures; Gould, G. W., 957 Ed.; Elsevier: New York, 1989; pp 285-362.
- 958 (52) Beuchat, L. R. Surface disinfection of raw produce. Dairy Food 959 Environ. Sanit. 1992, 12, 6–9.
- 960 (53) Lopez-Malo, A.; Alzamora, S. M.; Guerrero, S. Natural Antimicro-961 bials from Plants. In Minimally Processed Fruits and Vegetables. 962 Fundamentals Aspects and Applications Alzamora, S. M.; Tapia, M. S.; 963 Lopez-Malo, A., Eds.; Aspen Publishers: Gaithersburg, MD, 2000; 964 pp 237-264.
- 965 (54) Juven, B. J.; Kanner, J.; Schved, F.; Weisslowicz, H. Factors that 966 interact with the antibacterial action of thyme essential oil and its 967 active constituents. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1994, 76, 626–631.
- 968 (55) Bajpai, V. K.; Rahman, A.; Dung, N. T.; Huh, M. K.; Kang, S. C. In 969 vitro inhibition of food spoilage and foodborne pathogenic bacteria 970 by essential oil and leaf extracts of *Magnolia liliflora* Desr. *J. Food* 971 Sci. 2008, 73 (6), M314-M320.
- 972 (56) Fung, D. Y. C.; Taylor, S.; Kahan, J. Effects of butylated hydro-973 xyanisole (BHA) and butylated hydroxitoluene (BHT) on growth 974 and aflatoxin production of Aspergillus flavus. J. Food Saf. 1977, 1, 975 39–51.
- 976 (57) Rico-Munoz, E.; Bargiota, E.; Davidson, P. M. Effect of selected 977 phenolic compounds on the membrane-bound adenosine tripho-978 sphate of *Staphylococcus aureus. Food Microbiol*. 1987, 4, 239–249.
- 979 (58) Kabara, J. J.; Eklund, T. Organic Acids and Esters. In Food 980 Preservatives; Russel, N. J., Gould, G. W., Eds.; Blackie & Son Ltd: 981 Glasgow, Scotland, 1991; pp 44-71.
- 982 (59) Helander, I. M.; Alakomi, H. L.; Latva-Kala, K.; Mattila-Sandholm, 983 T.; Pol, I.; Smid, E. J.; Gorris, L. G. M.; von Wright, A. Characterisa-984 tion of the action of selected essential oil components on gram-negative 985 bacteria. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998, 46, 3590–3595.
- (60) Connor, D. E.; Beuchat, L. R. Sensitivity of heat stressed yeasts to 986 essential oils of plants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1984, 47, 229-233. 987
- (61) Janssen, A.; Scheffer, J.; Baerheim-Svendsen, A. Antimicrobial 988 activity of essential oils: A 1976-1986 literature review on aspects 989 of test methods. *Planta Med*. **1986**, 53, 395–398. 990
- (62) Chorianopoulos, N.; Evergetis, E.; Mallouchos, A.; Kalpoutzakis, 991 E.; Nychas, G. J.; Haroutounian, S. A. Characterization of the 992 essential oil volatiles of Satureja thymbra and Satureja parnassica: 993 Influence of harvesting time and antimicrobial activity. J. Agric. Food 994 Chem. 2006, 54, 3139–3145. 995
- (63) Ting, W. T. E.; Diebel, K. E. Sensitivity of L. monocytogenes to 996 spices at two temperatures. *J. Food Saf.* 1992, 12, 129–137. 997
- (64) Remmal, A.; Bouchikhi, T.; Rhayour, K.; Ettayebi, M. Improved 998 method for the determination of antimicrobial activity of essential 999 oils in agar medium. J. Essent. Oil Res. 1993, 5, 179–184. 1000
- (65) Pandit, V. A.; Shelef, L. A. Sensitivity of Listeria monocytogenes 1001 to rosemary (Rosemarinus officinalis L.). Food Microbiol. 1994, 11, 1002 57–63. 1003
- (66) Firouzi, R.; Azadbakht, M.; Nabinedjad, A. Anti-listerial activity of 1004 essential oils of some plants. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.* 1998, 14, 75–80. 1005
- (67) Hammer, K. A.; Carson, C. F.; Riley, T. V. Antimicrobial activity of 1006 essential oils and other plant extracts. J. Appl. Microbiol. 1999, 86, 1007 985–990. 1008
- (68) Campo, J. D.; Amiot, M. J.; Nguyen-The, C. Antimicrobial effect of 1009 rosemary extracts. *J. Food Prot.* **2000**, 63, 1359–1368. 1010
- (69) Griffin, S. G.; Markham, J. L.; Leach, D. N. An agar dilution 1011 method for the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentra- 1012 tion of essential oils. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2000**, *12*, 249–255. 1013
- (70) Elgayyar, M.; Draughon, F. A.; Golden, D. A.; Mount, J. R. 1014 Antimicrobial activity of essential oils from plants against selected 1015 pathogenic and saprophytic microorganisms. *J. Food Prot.* 2001, 64, 1016 1019–1024. 1017
- (71) Delaquis, P. J.; Stanich, K.; Girard, B.; Mazza, G. Antimicrobial 1018 activity of individual and mixed fractions of dill, cilantro, coriander 1019 and eucalyptus essential oils. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2002, 74, 1020 101–109. 1021
- (72) Roller, S.; Covill, N. The antifungal properties of chitosan in 1022 laboratory media and apple juice. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1999, 47, 1023 67–77. 1024
- (73) Singh, N.; Singh, R. K.; Singh, A.; Bhuniab, A. K. Efficacy of plant 1025 essential oils as antimicrobial agents against Listeria monocytogenes 1026 in hotdogs. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **2003**, 36, 787–794. 1027
- (74) Gutierrez, J.; Rodriguez, G.; Barry-Ryan, C.; Bourke, P. The 1028 antimicrobial efficacy of plant essential oil combinations and 1029 interactions with food ingredients. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2008**, 1030 124, 91–97. 1031
- (75) Gutierrez, J.; Barry-Ryan, C.; Bourke, P. Antimicrobial activity of 1032 plant essential oils using food model media: Efficacy, synergistic 1033 potential and interactions with food components. Food Microbiol. 1034 **2009**, 26, 142–152. **1035**
- (76) Scott, D.; Hammer, F. E.; Szalkucki, T. J. Bioconversions: Enzyme 1036 Technology. In Food Biotechnology; Knorr, D., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: 1037 New York, 1987; p 625. 1038
- (77) Borch, E.; Wallentin, C.; Rosen, M.; Bjorck, L. Antibacterial effect 1039 of the lactoperoxidase/thiocyanate/hydrogen peroxide system 1040 against strains of Campylobacter isolated from poultry. *J. Food* 1041 Prot. 1989, 52, 638–641. 1042
- (78) Siragusa, G. R.; Johnson, M. G. Inhibition of Listeria monocyto- 1043 genes growth by the lactoperoxidase thiocyanate  $H_2O_2$  antimicrao- 1044 bial system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1989, 55, 2802-2805. 1045
- (79) Russel, A. D. Mechanisms of bacterial resistance to nonantibiotics: 1046 food additives and food and pharmaceutical preservatives. J. Appl. 1047 Bacteriol. 1991, 71, 191-201. 1048
- (80) de Wir, J. N.; van Hooydonk, A. C. M. Structure, functions and 1049 applications of lactoperoxidase in natural antimicrobial systems. 1050 Neth. Milk Dairy J. 1996, 50, 227-244.
- (81) Delvesbroughton, J. Nisin and its application as a food preservative. 1052 J. Soc. Dairy Technol. 1990, 43 (3), 73-76. 1053
- (82) Park, C.; Lee, J.; Park, I.; Kim, M.; Kim, S. A Novel antimicrobial 1054 peptide from loach, Misgurnus anguillicaudatus. FEBS Lett. 1997, 1055 411, 173–178. 1056

- 1059 (84) Silphaduang, U.; Noga, E. J. Peptide antibiotics in mast cells of fish. 1060 Nature 2001, 414, 268-2699.
- 1061 (85) Zasloff, M.; Martin, B.; Chen, H. Antimicrobial activity of synthetic 1062 magainin peptides and several analogues. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 1063 A. 1988, 85, 910–913.
- 1064 (86) Ge, Y.; Yan, H. Extraction of natural Vitamin E from wheat germ 1065 by supercritical carbon dioxide. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50 (4), 1066 685–689.
- 1067 (87) Shi, J.; Ross, C. R.; Chengappa, M. M.; Style, M. J.; McVey, D. 1068 S.; Blecha, F. Antibacterial activity of a synthetic peptide (PR-26) 1069 derived from PR-39, a prolinearginine-rich neutrophil antimi-1070 crobial peptide. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1996, 40, 115– 1071 121.
- 1072 (88) Thouzeau, C.; Le Maho, Y.; Froget, G.; Sabatier, L.; Le Bohec, C.; 1073 Hoffmann, J. A.; Bulet, P. Spheniscins, avian beta-defensins in 1074 preserved stomach contents of the king penguin, Aptenodytes pata-1075 gonicus. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 51053–51058.
- 1076 (89) Cole, A. M.; Weis, P.; Diamond, G. Isolation and characterization of 1077 pleurocidin, an antimicrobial peptide in the skin secretions of winter 1078 flounder. J. Biol. Chem. 1997, 272, 12008–12013.
- 1079 (90) Mor, A.; Nicolas, P. Isolation and structure of novel defensive 1080 peptides from frog skin. Eur. J. Biochem. 1994, 219, 145–154.
- 1081 (91) Rydlo, T.; Rotem, S.; Mor, A. Antibacterial properties of derma-1082 septin s4 derivatives under extreme incubation conditions. Antimi-1083 crob. Agents Chemother. 2006, 50 (2), 490–497.
- 1084 (92) Lee, I. H.; Cho, Y.; Lehrer, R. Effects of pH and salinity on the 1085 antimicrobial properties of clavanins. Infect. Immunol. 1997, 65, 1086 2898–2903.
- 1087 (93) Wilcox, W.; Eisenberg, D. Thermodynamics of melittin tetrameriza-1088 tion determined by circular dichroism and implications for protein 1089 folding. *Protein Sci.* **1992**, *1*, 641–653.
- 1090 (94) Johansson, J; Gudmundsson, G. H.; Rottenberg, M. E.; Berndt, K. 1091 D.; Agerberth, B Conformation-dependent antibacterial activity of 1092 the naturally occurring human peptide LL-37. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 1093 273 (6), 3718–3724.
- 1094 (95) Ganzle, M. G.; Hertel, C.; Hammes, W. P. Resistance of Escherichia 1095 coli and Salmonella against nisin and curvacin A. Int. J. Food 1096 Microbiol. 1999, 48, 37–50.
- 1097 (96) Cole, A.; Darouiche, R.; Legarda, D.; Connell, N.; Diamond, G. 1098 Characterization of a fish antimicrobial peptide: gene expression, 1099 subcellular localization and spectrum of activity. Antimicrob. Agents 1100 Chemother. **2000**, 44, 2039–2045.
- 1101 (97) Burrowes, O. J.; Hadjicharalambous, C.; Diamond, G.; Lee, T. C. 1102 Evaluation of antimicrobial spectrumand cytotoxic activity of 1103 pleurocidin for food applications. *J. Food Sci.* **2004**, 69 (3), 66–71.
- 1104 (98) Brockus, C. W.; Jackwood, M. W.; Hamon, B. G. Characterization 1105 of β-defensin prepropeptide from chicken and turkey bone marrow. 1106 Anim. Genet. **1998**, 29, 283-289.
- 1107 (99) Zhao, C.; Nguyen, T.; Liu, L.; Sacco, R. E.; Brogden, K. A.; Lehrer, 1108 R. I. Gallinacin-3, an inducible epitheial beta-defensin I chicken. 1109 *Infect. Immunol.* **2001**, 69, 2684–2691.
- 1110 (100) Ganz, T. Defensin: antimicrobial peptides of intimate immunity. 1111 *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2003**, 3, 710–720.
- 1112 (101) Higazi, A. A. R.; Ganz, T.; Kariko, K.; Cines, D. B. Defensin 1113 modulates tissue-type plasminogen activator and plasminogen 1114 binding to fibrin and endothelial cells. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 1115 17650-17655.
- 1116 (102) Murdock, C. A.; Cleveland, J.; Matthews, K. R.; Chikindas, M. L. 1117 the synergistic effect of nisin and lactoferrin on the inhibition of 1118 Listeria monocytogenes and Escherichia coli O157:H7. Lett. Appl. 1119 *Microbiol*. **2007**, 44 (3), 255–261.
- 1120 (103) Naidu, A. S. Activated lactoferrin  $-$  A new approach to meat 1121 safety. *Food Technol*. **2002**, 56 (3), 40–45.
- 1122 (104) Al-Nabulsi, A. A.; Holley, R. A. Effects of Escherichia coli O157: 1123 H7 and meat starter cultures of bovine lactoferrin in broth and 1124 microencapsulated lactoferrin in dry sausage batters. Int. J. Food 1125 Microbiol. 2007, 113, 84–91.
- 1126 (105) Al-Nabulsi, A. A.; Ran, J. H.; Liu, Z. Q.; Rodrigues-Vleira, E. T.; 1127 Holley, R. A. Temperature-sensitive microcapsules containing

lactoferrin and their action against Carnobacterium viridans on 1128 Bologna. *J. Food Sci.* **2006**, 71 (6), M208–M214. 1129

- (106) Del Olmo, A.; Morales, P.; Nunez, M. Bactericidal activity of 1130 lactoferrin and its amidated and pepsin-digested derivatives against 1131 Pseudomonas fluorescence in ground beef and meat fractions. 1132 J. Food Protect. 2009, 72 (4), 760–765. 1133
- (107) Kagan, B. L.; Ganz, T.; Lehrer, R. I. Defensins: a family of 1134 antimicrobial and cytotoxic peptides. Toxicology 1994, 87, 131-149. 1135
- (108) Islam, N. M. D.; Itakura, T.; Motohiro, T. Antibacterial spectra 1136 and minimum inhibition concentration of clupeine and salmine. 1137 Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 1984, 50, 1705–1078. 1138
- (109) Uyttendaele, M.; Debevere, J. Protamine evaluation of the anti- 1139 microbial activity of protamine. Food Microbiol. 1994, 11, 417–427. 1140
- (110) Johansen, C.; Gill, T.; Gram, L. Antibacterial effect of protamine 1141 assayed by impedimetry. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1995, 78, 297-303. 1142
- (111) Conte, M.; Aliberti, F.; Fucci, L.; Piscopo, M. Antimicrobial 1143 activity of various cationic molecules on foodborne pathogens. 1144 World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2007, 23, 1679-1683. 1145
- (112) Zasloff, M. Antimicrobial peptides of multicellularorganisms. 1146 Nature 1987, 415, 389–395. 1147
- (113) Abler, L. A.; Klapes, N. A.; Sheldon, B. W.; Klaenhammer, T. R. 1148 Inactivation of food-borne pathogens with magainin peptides. 1149 J. Food Prot. 1995, 58, 381–388. 1150
- (114) Coote, P. J.; Holyoak, C. D.; Bracey, D.; Ferdinando, D. P.; Pearce, 1151 J. A. Inhibitory action of a truncated derivative of the amphibian 1152 skin peptide dermaseptin s3 on Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Antimi- 1153 crob. Agents Chemother. 1998, 42, 2160–2170. 1154
- (115) Yaron, S.; Rydlo, T.; Shachar, D.; Mor, A. Activity of dermaseptin 1155 K4-S4 against foodborne pathogens. Peptides 2003, 24 (11), 1815– 1156 1821. 1157
- (116) Ben-Shalom, N.; Ardi, R.; Pinto, R.; Aki, C.; Fallik, E. Controlling 1158 gray mould caused by *Botytis cinerea* in cucumber plants by means 1159 of chitosan. Crop Prot. 2003, 22, 285–290. 1160
- (117) Je, J. Y.; Kim, S. K. Chitosan derivatives killed bacteria by 1161 disrupting the outer and inner membrane. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1162 **2006**, 54 (18), 6629–6633. 1163
- (118) Chung, Y. C.; Wang, H. L.; Chen, Y. M.; Li, S. L. Effect of abiotic 1164 factors on the antibacterial activity of chitosan against waterborne 1165 pathogens. Bioresour. Technol. 2003, 88, 179-184. 1166
- (119) Helander, I. M.; Nurmiaho-Lassila, E.-L.; Ahvenainen, R.; 1167 Rhoades, J.; Roller, S. Chitosan disrupts the barrier properties of 1168 the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. *Int. J. Food Micro-* 1169 biol. 2001, 71, 235–244. 1170
- (120) Liu, X. F.; Guan, Y. L.; Yang, D. Z.; Li, Z.; Yao, K. D. 1171 Antibacterial action of chitosan and carboxymethylated chitosan. 1172 J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2001, 79, 1324-1335. 1173
- (121) Liu, N.; Chen, Xi-G.; Park, H.; Liu, C.; Liu, C.; Hong Meng, X.; 1174 Yu, L. Effect of MW and concentration of chitosan on antibacterial 1175 activity of Escherichia coli. Carbohydr. Polym. 2006, 64, 60-65. 1176
- (122) Fernandes, J. C.; Tavaria, F. K.; Soares, J. C.; Ramos, O. S.; 1177 Monteiro, M. J.; Pintado, M. E.; Malcata, F. X. Antimicrobial 1178 effects of chitosans and chitooligosaccharides, upon Staphylococcus 1179 aureus and Escherichia coli, in food model systems. Food Microbiol. 1180 2008, 25, 922–928. 1181
- (123) Bibel, D. J.; Miller, S. J.; Brown, B. E.; Pandey, B. B.; Elias, P. M.; 1182 Shinefield, H. M.; Aly, R. Antimicrobial activity of stratum 1183 corneum lipids from normal and essential fatty acid-deficient mice. 1184 Dermatol. 1989, 92, 632–638. 1185
- (124) Isaacs, C. E.; Kim, K. S.; Thormar, H. Antiviral and antibacterial 1186 lipids in milk and infant formula feeds. Arch. Dis. Child 1990, 65, 1187 861–864. 1188
- (125) Lampe, M. F.; Ballweber, L. M.; Isaacs, C. E.; Patton, D. L.; 1189 Stamm, W. E. Inhibition of Chlamydia trachomatis by novel 1190 antimicrobial lipids adapted from human breast milk. Antimicrob. 1191 Agents Chemother. 1998, 42 (5), 1239-1244. 1192
- (126) Wang, L. L.; Johnson, E. A. Control of Listeria monocytogenes by 1193 monoglycerides in food. *J. Food Prot.* **1997**, 60, 131–138. 1194
- (127) Shin, S. Y.; Bajpai, V. K.; Kim, H. R.; Kang, S. C. Antibacterial 1195 activity of bioconverted eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahex- 1196 aenoic acid (DHA) against foodborne pathogenic bacteria. Int. J. 1197 Food Microbiol. 2007, 113, 233-236. 1198
- 1201 (129) Brogden, K. A. Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic 1202 inhibitors in bacteria?. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005, 3, 238–250.
- 1203 (130) Boman, H. G.; Faye, I.; Gudmundsson, G. H.; Lee, J. Y.; Lidholm, 1204 D. A. Cell-free immunity in Cecropia. A model system for anti-1205 bacterial proteins. Eur. J. Biochem. 1991, 201, 23–31.
- 1206 (131) Meister, M.; Lemaitre, B.; Hoffmann, J. A. Antimicrobial peptide 1207 defense in Drosophila. Bioessays 1997, 19, 1019–1026.
- 1208 (132) Tailor, R. H.; Acland, D. P.; Attenborough, S.; Cammue, B. P.; 1209 Evans, I. J.; Osborn, R. W.; Ray, J. A.; Rees, S. B.; Broekaert, W. F. 1210 A novel family of small cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptides from 1211 seed of *Impatiens balsamina* is derived from a single precursor protein. 1212 J. Biol. Chem. 1997, 272, 24480–24487.
- 1213 (133) Hancock, R. E. W. Peptide antibiotics. Lancet 1997, 349, 418– 1214 422.
- 1215 (134) Yoshida, K.; Mukai, Y.; Niidome, T.; Takashi, C.; Tokunaga, Y.; 1216 Hatakeyama, T.; Aoyagi, H. Interaction of pleurocidin and its 1217 analogues with phospholipid membrane and their antimicrobial 1218 activity. J. Pept. Res. 2001, 57, 119–126.
- 1219 (135) Lampe, M. F.; Isaacs, C. E. Lactolipids. In Natural Food Anti-1220 microbial Systems; Naidu, A. S., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1221 2000; pp 159-163.
- 1222 (136) Jones, M. V.; Anslow, P. A.; Anderson, W. A.; Cole, M. B.; Gould, 1223 G. W. Food Preserving Combination of Lysozyme/12438-12442. 1224 Nisin/Citrate, Unilever. EP 90307694.1, 1990.
- 1225 (137) Daeschel, M. A. Antimicrobial substances from lactic acid bacteria 1226 for use as food preservatives. Food Technol. 1989, 43 (1), 164–167.
- 1227 (138) De Vugst, L.; Vandamme, E. J. Bacteriocins of Lactic Acid 1228 Bacteria: Microbiology, Genetics and Applications Blackie Aca-1229 demics and Professional: London, 1994.
- 1230 (139) Ennahar, S.; Sashihara, T.; Sonomoto, K.; Ishizaki, A. Class Iia 1231 bacteriocins: Biosynthesis, structure, and activity. FEMS Micro-1232 *biol. Rev.* **2000**, 24, 85-106.
- 1233 (140) Jack, R. W.; Jung, G. Lantibiotics and microcins: polypeptides with 1234 unusual hosp diversity . Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2000, 4, 310–317.
- 1235 (141) Cleveland, J.; Montville, T. J.; Nes, I. F.; Chikindas, M. L. 1236 Bacteriocins: safe, natural antimicrobials for food preservation. 1237 *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2001**, 71, 1–20.
- 1238 (142) McAuliffe, O.; Ross, R. P.; Hill, C. Lantibiotics: structure, 1239 biosynthesis and mode of action. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2001, 25, 1240 285–308.
- 1241 (143) Cotter, P. D.; Hill, C.; Ross, R. P. Bacteriocins: Developing innate 1242 immunity for food. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005, 3, 777–788.
- 1243 (144) Klaenhammer, T. R. Genetics of bacteriocins produced by lactic 1244 acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 1993, 12, 39–86.
- 1245 (145) Nes, I. F.; Bao Diep, D.; Havarstein, L. S.; Brurberg, M. B.; Eijsink, 1246 V.; Holo, H. Biosynthesis of bacteriocins of lactic aci bacteria. 1247 Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 1996, 70, 113–128.
- 1248 (146) Guder, A.; Wiedeman, I; Sahl, H. G. Post translationally modified 1249 bacteriocins the lantibiotics. *Bioploymers* 2000, 55, 62–73.
- 1250 (147) Nes, I. F.; Holo, H. Class II antimicrobial peptides from lactic acid 1251 bacteria. *Biopolymers* **2000**, 55, 50–61.
- 1252 (148) Riley, M. A.; Chavan, M. A. Bacteriocins, Ecology and Evolution; 1253 Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- 1254 (149) Vignolo, G.; Fadda, S.; DeKairuz, M. N.; De Ruiz Holgdo, A. A. 1255 P.; Olivier, G. Control of Listeria monocytogenes in ground beef by 1256 Lactocin 705, a bacteriocin prod by L. casei CRL 705. Int. J. Food 1257 Microbiol. **1996**, 27, 397-402.
- 1258 (150) De Martinis, E. C. P.; Franco, D. G. M. Inhibition of Listeria 1259 monocytogenes in a ponk prod by a Lactobacillus sakei strain. Int. J. 1260 Food Microbiol. 1998, 42, 119–126.
- 1261 (151) Bredholt, S.; Nesbakken, T.; Holck, A. Protective culture inhibit 1262 growth of Listeria monocytogenes and Escherichia coli 0157: H7 in 1263 cooked, slieed vacuum. And gas pacaged meat. Int. J. Food 1264 Microbiol. 1999, 53, 43–52.
- 1265 (152) Georgalaki, M. D.; Van den Berghe, E.; Kritikos, D.; Devreese, B.; 1266 Van Beettmen, J.; Kalantzopoulos, G.; De Vuyst, L.; Tsakalidou, 1267 E. Macedocin, a food-grade lantibiotic produced by Streptococcus 1268 macedonicus ACA-DC 198. Appl. Environ. Microb. 2002, 68 (12), 1269 5891–5903.
- (153) Nettles, C. G.; Barefoot, S. F. Biochem and genet characteristics of 1270 bacteriocins of food associated lactic acid bacteria. J. Food Prot. 1271 1993, 56, 338–356. 1272
- (154) Delves Broughton, J.; Blackburn, P.; Evans, R. J.; Hugenholtz, J. 1273 Applications of the bacteriocin, nisin. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 1274 1996, 69, 193–202. 1275
- (155) Hansen, J. N. Nisin as a model food preservative. Crit. Rev. Food 1276 Sci. Nutr. 1994, 34, 69–93. 1277
- (156) Montville, T. J.; Chen, Y. Mechanistic action of pediocin and nisin: 1278 recent progress and unresolved questions. Appl. Microbiol. Bio- 1279 technol. **1998**, 50, 511–519. 1280
- (157) Axelsson, L. T.; Chung, T. C.; Dobrogosz, W. J.; Lindgren, S. E. 1281 Production of a broad spectrum antimicrobial substance by Lacto- 1282 bacillus reuteri. Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease 1989, 2, 1283 131–136. 1284
- (158) Nom, M. J. R.; Rombouts, F. M. 'Fermentative Preservatron of 1285 Plant Foods' in 1. Appl. Bacterial Symp. Suppl. 1992, 73, 1365– 1286 1478. 1287
- (159) Talarico, T. L.; Dobrogosz, W. J. Chemical characterization of an 1288 antimicrobial substance produced by Lactobacillus reuteri. Anti- 1289 microb. Agents Chemother. **1989**, 33, 674–679. 1290
- (160) El-Ziney, M. G.; van den Tempel, T.; Debevere, J. M.; Jakobsen, 1291 M. Application of reuterin produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri* 12002 1292 for meat decontamination and preservation. J. Food Prot. 1999, 62, 1293 257–261. 1294
- (161) Arques, J. L.; Fernandez, J.; Gaya, P.; Nunez, M.; Rodriguez, E.; 1295 Medina, M. Antimicrobial activity of reuterin in combination with 1296 nisin against food-borne pathogens. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2004, 1297 95 (2), 225–229. 1298
- (162) Anastasiadou, S.; Papagianni, M.; Filiousis, G.; Ambrosiadis, I.; 1299 Koidis, P. Pediocin SA-1, an antimicrobial peptide from *Pediococ*- 1300 cus acidilactici NRRL B5627: Production conditions, purification 1301 and characterization. Bioresources Technol. 2008, 99, 5384-5390. 1302
- (163) Bhunia, A. K.; Johnson, M. C.; Ray, B. Purification, characteriza- 1303 tion and antimicrobial spectrum of a bacteriocin produced by 1304 Pediococcus acidilactici. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 1988, 65, 261-268. 1305
- (164) Fella, T. J.; Karunaratne, D. N.; Hancock, R. E. W. Mode of action 1306 of the antimicrobial peptide indolicidin. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 1307 19298–303. 1308
- (165) Hancock, R. E. W.; Lehrer, R. Cationic peptides: a new source of 1309 antibiotics. Trends Biotechnol. 1998, 16, 82-88. 1310
- (166) Branby-Smith, F. M. Bacteriocins: Applications in food preserva- 1311 tions. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 1992, 3, 133-137. 1312
- (167) Coventry, M. J.; Muirhead, K.; Hickey, M. W. Partial character- 1313 ization of pediocin PO2 and comparison with nisin for biopreserva- 1314 tion of meat products. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. **1995**, 26, 133-145. 1315
- (168) Nettles-Cutter, C.; Siragusa, G. R. Decontamination of beef 1316 carcass tissue with nisin using a pilot scale model carcass washer. 1317 Food Microbiol. 1994, 11 (6), 481-489. 1318
- (169) Samelis, J.; Bedie, G. K.; Sofos, J. N.; Belk, K. E.; Scanga, J. A.; 1319 Smith, G. C. Combinations of nisin with organic acids or salts to 1320 control Listeria monocytogenes on sliced pork bologna stored 1321 at  $4^{\circ}$ C in vacuum packages. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **2005**,  $38(1)$ , 1322 21–28. 1323
- (170) Geornaras, I.; Skandamis, P. N.; Belk, K. E.; Scanga, J. A.; 1324 Kendall, P. A.; Smith, G. C.; Sofos, J. N. Postprocess control of 1325 Listeria monocytogenes on commercial frankfurters formulated 1326 with and without antimicrobials and stored at 10 degrees C. 1327 J. Food Protect. 2006, 69 (1), 53–61. 1328
- (171) El-khateib, T.; Yousef, A. E.; Ockerman, H. W. Inactivation and 1329 attachment of L. monocytogenes on beef muscle treated with lactic 1330 acid and selected bacteriocins. J. Food Prot. 1993, 56 (1), 29-33. 1331
- (172) Hoover, D. G.; Steenson, L. R. Bacteriocins of Lactic Acid Bacteria; 1332 Academic Press, Inc.: San Diego, CA, 1993. 1333
- (173) Eckner, K. F. Bacteriocins and food applications. Dairy Food 1334 Environ. Sanit. 1992, 12 (4), 204-209. 1335
- (174) Henning, S.; Metz, R.; Hammus, W. P. New aspects for the 1336 application of nisin to food products based on its mode of action. 1337 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1986, 3, 141-155. 1338
- (175) Zhang, S. S.; Mustapha, A. Reduction of Listeria monocytogenes 1339 and Escherichia coli O157: H7 numbers on vacuum-packaged fresh 1340

1342 1999, 62, 1123-1127. 1343 (176) Delves-Broughton, J.; Williams, G. C.; Wilkinson, S. The use of the

1344 bacteriocin, nisin as a preservative in pasteurized liquid whole egg.

- 1346 (177) Nassar, A.; Farrag, S. A. Nisin as inactivator to L. monocytogenes
- 1347 in broth and in ground beef. Assiut-Veter. Med. J. 1995, 32, 1348 198–206.
- 1349 (178) Mahadeo, M.; Tatini, S. R. The potential use of nisin to control
- 1350 L. monocytogenes in poultry. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 1994, 18,
- 1351 323–326.
- 1352 (179) Komitopoulou, E.; Boziaris, I. S.; Davies, E. A.; Delves-Broughton,
- 1353 J.; Adams, M. R. Alicycolobacillus acidoterrestris in fruit juices and
- 1354 its control by nisin. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 1999, 34 (1), 81–85. 1355 (180) Davies, E. A.; Milne, C. F.; Bevis, H. E.; Potter, R. W.; Harris, J.
- 1356 M.; Williams, G. C.; Thomas, L. V.; Delves-Broughton, J. Effective
- 1357 use of nisin to control lactic acid bacterial spoilage in vacuum-
- 1358 packed bologna-type sausage. J. Food Prot. 1999, 62 (9), 1004–1010. 1359 (181) Driessen, A. J. M.; van den Hooven, H. W.; Kuiper, W.; van der

1360 Kamp, M.; Sahl, H. G.; Konnigs, R. N. H.; Konnigs, W. N.

1361 Mechanistic studies of lantibiotic-induced permeabilization of 1362 phospholipids vesicles. Biochemistry 1995, 34, 1606–1614.

1363 (182) Stevens, K. A.; Sheldon, B. W.; Klapes, N. A.; Klaenhammer, T. R.

1364 Nisin treatment for inactivation of Salmonalla species and other

1365 Gram-negative bacteria. App. Environ Microbiol. 1991, 57, 3613–

- 
- 
- 1366 3615. 1367 (183) Boziaris, I. S.; Adams, M. R. Temperature shock, injury and 1368 transient sensitivity to nisin in Gram negatives. J. Appl. Microbiol. 1369 **2001**, 91, 715–724.

1341 beef treated with nisin or nisin combined with EDTA. J. Food Prot.

1345 Lett. Appl. Microbiol. **1992**, 15, 133-136.

- 1370 (184) Crandall, A. D.; Montville, T. J. Nisin resistance in Listeria 1371 monocytogenes ATCC 700302 is a complex phenotype. Appl. 1372 Environ. Microbiol. 1998, 64, 231–237.
- 1373 (185) Davies, E. A.; Adams, M. R. Resistance of Listeria monocytogenes 1374 to the bacteriocin nisin. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1994, 21 (4), 1375 341–347.
- 1376 (186) Carson, C. F.; Hammer, K. A.; Riley, T. V. Broth microdilution 1377 method for determining the susceptibility of Escherichia coli and 1378 Staphylococcus aureus to the essential oil of Melaleuca alternifolia 1379 (tea tree oil). Microbios 1995, 82, 181–185.
- 1380 (187) Lambert, R. J. W.; Lambert, R. A model for the efficacy of 1381 combined inhibitors. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2003, 95, 734–743.
- 1382 (188) Lambert, R. J. W.; Pearson, J. Susceptibility testing: accurate and 1383 reproducible minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and non-1384 inhibitory concentration (NIC) values. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2000, 1385 91 (3), 453–462.
- 1386 (189) Koutsoumanis, K.; Lambropoulou, K.; Nychas, G. J. E. A pre-1387 dictive model for the non-thermal inactivation of Salmonella 1388 enteritidis in a food model system supplemented with a natural 1389 antimicrobial. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1999, 49, 67–74.
- 1390 (190) Tassou, C. C.; Koutsoumanis, K.; Nychas, G.-J. E. Inhibition of 1391 Salmonella enteritidis and Staphylococcus aureus in nutrient broth 1392 by mint essential oil. Food Res. Int. 2000, 33, 273–280.
- 1393 (191) Walsh, S. E.; Maillard, J.-Y.; Russell, A. D.; Catrenich, C. E.; 1394 Charbonneau, D. L.; Bartolo, R.G. Activity and mechanism of 1395 action ofselective biocidal agents on Gram-positive and -negative 1396 bacteria. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2003, 94, 240–247.
- 1397 (192) Guillier, L.; Naser, A. I.; Dubois-Brissonnet, F. Growth response 1398 of salmonella typhimurium in the presence of natural and synthetic 1399 antimicrobials: Estimation of MICs from three different models. 1400 *J. Food Prot.* **2007**, 70, 2243–2250.
- 1401 (193) Lui, Y. Q.; Zhang, Y. Z.; Gao, P. J. Novel concentration-killing 1402 curve method for estimation of bactericidal potency of antibiotics n 1403 an in vitro dynamic model. Antimicrob. Agents Ch. 2004, 3884– 1404 3891.
- 1405 (194) Devglieghere, F.; Francois, K.; Vereecken, K. M.; Geeraerd, A. H.; 1406 Van Impe, J. F.; Debevere, J. Effect of chemicals on the microbial 1407 evolution in foods. J. Food Protect. 2004, 1977–1990.
- 1408 (195) Unda, J. R.; Molins, R. A.; Walker, H. W. Clostridium sporogenes 1409 and Listeria monocytogenes: survival and inhibition in microwave-1410 ready beef roasts containing selected antimicrobials. J. Food Sci. 1991, 1411 56, 198–205.
- (196) Hao, Y. Y.; Brackett, R. E.; Doyle, M. P. Efficacy of plant extracts 1412 in inhibiting Aeromonas hydrophila and Listeria monocytogenes in 1413 refrigerated cooked poultry. Food Microbiol. 1998, 15, 367-378. 1414
- (197) Mytle, N; Anderson, G. L.; Doyle, M. P.; Smith, M. A. Anti- 1415 microbial activity of clove (Syzgium aromaticum) oil in inhibiting 1416 Listeria monocytogenes on chicken frankfurters. Food Control 2006, 1417 17, 102–107. 1418
- (198) Shekarforoush, S. S.; Nazer, A. H. K.; Firouzi, R.; Rostami, M. 1419 Effects of storage temperatures and essential oils of oregano 1420 and nutmeg on the growth and survival of Escherichia coli O157: 1421 H7 in barbecued chicken used in Iran. Food Control 2007, 18, 1428– 1422 1433. 1423
- (199) Careagaa, M.; Fernandez, E.; Dorantesa, L.; Mota, L.; Jaramillo, 1424 M. E.; Hernandez-Sancheza, H. Antibacterial activity of Capsicum 1425 extract against Salmonella typhimurium and Pseudomonas aerugi- 1426 nosa inoculated in raw beef meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2003, 83, 1427 331–335. 1428
- (200) Ahn, J.; Grun, I. U.; Mustapha, A. Effect of plant extracts on 1429 microbial growth, color change, and lipid oxidation in cooked beef. 1430 Food Microbiol. 2007, 24, 7–14. 1431
- (201) Uhart, M.; Maks, N.; Ravishankar, S. Effect of spices on growth 1432 and survival of Salmonella typhimurium DT 104 in ground beef 1433 stored at 4 and 8 C. J. Food Saf. 2006, 26, 115–125. 1434
- (202) Karapinar, M.; Sengun, I. Y. Antimicrobial effect of koruk juice 1435 against Salmonella typhimurium on salad vegetables. Food Control 1436 **2007**, 18, 702–706. 1437
- (203) Martinez-Romero, D.; Guillen, F.; Valverde, J. M.; Bailen, G.; 1438 Zapata, P.; Serrano, M.; Castillo, S.; Valero, D. Influence of 1439 carvacrol on survival of Botrytis cinerea inoculated in table grapes. 1440 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2007, 115, 144–148. 1441
- (204) Valero, M.; Francés, E. Synergistic bactericidal effect of carvacrol, 1442 cinnamaldehyde or thymol and refrigeration to inhibit Bacillus 1443 cereus in carrot broth. Food Microbiol. 2006, 23 (1), 68–73. 1444
- (205) Gutierrez, J.; Bourke, P.; Lonchamp, J.; Barry-Ryan, C. Impact of 1445 plant essential oils on microbialogical, organoleptic and quality 1446 markers of minimally processed vegetables. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* 1447 Technol. 2009, 10, 195-202. 1448
- (206) Kotzekidou, P.; Giannakidis, P.; Boulamatsis, A. Antimicrobial 1449 activity of some plant extracts and essential oils against foodborne 1450 pathogens in vitro and on the fate of inoculated pathogens in 1451 chocolate. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 2007, 41, 119-127. 1452
- (207) Lee, C. H.; Park, H. J.; Lee, D. S. Influence of antimicrobial 1453 packaging on kinetics of spoilage microbial growth in milk and 1454 orange juice. *J. Food Eng.* **2004**, 65, 527–531. 1455
- (208) Nguyen, P.; Mittal, G. S. Inactivation of naturally occurring 1456 microorganisms in tomato juice using pulsed electric field (PEF) 1457 with and without antimicrobials. Chem. Eng. Process. 2007, 46, 1458 360–365. 1459
- (209) Lemay, M.-J.; Choquette, J.; Delaquis, P. J.; Gariepy, C.; Rodrigue, 1460 N.; Saucier, L. Antimicrobial effect of natural preservatives in a 1461 cooked and acidified chicken meat model. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 1462 2002, 78, 217–226. 1463
- (210) Grande, M. J.; Lucas, R.; Abriouel, H.; Valdivia, E.; Omar, N. B.; 1464 Maqueda, M.; Martinez-Bueno, M.; Martínez-Cañamero, M.; 1465 Gálvez, A. Inhibition of toxicogenic Bacillus cereus in rice-based 1466 foods by enterocin AS-48. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2006, 106 (2), 1467 185–194. 1468
- (211) Grande, M. J.; Lucas, R.; Abriouel, H.; Valdivia, E.; Omar, N. B.; 1469 Maqueda, M. M.; Martínez-Cañamero, M.; Gálvez, A. Treatment 1470 of vegetable sauces with enterocin AS-48 alone or in combination 1471 with ehenolic compounds to inhibit proliferation of Staphylococcus 1472 aureus. J. Food Prot. 2007, 70 (2), 405–411. 1473
- (212) Coma, V. Bioactive packaging technologies for extended shelf life 1474 of meat-based products. *Meat Sci*. **2008**, 78  $(1-2)$ , 90-103. 1475
- (213) Seydim, A. C.; Sarikus, G. Antimicrobial activity of whey protein 1476 based edible films incorporated with oregano, rosemary and garlic 1477 essential oils. *Food Res. Int.* **2006**, 39, 639–644. 1478
- (214) Oussalah, L.; Caillet, S.; Salmieri, S.; Saucier, L.; Lacroix, M. 1479 Antimicrobial and antioxidant effects of milk protein-based film 1480 containing essential oils for the preservation of whole beef muscle. 1481 J. Agr. Food Chem. 2004, 52 (18), 5598-5605. 1482

- 1483 (215) Vermeiren, L.; Devlieghere, F.; van Beest, M.; de Kruijf, N.; 1484 Debevere, J. Developments in the active packaging of foods. Trends 1485 Food Sci. Technol. 1999, 10, 77–86.
- 1486 (216) Hill, C.; Deegan, L. H.; Paul, D.; Cotter, P. D.; Ross, P. Bacteriocins: 1487 Biological tools for bio-preservation and shelf-life extension. Int. 1488 Dairy J. 2006, 16, 1058–1071.
- 1489 (217) Stevens, K.; Sheldon, B.; Klapes, N.; Klaenhammer, T. Effect 1490 of treatment conditions on nisin inactivation of Gram-negative 1491 bacteria. *J. Food Prot.* **1992**, 55, 763-766.
- 1492 (218) Rajkovic, A.; Uyttendaele, M.; Courtens, T.; Debevere, J. Anti-1493 microbial effect of nisin and carvacrol and competition between 1494 Bacillus cereus and Bacillus circulans in vacuum-packed potato puree. 1495 Food Microbiol. 2005, 22, 189–197.
- 1496 (219) Mansour, M.; Feicht, E. A.; Behechti, A.; Schramm, K. W.; 1497 Kettrup, A. Determination photostability of selected agrochem-1498 icals in water and soil. Chemosphere 1999, 39 (4), 575–585.
- 1499 (220) Mansour, M.; Milliere, J.-B. An inhibitory synergistic effect of a 1500 nisin-monolaurin combination on Bacillus sp. Vegetative cells in 1501 milk. Food Microbiol. **2001**, 18, 87-94.
- 1502 (221) McLay, J. C.; Kennedy, M. J.; Orourke, A. L.; Elliot, R. M.; 1503 Simmonds, R. S. Inhibition of bacterial foodborne pathogens by 1504 the lactoperoxidase system in combination with monolaurin. Int. J. 1505 Food Microbiol. 2002, 73 (1), 1–9.
- 1506 (222) Nikaido, H.; Vaara, M. Outer Membrane. In Escherichia coli and 1507 Salmonella Typhimurium: Cellular and Molecular Biology; Neidhardt, 1508 F. C., Ed.; American Society of Microbioloical Institute of Brewing: 1509 Washington, DC, 1987; Vol. 92, pp 379-383.
- 1510 (223) Molinos, A. C.; Abriouel, H.; Lopez, R. L.; Valdivia, E.; Omar, N. 1511 B.; Galvez, A. Combined physico-chemical treatments based on 1512 enterocin AS-48 for inactivation of Gram-negative bacteria in 1513 soybean sprouts. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008, 46, 2912–2921.
- 1514 (224) Oh, D.; Marshall, D. L. Effect of pH on the minimum inhibitory 1515 concentration ofmonolaurin against Listeria monocytogenes. J. 1516 Food Prot. 1992, 55, 449-450.
- 1517 (225) Roberts, C. M.; Hoover, D. G. Sensitivity of Bacillus coagulans 1518 spores to combinations of high hydrostatic pressure, heat, acidity and 1519 nisin. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* **1996**, 81 (4), 363-368.
- 1520 (226) Garcia-Graells, C.; Valckx, C.; Michiels, C. W. Inactivation of 1521 Escherichia coli and Listeria innocua in milk by combined treatment 1522 with high hydrostatic pressure and the lactoperoxidase system. Appl. 1523 Environ. Microbiol. 2000, 66 (10), 4173–4179.
- 1524 (227) Cressy, H. K.; Jerrett, A. R.; Osborne, C. M.; Bremer, P.J. A novel 1525 method for the reduction of numbers of Listeria monocytogenes by 1526 freezing in combination with an essential oil in bacteriological 1527 medium. J. Food Prot. 2003, 66, 390-395.
- 1528 (228) Viedma, P. M.; López, A. S.; Omar, N. B.; Abriouel, H.; López, R. 1529 L.; Valdivia, E.; Belloso, O. M.; Galvez, A. Enhanced bactericidal 1530 effect of enterocin AS-48 in combination with high-intensity 1531 pulsed-electric field treatment against Salmonella enterica in apple 1532 juice. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*. **2008**, 128, 244–249.
- 1533 (229) Skandamis, P.; Nychas, G.-J. Effect of oregano essential oil on 1534 microbiological and physico-chemical attributes of minced meat 1535 stored in air and modified atmospheres. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2001, 1536 91, 1011–1022.
- 1537 (230) Matan, N.; Rimkeeree, H.; Mawson, A. J.; Chompreeda, P.; 1538 Haruthaithanasan, V.; Parker, M. Antimicrobial activity of

cinnamon and clove oils under modified atmosphere conditions. 1539 Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2006, 107 (2), 180-185.

- (231) Seydim, A. C.; Sarikus, G. Antimicrobial activity of whey protein 1541 based edible films incorporated with oregano, rosemary and garlic 1542 essential oils. *Food Res. Int.* **2006**, 39 (5), 639–644. 1543
- (232) Penney, V.; Gemma Henderson, G.; Blum, C.; Johnson-Green, P. 1544 The potential of phytopreservatives and nisin to control microbial 1545 spoilage of minimally processed fruit yogurts. Innov. Food Sci. 1546 Emerg. Technol. 2004, 5, 369–375.
- (233) Belletti, N.; Lanciotti, R.; Patrignani, F.; Gardini, F. Antimicrobial 1548 efficacy of citron essential oil on spoilage and pathogenic micro- 1549 organisms in fruit-based salads. J. Food Sci. 2008, 73 (7), M331– 1550 M338. 1551
- (234) Chanjirakul, K.; Wang, C. Y.; Wang, S. Y.; Siriphanich, J. Effect of 1552 natural volatile compounds on antioxidant capacity and antiox- 1553 idant enzymes in raspberries. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2006, 40, 1554 106–115. 1555
- (235) Ukuku, D. O.; Bari, M. L.; Kawamoto, S.; Isshiki, K. Use of 1556 hydrogen peroxide in combination with nisin, sodium lactate and 1557 citric acid for reducing transfer of bacterial pathogens from whole 1558 melon surfaces to fresh-cut pieces. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2005, 104, 1559 225–233. 1560
- (236) Singh, N.; Singh, R. K.; Bhunia, A. K.; Stroshine, R. L. Efficacy of 1561 chlorine dioxide, ozone, and thyme essential oil or a sequential 1562 washing in killing Escherichia coli O157:H7 on lettuce and baby 1563 carrots. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 2002, 35 (8), 720-729. 1564
- (237) Uyttendaele, M.; Neyts, K.; Vanderswalmen, H.; Notebaert, E.; 1565 Debevere, J. Control of Aeromonas on minimally processed vege- 1566 tables by decontamination with lactic acid, chlorinated water, or 1567 thyme essential oil solution. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2004, 90 (3), 1568 263–271. 1569
- (238) Beatriz, R.; Yolanda, S.; Myriam, Z.; Carmen, T; Fernanda, R. 1570 Antimicrobial activity of nisin against Oenococcus oeni and other 1571 wine bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2007**, 116 (1), 32–36. 1572
- (239) Calderon Miranda, M. L.; Barbosa Canovas, G. V.; Swanson, B. G. 1573 Inactivation of Listeria innocua in skim milk by pulsed electric fields 1574 and nisin. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **1999**, 51 (1), 19–30. 1575
- (240) Cava, R.; Nowak, E.; Taboada, A.; Marin-Iniesta, F. Antimicro- 1576 bial activity of clove and cinnamon essential oils against Listeria 1577 monocytogenes in pasteurized milk. J. Food Prot. 2007, 70, 2757– 1578 2763. 1579
- (241) Mahmoud, B. S. M.; Yamazaki, K; Miyashita, K; Miyashita, K; 1580 Shin, I. I.; Suzuki, T. A new technology for fish preservation by 1581 combined treatment with electrolyzed NaCl solutions and essential 1582 oil compounds source. *Food Chem.* **2006**, 99 (4), 656–662. 1583
- (242) Tang, S.; Kerry, J. P.; Sheehan, D.; Buckley, J.; Morrissey, P. A. 1584 Antioxidative effect of added tea catechins on susceptibility of 1585 cooked red meat, poultry and fish patties to lipid oxidation. Food 1586 Res. Int. 2001, 34 (8), 651–657. 1587

Received February 26, 2009. Revised manuscript received May 22, 2009. 1589 Accepted May 28, 2009. Funding for this research was provided under 1590 National Development Plan, through Food Institutional Research 1591 Measure, administered by Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & 1592 Food, Ireland. 1593

1588