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Photonic bandgap (PBG) regions have been calculated for periodically grooved Si structures, acting as a one-dimensional photonic crystal. The wavelength range of the PBG as a function of the ratio $D_{Si}/A$ is presented, where $D_{Si}$ is the width of the Si walls and $A$ is the grooved silicon lattice constant. The influence of the parameter $D_{Si}$, the refractive index of the space between the Si walls and the number of structure periods, $m$, on the forming of PBG regions is discussed. A good correlation between the calculated and the experimentally observed PBG regions is obtained. © 2003 Optical Society of America
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1. Introduction

One-dimensional (1D) photonic crystals (PCs) with a regular change in the refractive index $n$, can be easily fabricated with the well-known technique of multilayer coating onto different substrates. With this technique, structures with refractive-index changes in the vertical direction can be obtained. These types of structures can be utilized for the manipulation of optical beams propagating perpendicular to the substrate plane. It is well known that 1D PCs can, under certain conditions, exhibit properties similar to three-dimensional PCs. For example, they can show complete reflection of incident light of any polarization and all angles over a wide spectral range; that is, they can act as omnidirectional reflectors. However, these reflectors are designed for a light beam that propagates in the vertical direction with respect to the substrate, since the refractive index is modulated in the vertical direction only. To implement the 1D PC based on Si using current fabrication technologies, it is necessary to mould the light flow in the horizontal direction.

It has been recently shown that it is possible to fabricate horizontally aligned periodic structures on Si. Foresi et al. describe a 1D PC structure consisting of a Si strip containing a periodic array of holes, resulting in a PBG region between 1.3 and 1.7 μm. Tolmachev et al. fabricated a periodic array of grooves in a (110) Si substrate and proposed its use as a horizontally aligned 1D PC. The grooved silicon structure is fabricated by wet anisotropic etching of (110) Si in concentrated KOH:H2O solution. Photolithography is used to pattern a periodic array onto the resist. This resist pattern is then used to vertically etch (110) Si via a SiO2 mask, resulting in a periodic structure of alternating Si walls and air. As a result of this etching process, vertical grooves with uniform and high-quality smooth Si walls up to 200 μm high can be obtained (Fig. 1). Although Kendall in 1979 suggested the use of these structures as far-IR filters, to our knowledge their optical properties have not been reported to date.

The possibility of fabricating 1D PCs, based on grooved Si and the measurement of their reflection spectra $R$ in the IR range ($\lambda = 2–15$ μm), has been reported. Tolmachev et al. have measured the reflection spectra of a number of periodically grooved Si samples using an FTS 6000 FTIR spectrometer in conjunction with a UMA 500 microscope, together with a specially designed attachment that allows the positioning of the sample in three directions. This setup allows the measurement of Si structures with a
minimal incident beam aperture of 10 μm × 20 μm.8 Samples they investigated had lattice constants of A = 16, 8, and 4 μm.8,9 For the sample with A = 4 μm, the main PBG can be partially observed in the range 10-15 μm, whereas for the samples with A = 16 and 8 μm, the PBG was out of the range under investigation. However, because of the high dielectric contrast (n_{Si}/n_{air} = 3.42/1) of these structures, secondary stop bands with a high reflectance R can be observed. Tolmachev et al.8,9 performed a fit between the calculated and the measured spectra of the secondary bandgaps as well as the main one, where available. This allowed a precise determination of the PC parameters (D_{Si} and D_{Air}). A precise knowledge of these values is obviously necessary for further calculations when this grooved Si structure will be used as a matrix for a composite PC. Moreover, the wide secondary bandgaps obtained8,9 are of particular interest since they allow the use of an additional shorter wavelength range without changing the lattice constant A. These results indicate the possibility of fabricating 1D PCs based on periodically grooved Si for the technologically important mid-IR range.

A considerable advantage of these 1D PCs based on grooved Si is that the air space can be infiltrated with a substance whose refractive index n_{space} is different from n_{air}. This difference will obviously change the optical properties of the composite material. Therefore, by using periodically grooved Si, we can either produce a family of 1D PCs or alternatively, we can build 1D composite PCs (CPCs). For example, in research by Tolmachev et al.,9 a commercial nematic liquid crystal E7 with birefringence in the IR range of Δn = –0.210 was infiltrated into a grooved Si matrix, leading to a change in the reflectance and to the manifestation of anisotropic properties in the composite structure. Even at this early stage of development of these 1D PCs the necessity for extraction of both optical and geometric design criteria, the so-called “gap map,”1 for these PC structures becomes obvious.

The objective in performing this research is to calculate the PBG regions for grooved Si structures acting as 1D PCs and to compare these with those extracted from Fourier transform IR (FTIR) experimental data. This calculation and comparison allow us to determine the design criteria for these 1D PCs as a function of the fraction and the refractive index of one of the components and the number of structure periods, m.

2. Calculations and Measurements of Photonic Bandgap Regions for a One-Dimensional Photonic Crystal Based on Grooved Si

The characteristic matrix method11 was used to calculate the reflection spectra of a multilayered periodic structure. The following parameters were used during the calculations: normal incidence of light, number of periods in this structure (m = 7), n_{Si} = 3.42, and n_{air} = 1. A scanning electron microscopy image of this structure is shown in Fig. 1.

For the calculations, the width of Si walls, D_{Si}, is varied from 0.05 μm up to a maximum value of 3.8 μm in 0.05-μm steps. The lattice constant A = D_{Si} + D_{Air}, where D_{Air} is the width of the air space, was taken as 4 μm throughout. For each value of D_{Si}/A, the fraction of the Si component in the periodic structure, the spectrum R was calculated for wavelengths λ between 2.5 and 30 μm. From each of the calculated spectra, the values of λ with R > 99% were plotted against (D_{Si}/A) as shown in Fig. 2(a). Since the main parameter of interest is the wavelength range over which the PC will operate, we plot operating wavelength λ versus D_{Si}/A, allowing us to obtain the so-called “gap map”1 for the grooved Si PC.
The closed area represents the PBG region. As expected, the main PBG, marked M, consists of an ellipse-shaped area for \(D_{Si}/A = 0.03\) to 0.8. In addition, we also obtain a family of secondary PBGs (marked as 1, 2, and 3), which are also ellipses but are much smaller than the main PBG. Note that the numbers on the corresponding secondary bandgaps are arbitrary. The reflection spectra for samples of grooved Si with the same lattice constant \(A\) and \(D_{Si} = 0.8\ \mu m\) (sample S1) and \(D_{Si} = 1.2\ \mu m\) (S2) were obtained from Refs. 8 and 9 whereas sample S3 with \(D_{Si} = 2.3\ \mu m\) was measured in this study. Regions with maximum reflectance were determined with IR spectra from these samples. The results are plotted in Fig. 2(a) for values of \(D_{Si}/A = 0.2, 0.3, \) and 0.575 together with the calculated data. The calculated data are given as a dashed line, whereas the experimental data are given as a thick solid line, which intersects the closed areas on Fig. 2(a). All data above the line at 15 \(\mu m\) (dashed-dotted line) is calculated only because it cannot be measured since the cutoff of our UMA 500 MCT detector is at \(\lambda > 15\ \mu m\). Note that the calculated (dotted line) and the measured (solid line) IR reflectance spectrum of sample S2 is also shown in Fig. 2(b). In Fig. 2, we have explicitly illustrated the correspondence between the calculated and the experimental data for sample S2 for both the main and the first secondary gaps. It should be obvious from Fig. 2 that this agreement extends to other secondary gaps as well, but the lines showing this were omitted for clarity.

The spectral edges of these bands exactly coincide with the intersection points of the line corresponding to \(D_{Si}/A\) and the boundary line of the PBG regions. For sample S3 the main PBG is out of the range of our FTIR measurements owing to the cutoff of the detector as discussed earlier in this section. So, for this particular sample S3, only the calculated data for the main bandgap are presented with a dotted line, whereas for the secondary bandgaps both experimental and calculated data are shown with a solid line that intersects the PBG areas. Note that the experimental and the calculated data coincide well as was in the case of sample S2.

Using Fig. 2(a) it is possible now to choose the necessary parameters for the design of 1D PCs based on grooved Si. As was shown in our investigations, the secondary bandgaps observed from grooved Si are also quite efficient. We may now predict the design criteria for using these bandgaps as stop bands suitable for different regions of IR spectra. In particular, using the gap map shown in Fig. 2, we can identify the range of wavelengths that can be practically realized for these structures. Consider the main PBG and the first three secondary PBGs. Starting from the fixed parameter \(D_{Si}\), we can predict the wavelength range in which this particular PC will work. Alternatively, we can determine the parameter \(D_{Si}\) which is necessary to obtain a PC over a certain wavelength range.

For example, if we want to use a PC with \(D_{Si}/A = 0.5\), we can determine from Fig. 2 that the wavelength range of the main bandgap is between \(\lambda = 15\) and 25 \(\mu m\). Alternatively, if we specify that we wish the wavelength range to have a maximum width between \(\lambda = 8\) and 11 \(\mu m\), then we would need to have a \(D_{Si}/A = 0.1\) if we wish to use the main gap. Alternatively, we can use the secondary PBG and a value of \(D_{Si}/A = 0.53\).

Note that deep anisotropic etching of Si can reduce the width of the Si walls.\(^7\,^8\) The mechanism of grooves formation in Si is based on the difference in etching rate, \(V\), for different crystallographic orientations, (110) and (111). As was shown by Kendall,\(^7\) a groove depth, \(h\), up to 250 \(\mu m\) can be obtained with minimal side etching of Si walls owing to the large ratio of \(V_{(110)}/V_{(111)} = 150–200\). Unfortunately, side etching of the Si walls increases with an increase in groove depth. This effect can play a crucial role for \(D_{Si} < 1\ \mu m\). Obviously this effect must be reduced as much as possible. Thin Si walls may stick together and can even be destroyed if the wall depth is large.\(^8\) In practice, we choose for the purpose of our calculations a Si wall width larger than 0.3 \(\mu m\). It is also necessary to take into account that the depth of Si walls in a structure must be large enough to allow the light beam to pass if IR measurements are necessary during the structure analysis. The minimal aperture of a standard FTIR microscope is \(\sim 10\ \mu m \times 10\ \mu m\), and therefore the groove depth must be larger than 20 \(\mu m\) in this particular case. However, for practical applications of 1D PC when the aperture of the light beam can be reduced to the size of the diameter of the optical fiber, a much smaller depth (\(\sim 2–4\ \mu m\)) can be used.

Furthermore, calculations of the PBG regions versus the number of the lattice periods \(m\) from 2 to 11 have been performed with the same \(A = 4\ \mu m\) [see Fig. 3(a)]. The reflectance spectrum for \(D_{Si}/A = 0.2\) (at \(m = 1\) and \(D_{Si} = 0.6\ \mu m\)) is shown in Fig. 3(b) as an example. As can be seen from this figure, the bands at \(\sim 3\) and 10 \(\mu m\) do not reach a value of 99%
at maximum reflectance. We conclude that for this particular example the band map cannot be built up, since this method is based on the use of the spectral regions with \( R > 99\% \). None of the calculated spectra obtained for \( m = 1 \) and \( D_{\text{Si}} \) ranging from 0.1 up to 2.9 \( \mu \text{m} \) have an \( R \) value greater than 99\%. Therefore, to obtain the PBG it is not sufficient to fabricate a structure with one lattice period (two Si walls and one air space). Although, as can be seen in Fig. 3(b) these types of structure can have other uses, for example, as a mirror with a reflection in the range of 80\%–97\% if necessary. Calculations show that the reflection band in this case will be quite wide (from 9 up to 15 \( \mu \text{m} \)).

Increasing \( m \) to 2 periods a structure with three Si walls and two air spaces leads to the appearance of ellipselike regions for both the main and the secondary gap maps as expected [Fig. 3(a)]. Further increases in \( m \) from 3 to 11 periods result in an expansion of the PBG regions with practically no difference between PBG regions for \( m = 7 \) and \( m = 11 \). Therefore, when designing periodically grooved Si structures, with a filling factor \( D_{\text{Si}}/A \) variation in the range of 0.1–0.7, there is no reason to fabricate more than 7 lattice periods, since further increase in \( m \) do not result in any change in the optical characteristics of these structures.

A considerable advantage of 1D PCs based on grooved Si is that the air space can be infiltrated with a substance with a refractive index \( n_{\text{space}} \) different from \( n_{\text{air}} \). For example, as was shown by Tolmachev et al., \(^8\) grooves can be easily filled with liquid crystals. To understand the changes in the optical characteristics of composite structures, we performed a simulation of PBG regions using the average refractive index of liquid crystal in the isotropic phase, i.e., \( n_{\text{space}} = 1.6 \). The PBG regions for the main and the two closest secondary bandgaps, obtained as a result of these simulations, are shown in Fig. 4(a) by the thick solid line. The PBG regions for the initial structure (with \( n_{\text{space}} = 1 \)) are also shown in Fig. 4 by a thin solid line for comparison. As can be seen from this figure the increase in the refractive index of the grooves from \( n_{\text{space}} = 1 \) to \( n_{\text{space}} = 1.6 \) leads to a decrease and shift of the PBG area. The important outcome is that filling the grooved structure with liquid crystal, with \( D_{\text{Si}}/A \) between 0.1 and 0.7, results in the short wavelength edge of the main PBG being shifted substantially, whereas the long wavelength edge changes only a little. For \( D_{\text{Si}}/A \approx 0.6 \), this edge remains practically unchanged. Therefore, when designing a composite structure, one must bear in mind that the spectra of the initially empty periodically grooved Si structure and that after the air gaps have been filled with liquid crystals can be very different. An example is shown in Fig. 4(b) in which the secondary bandgap shown at 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) in the empty structure completely disappears after filling the grooves with a substance with a refractive index of 1.6. However, a bandgap at 7.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) does appear instead. The authors are working on a more detailed investigation of the composite structures based on periodically grooved Si infiltrated with liquid crystals and will publish their findings in a forthcoming paper.

3. Conclusions

Calculations of the PBG regions for periodically grooved silicon acting as 1D PC have been performed. On the basis of these calculations a gap map was produced for a PC based on grooved Si with a lattice constant \( A = 4 \mu \text{m} \) and with various Si wall widths \( D_{\text{Si}} \), a number of lattice periods, and a groove refractive index. This gap map allows the design of 1D PCs with gaps in user-specified wavelength ranges simply by altering the geometry. Alternatively, we can use this map to predict the wavelength range over which a particular PC will perform. FTIR measurements of the PBGs performed for a number of grooved Si samples in the range 2.5–15 \( \mu \text{m} \) show excellent agreement with the calculated PBGs.
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