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SIGNIFICANCE: Macular pigment (MP) confers potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects at the macula; however, its optical density in the eye is not routinely measured in clinical practice.

PURPOSE: This study explored a range of surrogate biomarkers including anthropometric, clinical, and plasma measures that may be associated with lower MP optical density (MPOD).

METHODS: Two thousand five hundred ninety-four subjects completed a full MP assessment as part of wave 1 of The Irish Longitudinal Study of Aging. Macular pigment optical density was measured using customized heterochromatic flicker photometry. Clinical (blood pressure), plasma (lipoproteins, inflammatory markers), and anthropometric (waist, hip, height, weight) biomarkers were measured for each participant.

RESULTS: Mean (standard deviation) MPOD for the study group was 0.223 (0.161), with a range of 0 to 1.08. One-way ANOVA revealed that MPOD was significantly lower among participants with low plasma high-density lipoprotein (HDL; $P = .04$), raised plasma triglyceride-to-HDL ratio ($P = .003$), and raised total cholesterol-to-HDL ratio ($P = .03$). Subjects with an elevated waist circumference (WC) had a significantly lower MPOD (mean, 0.216 [0.159]) compared with those with an ideal WC (mean, 0.229 [0.162]; $P = .03$). Significant correlates of MPOD on mixed linear model analysis included education, smoking status, and WC.

CONCLUSIONS: Higher abdominal fat is associated with lower MPOD in this representative sample of older Irish adults. Although altered lipoprotein profiles (low HDL, raised triglyceride-to-HDL ratio, raised total cholesterol-to-HDL ratio) may affect the transport, uptake, and stabilization of carotenoids in the retina, these plasma biomarkers were not predictive of low MPOD after adjustment for abdominal circumference. Although WC emerged as a viable anthropometric predictor of lower MPOD, its effect size seems to be small.
Biomarkers for Lower Macular Pigment — Scanlon et al.

Cross-sectional data from wave 1 of The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging were analyzed in this study, which was conducted during the period between October 2009 and July 2011. Participants were recruited to provide a stratified clustered sample representative of the population 50 years or older living in Ireland as described in detail elsewhere. In brief, information on health behaviors and lifestyle patterns was captured by trained professional interviewers in participants’ own homes using computer-aided personal interview. Participants were then invited to take part in a health assessment. Macular pigment measurement was conducted only on participants who attended a health center (Fig. 1). The current study was approved by the Technological University Dublin Research Ethics Committee, and all experimental procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent before participation in the study.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

The evidence that does exist, however, suggests that these relationships are worth exploring in more detail. Metabolic comorbidities including overweight/obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia may adversely affect macular pigment by compromising the availability, transport, and assimilation of dietary carotenoids in the retina. Consequently, there is merit in exploring the association between macular pigment optical density and these more commonly measured clinical and biometric parameters, which might be used to identify patients at risk of low macular pigment, so that practitioners can implement strategies for preventative intervention. The capacity of such an alternate biomarker that is more readily and routinely measured (i.e., blood pressure, plasma lipoproteins, abdominal fat) to expedite the identification of patients at risk of low macular pigment could be particularly important and may be used to counsel patients. It is important to recognize that to minimize the risk of macular pigment depletion it is not sufficient to supplement with macular carotenoids, but rather to suggest that these proxy biomarkers may be used to help individuals (i.e., older adults free of ocular pathology) to take a more holistic evaluation of their diet and lifestyle concerning macular pigment optical density. Management guidance may be given to patients, reinforcing the need to incorporate brightly colored fruit and vegetables as part of one’s diet, as they are rich in a broad range of antioxidants including the target carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin. This study was designed therefore to address some of the limitations of previous investigations by examining the predictive capacity of a range of measured (rather than self-reported) clinical (blood pressure), plasma (lipoproteins, inflammatory markers), and anthropometric (abdominal fat) parameters as possible predictors of macular pigment optical density in a large representative group (n = 2594) of older men and women.

FIGURE 1. Flowchart illustrating the selection of study participants and reasons for exclusion from the original participant group. MPOD = macular pigment optical density; TILDA = The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging; VA = visual acuity.
Demographic, Health, and Lifestyle Factors

Demographic and lifestyle factors were captured for the study group. The presence or absence of hypertension was also examined. Participants were defined as hypertensive if mean seated systolic blood pressure exceeded 140 mmHg or mean seated diastolic blood pressure exceeded 90 mmHg.

Macular Pigment Optical Density Assessment

Macular pigment optical density was measured by customized heterochromatic flicker photometry using the Macular Metrics Densitometer (Macular Metrics, Rehoboth, MA). This device was modified specifically for The Irish longitudinal Study on Aging, and the method of measurement has been described in detail elsewhere.36 In brief, macular pigment optical density was measured centrally at 0.5° (i.e., 1° stimulus) and peripherally at 7° (parafoveal target at 7°). Macular pigment assessment was carried out on the eye with the best visual acuity, or if there was equal vision in both eyes, the right eye was chosen.36 Corrected visual acuity was measured using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study LogMAR chart at a distance of 4 m using participants’ existing prescription where necessary. Participants with a visual acuity worse than 0.5 LogMAR were excluded from the analysis (Fig. 1).

Anthropometric Assessment

Height (in centimeters) and weight (in kilograms) were measured to one decimal place using a Seca wall-mounted measuring rod and Seca electronic floor scales, as described in detail elsewhere.34 Body mass index was calculated from measured height and weight as (in kilograms)/(height (in meter squared), with obesity classified as a body mass index $>30$ kg/m².37 Waist and hip circumferences were measured to the nearest centimeter. Ideal waist circumference was defined as a waist circumference of $\leq 88$ cm in women and $\leq 102$ cm in men, whereas central obesity was defined as a waist circumference $>88$ cm in women and $>102$ cm in men. Waist-to-height ratio and waist-to-hip ratio were calculated based on measured data. Cutoff thresholds for ideal and excess waist-to-height ratio were taken as less than or greater than 0.53 in women and 0.57 in men, respectively. For waist-to-hip ratio, cutoff thresholds of less than or greater than 0.85 in women and less than or greater than 1.00 in men defined ideal and elevated waist-to-hip ratios.38,39

Plasma Analysis

A blood sample was provided for plasma analysis using defined phlebotomy protocols, which are described in detail elsewhere.34,35 Respondents were not asked to fast before the health assessment, and plasma was analyzed for a complete lipid profile, including total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, and triglycerides, each measured in millimoles per liter. Triglyceride–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, total cholesterol–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, and non–high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (total cholesterol minus high-density lipoprotein) were calculated from measured data. Cutoffs were applied to indicate high/low or ideal plasma lipid levels as per 2016 European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society guidelines (Table 2).40 Plasma vitamin D levels (25-hydroxyvitamin D; in nanomoles per liter) were also measured, and participants were considered vitamin D deficient if plasma levels were $\leq 50$ nmol/L and sufficient if plasma levels were $>50$ nmol/L as per Institute of Medicine vitamin D guidelines.41 Inflammatory marker C-reactive protein (in micrograms per liter) and glycated hemoglobin (in percent) were also measured. Threshold values of $>3.00$ μg/L for high and $\leq 3.00$ μg/L for ideal were used for plasma C-reactive protein,42 and cutoff values of $\leq 5.00\%$ and $>5.00\%$ were used for glycated hemoglobin.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical software package SPSS for Microsoft Windows (v. 25.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for analysis. To account for the fact that the study response rate varied between different subgroups of the population, inverse probability weights were calculated for the main sample (computer-assisted personal interview participants) using the Quarterly National Household Survey (2010). The probability of participation in the health center also varied according to health, education, age, and smoking; therefore, a specific “health center weight” was created. A detailed description of the weighting procedures used in The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging is given elsewhere.43

Demographic data for eligible study participants were compared with those of the overall health center population using χ² analyses, to explore if they differed from the representative sample. Data for the study group were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. One-way ANOVA was used to test differences in means for normally distributed parameters. For categorical data, cross-tabulation with χ² analysis was used. Pearson product-moment correlation tests were performed to assess the relationship between normalized macular pigment optical density and other study variables, where appropriate. A mixed linear model analysis (fixed effect) was carried out to estimate the independent association between putative predictor variables (behavioral, clinical, anthropometric, and plasma biomarker parameters) and normalized macular pigment optical density. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation) throughout. The level of statistical significance was set at $P < .05$ for all analyses.

RESULTS

In total, 8175 individuals 50 years or older participated in the study. Of these, 5275 consented to and participated in the health center–based assessment as part of wave 1 of The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging. For various reasons, 2681 participants were excluded from the current analysis, leaving an overall study population of 2594 (Fig. 1). The main reasons for exclusion related to unsuccessful macular pigment optical density measurement or the presence of retinal pathology. Participants were identified as having AMD, cataracts, and glaucoma from the computer-assisted personal interview. The presence or absence of diabetes was identified from prescribed diabetes medications at the time of the interview (identified using the Anatomic Therapeutic Classification codes “A10A” for insulin and “A10B” for oral hypoglycemic medications), from the computer-assisted personal interview, and/or from measured glycated hemoglobin (glycated hemoglobin, $>6.4\%$ [diabetes] and 5.7 to 6.4% [pre-diabetes]), as per American Diabetes Association cutoff values.44 A χ² test of independence was performed to examine the relationship between the center population (n = 5275) and participants with a valid macular pigment optical density assessment (n = 2594). The age profile of study participants (mean age, 62.0 [8.5] years; range, 50 to 93 years) was similar to the overall health center–assessed population (mean age, 61.4 [7.6] years; range, 51 to 86 years), and there was no significant difference in sex or education status between the two groups ($P=.88$ and $P=.77$, respectively).
The distribution of macular pigment optical density was skewed; therefore, a square root transformation of the macular pigment optical density data was performed. The derived data were normally distributed and used as the dependent variable for subsequent statistical analyses of macular pigment optical density. For ease of interpretation, mean and standard deviation of macular pigment optical density data are presented as the nontransformed original measure for participants. Mean (standard deviation) macular pigment optical density for the study group was 0.223 (0.161), with a range of 0 to 1.08. Differences in normalized macular pigment optical density by demographic (age group, sex, education status), health, and lifestyle variables (smoking, hypertension, physical activity, and cataracts) were examined. Our findings concur with previously reported statistically significant associations between sex, educational status, and smoking with macular pigment optical density in The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging data set (P < .05 for all), despite a slightly different study sample (participants with AMD, glaucoma, diabetes, and pre-diabetes were excluded from the current analysis of healthy individuals but not in a previous study). 29

Clinical, Anthropometric, and Biomarker Associations with MPOD

Clinical Factors
We found no correlation between measured hypertension status (with or without treatment) and macular pigment optical density in the current study (n = 2591; t = 1.105; P = .33).

Anthropometric Factors
Macular pigment optical density was significantly and negatively correlated with all anthropometric measures (body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-height ratio, waist-to-hip ratio) on univariate analysis (Pearson r = −0.047, −0.073, −0.060, and −0.081, respectively; P < .05 for all). Waist circumference, however, emerged as the only anthropometric variable associated with lower macular pigment optical density on binary univariate analysis. Participants with an elevated waist circumference had a significantly lower macular pigment optical density (mean, 0.216 [0.159]) compared with participants with ideal waist circumference (mean, 0.229 [0.162]; n = 2589; t = 2.119; P = .03; Table 1, Fig. 2). The violin plots in Fig. 2 show similar distribution density plots and interquartile ranges for participants with excess and ideal waist circumference. Although the mean macular pigment optical density was significantly lower among subjects with a higher waist circumference, the differences were subtle and not clinically meaningful (value, 0.013 optical density units), as illustrated in Fig. 2. Macular pigment optical density did not differ significantly according to any other anthropometric measures (P > .05 for all; Table 1).

Plasma Biomarkers
Participants with ideal plasma levels of high-density lipoprotein had a significantly higher macular pigment optical density than did those with low high-density lipoprotein levels (n = 2587; t = −2.077; P = .04; Table 2, Fig. 3A). Macular pigment optical density was also significantly lower among participants with a raised triglyceride–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio (n = 2587; t = 2.994; P = .003; Table 2, Fig. 3B) and among participants with a raised total cholesterol–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio (n = 2574; t = 2.166; P = .03; Table 2, Fig. 3C). The violin plots in Fig. 3 show similar distribution plots and interquartile ranges for each of the three groups. The magnitude of all statistically significant differences (0.011, 0.019, and 0.015 optical density units, respectively) was not, however, clinically important, as illustrated in Fig. 3. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant difference in macular pigment optical density levels for any other plasma biomarkers (P > .05 for all; Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (SD) MPOD</th>
<th>25th</th>
<th>50th</th>
<th>75th</th>
<th>P sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric biomarkers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal (≤30)</td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>0.223 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess (&gt;30)</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>0.223 (0.160)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal (≤102 M, ≤88 F)</td>
<td>1433</td>
<td>0.229 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess (&gt;102 M, &gt;88 F)</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>0.216 (0.159)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHpR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal (≤1.00 M, ≤0.85 F)</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>0.225 (0.158)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess (&gt;1.00 M, &gt;0.85 F)</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>0.219 (0.165)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal (≤0.57 M, ≤0.53 F)</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>0.228 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess (&gt;0.57 M, &gt;0.53 F)</td>
<td>1282</td>
<td>0.219 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was used to check for MPOD differences among anthropometric and physical biomarkers. P values are reported using MPOD square root transformation (normalized MPOD) and reflect the probability associated with the given F statistic. The following cutoffs were applied to indicate ideal or excess obesity measures for the following variables: BMI (ideal, ≤30; excess, >30 kg/m²), WC (ideal, ≤88 cm F and ≤102 cm M; excess, >88 cm F and >102 cm M), WHpR (ideal, ≤0.53 F and ≤0.57 M; excess, >0.53 F and >0.57 M), and WHR (ideal, ≤0.85 F and ≤1.00 M; excess, >0.85 F and >1.00 M). *Significant at the .05 level. BMI = body mass index; F = female; M = male; MPOD = macular pigment optical density; SD = standard deviation; sig. = significance; WC = waist circumference; WHpR = waist-to-hip ratio; WHR = waist-to-height ratio.
Mixed Linear Model Effects

A mixed linear model analysis was carried out on normalized macular pigment optical density versus demographic, behavioral, anthropometric, and plasma biomarker as independent variables. Low education, current smoking, and elevated waist circumference were significant predictors of macular pigment optical density in this regression model (Table 3). None of the plasma biomarkers (high-density lipoprotein, triglyceride–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, total cholesterol–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, vitamin D, C-reactive protein, or glycated hemoglobin) were significantly associated with macular pigment optical density in this model ($P > .05$ for all variables; Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The key finding to emerge from this study is the observation that participants with increased central adiposity, as indicated by an elevated waist circumference, had a significantly lower macular pigment optical density compared with those with ideal measures. Macular pigment was also lower among participants with an altered lipoprotein profile, that is, in participants with raised plasma triglyceride–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, raised plasma total cholesterol–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio, and low plasma high-density lipoprotein. In addition, male sex, current smoking, and low education status were associated with lower macular pigment optical density, findings that concur with previously reported analyses on a similar cohort.29 Elevated waist circumference, current smoking, and low educational attainment persisted as predictors of low macular pigment optical density on mixed linear model analysis, after adjusting for all other covariates.

Consistent with most previous reports,24,29,31 macular pigment optical density was, in fact, significantly and negatively associated with all measures of overweight/obesity, including body mass index, waist circumference, waist-to-height ratio, waist-to-hip ratio (Pearson $r$, $P < .05$ for all). With cutoff levels applied to classify participants into binary categories (obese or nonobese),38 elevated waist circumference emerged as the main anthropometric variable associated with lower levels of macular pigment optical density. Carotenoids, such as lutein and zeaxanthin, are known to accumulate in adipose tissue, and in visceral fat in particular$^{37,45}$; therefore, distribution of body fat is an important consideration.46 Higher waist circumference has previously been reported to be the strongest nondietary predictor of low macular pigment optical density,24 and findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey revealed that participants with higher waist circumference values were increasingly likely to have hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and the metabolic syndrome,47 metabolic correlates independently associated with lower macular pigment optical density.12,13,27

As an indicator of “abdominal” obesity,24,47 waist circumference is receiving increased attention as a more clinically relevant measure of adiposity compared with body mass index. Intra-abdominal fat may have different metabolic consequences compared with other patterns of fat distribution or overall adiposity, as it is more biologically active. Intra-abdominal fat is associated with inflammation due to the increased production of adipocytokines.48 The associated inflammation becomes chronic; therefore, obesity is now considered a low-grade inflammatory condition, which in turn leads to an increase in oxidative stress.49 Chronic inflammation and associated oxidative stress exert a greater demand on antioxidant defenses within the body, defenses that tend to be lower in obese individuals50 and in older adults.51 Sex differences also exist in relation to how body fat is deposited,52 and there is evidence for sex-related differences in the accumulation of carotenoids.53,54 Interestingly, we found that macular pigment optical density was $9\%$ lower in men compared with women ($P = .004$), possibly explained by the fact that men store more fat in the visceral (abdominal) fat depot,52 thereby making these pigments less available to retinal tissue.55,56 Thus, lower antioxidant activity may relate not only to lower intake of antioxidants and phytochemical rich foods in older adults (e.g., fruits, vegetables, and legumes)57 and reduced activity of endogenous antioxidative enzymes,50,51 but also to decreased availability52 and increased utilization of these molecules. Collectively, these factors may lead to antioxidant depletion, including lower levels of macular pigment in the eye.2,14

Another interesting finding is the observation that macular pigment optical density was significantly lower in participants with raised plasma triglyceride–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio (>$0.87$ mmol/L) and in those with raised plasma total cholesterol–to–high-density lipoprotein ratio (>$3.5$ mmol/L). Although these relationships did not persist on mixed linear model analysis, the lower macular pigment optical density levels observed among participants with low plasma high-density lipoprotein corroborate previous findings that identified an association between plasma high-density lipoprotein and macular pigment optical density.58 Dyslipidemia is often characterized by low levels of high-density lipoprotein, raised triglycerides, and smaller, more atherogenic low-density lipoprotein particles.59 Because carotenoids are bound to circulating lipoproteins, the relation between plasma levels of the various lipoproteins and macular pigment optical density is of interest. Evidence suggests that high-density lipoprotein is a major carrier of lutein to the eye.27 Furthermore, high-density lipoprotein is a
known ligand for scavenger receptor class B type 1, and the mechanism of xanthophyll uptake in the retina seems to be entirely scavenger receptor class B type 1 dependent.\textsuperscript{56}

Vitamin D deficiency has repeatedly been associated with ocular disease.\textsuperscript{60,61} Of interest, we recently reported that individuals with type 2 diabetes who were vitamin D deficient (≤50 nmol/L) had a significantly lower macular pigment optical density and that vitamin D was a significant positive predictor of macular pigment optical density on multivariate analysis in this study group.\textsuperscript{62} This study, however, suggests that the association between vitamin D status and macular pigment optical density does not persist among older adults who are free of ocular pathology. Furthermore, no relationship was observed between macular pigment optical density and the inflammatory marker C-reactive protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean (SD) MPOD</th>
<th>25th</th>
<th>50th</th>
<th>75th</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma biomarkers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤5.00</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>0.224 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;5.00</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>0.222 (0.160)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal &gt;1.6</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>0.229 (0.160)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ≤1.6</td>
<td>1463</td>
<td>0.218 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤2.6</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>0.222 (0.157)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;2.6</td>
<td>1756</td>
<td>0.223 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤1.7</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>0.224 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;1.7</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>0.220 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤3.4</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>0.224 (0.159)</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;3.4</td>
<td>1541</td>
<td>0.222 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/HDL-C ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤0.87</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>0.233 (0.163)</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;0.87</td>
<td>1402</td>
<td>0.214 (0.158)</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL-C ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal ≤3.5</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>0.229 (0.164)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;3.5</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>0.214 (0.156)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (nmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ≤50</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>0.223 (0.159)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal &gt;50</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>0.222 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (µg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ≤3.0</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>0.224 (0.158)</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt;3.0</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>0.218 (0.170)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5.00</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>0.221 (0.159)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>0.225 (0.162)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA was used to check for normalized MPOD differences among plasma biomarkers. P values are reported using MPOD square root transformation (normalized MPOD) and reflect the probability associated with the given F statistic. The following cutoffs were applied to plasma levels: HDL (high risk, ≤1.6 mmol/L; low risk, >1.6 mmol/L), LDL (high risk, >2.6 mmol/L; low risk, ≤2.6 mmol/L), TC (high risk, >5.00 mmol/L; low risk, ≤5.00 mmol/L), TG (high risk, >1.7 mmol/L; low risk, ≤1.7 mmol/L), TG/HDL-C ratio (high risk, >0.87 mmol/L; low risk, ≤0.87 mmol/L), TC/HDL-C ratio (high risk, >3.5 mmol/L; low risk, ≤3.5 mmol/L), non-HDL (high risk, >3.4 mmol/L; low risk, ≤3.4 mmol/L) as per 2016 European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society guidelines,\textsuperscript{40} plasma vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) levels (deficient, ≤50 nmol/L; sufficient, >50 nmol/L) as per Institute of Medicine vitamin D guidelines,\textsuperscript{41} plasma CRP levels (high risk, >3.00 mg/L; low risk, ≤3.00 mg/L),\textsuperscript{35} and HbA1c (high, >5.00%; ideal, ≤5.00% (42.1 mmol/mol) as per American Diabetes Association guidelines.\textsuperscript{42} *Significant at the .05 level. CRP = C-reactive protein; HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; MPOD = macular pigment optical density; non-HDL = total cholesterol minus high-density lipoprotein; SD = standard deviation; sig. = significance; TC = total cholesterol; TC/HDL-C = total cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; TG = triglyceride; TG/HDL-C = triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio.
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This population-based representative study advances previously published work that also used The Irish Longitudinal Study on Aging data to explore macular pigment optical density, as the analyses herein relied much less on self-reported data. A large number of subjects were excluded from the overall macular pigment analysis (n = 2014), and possible reasons for this included the following: technical issues, poor fixation, and poor visual acuity, among others. Although customized heterochromatic flicker photometry is one of the most common methods of measuring macular pigment, it is challenging to perform, particularly so for older adults. A \( \chi^2 \) test of independence was performed, however, comparing the center population (n = 5275) and the smaller sample of participants with a valid macular pigment optical density assessment (n = 2594), and this test confirmed that there was no significant difference in age, sex, or education status between the two groups. More importantly the attenuated number of participants in the current study did not seem to have an impact on the validity of our findings as we confirmed previously reported analyses on a similar cohort. Some discrepancies did emerge, however. Self-reported measures of high cholesterol, for example, were not associated with macular pigment optical density according to the previous analysis, whereas the analysis of direct cholesterol measures taken herein suggests that dyslipidemia (i.e., low plasma high-density lipoprotein, raised triglyceride-to-high-density lipoprotein ratio) may have implications for the delivery and uptake of macular pigment in the eye. In addition, although an inverse association between self-reported high blood pressure and macular pigment was also previously reported, we found no association between measured hypertension (treated or untreated) and macular pigment optical density on either univariate or mixed linear model analysis. These direct measurements (systolic and diastolic, in millimeters of mercury) would seem to outweigh self-reported data. Interestingly, we recently reported that individuals with diabetes and hypertension had a significantly lower macular pigment optical density compared with those without, which suggests that when conditions coexist the redox balance may become increasingly upset, and that oxidative stress may increase considerably in hypertension, especially as a diabetic comorbidity. Hypertension, however, does not seem to affect or deplete macular pigment, in older adults, who are free of ocular pathology.

A number of variables did not persist as predictors of macular pigment optical density on mixed linear analysis (i.e., sex and plasma lipoproteins) despite significant outcomes on univariate analysis. It is possible that these variables have some underlying associations with other variables. Therefore, when these variables are included in the model, the variance of one is accounted for by the others, and hence, it is no longer significant. Although sex (male) and lipoprotein (low high-density lipoprotein, raised triglyceride-to-high-density lipoprotein, raised total to high-density lipoprotein ratio) status contribute to lower levels of macular pigment, it seems that waist circumference status is a more robust predictor of low macular pigment optical density. It is important to note, however, that the small difference in mean macular pigment optical density between participants with and without elevated waist circumference suggests that any effect size is likely to

FIGURE 3. Violin plots of MPOD differences illustrating the following: lower MPOD among subjects with low HDL (A), lower MPOD among subjects with raised TC/HDL ratio (B), and lower MPOD among subjects with raised TG/HDL ratio (C; ANOVA). HDL = high-density lipoprotein; MPOD = macular pigment optical density; TC/HDL = total cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein ratio; TG/HDL = triglyceride-to-high-density lipoprotein ratio.
be modest and not particularly useful in terms of predictive capacity from a clinical perspective.

Macular pigment was measured using customized heterochromatic flicker photometry, a technique that has been validated in older subjects, and found to be reliable. 63 Macular pigment measurements have, however, shown a degree of variability across studies.24,65–67 For example, Stringham et al.65 measured the density of macular pigment in patients with intermediate AMD using a similar device and retinal eccentricity (0.5°), and the mean optical density was 0.37 (0.24). Subjects in the Carotenoids in Age-Related Eye Disease Study had average macular pigment levels of 0.36 (0.22) for the right eye and 0.34 (0.21) for the left eye, and these participants were of similar age.24 We acknowledge that the mean macular pigment optical density in the current study was notably lower than previously reported.24,65 Our findings suggest that older Irish adults have lower macular pigment levels on average. It is worth noting that the prevalence of conditions that might affect macular pigment optical density levels is relatively high in Ireland, including AMD, glaucoma, and type 2 diabetes.70 The lower levels of macular pigment observed in the current study may therefore reflect the combined influence of inadequate dietary intake and increased utilization of these phytonutrients.51 Given the variety of mechanisms that may contribute to macular pigment depletion and the ocular risks associated with such depletion in this age group, the importance of diet cannot be overemphasized. The provision of colored fruit and vegetables, which are rich in a broad range of antioxidants including the target carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin, and/or supplementation with these nutrients represent an obvious recommendation, given the putative protection that this pigment confers against age-related vision loss. These recommendations should now be subject to further research. Limitations of the current study include the failure to assess dietary intake or plasma levels of the carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin, as macular pigment optical density is influenced by both intake and individual efficacy of absorption.71 It is also worth noting that the high variability and lower levels of macular pigment optical density levels across different people may also be subject to genetic variation,72 a factor that is not accounted for in our analysis. Information on supplement usage was also not assessed, which would have added to the interpretation of our findings, particularly those in relation to vitamin D and macular pigment optical density. Despite these drawbacks, the representative study design, the unique sampling method (which includes both male and female subjects), the use of measured rather than self-reported biomarkers, and the detailed exclusion criteria, we believe that our findings and the conclusions drawn from our findings concerning macular pigment optical density are representative of the older Irish population.

In conclusion, we report that elevated waist circumference and dyslipidemia may have important implications for the storage, delivery, and uptake of lutein and zeaxanthin in the eye. Determinants of macular pigment optical density (i.e., education status, tobacco use, waist circumference) remain statistically significant after mixed linear model analysis. Although no strong predictor of macular pigment optical density.
density status emerged in this analysis, the capacity of more commonly measured surrogate biomarkers to help identify people at risk of low macular pigment merits further consideration. Given the marginal statistical significance of many of our findings, further research is necessary to refine our understanding of the observed relationships, as macular pigment is not routinely measured in clinical practice.
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