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Abstract

A recent revision to the European Medical Device Directive (MDD 2007/47/EC) made fourteen amendments to the original directive (93/42/EEC). A number of these changes directly affect the development of software for use in healthcare. The most significant change in relation to medical device software development is that standalone software is now seen as an active medical device. Prior to this amendment medical device software was developed in accordance with the IEC 62304 standard. However, IEC 62304 is not sufficiently comprehensive to provide guidance in the development of standalone software as an active medical device. Medi SPICE is currently being developed to fill the gaps left by IEC 62304 in developing standalone software as an active medical device and to provide medical device software developers a single point of reference for developing software for use in healthcare.
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1 Introduction

Software is ubiquitous in daily life however, it is more critical in some areas than others. Failures in medical device software can have severe or fatal consequences. Between June 1985 and January 1987, four people died and two were left permanently disfigured by a software controlled radiation therapy machine known as Therac-25[1]. Therac-25 used software to control a beam spreader plate which reduced patient’s exposure to radiation. However, due to software malfunctions the plate was not in place when required and patients received massive doses of radiation. This case highlighted the need for adequate safety measures to be put in place to protect patients and third parties i.e. clinicians, using medical devices controlled by software.

All medical devices used within the European Union (EU) must conform to the current MDD to achieve the CE conformance mark. The current directive i.e. 2007/47/EC[2], amends European directives MDD (93/42/EEC)[3], AIMD (90/385/EEC)[4] and the Biocides Directive (98/8/EC)[5] [6]. The revision to the MDD (2007/47/EC) covers all areas relevant to medical devices including risk and quality management. This latest amendment allows for standalone software to be used as an active medical device. With this amendment, incidents involving medical devices such as Therac-25 become more relevant, as now software can be the only element in a medical device subject to conformance requirements.
Consequently methods used to ensure that software is safe and fit for purpose must be reviewed. A number of benefits can be gained by manufacturers employing Software Process Improvement (SPI) techniques, one of which is a reduction in software faults that could potentially result in device recalls [7]. SPI is a continuous cycle of performing an assessment, implementing the recommendations of the assessment and restarting the cycle [8]. This process of continuous assessment and improvement can help reduce the amount of defective software being developed. Essentially the safety of medical device software is determined through the software processes followed during development [9].

IEC 62304[10] is the current medical software lifecycle process standard. This standard contains a number of processes for medical device software development which medical device software developers should follow in order to implement best practice medical device software processes. IEC 62304 is used in the development of software when software is part of a medical device system which consists of hardware plus software. It provides the minimum regulatory medical device requirements within a specified group of processes. However, it excludes all system level processes. As a result, IEC 62304 hands off the system processes to other standards such as ISO 13485[11], IEC 60601-1[12], IEC 61010-1[13], IEC/ISO 90003[14], IEC 61508-3 [15], ISO 14971 [16] and ISO 12207[17] [10].

Section 2 examines the revision to the MDD and highlights what this means with respect to medical device software development. This will include particular reference to the development of standalone software as an active medical device. In section 3, we discuss the existing standards that are appropriate to the development of medical devices with emphasis on satisfying the requirements of the MDD (2007/47/EC). In section 4, we discuss the importance of SPI techniques and recommend a specific SPI model to follow. Section 5 contains the conclusions from this research and our plans to progress this research further.

2 European Medical Device Directive Amendment 2007/47/EC

The recent MDD [2] revision has made a number of amendments to previous directives i.e. [3-5]. The MDD (2007/47/EC) came into force on March 21st 2010. In total there are fourteen changes introduced within the MDD (2007/47/EC)[18]. There are four areas within the amendment of the MDD (2007/47/EC) with important significance to medical device software development:

- Standalone Software as an active medical device;
- Validation of software as an active medical device;
- Software localisation;
- Safety Classification.

2.1 Standalone Software as an Active Medical Device

Prior to the release of the MDD (2007/47/EC) provision had been made within the MDD (93/42/EEC) for software to be used as a medical device. However MDD (2007/47/EC) Article 1 Section 2 makes explicit reference to software being a medical device.

"any instrument, apparatus, appliance, software, material or other article, whether used alone or in combination, including the software intended by its manufacturer to be used specifically for diagnostic and/or therapeutic purposes and necessary for its proper application"

To accompany this change provision has also been made for standalone software to be used as an active medical device. Within the MDD (2007/47/EC) Annex IX Section 1.4 amendment M5 states:

"Stand-alone software is considered to be an active medical device"

This can be difficult to understand particularly in relation to when software is or is not a medical device. An example of software as an active medical device is a software package which is used to calculate treatment doses for oncology treatment devices. A caveat has also been included into MDD (2007/47/EC) to avoid ambiguity in determining if a software package is a medical device.
This caveat provides some clarity surrounding particular software used in healthcare. In Ireland we await a formal document from the Irish Medicines Board to define exactly what types of healthcare software applications will now be defined as a medical device. This document is expected in the spring of 2011 but in its absence there is still some confusion regarding what standalone software will be classified as a medical device.

2.2 Software Validation

As standalone software is now classified as an active medical device under the MDD (2007/47/EC) guidelines must be put in place to ensure that such software is safe and fit for purpose. To ensure this the MDD (2007/47/EC) Annex I Section 12.1a (amendment M5) states;

“For devices which incorporate software or which are medical software in themselves, the software must be validated according to the state of the art taking into account the principles of development lifecycle, risk management, validation and verification.”

“State of the Art” is used to here to mean what is generally accepted as good practice. Since this requirement was introduced, developers must now validate the software integrated or standalone, regardless of device class. IEC 62304 and its aligned, standards, ISO 13485, IEC 62666 [19], IEC TR 80002-1 [20], 80001-1 [21], EN 60601 and ISO 14971 are harmonised under the MDD (2007/47/EC) and are seen as a good place to start when validating software.

Whilst these standards are generally accepted and are harmonised under MDD (2007/47/EC) they do not necessarily cover the requirements introduced within the MDD (2007/47/EC).

2.3 Software Localisation

Another change which affects the development of medical device software is software localisation. Under the MDD (2007/47/EC), software sold or used within the EU must be localised into the language of each of the EU countries that the product will be marketed i.e. MDD 2007/47/EC, Article 4.4. Essentially, if an Irish medical device manufacturer wishes to market a medical device into France, the graphical user interface (GUI) must be available in French. A number of difficulties can arise when attempting to perform a software translation [22]:

- Differing file formats;
- Different character encoding;
- Character size constraints;
- Parsing may be required;
- Errors caused in code caused by repossessing.

There are currently a number of organisations that provide translation services to medical device manufacturers such as Global Translations [23].

3 IEC 62304 – Software Lifecycle Processes

Medical device manufactures wishing to achieve regulatory conformance are advised to follow the relevant applicable standards. Evidence of following the applicable standards can improve the process of achieving regulatory conformance. Medical device software developers typically follow IEC 62304 and its aligned standards.
3.1 Processes

IEC 62304 is a medical device software development standard. It was created to provide assistance in terms of the safe design and maintenance of medical device software. Software developed using the IEC 62304 standard is founded upon the assumption that the software is developed in accordance with a quality management standard (ISO 13485), a risk management standard (ISO/IEC 14971) and a product level standard (EN 60601-1). This standard provides a framework of processes divided into activities which are further divided into tasks.

IEC 62304 provides guidance on the development of software as part of a medical device. However IEC 62304 does not provide guidance on all of the necessary processes required to develop standalone software as an active medical device. IEC 62304 states:

“This standard does not cover validation and final release of the medical device, even when the medical device consists entirely of software”

With the MDD revision i.e. (2007/47/EC) a medical device can consist only of software. As validation is required to ensure reliability and safety another method of validating standalone software as a medical device is required. One suggestion is to modify the scope of EN 60601-1 to include medical software systems. Another suggestion is to convert IEC 62304 to a product oriented standard in order to make it applicable to standalone software as an active medical device. All of these suggestions have advantages and disadvantages. A favourable outcome would be for IEC 62304 to remain as a software life-cycle standard and that a new standard be developed to specifically facilitate standalone software as an active medical device.

3.2 Safety Classification

IEC 62304 classifies all software based on the risk posed to the patient or user. The devices are classified as follows:

- Class A: No injury or damage to health is possible
- Class B: Non-serious injury is possible
- Class C: Death or Serious Injury is possible

This classification is similar to that of ISO 14971 Clause 4.4, 5 and 6.1. Safety critical software systems can be divided into items running a different software element each with its own safety classification. These items can be further subdivided into additional software elements. The overall software system assumes the highest classification contained within all of the software elements. For example if a software system contains five software elements, four of which may be classified as Class A, but one may be classified as Class C and therefore the overall device receives a classification of Class C. This can be seen in figure 1.0

![Figure 1.0 Classification of software items within complete software system](image-url)
4 SPI and Medi SPICE

4.1 Importance of SPI

SPI is an important element within any software development lifecycle. Many organisations have difficulty in consistently developing high quality software. There are many benefits to be gained by using SPI including [24]:

- Improvements to overall quality
- Increased on-time delivery
- Budget consistency
- Reduced development costs

SPI places the emphasis on defining processes that are appropriate to the project and ensures that these processes are consistently followed. SPI maturity models focus on what has to be done, rather than how it should be done. The benefits of utilising SPI can be seen in many companies e.g. Siemens[25], Alcatel [26], NASA[27] and Motorola[28].

In order for SPI to be successful within an organisation, it relies on a number of critical factors. In 2005 a survey of one hundred and twenty software organisations identified six organisational factors as being crucial to ensure the success of SPI [29]:

- Business orientation;
- Involved leadership;
- Employee participation;
- Concern for measurement;
- Exploitation of existing knowledge;
- Exploration of new knowledge.

Research carried out by Embedded Market Forecasters in 2010[30] provided a comparison between software developed by the embedded industry and software developed by medical device producers. This research showed that 12.9% of medical device projects were cancelled, whilst 11.2% of embedded industry projects were cancelled. This research also revealed that on average 19.4% of the overall budget is wasted due to months lost during project development. The primary reason cited for these problems occurring is incomplete or vague requirements.

An empirical study in 2007 revealed how much importance medical device software developers place upon SPI [31]. The study surveyed organisations developing software for medical devices and medical information systems with the majority of respondents coming from Germany, USA and Sweden. 71% of respondents came from small and medium companies with between ten and two hundred and fifty staff. The remainder of the respondents came from organisations with over two hundred and fifty software developers. Of the respondents, 98% rate software as very important or an important part of their products. However, only 14% of the respondents have either a CMMI (Capability Maturity Model Integration) or ISO 15504 (SPICE) rating. Also only 50% of the respondents follow a defined process to perform requirements engineering, software architecture and design activities and implementation, over 50% of the time. This survey also asked participant’s which process or activities cause the most issues for a software development project.
4.2 Medi SPICE

Currently medical device manufactures developing standalone software as an active medical device have no single point of reference specific to the development of software for medical devices. To this end Medi SPICE[32] is currently being developed by the Regulated Software Research Group (RSRG) at Dundalk Institute of Technology (DkIT) in association with the SPICE User Group, to help in achieving regulatory conformance and improve overall device safety by employing SPI techniques through the entire development lifecycle.

Medi SPICE provides medical device software manufacturers with a Process Assessment Model (PAM), and a Process Reference Model (PRM), to help implement high quality medical device software processes that will enable seamless conformity to the medical regulatory standards. The PAM is being developed in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 15504-2:2003, and is based on ISO/IEC 15504-5:2006. However ISO/IEC 15504 is not specific to the medical device industry. To overcome this, Medi SPICE incorporates the practices contained within IEC 62304 processes and the other relevant standards into appropriate the relevant ISO/IEC 15504 based processes. Due to the safety critical nature of medical device software, additional safety processes have also been incorporated from +Safe[33] and ISO 14971.

Medi SPICE aims to minimise the volume of software documentation and provide global harmonisation for all medical device software manufactures. Medi SPICE assessment results can be used to indicate the state of a medical device supplier’s practice in relation to regulation. Medi SPICE results can also be used as a criterion for supplier selection. As discussed in section 4.1 many medical device software development companies cite the requirements engineering process as the most problematic of all the processes. Medi SPICE will provide clear guidance on how to perform this process in addition to other processes of the medical device software development and maintenance lifecycle.

5 Conclusions

The recent revision to the MDD allows for standalone software to be an active medical device and states that software must be validated in accordance with state of the art practices. However IEC 62304 does not provide comprehensive guidance on the development of standalone software as an active medical device as it is purely a software development standard. An example of a process beyond the scope of IEC 62304 is the requirements elicitation stage of development. However research has shown that the requirements elicitations stage causes medical device software developers the most issues during development. This omission can be overcome by employing an SPI model specific
in the area of development of medical device software. To this end Medi SPICE is being developed to provide guidance in all stages of development of standalone software as an active medical device.
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