

2016

Supercritical Carbon dioxide Treatment of the Microalgae Nannochloropsis oculata

Janet McKennedy

janet.mckennedy@tudublin.ie

Sermin Onenc

Ege University, sermin.onenc@gmail.com

Mehmet Pala

Ghent University, mehmet.pala@ugent.be

Julie Maguire

Daithi O Murchu Marine Research Station, julie.maguire@dommrc.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/engschcivart>

Recommended Citation

McKennedy et al. (2016) Supercritical Carbon dioxide Treatment of the Microalgae Nannochloropsis oculata for the production of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters, *J. of Supercritical Fluids*, doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2016.06.003

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Civil and Structural Engineering at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/)

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Supercritical Carbon dioxide Treatment of the Microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata* for the production of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters

Author: Janet McKennedy Sermin Önenç Mehmet Pala Julie Maguire



PII: S0896-8446(16)30168-1
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.supflu.2016.06.003>
Reference: SUPFLU 3680

To appear in: *J. of Supercritical Fluids*


Received date: 7-4-2016
Revised date: 31-5-2016
Accepted date: 2-6-2016

Please cite this article as: Janet McKennedy, Sermin Önenç, Mehmet Pala, Julie Maguire, Supercritical Carbon dioxide Treatment of the Microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata* for the production of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters, <![CDATA[The Journal of Supercritical Fluids]]> (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2016.06.003>


This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

treated with
supercritical CO₂ and
co-solvents


produce a range of fatty acid methyl esters
dependant on temperatures and pressures




35°C, 5.9 MPa



35°C, 7.6 MPa



55°C, 7.6 MPa



Highlights

- Extraction of fatty acid methyl esters from *Nannochloropsis oculata* using Supercritical fluids at low temperatures and pressures
- Using methanol as a co-solvent, extraction and transesterification was achieved in a single step
- Long chain FAMES such as EPA and DHA were produced using methanol as a co-solvent
- Using hexane as a co-solvent, higher amounts of fatty acid methyl esters were obtained using 5.9 MPa than at 7.6 MPa

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Supercritical Carbon dioxide Treatment of the Microal- gae *Nannochloropsis oculata* for the production of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters

Janet McKennedy^a email:janetmckennedy@hotmail.com; Tel: +353 85 2785379

(corresponding author), Sermin Önenç^{b,d}, Mehmet Pala^{b,c}, Julie Maguire^b

^a Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dublin Road, Dundalk, Co. Louth, Ireland. ^b Daithi O'Murchu Marine
Research Station, Gearhies, Bantry, Co Cork, Ireland. ^c Current affiliation: Department of Biosystems Engineer-
ing, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, Ghent B-9000, Belgium. ^d Current affiliation: Chemistry Department,
Faculty of Science, Ege University, TR 35100 İzmir, Turkey.

Abstract

The aim of this work was to evaluate the potential of supercritical carbon dioxide (CO₂) to extract Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAME) from the microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata* (*N. oculata*) at low temperatures (37 and 55 °C) and pressures (5.9 and 7.6 megapascals (MPa)). A qualitative gas chromatography (GC) analysis showed that the individual FAMEs extracted varied depending on the co-solvent (methanol or hexane) used with supercritical CO₂. Using hexane, FAME compounds produced were similar to those extracted with soxhlet extraction alone while longer chain FAME were produced when methanol was the co-solvent. The effects of pressure and temperature variations were shown to be of statistical significance. The chromatograms produced in this work demonstrate that altering one of these parameters (co-solvent, temperature, pressure) can produce different compounds owing to the tunability of the technique.

1
2
3
4 **Keywords:** Supercritical fluids; microalgae; monounsaturated fatty acids;
5
6 polyunsaturated fatty acids; biodiesel; gas chromatography
7
8

9
10
11 **Abbreviations**
12

13
14 **μm** micrometres.
15

16
17 ***N. oculata*** *Nannochloropsis oculata*.
18

19
20 **ANOVA** Analysis of Variance.
21

22
23 **C** Carbon.
24

25
26 **CO₂** Carbon dioxide.
27

28
29 **DHA** Docosaheptaenoic Acid.
30

31
32 **DPA** Docosapentaenoic Acid.
33

34
35 **EPA** Eicosapentaenoic Acid.
36

37
38 **FAME** Fatty Acid Methyl Esters.
39

40
41 **FID** Flame Ionization Detector.
42

43
44 **g** grammes.
45

46
47 **GC** Gas Chromatography.
48

49
50 **He** Helium.
51

52
53 **ml** millilitre.
54
55
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5 **MPa** Megapascals.

6
7 **MUFA** Monounsaturated fatty acids.

8
9
10
11 **PUFA** Polyunsaturated fatty acids.

12
13
14 **rpm** revs per minute.

15
16
17 **SCF** Supercritical Fluids.

18
19
20 **sp.** species (singular).

21
22
23 **TS** total solids.

24 25 26 27 **1 Introduction**

28
29
30
31 In previously published work supercritical methanol [1] and CO₂ [2] have been
32
33 used to extract and transesterify fatty acids to biodiesel in a single step using
34
35 high temperatures and pressures. The aim of this work was to use supercritical
36
37 CO₂ at low temperatures and pressures to convert microalgal oils to biodiesel in
38
39 a single step, thus saving time and money. Milder conditions have been found to
40
41 be less destructive to natural substrates such as algae [3]. Lower temperatures
42
43 and pressures showed the production of long chain FAMES which are of interest
44
45 owing to their potential health benefits and other uses.
46
47
48
49
50

51 **1.1 The Composition and Uses of Microalgae**

52
53
54 Microalgae are rich sources of MUFA (monounsaturated fatty acids) and PUFA
55
56 (polyunsaturated fatty acids) which are of interest in biodiesel production as
57
58

1
2
3
4 well as human and animal health. Examples of MUFA and PUFA structures
5
6 can be seen in figure 1. MUFAs are fatty acid chains containing one unsatu-
7
8 rated C-C bond i.e double or triple bonds while PUFAs have more than one
9
10 unsaturated C-C bond. Both compounds are of interest in the production of
11
12 biodiesel [4]. There are a range of criteria which are required to identify a re-
13
14 liable substrate for biodiesel production including iodine value, heating value,
15
16 and lubricity but a high MUFA and low PUFA composition is thought to be
17
18 preferable [5]. However, sunflower oil has high PUFA content and can produce
19
20 biodiesel which meets the requirements of the European legislation [6]. Of the
21
22 total fats produced by *N. oculata* between 35 and 46% are MUFAs and between
23
24 8 and 22% are PUFAs [7].
25
26
27
28
29

30 Figure 1. Example of MUFA (oleic acid) and PUFA structure (linoleic acid)
31
32 [8]
33

34 *Nannochloropsis* is a marine species in the Eustigmatophyceae class of mi-
35
36 croalgae [9] which has previously been studied as a source of biodiesel production
37
38 [1], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14]. Under optimal growth conditions *N. oculata* has
39
40 a lipid content above 50% [15] making it a substrate of interest in biodiesel
41
42 production. Large amounts of microalgal biomass can be produced in a small
43
44 space [16]. Biomass can double daily [17] and is high in the valuable fatty acid,
45
46 EPA (eicosapentaenoic acid) when compared to other microalgae [18].
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1.2 The Biodiesel Production Process

Biodiesel has been defined as the conversion of renewable animal and vegetable long chain fatty acids to FAMES [19]. Transesterification reduces the viscosity of the fats so that it can be used in vehicle engines [20]. When compared to petroleum based diesel, the emissions from biodiesel are lower in pollutants such as unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide and particulate matter [21], [22].

Before the biodiesel refinement process begins, the fatty acids must be separated from the other components of the substrate (in this case, the microalga). A number of techniques have been used to achieve this, among them microwave assisted extraction and ultrasound assisted extraction [23] but soxhlet extraction has traditionally been used and that is the technique referenced in this work. The soxhlet extraction procedure involves using a solvent such as hexane or petroleum ether to dissolve the oils of interest [24].

After the soxhlet extraction is completed the lipids are transesterified to biodiesel. Oils extracted from the substrate by soxhlet extraction consist of a glycerol backbone with 3 long chain fatty acids [25]. Glycerol is separated from the long chain fatty acids during transesterification by reacting the compound in excess alcohol and a catalyst. Water and free fatty acids residues have been shown to have a negative effect on FAME production. This effect is minimised by using an alkali catalysed reaction followed by an acid catalysed procedure [26], [27].

McDaniel and Taylor [28] demonstrated the feasibility of the incorporation

1
2
3
4
5 of the transesterification step into the supercritical extraction process. In re-
6
7 cent times the use of supercritical methanol (260 °C and 8.3 MPa), [1], [10] and
8
9 supercritical ethanol (245 - 270 °C and 8.3 - 9.3 MPa) [29] to extract and trans-
10
11 esterify fatty acids from *Nannochloropsis* species (sp.) in a single step has been
12
13 achieved. Andrich et al. [30] found supercritical CO₂ was comparable to hexane
14
15 soxhlet to extract bioactive lipids from *Nannochloropsis* sp. Supercritical CO₂
16
17 (40 °C, 40 MPa) was followed by transesterification to extract the polyunsat-
18
19 urated EPA from *Nannochloropsis*. Some of the conditions investigated in the
20
21 production of biodiesel by microalgae to date are outlined in table 1.
22
23
24

25
26 Table 1. Previously investigated Supercritical Fluids (SCF) conditions relat-
27
28 ing to biodiesel production from microalgae, * Pressure not reported but the pressure required
29
30 for supercritical water is 22.1 MPa
31

32
33 In this work supercritical CO₂ at low temperatures and pressures and using
34
35 a co-solvent was used to identify if soxhlet and transesterification steps could
36
37 be skipped completely to produce biodiesel directly.
38
39

40 1.3 The use of supercritical fluids with natural substrates

41
42 The use of various SCFs have been compared favourably to other extraction
43
44 techniques with plant and algal samples. High temperatures and pressures have
45
46 been used in some cases [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36] and [37]. The use of co-
47
48 solvents such as ethanol or methanol have been found to assist the extraction
49
50 of non-polar lipids [35], [38].
51
52
53

54
55 Cheung et al. [37] found the treatment of the seaweed *Sargassum hemi-*
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5 *phyllum* with supercritical CO₂ gave a lipid yield equivalent to a methanol/
6 chloroform soxhlet extraction and increased the proportion of EPA produced.
7
8 When comparing soxhlet extraction and supercritical CO₂ Punín Crespo and
9 Yusty [31] found extraction of aliphatic hydrocarbons from the brown seaweed,
10 *Undaria pinnatifida* to be preferable using soxhlet extraction. Varying pressures
11 were used to extract different components and different amounts of those com-
12 ponents from the brown algae *Dilophus ligulatus* [32], [33]. Supercritical CO₂
13 and thermochemical liquefaction are compared in the extraction of biodiesel
14 from the green seaweed *Chaetomorpha linum* by Aresta et al. [39]. A higher
15 amount of long chain fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids were obtained
16 from the SCF procedure when compared to the thermochemical liquefaction
17 process. In the work of Halim et al. [40] decreasing pressure and increasing
18 temperature resulted in increased lipid production, while Mendes et al. [41]
19 found that a decrease in pressure was more effective. When using supercritical
20 ethanol Levine et al. [42] found that higher temperatures were more productive.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Reverchon [3] suggests that lower temperatures (40 - 50°C) and lower pres-
39 sures (below 10.3 MPa) are more selective and less damaging for natural prod-
40 ucts. When extracting oil from ginger with supercritical CO₂, Roy et al. [43]
41 observed that when higher pressures were used higher temperatures were prefer-
42 able while at low pressures, lower temperatures were more effective. In this work
43 relatively low temperatures and pressures were used to establish the effect of su-
44 percritical CO₂ on the extraction of FAME from *N. oculata* for use in biodiesel
45 and human health products.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Supercritical Fluid Microalgal Study

Investigations were undertaken on the microalgae, *N. oculata* to evaluate the potential of using supercritical CO₂ to extract FAMES for biodiesel and other applications.

The microalgae *N. oculata* used in this work was cultivated by researchers at Daithi O'Murchu Marine Research Station (DOMMRC) from stocks sourced from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP), based at the Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS) in Oban, Scotland. Cultures were grown in f/2 medium and after harvesting were freeze-dried for 24 hours. The average total solids (TS) of the freeze dried samples was 34.9%.

It was then subjected to a range of SCF treatments followed by soxhlet extraction in some instances. These extraction procedures were compared to traditional soxhlet extraction. Samples were analysed by liquid injection GC and compared to a previously run Carbon (C) standard containing all of the even FAMES from C8 to C24 which are commonly found in oil samples used for biodiesel production.

Statistical significance was established by ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) in Microsoft Excel.

2.2 Supercritical Experimental conditions:

A supercritical fluid apparatus was used which was custom built by SCF Processing Ltd., Drogheda, Ireland. The supercritical fluid used was CO₂. Triplicate 10 grammes (g) samples of *N. oculata* were exposed to SCF treatment with co-solvents methanol (Fisher Scientific) or hexane (Fisher Scientific) using the temperatures and pressures outlined in table 2.

Table 2. Experimental conditions for SCF treatments used in *N. oculata* investigations

The following conditions were also used in all experiments:

Volume of co-solvent: 20 millilitres (ml)

Mode: static

Run time: 30 minutes

Depressurisation: 1-2 minutes

Traditional soxhlet extraction followed by transesterification was applied to a microalgal sample which was not treated with SCF. As outlined in table 2, where methanol was used as a co-solvent in the SCF treatment, samples were not subjected to the soxhlet or transesterification procedures. Transesterification was applied after the supercritical treatment where hexane was the co-solvent used.

Soxhlet extraction was carried out according to the method outlined in BS EN ISO 734:2015 [44] and oils were transesterified in accordance with BS EN

1
2
3
4
5 ISO 12966-2:2011 [45] using hexane to dissolve the sample in place of isooctane.
6
7

8
9 All samples were then centrifuged at 5000 revs per minute (rpm) for 5 min-
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
utes and the supernatant was analysed by GC.

2.3 Gas Chromatography Analysis

A method developed in compliance with European Standard EN14103 [46] which is suitable to analyse FAMES between C₁₄ and C₂₄ was used to analyse the prepared microalgal samples. Qualitative GC analysis was carried out on an Agilent 7890A GC.

Samples were run using the following conditions:

Column: Carbowax 20M 30 m x 0.32 mm x 0.25 micrometres (μm)

Oven Program: Initial temperature 150 °C then 5°C per minute to 220°C

Hold for 17.5 minutes

Injector split/splitless: 70:1 at 220°C

Pressure: 0.07 MPa Helium (He)

Detector: Flame Ionization Detector (FID)

Detector temperature: 250 °C

3 Results and Discussion

This study was undertaken to evaluate the potential of supercritical CO₂ at low temperatures and pressures to extract and transesterify oils from *N. oculata* in

1
2
3
4 a single step. This aim was achieved. Using supercritical CO₂ with methanol
5 as a co-solvent FAMEs were obtained from *N. oculata* at 35 °C and 5.9 and 7.6
6
7 MPa. FAMEs were also produced at 55 °C and 7.6 MPa but at 55 °C and 5.9
8
9 MPa no supernatant was collected. The cost of biodiesel is debated currently
10
11 [47] and any process which improves the economic feasibility and thus the use
12
13 of renewable energy sources over fossil fuels is to be welcomed.
14
15
16
17
18

19 The effectiveness of traditional soxhlet extraction was compared to extrac-
20
21 tion using supercritical CO₂ with hexane as a co-solvent at low temperatures
22
23 and pressures. Similar FAMEs were produced by both extraction techniques.
24
25
26
27

28 Chromatograms collected from the microalgal extracts were compared to a
29
30 standard which contained even numbered FAMEs as these are commonly used
31
32 in biodiesel production as outlined in EN14103.
33
34
35
36
37

38 **3.1 Using methanol as a co-solvent with supercritical CO₂** 39 40 **for Long Chain FAMEs production** 41

42 In the case of the methanolic/ SCF supernatant sample the chromatograms
43
44 showed the production of different compounds from those collected using hex-
45
46 ane. The relevant chromatograms are shown in figure 2. A number of peaks
47
48 were detected later in these chromatograms than in the hexane chromatograms
49
50 suggesting the presence of compounds with higher boiling points and therefore
51
52 longer carbon chains.
53
54
55
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5 In all 3 methanol derived chromatograms, peaks were detected which are
6
7 not present in the standard or the other samples: at 17, 23 and 25 minutes.
8
9 From the standard chromatogram and comparing to another GC application
10
11 note [48], the unidentified peak at 17 minutes can be identified as a polyun-
12
13 saturated C20 compound, potentially EPA (C20:5) which has previously been
14
15 found in high concentrations in *N. oculata* [18]. The peaks at 23 and 25 minutes
16
17 are found between the identified peaks - C22:1 and C24:0 which suggests that
18
19 they are polyunsaturated C22 compounds - potentially DPA (docosapentaenoic
20
21 acid) (C22:5) and DHA (docosahexaenoic acid) (C22:6).
22
23
24

25
26 The SCF with methanol treatment produced a higher proportion of longer
27
28 chain fatty acids than either the soxhlet or SCF treated with hexane. In biodiesel
29
30 production the fatty acid chain length together with degree of saturation are
31
32 important quality criteria [49]. The SCF with methanol produced only PUFA
33
34 and while present research suggests that high quantities of PUFAs are undesir-
35
36 able in biodiesel [4], many proven sources of biodiesel which meet the required
37
38 standards have high levels of PUFA e.g. sunflower oil [6]. Further extensive
39
40 testing in line with EN14214 [50] would identify the suitability of the SCF and
41
42 methanol treated samples specifically for biodiesel production .
43
44
45

46
47 It is of note also that there is a peak at 14 minutes which corresponds to
48
49 linolenic acid (C18:3) in figure 2 in both 35°C samples which is absent in the
50
51 55°C sample presented here. This implies that it is possible to change super-
52
53 critical parameters to optimise the production of individual fatty acids. There
54
55 is a maximum limit of 12.0% linolenic acid in biodiesel outlined in EN14103 [46].
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 EPA, DPA, DHA and linolenic acids are omega-3 fatty acids which have
8
9 beneficial cardiovascular effects on human health [51]. They have been shown
10
11 to have a positive impact on mental health [52] and are used in nutrient supple-
12
13 ments and infant formulae [53]. MUFAs also have many beneficial health effects
14
15 including diabetes treatment [54] and PUFAs are beneficial in heart disease [55],
16
17 cancer prevention [56], and skin inflammation [57].
18
19
20

21
22 No chromatogram is shown for supercritical CO₂ and methanol at 55 °C and
23
24 5.9 MPa as no supernatant was produced by centrifugation. A larger sample
25
26 size or increased intensity of centrifugation would increase the possibility of ob-
27
28 taining a supernatant for analysis.
29
30

31
32 Figure 2. Chromatograms from the methanol with supercritical CO₂ treat-
33
34 ments of *N. oculata*
35
36

37 38 **3.2 Using hexane as a co-solvent with supercritical CO₂** 39 40 **for biodiesel production** 41

42
43 While the chromatograms collected from the supercritical CO₂ and hexane ex-
44
45 tractions (figures 4 and 5) showed peak retention times similar to the data
46
47 collected with the soxhlet extraction (C14:0, C16:0, C16:1, C18:1), the intensity
48
49 of the peaks were not as high. The total area response for the peaks of interest
50
51 at the lower pressure (5.9 MPa) was found to be 5738 area counts. This was
52
53 twice the response of of the higher pressure used (7.6 MPa) at 2585 area counts.
54
55 An additional peak in both hexane SCF derived chromatograms which is not
56
57
58

1
2
3
4
5 present in either the soxhlet extracted or the methanol chromatograms is found
6
7 at 21.1 minutes. This was identified from the standard as C22:0, behenic acid.
8
9

10 Behenic acid is thought to have properties which have a negative effect on
11
12 biodiesel at low temperatures [4] but which is valuable for its lubricating prop-
13
14 erties [58] and is also used in hair products [59].
15
16
17
18

19 Figure 3. Chromatogram from the hexane soxhlet extraction
20

21 Figure 4. Chromatogram from the hexane and supercritical CO₂ at 5.9 MPa
22
23 and 35°C
24

25 Figure 5. Chromatogram from the hexane and supercritical CO₂ at 7.6 MPa
26
27 and 35°C
28
29
30

31 3.3 Statistical Analysis of Results 32

33
34 Peak areas were compared and analysed using ANOVA. The results presented
35
36 in table 3. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. Accordingly, the
37
38 changes in temperature and pressure were found to be statistically significant
39
40 when the methanol samples were analysed and in the case of the hexane anal-
41
42 ysis a p-value of 0.05 was obtained suggesting that the null hypothesis at 95%
43
44 cannot be rejected and it is also a statistically significant result.
45
46
47
48

49 Table 3: Statistical ANOVA results for FAME produced
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

4 Conclusion

This work provides evidence that it is possible to use supercritical CO₂ with various co-solvents at low temperatures and pressures to extract FAMEs for use in biodiesel and to produce the rarer longer chain fatty acids, of interest in human health and other commercial applications.

The presence of peaks in the methanolic extracts shows that extraction and transesterification is achievable in a single step process with supercritical CO₂ using methanol as a co-solvent at low temperatures and pressures. This is a more economical solution than the previously proven use of supercritical methanol at high temperatures and pressures as outlined by Patil et al. [1] and Jazzar et al. [10]. The temperatures required to obtain supercritical methanol (260 °C) are almost 10 times higher than those used here, indicating that lower energy costs would be incurred using this process. Additionally, the cost of methanol (\$549 per ton) [60] as the primary supercritical fluid is 5 times higher than that of CO₂ at \$160 per ton [61]. Additionally, a wider range of compounds were produced in this work when compared to Patil et al. [1].

EPA, DPA, DHA and linolenic acid were found in the samples which were treated with methanol as a co-solvent. Linolenic acid was not present in the methanol sample collected at 55 °C, 7.6 MPa.

SCF and soxhlet with hexane produced similar peaks demonstrating the possibilities of the SCF process in biodiesel production. The SCF treatment with a hexane co-solvent at 5.9 MPa produced double the quantities of FAME than

1
2
3
4
5 7.6 MPa demonstrating that higher pressures do not always produce higher ex-
6 traction efficiencies.
7

8
9
10
11 The variation in the compounds produced using different co-solvents and
12 pressures demonstrates the tunability of supercritical CO₂ to produce the prod-
13 uct required.
14
15
16
17

18 19 **5 Acknowledgements**

20
21
22 This work was funded through the European Commissions Framework 7 Pro-
23 gramme under the Marie Curie IAPP scheme contract No. 230598. GC analysis
24 was carried out at Green Biofuels Ireland, Co. Wexford, Ireland.
25
26
27
28

29 30 **References**

- 31
32
33
34 [1] P. D. Patil, V. G. Gude, A. Mannarswamy, S. Deng, P. Cooke, S. Munson-
35 McGee, I. Rhodes, P. Lammers, N. Nirmalakhandan, Optimization of direct
36 conversion of wet algae to biodiesel under supercritical methanol conditions,
37 *Bioresource Technology* 102 (1) (2011) 118–122.
38
39
40
41 [2] L. Soh, J. Zimmerman, Biodiesel production: the potential of algal lipids
42 extracted with supercritical carbon dioxide, *Green Chemistry* 13 (6) (2011)
43 1422–1429.
44
45
46
47 [3] E. Reverchon, Supercritical fluid extraction and fractionation of essential
48 oils and related products, *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* 10 (1) (1997)
49 1–37.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

- 1
2
3
4
5 [4] M. J. Ramos, C. M. Fernández, A. Casas, L. Rodríguez, Á. Pérez, In-
6 fluence of fatty acid composition of raw materials on biodiesel properties,
7 Bioresource technology 100 (1) (2009) 261–268.
8
9
10
11 [5] S. K. Hoekman, A. Broch, C. Robbins, E. Cenicerros, M. Natarajan, Re-
12 view of biodiesel composition, properties, and specifications, Renewable
13 and Sustainable Energy Reviews 16 (1) (2012) 143–169.
14
15
16
17 [6] G. Antolm, F. Tinaut, Y. Briceno, V. Castano, C. Perez, A. Ramirez,
18 Optimisation of biodiesel production by sunflower oil transesterification,
19 Bioresource technology 83 (2) (2002) 111–114.
20
21
22
23 [7] T. T. Y. Doan, J. P. Obbard, Enhanced intracellular lipid in *Nannochlorop-*
24 *sis* sp. via random mutagenesis and flow cytometric cell sorting, Algal Re-
25 search 1 (1) (2012) 17–21.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 [8] Rxlist., Liposyn iii (intravenous fat emulsion) drug information.
33 URL <http://www.rxlist.com/liposyn-iii-drug.htm>(Accessed on 02/
34 10/2015)
35
36
37
38
39 [9] M. Guiry, G. Guiry, Algaebase. world-wide electronic publication, national
40 university of ireland, galway. <http://www.algaebase.org>; accessed on 10
41 february 2012 (2012).
42
43
44
45
46 [10] S. Jazzar, P. Olivares-Carrillo, A. P. de los Ríos, M. N. Marzouki, F. G.
47 Acién-Fernández, J. M. Fernández-Sevilla, E. Molina-Grima, I. Smaali,
48 J. Quesada-Medina, Direct supercritical methanolysis of wet and dry un-
49 washed marine microalgae (*Nannochloropsis gaditana*) to biodiesel, Applied
50 Energy 148 (2015) 210–219.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 [11] K. Anandarajah, G. Mahendrapurumal, M. Sommerfeld, Q. Hu, Character-
6 ization of microalga *Nannochloropsis* sp. mutants for improved production
7 of biofuels, *Applied Energy* 96 (2012) 371–377.
8
9
10
11 [12] A. Converti, A. A. Casazza, E. Y. Ortiz, P. Perego, M. Del Borghi, Effect
12 of temperature and nitrogen concentration on the growth and lipid content
13 of *Nannochloropsis oculata* and *Chlorella vulgaris* for biodiesel production,
14 *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification* 48 (6) (2009)
15 1146–1151.
16
17
18 [13] N. Moazami, A. Ashori, R. Ranjbar, M. Tangestani, R. Eghtesadi, A. S.
19 Nejad, Large-scale biodiesel production using microalgae biomass of *Nan-*
20 *nochloropsis*, *Biomass and bioenergy* 39 (2012) 449–453.
21
22
23 [14] L. Gouveia, A. C. Oliveira, Microalgae as a raw material for biofuels pro-
24 duction, *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology* 36 (2) (2009)
25 269–274.
26
27
28 [15] S.-Y. Chiu, C.-Y. Kao, M.-T. Tsai, S.-C. Ong, C.-H. Chen, C.-S. Lin, Lipid
29 accumulation and CO₂ utilization of *Nannochloropsis oculata* in response
30 to CO₂ aeration, *Bioresource technology* 100 (2) (2009) 833–838.
31
32
33 [16] S. V. Mohan, M. Rohit, P. Chiranjeevi, R. Chandra, B. Navaneeth, Het-
34 erotrophic microalgae cultivation to synergize biodiesel production with
35 waste remediation: Progress and perspectives, *Bioresource technology* 184
36 (2015) 169–178.
37
38
39 [17] H. M. Amaro, A. C. Guedes, F. X. Malcata, Advances and perspectives
40 in using microalgae to produce biodiesel, *Applied Energy* 88 (10) (2011)
41 3402–3410.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5 [18] S. Renaud, D. Parry, L.-V. Thinh, C. Kuo, A. Padovan, N. Sammy, Effect of
6
7 light intensity on the proximate biochemical and fatty acid composition of
8
9 *Isochrysis* sp. and *Nannochloropsis oculata* for use in tropical aquaculture,
10
11 Journal of Applied Phycology 3 (1) (1991) 43–53.
12
13
14 [19] G. Knothe, J. H. Van Gerpen, J. Krahl, et al., The biodiesel handbook,
15
16 Vol. 1, AOCS press Champaign, IL, 2005.
17
18
19 [20] J. Van Gerpen, G. Knothe, Basics of the transesterification reaction, The
20
21 biodiesel handbook (2005) 26–41.
22
23
24 [21] S. Kalligeros, F. Zannikos, S. Stournas, E. Lois, G. Anastopoulos, C. Teas,
25
26 F. Sakellaropoulos, An investigation of using biodiesel/marine diesel blends
27
28 on the performance of a stationary diesel engine, Biomass and Bioenergy
29
30 24 (2) (2003) 141–149.
31
32
33 [22] M. Lapuerta, O. Armas, R. Ballesteros, J. Fernández, Diesel emissions from
34
35 biofuels derived from Spanish potential vegetable oils, Fuel 84 (6) (2005)
36
37 773–780.
38
39
40 [23] C. Prommuak, P. Pavasant, A. T. Quitain, M. Goto, A. Shotipruk, Mi-
41
42 croalgal lipid extraction and evaluation of single-step biodiesel production,
43
44 Engineering Journal 16 (5) (2012) 157–166.
45
46
47 [24] L. Wang, C. L. Weller, Recent advances in extraction of nutraceuticals from
48
49 plants, Trends in Food Science & Technology 17 (6) (2006) 300–312.
50
51
52 [25] Y. Zhang, M. Dube, D. McLean, M. Kates, Biodiesel production from waste
53
54 cooking oil: 1. process design and technological assessment, Bioresource
55
56 technology 89 (1) (2003) 1–16.
57
58

- 1
2
3
4
5 [26] B. Freedman, E. Pryde, T. Mounts, Variables affecting the yields of fatty
6 esters from transesterified vegetable oils, *Journal of the American Oil*
7 *Chemists Society* 61 (10) (1984) 1638–1643.
8
9
10
11 [27] K.-S. Liu, Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters for gas-chromatographic
12 analysis of lipids in biological materials, *Journal of the American Oil*
13 *Chemists Society* 71 (11) (1994) 1179–1187.
14
15
16
17 [28] L. McDaniel, L. Taylor, Esterification of decanoic acid during supercritical
18 fluid extraction employing either methanol-modified carbon dioxide or a
19 methanol trap, *Journal of Chromatography A* 858 (2) (1999) 201–207.
20
21
22
23 [29] H. K. Reddy, T. Muppaneni, P. D. Patil, S. Ponnusamy, P. Cooke,
24 T. Schaub, S. Deng, Direct conversion of wet algae to crude biodiesel under
25 supercritical ethanol conditions, *Fuel* 115 (2014) 720–726.
26
27
28
29
30
31 [30] G. Andrich, U. Nesti, F. Venturi, A. Zinnai, R. Fiorentini, Supercritical
32 fluid extraction of bioactive lipids from the microalga *Nannochloropsis* sp.,
33 *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology* 107 (6) (2005) 381–386.
34
35
36
37 [31] M. Punín Crespo, L. Yusty, Comparison of supercritical fluid extraction
38 and soxhlet extraction for the determination of aliphatic hydrocarbons in
39 seaweed samples, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 64 (3) (2006)
40 400–405.
41
42
43
44 [32] P. Subra, P. Boissinot, Supercritical fluid extraction from a brown alga
45 by stagewise pressure increase, *Journal of Chromatography A* 543 (1991)
46 413–424.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 [33] P. Subra, R. Tufeu, Y. Garrabos, P. Boissinot, Supercritical fluid extraction
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 from a mediterranean brown alga, *The Journal of Supercritical Fluids* 4 (4)
5 (1991) 244–249.
6
7
8
9
10 [34] G. List, J. Friedrich, J. King, Supercritical CO₂ extraction and processing
11 of oilseeds, *Oil Mill Gazeteer*.
12
13
14 [35] L. Montanari, J. W. King, G. R. List, K. A. RENNICK, Selective extraction
15 of phospholipid mixtures by supercritical carbon dioxide and cosolvents,
16 *Journal of food science* 61 (6) (1996) 1230–1234.
17
18
19 [36] J. M. D. Valle, J. C. De La Fuente, Supercritical CO₂ extraction of oilseeds:
20 review of kinetic and equilibrium models, *Critical Reviews in Food Science*
21 and *Nutrition* 46 (2) (2006) 131–160.
22
23
24 [37] P. C. Cheung, A. Y. Leung, P. O. Ang, Comparison of supercritical carbon
25 dioxide and soxhlet extraction of lipids from a brown seaweed, *Sargassum*
26 *hemiphyllum* (Turn.) C. Ag., *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*
27 46 (10) (1998) 4228–4232.
28
29
30 [38] F. Sahena, I. Zaidul, S. Jinap, A. Karim, K. Abbas, N. Norulaini, A. Omar,
31 Application of supercritical CO₂ in lipid extraction – a review, *Journal of*
32 *Food Engineering* 95 (2) (2009) 240–253.
33
34
35 [39] M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto, M. Carone, T. Colonna, C. Fragale, Production
36 of biodiesel from macroalgae by supercritical CO₂ extraction and thermo-
37 chemical liquefaction, *Environmental Chemistry Letters* 3 (3) (2005) 136–
38 139.
39
40
41 [40] R. Halim, B. Gladman, M. K. Danquah, P. A. Webley, Oil extraction from
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 microalgae for biodiesel production, *Bioresource technology* 102 (1) (2011)
6
7 178–185.

8
9
10 [41] R. L. Mendes, H. L. Fernandes, J. A. Coelho, J. M. Cabral, A. M. Palavra,
11 J. M. Novais, Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of hydrocarbons from
12 the microalga *Botryococcus braunii*, *Journal of Applied Phycology* 6 (3)
13 (1994) 289–293.
14
15

16
17
18 [42] R. B. Levine, T. Pinnarat, P. E. Savage, Biodiesel production from wet
19 algal biomass through in situ lipid hydrolysis and supercritical transester-
20 ification, *Energy & Fuels* 24 (9) (2010) 5235–5243.
21
22

23
24
25 [43] B. C. Roy, M. Goto, T. Hirose, Extraction of ginger oil with supercriti-
26 cal carbon dioxide: experiments and modeling, *Industrial & Engineering*
27 *Chemistry Research* 35 (2) (1996) 607–612.
28
29

30
31
32 [44] BS EN ISO 734:2015 Oilseed meals. Determination of oil content. Extrac-
33 tion method with hexane (or light petroleum).
34
35

36
37
38 [45] BS EN ISO 12966-2:2011 Animal and vegetable fats and oils. Gas chro-
39 matography of fatty acid methyl esters. Preparation of methyl esters of
40 fatty acids.
41
42

43
44
45 [46] E. E. NORM, BS EN 14103 – fat and oil derivatives – fatty acid methyl
46 esters (FAME) – determination of ester and linolenic acid methyl ester
47 contents (2003).
48
49

50
51
52 [47] Y. Li, M. Horsman, N. Wu, C. Q. Lan, N. Dubois-Calero, Biofuels from
53 microalgae, *Biotechnology progress* 24 (4) (2008) 815–820.
54
55

- 1
2
3
4
5 [48] Sigma Aldrich, Gc analysis of omega 3 fatty acids in fish oil capsules and
6 farm raised salmon.
7
8 URL [http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technical-documents/articles/](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technical-documents/articles/analytical/food-beverage/omega3-il60-gc.html)
9 [analytical/food-beverage/omega3-il60-gc.html](http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technical-documents/articles/analytical/food-beverage/omega3-il60-gc.html)
10
11
12
13 [49] F. Ma, M. A. Hanna, Biodiesel production: a review, *Bioresource technol-*
14 *ogy* 70 (1) (1999) 1–15.
15
16
17
18 [50] BS EN 14214:2008+A1:2009 Automotive Fuels: Fatty acid methyl esters
19 for diesel engines: Requirements and test methods (2009).
20
21
22
23 [51] D. Mozaffarian, J. H. Wu, (n-3) fatty acids and cardiovascular health: are
24 effects of EPA and DHA shared or complementary?, *The Journal of nutri-*
25 *tion* 142 (3) (2012) 614S–625S.
26
27
28
29
30 [52] N. D. Riediger, R. A. Othman, M. Suh, M. H. Moghadasian, A systemic
31 review of the roles of n-3 fatty acids in health and disease, *Journal of the*
32 *American Dietetic Association* 109 (4) (2009) 668–679.
33
34
35
36
37 [53] J. L. Harwood, I. A. Guschina, The versatility of algae and their lipid
38 metabolism, *Biochimie* 91 (6) (2009) 679–684.
39
40
41
42 [54] Z.-H. Yang, H. Miyahara, A. Hatanaka, Chronic administration of palmi-
43 toleic acid reduces insulin resistance and hepatic lipid accumulation in KK-
44 A y mice with genetic type 2 diabetes, *Lipids Health Dis* 10 (8) (2011) 120.
45
46
47
48
49 [55] J. E. Kinsella, B. Lokesh, R. A. Stone, Dietary n-3 polyunsaturated fatty
50 acids and amelioration of cardiovascular disease: possible mechanisms., *The*
51 *American journal of clinical nutrition* 52 (1) (1990) 1–28.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

- 1
2
3
4
5 [56] H. Tapiero, G. N. Ba, P. Couvreur, K. Tew, Polyunsaturated fatty acids
6 (PUFA) and eicosanoids in human health and pathologies, *Biomedicine &*
7 *Pharmacotherapy* 56 (5) (2002) 215–222.
8
9
10
11 [57] A. Nicolaou, Eicosanoids in skin inflammation, *Prostaglandins,*
12 *Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids (PLEFA)* 88 (1) (2013) 131–
13 138.
14
15
16
17 [58] J. W. S. Haynes, F. B. Nagle, N. R. Ruffing, Styrene polymers containing
18 behenic acid as a lubricant, US Patent 3,355,404 (Nov. 28 1967).
19
20
21
22 [59] R. Rizvi, C. Patel, Stable conditioning shampoo containing fatty acid, US
23 Patent 5,248,445 (Sep. 28 1993).
24
25
26
27 [60] Ngtech, Methanol pricing.
28
29 URL <http://emsh-ngtech.com/methanol/methanol-pricing/>
30
31 Accessed on 30/05/2016
32
33
34 [61] Biofuels digest. liquid CO₂, or liquid gold? maybe both,
35
36 as aemetis adds CO₂ liquefaction at its keyes, CA plant
37
38 [http://www.biofuelsdigest.com/bdigest/2014/10/27/liquid-co2-or-liquid-](http://www.biofuelsdigest.com/bdigest/2014/10/27/liquid-co2-or-liquid-gold-maybe-both-as-aemetis-adds-co2-liquefaction-at-its-keyes-ca-plant/)
39
40 [gold-maybe-both-as-aemetis-adds-co2-liquefaction-at-its-keyes-ca-plant/](http://www.biofuelsdigest.com/bdigest/2014/10/27/liquid-co2-or-liquid-gold-maybe-both-as-aemetis-adds-co2-liquefaction-at-its-keyes-ca-plant/)
41
42 (accessed on 30/05/2016).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure

Oleic acid

$C_{18}H_{34}O_2$







Figure
Linoleic acid
 $C_{18}H_{32}O_2$



35°C, 5.9MPa



35°C, 7.6MPa



55°C, 7.6MPa




Figure FID1 A, Front Signal (C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\SIG1000007.D)




Figure FID1 A, Front Signal (C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\20130501\SIG1000002.D)





Figure FID1 A, Front Signal (C:\CHEM32\1\DATA\20130501\SIG1000004.D)



Species	SCF	Temp. (°C)	Pressure (MPa)	Reference
<i>Botryococcus braunii</i>	CO ₂	40	30	Mendes et al. [41]
<i>Chlorococcum</i> sp.	CO ₂	60	31	Halim et al. [40]
<i>Scenedesmus dimorphus</i>	CO ₂	100	41	Soh and Zimmerman [2]
<i>Nannochloropsis</i> sp.	Methanol	260	8.3	Patil et al. [1]
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	Water	250	NA	Levine et al. [42]

	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (MPa)	Solvent	Solvent:CO ₂ volume ratio	Transesterification	Centrifugation
1	37	5.9	Hexane	1:3	Yes	Yes
2	37	7.6	Hexane	1:12.5	Yes	Yes
3	37	5.9	Methanol	1:2.5	No	Yes
4	37	7.6	Methanol	1:20	No	Yes
5	55	5.9	Methanol	1:2	No	Yes
6	55	7.6	Methanol	1:10	Yes	

Variable	p-value	F	F crit
Methanol pressure	0.36	1.14	10.13
Methanol temperature	0.40	0.97	10.13
Hexane	5.59×10^{-2}	7.12	7.71