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This letter proposes a low-cost solution for antennas integrated into solar cells, allowing their implementation in solar tracking installations. The proposed passive device autonomously adjusts the phase shift of a two-element array proportional to the panel rotation. Consequently, the main beam is steered to compensate for panel rotation and maintain a radio link. The proposed device operates for a rotation of ±45° with an amplitude variation of ±0.4 dB at 2.51 GHz.

Introduction: Significant reductions in the operating costs of remotely located wireless devices have resulted in increased use of photovoltaics in radio systems [1]. The integration of antennas with photovoltaics is thus attractive, with many designs reported in the literature, e.g. [2–4]. These solutions are however designed only for fixed solar panels, i.e. ones where the solar illumination angle cannot be optimized. Implementing solar tracking ensures that the solar incidence angle is within the cell acceptance angle for most of the day thus maximising solar energy generation. However this solar cell movement would degrade the telecommunication link, as the main beam would also track the sun. A classical beamsteering solution could be implemented, however the electronically-controlled phase shifters and control unit would significantly increase the installation cost.

This letter proposes a passive phase shifter, which allows autonomous phase offset adjustment to compensate for the cell movement. This is achieved by adjusting the signal path lengths during solar tracking, when a motor (or other device) rotates the panel. The solution was successfully tested with two printed Inverted-F antennas integrated with a solar cell as described in [4]. The device allows adjustment in either elevation or azimuth; a 2 × 2 array with a set of cascaded phase shifters allows 2D steering. The device can be used to stabilize the beam for any rotating or rolling platform, such as airborne nodes or maritime platforms (e.g. buoy or small vessel). The device is intended as a low-cost solution, hence the use of standard FR-4 materials and off-the-shelf solar cells.

Design: The phase shifter is built using two PCBs, comprising a fixed part (A) and a rotating part (B) as shown in Fig. 1a. When pressed together, the two boards form a stripe, i.e. the fixed part (A) forming the lower half of the stripe and the rotating part (B) forms the upper half. The manufacturing allows rotation between the two parts along an axis (shown as a black dot-dashed line), with the angle of rotation denoted as γ. The fixed part (A) is firmly mounted to the installation base, while the rotating part (B) is mounted directly on the movable section of the solar cell. While the solar cell rotates to track the sun, the phase shifter autonomously adjusts the phase shift Δϕ, thus rotating the beam direction to compensate for the cell movement and maintaining the communication link.

Each PCB is 1.5 mm thick, with ϵr = 4.3 and tanδ = 0.025. The circular parts, seen in Fig. 1a, are of radius R1 = 20 mm. The rectangular extension in part (A) protruding by 22 mm and is 20 mm wide. The two extensions in part (B) are protruding by 10 mm and are also 20 mm wide. When the shifter is in the γ = 0° position its footprint can be enclosed within a 68 × 44 mm rectangle.

Port 1 of the shifter (SMA connector) is located on the fixed part and is connected to a 50 Ω stripe line (1.4 mm wide). A simple T-junction is used to split the signal, with a π/4 transformer to ensure good matching. The two output branches are shaped as a 140° arc of radius R2 = 12 mm. On the rotating part (B) a corresponding arc is milled, which is 300° and also of radius R2. With the two parts pressed together, an electrical contact between the two arcs is preserved, maintaining the continuity of the stripe. A change of angle γ will lengthen one branch and shorten the other, effectively yielding a phase shift Δϕ that is:

\[ Δϕ = \frac{2πR1}{λ} \frac{γ}{2} \]  

(1)

where λ is the effective wavelength in the stripe.

This phase shift is used to drive two printed inverted-F antennas (seen in Fig. 1b), integrated with the solar cell as described in [4]. The antennas have a centre frequency at 2.513 GHz (S11 not shown for brevity) and are separated by 77 mm, which is determined by the cell’s bus bar spacing. Each antenna is milled on a 0.4 mm thick FR-4 substrate with dimensions of: H = 6.4 mm, L = 25.3 mm, S = 3 mm and W = 1 mm. The solar cell doubles as antenna’s ground plane and has dimensions of 156 × 156 mm.

Results: The phase shifter and antennas were prototyped and measured. Fig. 2 demonstrates the measured reflection and transmission coefficients of the phase shifter for different values of γ. The ~10 dB bandwidth for the worst case of γ = 45° spans from 2.49 GHz to 2.87 GHz (14%), i.e. much broader than the bandwidth of the antenna used for testing [4]. The transmission at 2.512 GHz varies between -4.7 / -4.9 dB for γ = 0° to -5.2 / -5.5 dB for γ = 45°. The relatively high losses are due to the use of low-cost FR-4 material and can be improved if more RF-suitable material is used. More importantly, the rotation from γ = 0° to γ = 45° introduced only 0.5 dB of additional losses, which proves the usefulness of the proposed system. Fig. 3 demonstrates both measured and simulated phase and amplitude variations between S11 and S21 as a function of γ. It can be seen that the performance has a linear phase with good agreement between simulation and measurement. The amplitude variation between the two antenna ports is for all investigated cases <0.4 dB. This is considered a good result for a proof-of-concept prototype. The variation
is due to manufacturing tolerances and it is expected it can be further decreased with more advanced manufacturing procedures.

Fig. 2 S-parameters of the phase shifter for various rotations of $\gamma$: a) $\gamma = 0^\circ$, b) $\gamma = 15^\circ$, c) $\gamma = 30^\circ$, d) $\gamma = 45^\circ$.

The array realized gain patterns with the proposed shifter were measured up to $\gamma = \pm 45^\circ$, which allows steering over a 90° span. It should be noted, that for greater angles the dependency between beam direction and phase shift becomes less linear, due to both array factor and radiation pattern of each element. Fig. 4 demonstrates the realized gain patterns for the whole system, i.e. incorporating all the mismatch and ohmic losses. The coordinate system is aligned with antennas. It can be seen, that the beam is tilted as expected, with the tilt angle precisely compensating for the rotation within $\pm 45^\circ$. This allows steering over a 90° span. It should be noted, that for greater angles the dependency between beam direction and phase shift becomes less linear, due to both array factor and radiation pattern of each element. The measured gain varies between 3 dBi ($\gamma = 45^\circ$) and 2 dBi ($\gamma = 0^\circ$). This is due to the very wide beam of PIFA antennas and losses in the FR4 material. With increased rotation angle $\gamma$, the sidelobes also increase reaching 0.3 dBi at $-65^\circ$ for $\gamma = -45^\circ$ and 0.1 dB at $+55^\circ$ for $\gamma = +45^\circ$. This is due to the antenna spacing being slightly greater than $\lambda/2$. This distance was imposed by the distance between the DC collecting bus bars in an off-the-shelf cell. For a custom-designed solar cell this performance can be easily optimized.

Fig. 3 Phase and amplitude differences between $S_{21}$ and $S_{11}$ of the phase shifter at 2.51 GHz.
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