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A Printed Triangular Monopole with Wideband Circular Polarization

A. Panahi, X. L. Bao, G. Ruvio and M. J. Ammann

Abstract—A printed triangular monopole antenna with wideband circular polarization is presented. The wideband circular polarization is achieved by asymmetrical excitation of a triangular ground plane and planar triangular monopole. The combined radiation provides a wide axial-ratio bandwidth spanning from 1.42 to 2.7 GHz (62%). A parametric study of key geometric parameters is given for clear understanding of the radiation mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION

The extensive research activity into wideband and ultra wideband printed linearly-polarized (LP) antennas has recently declined, but is now beginning to focus on circularly-polarized (CP) printed monopole antennas for applications in wireless and satellite communications, radio frequency identification (RFID) and global navigation satellite systems. CP offers advantages over LP such as immunity to Faraday rotation, resilience to polarization mismatch and mitigated multipath losses. In the literature various methods are employed to design CP monopole antennas with both narrow and wide CP bandwidths.

In [1] the antenna arm and ground plane slot are used to generate dual-band CP realizing 3 dB axial ratio (AR) bandwidths of 6% and 23% at the lower and upper bands, respectively. An asymmetric monopole is introduced in [2] to generate elliptical polarization (EP). A slit is embedded in the ground plane to provide a 90° phase difference transforming EP into CP with an AR bandwidth of 31.6% from 3.2 to 4.4 GHz. Inserting orthogonal slots in a circular planar monopole was used to create orthogonal components where the element lengths were optimized for CP for both GPS and WLAN bands [3] with AR bandwidths of 33.1% from 1.5 to 2.095 GHz and 11.83% from 4.711 to 5.335 GHz. In [4] a narrow slit inserted in the ground plane and an asymmetrical dipole-like arm is proposed. By adjusting the arm asymmetry and the length of the ground plane slit, an AR of 38.4% from 1.81 to 2.67 GHz is accomplished. In [5] CP is achieved by an asymmetrical truncation of the monopole ground plane, where the AR bandwidth depends on the degree of the ground plane truncation. It yields an AR bandwidth of 36.5% from 5.91 to 8.55 GHz. In [6] a rectangular printed monopole is fed asymmetrically so that the two orthogonal sides of the rectangle produce CP where the modified ground plane helps to achieve a wide AR bandwidth of 60% from 1.42 to 2.65 GHz. A monopole antenna with two equal arms is reported in [7] where the ground plane structure produces the 90° phase delay between the two arms. The AR bandwidth is 16% from 1.38 to 1.64 GHz. In [8] coupled inverted L and U shaped strips combined with a slotted ground plane are used for generating CP with an AR bandwidth about 60% from 2 to 3.8 GHz. In [9] a slit in the ground plane and an added stub disturb the ground plane current, forming one of the two required orthogonal components along with the monopole for the CP performance giving an AR bandwidth reaching 44.9% from 4.58 to 7.23 GHz. A moon-shaped radiator is used in [10] to achieve a wideband CP performance with AR<3 dB from 2.28 to 3.76 GHz. A monopole antenna comprising an annular-ring connected to a square loop as a radiator and a slotted ground plane is reported in [11] to have a dual-band CP with AR bandwidth of 12.5% from 3.3 to 3.74 GHz and 3.8% from 5.64 to 5.86 GHz.

Most of the CP monopole antennas in the literature have relatively complex geometries with ground plane slots and stubs employed in the CP generation. In contrast, a simpler structure is proposed which achieves an AR bandwidth of 62% (1.42 GHz to 2.7 GHz) which is wider than [1-11]. The antenna has no slits or slots in the ground plane, thus mitigating the constraints of populating the ground plane with components or modules.

An asymmetrically excited right-angle isosceles triangular ground plane and a right-angle isosceles monopole are employed to generate CP over a wide bandwidth. The ground plane hypotenuse is chosen to be approx. λ/2 at the lowest CP frequency. Compared to the proposed triangular ground plane, an asymmetrically-fed rectangular layout with the same side dimension would have twice the area, yielding a squinted (off-broadside) radiation pattern with reduced beamwidth and narrower AR bandwidth. Furthermore the triangular fed element improves the stability of the radiation pattern with change of frequency.

A parametric study was conducted to provide better understanding of the relationship between the antenna dimensions and the AR bandwidth. The sensitivity of the AR to the ground plane size, the radiating triangular arm size, the monopole feed position on the ground plane and the gap between the ground plane and the antenna arm are investigated. As the ground plane is a critical part of a CP monopole radiating system which is often taken for granted in the literature, the mechanism for generating CP from a triangular ground plane is described in section II. For simplicity a simple monopole strip is initially considered.

II. CP MECHANISM OF STRIP MONOPOLE ON A TRIANGULAR GROUND PLANE

The geometry and dimensions of the strip monopole antenna are shown in Fig. 1. It is fed by a 50 Ω microstrip line and printed on double-sided FR-4 with εr = 4.3, tan δ = 0.025 and a thickness of 1.52 mm. The ground plane is a right-
angled isosceles triangular-shape with $L_1 = L_2 = 79.2$ mm and $L_3 = 112$ mm and the monopole strip width is 3 mm.

By asymmetrically feeding the strip with respect to the ground plane hypotenuse, two orthogonal electric field vectors are excited with equal amplitude and their phase-time difference depends on the degree of the asymmetry. The ground plane hypotenuse is chosen to be approx. $\lambda_0/2$ at the lowest CP frequency, which is 1.35 GHz. The phase difference between the two vectors depends on the monopole feed position on the hypotenuse. When the arm is located in the center of the hypotenuse, the induced currents on each side of the hypotenuse are oppositely directed and in-phase, therefore they cancel, resulting in linearly-polarized radiation from the strip monopole arm. When the antenna arm is moved away from the center, the currents flowing on each side of the hypotenuse are unequal and phase-shifted, realizing elliptical or circular polarization. The phase difference for CP is tuned when the arm is moved away by a distance of $\lambda_0/8$ from the hypotenuse center in either direction. The direction that the arm is moved determines the sense of CP i.e. right-hand CP (RHCP) or left-hand CP (LHCP) in the $+Z$ direction.

Fig. 2 demonstrates the AR dependence on the monopole location on the hypotenuse where $D$ is the distance from the hypotenuse center point C. Furthermore, by changing the ground plane size with the arm location fixed, the AR band can be tuned up and down by decreasing and increasing the ground plane size as shown in Fig. 3. The length of the strip $A_1$ is chosen so that the antenna is matched at the center frequency of 1.7 GHz. In general the length of the monopole depends on the ground plane size and the monopole feed location on the ground plane. By moving the arm away from the hypotenuse center to tune the phase difference for CP, the antenna resonant frequency shifts upwards. The $S_{11}$ dependence on the monopole feed location on the hypotenuse is shown in Fig. 2. To maintain the resonance at 1.7 GHz, the strip length $A_1$ is increased. Small variations in the monopole length have little effect on the AR bandwidth.

The surface current distribution is shown in Fig. 4. The surface current vectors are shown at 1.575 GHz as the phase changes from $0^\circ$ to $270^\circ$. The dominant radiating currents are in the $-X$, $-Y$, $+X$ and $+Y$ directions for the $0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$ and $270^\circ$ phases, respectively, and generate RHCP in the $+Z$ direction. CP is generated by the currents on the monopole arm and the ground plane hypotenuse.

Fig. 1. Strip monopole geometry, $A_1=36.5$ mm, $L_1=79.2$ mm, $L_3=112$ mm and $H=54.35$ mm

Fig. 2. AR and $S_{11}$ dependence on the monopole feed position on the hypotenuse $L_3$, $D$ is the distance from center point C

Fig. 3. AR dependence on the ground plane size

Fig. 4. Surface current at 1.575 GHz for (a) $0^\circ$, (b) $90^\circ$, (c) $180^\circ$ and (d) $270^\circ$
III. DESIGN OF THE TRIANGULAR MONOPOLE ANTENNA

The strip monopole has a narrow $S_{11}$ bandwidth which does not fully cover the CP bandwidth. To further enhance the impedance and the AR bandwidth, the monopole strip is replaced by a right-angled isosceles triangle shown in Fig. 5, keeping the same ground plane size. This introduces an additional CP mode due to the extra edges of the triangle. The triangle size is optimized to achieve CP in the desired frequency range. By increasing or decreasing the triangle size, the additional CP band can be tuned up or downwards in frequency. The size is chosen so that the first and second CP bands overlap to provide a continuous band covering 1.42 GHz to 2.52 GHz. By increasing the ground plane size or reducing the monopole hypotenuse size or both, the CP bandwidth will split into two bands. Fig. 6 exhibits the AR bandwidth dependence on the triangular monopole size.

As with all planar monopoles, the gap $g$, between the ground plane and the monopole is a key parameter, affecting mainly the $S_{11}$ as shown in Fig. 7. The AR bandwidth is also influenced by the gap as shown in Fig. 8. The AR is more sensitive to the feed gap at the higher frequencies due to coupling effects between the monopole and the ground plane hypotenuse.

![Proposed antenna geometry with $S_1=S_3=35.56$ mm, $S_2=50.3$ mm, $S_4=22$ mm and $g=4.5$ mm](image)

Fig. 5. Proposed antenna geometry with $S_1=S_3=35.56$ mm, $S_2=50.3$ mm, $S_4=22$ mm and $g=4.5$ mm

![AR bandwidth dependence on the monopole triangle size](image)

Fig. 6. AR bandwidth dependence on the monopole triangle size

![S11 dependence on gap variation for $g=8.5$, 4.5 and 0.5 mm](image)

Fig. 7. $S_{11}$ dependence on gap variation for $g=8.5$, 4.5 and 0.5 mm

![AR dependence on gap variation for $g=8.5$, 4.5 and 0.5 mm](image)

Fig. 8. AR dependence on gap variation for $g=8.5$, 4.5 and 0.5 mm

The surface current distribution at 2.45 GHz as the phase changes from $0^\circ$ to $270^\circ$ is shown in Fig. 9. The dominant radiating currents are in the $-X$, $-Y$, $+X$ and $+Y$ directions for the $0^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $180^\circ$ and $270^\circ$ phases, respectively, and generate RHCP in the $+Z$ direction. CP is generated by the currents on two sides of the triangular monopole and the ground plane hypotenuse.

![Surface current distribution at 2.45 GHz for (a) $0^\circ$, (b) $90^\circ$, (c) $180^\circ$ and (d) $270^\circ$](image)

Fig. 9. Surface current distribution at 2.45 GHz for (a) $0^\circ$, (b) $90^\circ$, (c) $180^\circ$ and (d) $270^\circ$. 
IV. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED ANTENNA (ANTENNA 2)

A comparison of the measured and simulated $S_{11}$ and AR are shown in Fig. 10. The measured $S_{11}$ fractional bandwidth is 60% with respect to the center frequency of 2.0 GHz, covering a frequency range from 1.4 to 2.6 GHz. The measured and simulated AR bandwidth were found to be approximately 62.5% (1.42-2.7 GHz) and 56% (1.42-2.52 GHz) respectively. The small discrepancies are attributed to fabrication tolerances and chamber mounting arrangements. The normalized simulated and the measured radiation patterns are shown in Figs. 11 and 12. There is a good agreement between the simulated and measured patterns in the XZ and YZ planes. The peak realized gain was 1.7 dBiC and 2.22 dBiC and the total efficiency was 90% and 85% at 1.575 and 2.45 GHz, respectively. The efficiency varies across the 3 dB AR bandwidth from 92% to 74%. The patterns illustrate a broad 3-dB XZ plane beamwidth of 90° and 80° at 1.575 GHz and 2.45 GHz, respectively, which is stable across the band.

Fig. 10. Simulated and measured $S_{11}$ and AR

Fig. 11. Normalized measured and simulated radiation patterns in the XZ (a) and YZ (b) planes for 1.575 GHz

Fig. 12. Normalized measured and simulated radiation patterns in the XZ (a) and YZ (b) planes for 2.45 GHz

V. CONCLUSION

A simple low-cost, CP planar monopole antenna is reported. The combination of a triangular ground plane and asymmetrically-fed triangular element provides two overlapping CP modes which realize a large AR bandwidth. The triangular ground plane mitigates squint in the radiation pattern due to the feed asymmetry and contributes to maintaining a wide beamwidth across the wideband well-matched AR bandwidth, which is 62% from 1.42 to 2.7 GHz.
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