

2012

## Extending the Shelf-Life of Tomato Using By-Product from Cheese Industry.

Lubna Ahmed

*Technological University Dublin, lubna.ahmed@tudublin.ie*

Ana Belen Martin-Diana

*Technological University Dublin, anabelen.martindiana@tudublin.ie*

Daniel Rico

*Technological University Dublin, daniel.rico@tudublin.ie*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>



Part of the [Microbiology Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Ahmed, L., Martin-Diana, A.B., Rico, D., and Barry-Ryan, C., 2012. Extending the shelf –life of tomato using by-product from cheese industry. *The Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 36, 141–151. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4549.2011.00562.x

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie](mailto:aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie), [vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie](mailto:vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie).

---

**Authors**

Lubna Ahmed, Ana Belen Martin-Diana, Daniel Rico, and Catherine Barry-Ryan

1 **Full Title**

2  
3 **EXTENDING THE SHELF-LIFE OF FRESH-CUT TOMATO USING**  
4 **BY-PRODUCT FROM CHEESE INDUSTRY**

5  
6 **Running Title**

7 Whey permeate on Quality of Fresh-cut tomato

8  
9  
10 **Names(s) Authors(s)**

11 **L. AHMED<sup>1\*</sup>, A. B. MARTIN-DIANA<sup>2</sup>, D. RICO<sup>2</sup> AND C. BARRY-RYAN<sup>1</sup>,**

12  
13  
14 **Author (s) Affiliation**

15 <sup>1</sup>School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology (DIT),  
16 Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland.

17 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Agriculture Technology of Castilla y León (ITACyL), Ctra. Burgos,  
18 Km 119, 47071 Valladolid, Spain

19  
20  
21 **\*Corresponding Author:** Lubna Ahmed, School of Food Science and Environmental Health,  
22 Dublin Institute of Technology (DIT), Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland. Phone number:  
23 +35314024442, Fax number: +35314024495, e-mail: [lahmed@dit.ie](mailto:lahmed@dit.ie)

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## ABSTRACT

The effects of three whey permeates were investigated as potential natural washing treatment for fresh-cut tomato and compared with chlorine (120 ppm). Whey permeate treated samples resulted in equivalent or better than chlorine for all these attributes. Whey permeates were equally effective as chlorine to control the micro-organisms of fresh-cut tomato during storage. The microbial counts at day 10 were significantly reduced (~1.0 log CFU/g) in all the treated samples compared to the control (water treated) samples. Moreover whey permeate treated fresh-cut tomatoes showed lower water activity (2 %) and POD activities (21%) than chlorinated samples after 10 days of storage. Whey permeate also inhibited the loss of firmness of treated tomato slices. Sensory scores for aroma were significantly higher in whey permeate treated samples than chlorine treated samples. Among the three types of whey permeate, delactosed permeate (DP) showed the best results in maintaining the quality of fresh-cut tomato.

## PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The market sales of ready-to-eat fresh vegetables have grown rapidly in recent decades as a result of changes in consumer attitudes. The marketing of fresh-cut vegetables is limited by their short shelf-life due to the quick decline in post-processing quality. Many attempts have been made to increase the shelf life of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables and many attempts have been made to increase the use of whey permeate, a valuable by-product of cheese processing industry. This paper takes an interesting approach by attempting to use whey permeate as a preserving agent of fresh-cut tomato quality during storage.

**KEY WORDS:** Whey permeate; Fresh-cut; Tomato; Shelf-life; Quality; Preservation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Continued growth in the ready-to-eat vegetable industry has been largely driven by increasing demand for convenient, fresh and healthy foods. Increasing the quality retention and shelf-life of these products during storage is an important demand of the industry and consumers (Rico *et al.* 2007). Chlorinated water (50–200 ppm) is widely used to wash fruits and vegetables as well as fresh-cut produce in order to preserve their quality. However, the possible formation of carcinogenic chlorinated compounds in water (chloramines and trihalomethanes) has called into question the use of chlorine for this purpose (Alegria *et al.* 2010). As soon as a suitable alternative to chlorine is available to industry, chlorine will be banned throughout the world. In several European countries including Germany, Netherlands, Switzerland and Belgium the use of chlorine in fresh-cut fruits and vegetables is already prohibited. Future regulatory restrictions on the use of chlorine for washing of ‘ready-to-eat’ vegetables are likely and will require the development of safe alternatives (Ölmez and Kretzschmar 2009). Therefore anything that is as cheap as chlorine and performs close to or equal to chlorine is desired by industry. In recent years interest is growing in the use of natural products for the preservation of fresh-cut produce. Research and commercial applications have shown that natural components could replace traditional washing agents (Rojas-Graü *et al.* 2009). The development of chlorine-free fruit and vegetable products enriched with natural bio-products could contribute greatly to a new and growing market, where the consumer’s concerns about their health are met.

Whey permeate is a by-product of the production of whey protein concentrates from cheese whey. The main composition of whey permeate are water, lactose, low molecular peptides and minerals. Whey is used as a fermentation feedstock for the production of lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, ethanol, and single cell protein, etc (Panesar *et al.* 2007). However, these

70 applications still do not utilize all the whey produced and new uses for this by-product are  
71 needed. Whey and whey ultrafiltrated permeate have been proposed to be used as a natural  
72 antioxidant in foods (Contreras *et al.* 2011). Whey proteins and peptides contain all the essential  
73 amino acids (Yalcin 2006). Lactoferrin,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin are a source of  
74 antimicrobial proteins. Casein macro peptide (CMP),  $\alpha_1$ - and  $\alpha_2$ - caseins are further examples of  
75 whey antimicrobial peptides (Rizzello *et al.* 2005). Martin-Diana *et al.* (2006) used acidic whey  
76 permeate for washing fresh-cut lettuce and carrots during storage. Whey protein has been found  
77 to reduce the enzymatic browning of ‘Golden Delicious’ apples (Perez-Gago *et al.* 2006).  
78 Coronado *et al.* (2002) successfully used rosemary extract and whey powder for the oxidative  
79 stability of wiener sausages during 10 months frozen storage.

80 Tomato is one of the most widely used and versatile vegetable crops. It is consumed fresh and  
81 also used to manufacture a wide range of processed products. The consumption of tomatoes is  
82 currently considered as a nutritional indicator of good dietary habit and healthy life style. This  
83 fruit has undoubtedly assumed the status of a food with functional properties, considering the  
84 overwhelming epidemiological evidence for its capacity to reduce the risk of chronic diseases  
85 such as cardiovascular disease and cancer (Sgherri *et al.* 2008). This protective action is typically  
86 attributed to antioxidant components like lycopene and other carotenoids (pro-vitamin A, beta-  
87 carotene), ascorbic acid, vitamin E and flavonoids (Odrizola-Serrano *et al.* 2008).  
88 Therefore the aim of this study was to examine the effect of whey permeate treatment on the  
89 quality retention of fresh-cut tomatoes during storage as an alternative to chlorine.

## 90 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 91 **2.1. Sampling and Treatment Design**

92 Irish vine ripened tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L. Mill.) cv. Moneymaker were purchased  
93 from a local supermarket (Dunnes Stores). According to the grower, the tomato plants were  
94 grown in a greenhouse with a 14 h light period from February until November. The atmosphere  
95 of the greenhouse as well as crop irrigation and nutrition were precisely controlled. The  
96 temperature of the greenhouse was 16–21 °C which is optimum for lycopene synthesis in tomato  
97 fruit. The tomatoes were transported to the food processing lab and stored at 4 °C before  
98 processing. An isolated and cleaned minimal-processing room was used for tomato processing.  
99 The experiments were carried out between March and November, 2009. Three independent trials  
100 were carried out. Each experiment was conducted with 180 fresh-cut tomato packages {4 test  
101 days (1, 4, 7 and 10) × 5 treatments × 3 replications × 3 individual batches}.

## 102 **2.2. Preparation of Treatment Solution**

103 Three different types of whey permeate (liquid) were kindly supplied by Glanbia Ltd.  
104 Ingredients, Ireland. The permeate concentrate (PC) was pre-concentrated by evaporation before  
105 the lactose crystallisation process. Delactosed permeate (DP) was obtained after removal of  
106 lactose crystals. The delactosed permeate (DP) was then concentrated further by evaporation to  
107 give delactosed concentrate (DC). The main components of the three whey permeates were given  
108 in Table 1.

109 Five washing treatments were conducted in parallel, using the same batch of product. The  
110 samples were sanitised with water, chlorinated water (120 ppm) or whey permeates (PC, DP and  
111 DC) at 3 % concentration (Ahmed *et al.* 2011). Chlorinated water was prepared by adding  
112 sodium hypochlorite solution ( $\geq 5$  % active chlorine, Aldrich Chemical Co., Dublin, Ireland) to  
113 distilled water to obtain a final solution containing ~120 ppm free chlorine (pH 8.0) (Delaquis *et*  
114 *al.* 2004). All treatments were prepared using distilled water.

### 115 **2.3. Processing and Experimental Setup**

116 Whole tomatoes were rinsed briefly (1 min) in water prior to washing to remove soil  
117 contamination. Washing treatments were performed by immersion of the tomatoes in each  
118 treatment solution for 1 min (with agitation). Each treatment was carried out in different baskets  
119 (200 g tomatoes/ L). After washing, the tomatoes were dried for 5 min using a salad spinner.  
120 Then they were sliced in 6 mm thick transversal slices with a commercial slicing machine  
121 (Maxwell chase MCT-25, Baltimore Innovations, UK). Processed tomatoes were then pooled,  
122 mixed and ~100 grams placed in a polypropylene tray (180 mm length × 130 mm width × 25 mm  
123 depth) from Sharp Interpack Ltd., UK containing one layer of absorbent paper on the bottom  
124 (Fresh-R-Pax absorbent pads, Maxwell Chase Technologies, Atlanta). The principal ingredient in  
125 fresh-R-Pax absorbent pads is food grade sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), a common  
126 ingredient in ice-cream, sauces, low-fat foods, etc. The trays were then packaged in bags (200 ×  
127 320 mm) of 35 µm oriented polypropylene film (OPP) with permeability at 23 °C and 90 % RH  
128 of  $3.3 \times 10^{-12}$  mol/s/m<sup>2</sup>/Pa for O<sub>2</sub> and  $3.1 \times 10^{-9}$  mol/s/m<sup>2</sup>/Pa for CO<sub>2</sub> (Amcor Flexibles Europe-  
129 Brighthouse, United Kingdom). The packages were then heat-sealed under atmospheric conditions  
130 and stored at 4 °C for 10 days (Ahmed *et al.* 2011).

### 131 **2.4. Markers Analysis**

132 Different physico-chemical (headspace gas composition, pH, moisture content, water activity),  
133 instrumental analysis (color, texture, sensory), enzymatic activity (browning related enzyme –  
134 peroxidase and texture related enzyme – pectin methyl esterase), and microbiological  
135 (mesophilic, psychotropic, lactic acid bacteria, yeast and moulds) markers were monitored  
136 throughout the storage (10 days) of fresh-cut packaged tomatoes stored at 4 °C.

#### 137 **2.4.1. Physico-chemical Markers**



138 *Headspace Gas Composition*

139 Changes in O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of the headspaces of the fresh-cut tomatoes packages were  
140 monitored during the shelf life of the product. A Gaspace analyser (Systech Instruments, UK)  
141 was used to monitor levels of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Gas extractions were performed with a hypodermic  
142 needle, inserted through an adhesive septum previously fixed to the bags, at a flow rate of 150  
143 mL/min for 10 sec. Three bags per treatment were monitored for each experiment and all bags  
144 for other analyses were checked before analysis.

145 *pH*

146 Ten gram of tomato tissue was blended for 2 min. Then the pH was measured at room  
147 temperature using an Orion research pH-meter, UK.

148 *Moisture Content*

149 Moisture content was determined by AOAC method (1990) (Method 925.098). The tomato  
150 samples were dried at 105 °C overnight.

151 *Water Activity*

152 The water activity of the treated samples was measured with a fast water activity meter (GBX  
153 scientific FA-st/1, Cedex, France). One gram of tomato was placed in a small plastic cup onto  
154 the base of the air tight test chamber. The measuring head enclosed the sample and formed an  
155 airtight seal with the base. At least 15 samples were measured per treatment.

156 **2.4.2 Instrumental Analysis**

157 *Color*

158 For color analysis each piece of tomato in the storage pack was analyzed individually to  
159 minimize the variability of the product. Color was quantified using a Color Quest XE  
160 colorimeter (HunterLab, Northants, UK). A tomato slice was placed directly on the colorimeter

161 sensor (3.5 cm of diameter) and measured; 20 – 30 measurements were taken per treatment and  
162 day. Before measuring the instrument was calibrated using a white tile ( $L^* = 93.97$ ,  $a^* = 0.88$   
163 and  $b^* = 1.21$ ) and a black tile ( $L^* = 56.23$ ,  $a^* = 21.85$ ,  $b^* = 8.31$ ) standards. Hunter color  
164 readings were recorded. The  $L^*$  parameter (lightness index scale) range from 0 (black) to 100  
165 (white). The  $a^*$  parameter measures the degree of red ( $+a^*$ ) or green ( $-a^*$ ) color and the  $b^*$   
166 parameter measures the degree of yellow ( $+b^*$ ) or blue ( $-b^*$ ) color. The CIE  $L^*$   $a^*$   $b^*$   
167 parameters were converted to Hue ( $\arctan b^*/a^*$ ) and Chroma  $(a^{*2}+b^{*2})^{1/2}$ .

168 The translucency assessment was conducted visually on the outer pericarp of the tomato slices.  
169 The evaluation was done under uniform light conditions.

#### 170 *Texture*

171 Four measurements were made on each slice, two in the outer pericarp and two in the radial  
172 pericarp, applying the force in the axial direction. Care was taken to standardize the  
173 measurements in the radial pericarp at half radius and in the outer pericarp between two  
174 junctions of outer and radial pericarp. The force necessary to cause a deformation of 3mm with a  
175 speed of 0.02 mm/s was recorded using an Instron Texture Analyzer (Instron 4302 Universal  
176 Testing Machine, Canton MA, USA), with a 3.5 mm diameter flat faced cylindrical probe. Only  
177 the central slice in the stack was used in the analyses. The firmness measurement was performed  
178 immediately after removing the slice from the storage chamber (at storage temperature). Data  
179 were analysed with the Instron series IX software for Windows.

#### 180 *Sensory Analysis*

181 Sensory analysis was performed for tomato samples over 10 days of storage time by a panel with  
182 an age range of 25 – 40 years. Fresh appearance, colour, texture, aroma and general acceptability  
183 of samples were scored on a hedonic scale of 1 to 9, where a score of one indicated a product of

184 very poor quality, etc (Ferreira *et al.* 2008). The evaluation was carried out in the sensory  
185 evaluation laboratory. Products were coded using random numbers to avoid bias. Products were  
186 placed in plastic cups with lid, on a white surface and judges were isolated from each-other in a  
187 booth in an odour-free environment. The sensory analysis was monitored with Compusense Five  
188 software (Release 4.4, Ontario, Canada).

### 189 **2.4.3. Enzymatic Activity**

#### 190 *Browning-related Enzyme - Peroxidase (POD)*

191 POD enzyme was assayed in homogenates that were prepared as follows: 10 g of tomato puree  
192 was placed in a 100 mL beaker in a 1:2 (w:v) ratio with 0.5 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5,  
193 containing 50 g/L polyvinylpyrrolidone. Then homogenization was carried out twice with an  
194 Ultra-Turrax T-25 tissue homogenizer at 4 °C and 20,500 rpm, for 1 min each time with a break  
195 of 3 min between homogenizations to avoid excess heating of the sample. The homogenate was  
196 centrifuged at 12,720 g for 30 min at 4 °C. It was then filtered through Whatman no. 4 filter  
197 paper. The resulting crude extract was used without further purification. All the extracts were  
198 kept at 4 °C in the dark and used immediately (within 1 h). POD activity was assayed  
199 spectrophotometrically by a modified method based on (Martin-Diana *et al.* 2006). The reaction  
200 mixture contained 0.2 mL of extract and 2.7 mL of 0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, containing  
201 1.85 mL of hydrogen peroxide (1.5 %, v/v) as oxidant and 3.7 mL of p-phenyldiamine as  
202 hydrogen donor. The oxidation of p-phenyldiamine was monitored at 485 nm and 25 °C. A  
203 unit of enzyme activity was defined as an increase of 0.1 absorbance units per minute.

#### 204 *Texture-related Enzyme - Pectin Methyl Esterase (PME)*

205 PME activity was measured using the method described by Yoo *et al.* (2009). Ten grams of  
206 tomato puree was diluted in an extraction solution (0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5

207 containing 1 M sodium chloride and 10 mM dithiothreitol) and homogenized at 4 °C for 2 min at  
208 5,500 rpm. The macerate was incubated at 4 °C for 30 min with agitation and centrifuged at  
209 12,720 g for 30 min at 4 °C. It was then filtered through Whatman no. 4 filter paper. One  
210 milliliter of this extract was mixed with 40 mL of substrate solution (1 % pectin). The solution  
211 was adjusted to pH 7.0 with 1.0 M NaOH, and the pH of the solution was readjusted to pH 7.5  
212 with 0.05 M NaOH. After the pH reached 7.5; 0.2 mL of 0.05 N NaOH was added. The time  
213 required to return to pH 7.5 was recorded. Activity was quantified as carboxyl groups formed by  
214 the hydrolysis of methyl esters of pectin and was measured titrimetrically using a pH electrode  
215 to monitor the production of H<sup>+</sup> (Martin-Diana *et al.* 2005). The enzymatic activity can be  
216 described by the following equation:

$$217 \quad PME = \frac{0.2[mL]NaOH * 0.05[Mol \cdot L^{-1}]NaOH \cdot X[mL] \cdot 10^3[mMol \cdot Mol^{-1}] \cdot 10^3[L \cdot mL^{-1}]}{Y[mL] \cdot Z[g] \cdot time[min]} \quad (1)$$

218 Where, X = total volume (mL) extracted, Y = volume used (1 mL) in the assay, Z = sample used  
219 in the assay (10 g). Three macerates per treatment and day were prepared. Triplicates of the  
220 enzymatic activity were analyzed.

#### 221 **2.4.4. Microbiological Markers**

222 Microbiology analyses were carried out on the samples before and after the treatment at regular  
223 intervals through the storage period. 25 g of tomatoes were blended in 225 mL of peptone saline  
224 with a Stomacher circulator homogenizer. Enumeration and differentiation of mesophilic  
225 bacteria were quantified at 30 °C in plate count agar (PCA) over 72 hrs. Psychrotrophic bacteria  
226 were quantified on plate count agar (PCA) at 4 °C over 7 days. Enumeration of lactic acid  
227 bacteria was carried out using deMan Rogosa Sharpe Agar (MRS) at 35 °C over 48 hrs. Yeast  
228 and moulds were quantified at 25 °C in potato dextrose agar (PDA) over 72 hrs. The results were  
229 expressed as log<sub>10</sub> colony forming units per gram (CFU/g).

## 230 **2.5. Statistical Analysis**

231 Data were analyzed by multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) using Statgraphics  
232 software (version: centurium XV; Statistical Graphics Co., Rockville, USA) for different  
233 washing treatments. Analysis of variance one-way (ANOVA) was used to analyze each  
234 treatment over storage. In the case of significant differences the LSD range test ( $p < 0.05$ ) was  
235 used.

## 236 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 237 **3.1. Physico-chemical markers**

#### 238 *Headspace Gas Composition*

239 Headspace gas ( $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ ) composition within fresh-cut tomato packages significantly  
240 ( $p < 0.05$ ) changed over storage. Oxygen decreased from atmospheric levels (21 % - packaging  
241 conditions) to values around 19 % at day 1 and levels around 14 % by day 10. A sharp increase  
242 in carbon dioxide was observed in 24 hours (day 1), from 0 to 3 %, reaching values around 7 %  
243 at the end of storage (day 10). This increase in  $CO_2$  after cutting of fresh tomatoes has been  
244 previously described (Gil *et al.* 2002). Similar gas concentration levels at day 10, with good  
245 quality retention on fresh-cut tomatoes, were attained in previous work by Artés *et al.* (1999)  
246 using also passive MAP. The treatments did not show any significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) effect on  
247 headspace gas composition as the pattern of change was the same over time.

#### 248 *pH*

249 The pH values of the samples treated with chlorine were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than those  
250 of samples treated with whey permeate during all storage. The pH increased significantly  
251 ( $p < 0.05$ ) over storage in all the samples. This is in agreement with other authors (Artés *et al.*  
252 1999). At day 10 the lowest pH appeared in samples washed with DP followed by DC and PC,

253 although the difference among the whey permeate treated samples was not significant (Fig. 1C).  
254 The increase in pH during storage might be associated with bacterial growth (Rico *et al.* 2007).

#### 255 *Moisture Content*

256 All the samples in the present study maintained moisture content values around 94 %. This was  
257 in agreement with findings reported for tomatoes by Hernandez-Suarez *et al.* (2008). In fact,  
258 tomato is one of the highest water containing vegetables. Samples treated with whey permeates  
259 had significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) higher water content values than those treated with chlorine and water  
260 (Fig. 1D). The possible reason for the higher moisture content in the whey treated samples could  
261 be that whey might form a thin layer on the sample surface which could prevent water loss  
262 (Rojas-Graü *et al.* 2007). Samples treated with water showed the lowest water content at the end  
263 of the storage.

#### 264 *Water Activity*

265 Significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) lower water activity was observed in samples treated with whey permeate  
266 (no differences among the whey permeates) than those treated with chlorine or water (Fig. 2A).  
267 A significant increase was observed in all samples during storage. Water activity is considered  
268 an indirect indicator of growth of micro-organisms and most degradation reactions of a chemical,  
269 enzymatic and physical nature (Rico *et al.* 2007). In agreement to this, results obtained for POD  
270 activity and microbial counts showed a similar trend (section 3.3 and 3.4). The lower water  
271 activity obtained by the permeate treatments positively affected the textural properties of fresh-  
272 cut tomatoes during storage, according to data from sensorial and instrumental texture (section  
273 3.2).

### 274 **3.2. Instrumental analysis**

#### 275 *Color*

276 Fresh-cut tomatoes showed a significant decrease in luminosity during storage ( $p < 0.05$ ). This  
277 was in agreement the work of Lana *et al.* (2006) using video image analysis of fresh-cut tomato.  
278 Luminosity of the samples ( $L^*$ ) was not affected by treatment (Table 2), as well as  $a^*$  and  $b^*$   
279 parameters. However, the parameter  $a^*$  increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) during storage. This is  
280 an indicator for red color development and the degree of ripening in tomato (Lana *et al.* 2006).  
281 Hue has a negative correlation with the maturity of the tomato. As tomato matures during  
282 storage, Hue decreases. The decreasing trend of  $b^*$  values throughout the storage showed that the  
283 fresh-cut tomatoes did not have any chilling injury stored at 4 °C as it is the optimum storage  
284 temperature of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables (Silviera *et al.* 2010). The authors found that the  
285 temperature used during storage did not influence significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) the development of  
286 translucency, indicating that the water soaking of the pericarp tissue is not a result of chilling  
287 injury. The intensity of translucency depends on the maturity of the tomatoes.

#### 288 *Texture*

289 Texture (firmness) decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) during storage for all treatments (Fig. 2B).  
290 These instrumental results correlated with the sensory panel results (Fig. 3). All three whey  
291 permeates treatment maintained significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) better texture than chlorine or water  
292 treatment. The presence of calcium in whey permeates might helped to maintain the firmness of  
293 tomato during storage (Evans *et al.* 2010). Different calcium salts have been used for firmness  
294 improvement of fresh fruits and vegetables. Calcium carbonate and calcium citrate are the main  
295 calcium salts added to foods in order to enhance the nutritional value. Calcium chloride has been  
296 widely used as preservative and firming agent in the fruits and vegetables industry for whole and  
297 fresh-cut commodities (Chardonnet *et al.* 2003). Differences between the whey permeate  
298 treatments were also observed. Samples washed with DP maintained significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )

299 higher texture throughout the storage than DC and PC. There was no significant difference  
300 between PC and DC treatments.

### 301 *Sensory Analysis*

302 All the attributes evaluated (such as, texture, aroma, first impression, general acceptability)  
303 except for color (probably due to ripening) decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) during storage which  
304 is associated with a loss of quality (Fig. 3). However, the values at the end of the storage (10  
305 days) were still above the acceptability threshold of 5 for all the attributes scored. The non-  
306 hypoxic  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  concentration in the packages might have helped to maintain acceptable  
307 levels of colour and aroma (Aguayo *et al.* 2006). The treatments did not affect the visual changes  
308 and color parameter of the samples (data not shown). Significant differences were observed  
309 between treatments for aroma, texture and general acceptability scores. Lowest scores of texture  
310 and general acceptability were observed in water treated samples throughout the storage. In  
311 general, whey permeate treatments scored significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) or equivalent to chlorine  
312 treatments. Among the whey permeates the general acceptability of delactosed permeate (DP)  
313 was the highest, this was in agreement with most of the physico-chemical markers of fresh-cut  
314 tomatoes studied in the current research. The use of whey permeate for food preservation has  
315 been examined by Nykänen *et al.* (1998). These authors analyzed the effect of nisin-whey  
316 permeate washing solutions on total counts and sensory characteristics in rainbow trout. They  
317 found that nisin-whey treatment caused no negative effect on sensory attributes.

### 318 **3.3. Enzymatic activity**

#### 319 *Browning-related Enzyme- Peroxidase (POD)*

320 Fresh-cut tomato treated with whey permeate had lower ( $p < 0.05$ ) POD activity compared to  
321 chlorine and water treated samples (Fig. 2C). This lower POD activity might be associated with



322 the potential antioxidant activity of the whey permeate (Ahmed *et al.* 2011). A significant  
323 ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase in POD activity in all the treatments was observed during storage. The initial  
324 increase at day 3 might be due to mechanical stress during minimal processing (Cantos *et al.*  
325 2001). The depletion of antioxidants might attribute to the sharp increase of POD activity in  
326 whey treated samples at the end of storage (day 7 to 10). Differences in POD activity were not  
327 observed for different types of whey permeate. Perez-Gago *et al.* (2006) found that edible  
328 composite coatings prepared from whey protein concentrate (WPC) and beeswax (BW) with  
329 ascorbic acid or 0.5 % cystein (Cys) reduced the enzymatic browning of ‘Golden Delicious’  
330 apples.

#### 331 *Texture-related Enzyme- Pectin Methyl Esterase (PME)*

332 Water and chlorine-treated samples showed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower PME activity than those  
333 treated with whey permeate (Fig. 2D). Differences between treatments were observed as samples  
334 washed with DP had the highest PME activity of all the treatments followed by DC and PC.  
335 PME activity increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) during storage for all treatments, although  
336 showing fluctuations over storage, which might be due to a wounding response and/or to changes  
337 in the solubility of the enzyme during storage (Cantos *et al.* 2001). Such behaviour has been  
338 observed for certain browning related enzymes (Perez-Gago *et al.* 2006). Other authors have  
339 attributed this variability to intrinsic factors and to pre- and postharvest factors, which can affect  
340 enzyme activity, vitamin content, etc (Yoo *et al.* 2009).

#### 341 **3.4. Microbiological Markers**

342 Fresh-cut tomatoes stored at 4 °C had initial loads of total mesophilic bacteria approximately ~ 4  
343 log CFU/g (Fig. 4A). At day 10 samples treated with water reached the highest values (~ 8.5 log  
344 CFU/g). The whey permeate treatments were equally effective as chlorine in controlling the

345 bacterial growth. In fresh-cut tomatoes, total mesophilic counts increased during storage for all  
346 the washing treatments. Samples treated with whey permeates and chlorine showed better  
347 reduction in the mesophilic counts ( $\sim 1.2$  log CFU/g) than samples treated with water, with  
348 significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) differences at days 7 and 10 of storage. The values of whey permeate-treated  
349 samples at the end of the storage were lower than the recommended  $10^8$  CFU/g for consumer  
350 consumption of fresh-cut vegetables (Alegria *et al.* 2009).

351 Psychrotrophic counts on sliced tomatoes at day 10 were significantly reduced in all the treated  
352 samples compared to the control (water treated) samples (Fig. 4B). The highest reduction (1.2  
353 log CFU/g) at day 10 was observed in samples treated with whey permeates followed by samples  
354 treated with chlorine ( $\sim 0.8$  log CFU/g). Psychrotrophic bacteria initial (day 1) load was  
355 approximately 3.5 log CFU/g. The highest microbial load was observed in control samples  
356 (water treated), which at day 10 reached  $\sim 7.0$  log CFU/g. The three whey permeate and chlorine  
357 treatments resulted in significantly lower psychrotrophic counts than water over storage  
358 ( $p < 0.05$ ), and by the end of storage (day 10) whey-treated samples showed lower counts than  
359 those treated with water and chlorine ( $p < 0.05$ ).

360 Fresh-cut tomatoes stored at 4 °C had initial loads of LAB approximately 4 log CFU/g. In all the  
361 treatments LAB counts significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased during storage. Chlorine, DP and DC  
362 treatments showed a significant reduction ( $\sim 1.0$  log CFU/g) in LAB growth compared to water  
363 and PC treatments (Fig. 4C). Chlorine treatment maintained the lowest LAB load, followed by  
364 DC and DP. PC and water-treated samples showed the highest LAB counts. This might be  
365 explained by the presence of lactose in PC which could facilitate the growth of LAB. High LAB  
366 loads are associated with fermentation processes (off-odours). However, controlled growth of

367 these bacteria can have a positive antimicrobial effect on the vegetable due to their production of  
368 bacteriocins (Rico *et al.* 2007).

369 Fresh-cut tomatoes stored at 4 °C had initial loads of yeast and moulds approximately 4 log  
370 CFU/g. This result was in agreement with the finding of Prakash *et al.* (2002) in diced tomato.  
371 Yeast and moulds count increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) with storage time (Fig. 4D). Water  
372 showed the highest yeast and moulds growth (~ 8.3 log CFU/g, at day 10). DP treated tomatoes  
373 showed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) lower counts than chlorine treated samples. DC and PC treatments  
374 showed similar effect on yeast and moulds counts to chlorine treatment. Whey permeate and  
375 chlorine treatments exhibited significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) reduction (~1.0 log CFU/g) in yeast and  
376 moulds growth than the control (water treated) samples.

377 The antimicrobial capacity of whey permeate can be explained by a variety of factors. The most  
378 likely factor is the acid pH of the wash treatment which can have a direct effect on the initial  
379 microbial count reduction and on subsequent growth during storage. Another factor can be the  
380 presence of lactic acid, which can enter the cells in an un-dissociated form. And finally, the  
381 presence of antibacterial peptides in the whey permeate might contribute to its antimicrobial  
382 capacity (Clare and Swaisgood 2000). Different antimicrobial peptides have been identified from  
383 whey protein hydrolysates. These antimicrobial peptides act against different gram-positive and  
384 gram-negative bacteria (*Escherichia*, *Helicobacter*, *Listeria*, *Salmonella* and *Staphylococcus*),  
385 yeasts and filamentous fungi (Rizzello *et al.* 2005; Fitzgerald and Murray 2006) and different  
386 mechanisms of action have been suggested for whey antimicrobial peptides (Saint-Sauveur *et al.*  
387 2008; Gauthier *et al.* 2006).

#### 388 4. CONCLUSION

389 In this study, delactosed permeate performed better or similar to the industrial standard chlorine  
390 in retaining the quality of fresh-cut tomatoes. Although (DP) treatment showed similar results to  
391 chlorine in retaining some of the quality markers, considering the potential harmful effect of  
392 chlorine DP could be considered as the best treatment for keeping fresh-cut tomato quality  
393 acceptable during 10 days of storage. The quality retention capacity of whey permeate can be  
394 explained by a variety of factors. The most likely factor is the acid pH of the wash treatment  
395 which can have a direct effect on the initial microbial count reduction and on subsequent growth  
396 during storage. Another factor could be the presence of antibacterial peptides  
397 (caseinmacropeptide or bacteriocins) in the whey permeate might contribute to its antimicrobial  
398 capacity. Although further investigations on pathogens are recommended, whey permeate seems  
399 to be a good quality retention method of fresh-cut tomato and to control the growth of bacteria  
400 associated with its quality deterioration.

#### 401 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

402 The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the DIT Strand I Research  
403 Project (2006–2010). Thanks to Glanbia (Ltd Ingredients, Ireland) for supplying the whey  
404 permeate, to Amcor Flexible Ltd. for providing the OPP film and to Sharp Interpack for the  
405 polypropylene trays.

#### 406 **5. REFERENCES**

- 407 AGUAYO, E., ESCALONA, V. H. and ARTES F. 2006. Effect of cyclic exposure to ozone gas  
408 on physicochemical, sensorial and microbial quality of whole and sliced tomatoes.  
409 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 39, 169–177.
- 410 AHMED, L., MARTIN-DIANA, A.B., RICO, D. and BARRY-RYAN, C. 2011. The antioxidant  
411 properties of whey permeate treated fresh-cut tomatoes. *Food Chem.* 124, 1451–1457.

412 ALEGRIA, C., PINHEIRO, J., GONÇALVES, E.M., FERNANDES, I. and MOLDÃO, M.  
413 2010. Evaluation of a pre-cut heat treatment as an alternative to chlorine in minimally  
414 processed shredded carrot. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* *11*, 155–161.

415 AOAC 1990. Official methods of analysis of AOAC: Food composition; additives; natural  
416 contaminants (Vol. II). In Helrich, K. (Ed.). Arlington: AOAC.

417 ARTÉS, F., CONESA, M.A., HERNÁNDEZ, S. and GIL, M.I. 1999. Keeping quality of fresh-  
418 cut tomato. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* *17*, 153-162.

419 CANTOS, E., ESPIN, J.C. and TOMAS-BARBERAN, F.A. 2001. Effect of wounding on  
420 phenolic enzymes in six minimally processed lettuce cultivars upon storage. *J. Agric.*  
421 *Food Chem.* *49(1)*, 322–330.

422 CHARDONNET, C.O., CHARRON, C.S., SAMS, C.E. and CONWAY, W.S. 2003. Chemical  
423 changes in the cortical tissue and cell walls of calcium infiltrated ‘Golden Delicious’  
424 apples during storage. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* *28*, 97–111.

425 CLARE, D.A. and SWAISGOOD, H.E. 2000. Bioactive milk peptides (6). *J. Dairy Sci.* *83*,  
426 1187–1195.

427 CONTRERAS, M. DEL M., HERNÁNDEZ-LEDESMA, B., AMIGO, L., MARTÍN-  
428 ÁLVAREZ, P.J. and RECIO, I. 2011. Production of antioxidant hydrolyzates from a  
429 whey protein concentrate with thermolysin: Optimization by response surface  
430 methodology. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* *44*, 9–15.

431 CORONADO, S.A., TROUT, G.R., DUNSHEA, F.R. and SHAH, N.P. 2002. Antioxidant  
432 effects of rosemary extract and whey powder on the oxidative stability of wiener  
433 sausages during 10 months frozen storage. *Meat Sci.* *62*, 217–224.

434 DELAQUIS, P.J., FUKUMOTO, L.R., TOIVONEN, P.M.A. and CLIFF, M.A. 2004.  
435 Implications of wash water chlorination and temperature for the microbiological and  
436 sensory properties of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* *31*, 81–91.

437 EVANS, J., ZULEWSKA, J., NEWBOLD, M., DRAKE, M.A. and BARBANO, D.M. 2010.  
438 Comparison of composition and sensory properties of 80 % whey protein and milk  
439 serum protein concentrates. *J. Dairy Sci.* *93*, 1824–1843.

440 FERREIRA, V. O., PINHO, O., AMARAL, M. and MARTINS, I. 2008. Application of  
441 blended-learning strategies on sensory analysis teaching. In M. Munoz, I. Jelinek, & F.  
442 Ferreira (Eds.). *Proceedings of the IASK International Conference Teaching and*  
443 *Learning* (pp. 262–270). Aveiro, Portugal.

444 FITZGERALD, R.J. and MURRAY, B.A. 2006. Bioactive peptides and lactic fermentations. *Int.*  
445 *J. Dairy Technol.* *59*, 118-125.

446 GAUTHIER, S.F., POULIOT, Y. and SAINT-SAUVEUR, D. 2006. Immunomodulatory  
447 peptides obtained by the enzymatic hydrolysis of whey proteins. *Int. Dairy J.* *16*, 1315–  
448 1323.

449 GIL, M.I., CONESA, M.A. and ARTES, F. 2002. Quality changes in fresh cut tomato as affected  
450 by modified atmosphere packaging. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* *25*, 199–207.

451 HERNANDEZ-SUAREZ, M., RODRIGUEZ-RODRIGUEZ, E.M. and DIAZ ROMERO, C.  
452 2008. Chemical composition of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) from Tenerife, the  
453 Canary Islands. *Food Chem.* *106*, 1046–1056.

454 LANA, M.M., TIJSKENS, L.M.M. and VAN KOOTEN, O. 2006. Effects of storage temperature  
455 and stage of ripening on RGB colour aspects of fresh-cut tomato pericarp using video  
456 image analysis. *J. Food Eng.* *77*, 871–879.

457 MARTIN-DIANA, A.B., RICO, D., BARRY-RYAN, C., FRIAS, J.M., MULCAHY, J. and  
458 HENEHAN, G.T.M. 2005. Comparison of calcium lactate with chlorine as a washing  
459 treatment for fresh-cut lettuce and carrots: quality and nutritional parameters. *J. Sci.*  
460 *Food Agric.* 85, 2260–2268.

461 MARTIN-DIANA, A.B., RICO, D., FRIAS, J.M., MULCAHY, J., HENEHAN, G.T.M. and  
462 BARRY-RYAN, C. 2006. Whey permeate as a bio-preservative for shelf life  
463 maintenance of fresh-cut vegetables. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* 7, 112-123.

464 NYKÄNEN, A., LAPVETELAINEN, A., HIETNEN, R.M. and KALLIO, H. 1998. The effect of  
465 lactic acid, nisin-whey permeate, sodium chloride and related combinations on aerobic  
466 plate count and the sensory characteristics of rainbow trout. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*  
467 3, 286–290.

468 ÖLMEZ, H. and KRETZSCHMAR, U. 2009. Potential alternative disinfection methods for  
469 organic fresh-cut industry for minimizing water consumption and environmental impact.  
470 *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 42, 686–693.

471 ODRIOZOLA-SERRANO, I., SOLIVA-FORTUNY, R. and MARTIN-BELLOSO, O. 2008.  
472 Effect of minimal processing on bioactive compounds and color attributes of fresh-cut  
473 tomatoes. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 41, 217–226.

474 PANESAR, P.S., KENNEDY, J.F., GANDHI, D.N. and BUNKO, K. 2007. Bioutilisation of  
475 whey for lactic acid production. *Food Chem.* 105, 1–14.

476 PEREZ-GAGO, M.B., SERRA, M. and DEL RIO, M.A. 2006. Color change of fresh-cut apples  
477 coated with whey protein concentrate-based edible coatings. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*  
478 39, 84–92.

479 PRAKASH, A., GUNER, A., CAPORASO, F. and FOLEY, D. 2000. Effects of low-dose  
480 gamma irradiation on the shelf-life and quality characteristics of cut Romaine lettuce  
481 packaged under modified atmosphere. *J. Food Sci.* 65(3), 549–553.

482 RICO, D., MARTIN-DIANA, A.B., BARAT, J.M. and BARRY-RYAN, C. 2007. Extending and  
483 measuring the quality of fresh-cut fruit and vegetables: a review. *Trends Food Sci.*  
484 *Technol.* 18, 373–386.

485 RIZZELLO, C.G., LOSITO, I., GOBBETTI, M., CARBONARA, T., DE BARI, M.D. and  
486 ZAMBONIN, P.G. 2005. Antibacterial activities of peptides from the water-soluble  
487 extracts of Italian cheese varieties. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88, 2348-2360.

488 ROJAS-GRAÜ, M.A, SOLIVA-FORTUNY, R. and MARTÍN-BELLOSO, O. 2009. Edible  
489 coatings to incorporate active ingredients to fresh-cut fruits: A review. *Trends Food Sci.*  
490 *Technol.* 20(10), 438-447.

491 SAINT-SAUVEUR, D., GAUTHIER, S.F., BOUTIN, Y. and MONTONI, A. 2008.  
492 Immunomodulating properties of a whey protein isolate, its enzymatic digest and  
493 peptide fractions. *Int. Dairy J.* 18, 260–270.

494 SGHERRI, C., KADLECOVA, Z., PARDOSI, A., NAVARI-IZZO, F. and IZZO, R. 2008.  
495 Irrigation with diluted sea water improves the nutritional value of cherry tomatoes. *J.*  
496 *Agric. Food Chem.* 56, 3391–3397.

497 SILVEIRA, A.C., AGUAY, E. and ARTÉS, F. 2010. Emerging sanitizers and Clean Room  
498 packaging for improving the microbial quality of fresh-cut ‘Galia’ melon. *Food Control.*  
499 21, 863–871.

500 YALCIN, A.S. 2006. Emerging therapeutic potential of whey proteins and peptides. *Curr.*  
501 *Pharma. Des.* 12(13), 1637-1643.



502 YOO, Y.H., LEE, S., KIM, Y., KIM, K.O., KIM, Y.S. and YOO, S.H. 2009. Functional  
503 characterization of the gels prepared with pectin methylesterase (PME)-treated pectins.  
504 Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 45, 226–230.  
505

506 TABLE 1. MAIN COMPOSITION OF THE THREE WHEY PERMEATES

Whey Permeates	pH	Total Solid %	Protein %	Dry Matter %	Ash %
Permeate concentrate (PC)	5.48	34.61	1.06	3.06	3.25
Delactosed concentrate (DP)	5.01	32.90	2.83	8.60	8.14
Delactosed concentrate (DC)	5.75	53.25	3.77	7.08	10.96

507

508

509 TABLE 2. CHANGES IN COLOR IN FRESH-CUT TOMATO STORED AT 4 °C, TREATED  
 510 WITH 120 PPM CHLORINE (CH), WATER (W) AND WHEY PERMEATE CONCENTRATE  
 511 (PC), DELACTOSED PERMEATE (DP) AND DELACTOSED CONCENTRATE (DC)<sup>1</sup>.

Color parameter	Treatments	Storage (days)			
		1	4	7	10
L*	W	44.18 <sup>b</sup>	43.70 <sup>ab</sup>	43.17 <sup>ab</sup>	42.57 <sup>a</sup>
	Ch	45.39 <sup>b</sup>	44.25 <sup>b</sup>	43.53 <sup>ab</sup>	43.29 <sup>ab</sup>
	PC	45.19 <sup>b</sup>	44.02 <sup>ab</sup>	43.50 <sup>ab</sup>	43.46 <sup>ab</sup>
	DP	44.90 <sup>b</sup>	43.70 <sup>ab</sup>	43.23 <sup>ab</sup>	43.19 <sup>ab</sup>
	DC	44.60 <sup>b</sup>	43.88 <sup>ab</sup>	43.78 <sup>ab</sup>	42.63 <sup>a</sup>
a*	W	13.72 <sup>a</sup>	13.81 <sup>ab</sup>	13.93 <sup>b</sup>	14.14 <sup>b</sup>
	Ch	13.45 <sup>a</sup>	13.85 <sup>ab</sup>	14.05 <sup>b</sup>	14.23 <sup>b</sup>
	PC	13.69 <sup>a</sup>	13.74 <sup>a</sup>	13.80 <sup>ab</sup>	14.19 <sup>b</sup>
	DP	13.51 <sup>a</sup>	13.67 <sup>a</sup>	13.83 <sup>ab</sup>	14.36 <sup>b</sup>
	DC	13.70 <sup>a</sup>	13.85 <sup>ab</sup>	14.09 <sup>b</sup>	14.23 <sup>b</sup>
b*	W	21.84 <sup>ef</sup>	20.06 <sup>cd</sup>	18.63 <sup>b</sup>	17.84 <sup>a</sup>
	Ch	22.25 <sup>f</sup>	20.07 <sup>cd</sup>	18.91 <sup>bc</sup>	18.14 <sup>ab</sup>
	PC	22.16 <sup>ef</sup>	20.04 <sup>cd</sup>	18.86 <sup>bc</sup>	17.93 <sup>ab</sup>
	DP	21.44 <sup>e</sup>	19.87 <sup>cd</sup>	19.37 <sup>c</sup>	18.39 <sup>ab</sup>
	DC	22.22 <sup>f</sup>	20.27 <sup>d</sup>	18.55 <sup>ab</sup>	18.45 <sup>ab</sup>
Hue	W	57.48 <sup>d</sup>	55.42 <sup>c</sup>	53.7 <sup>bc</sup>	51.60 <sup>a</sup>
	Ch	58.08 <sup>d</sup>	55.00 <sup>bc</sup>	54.54 <sup>bc</sup>	52.27 <sup>ab</sup>
	PC	58.19 <sup>d</sup>	55.43 <sup>c</sup>	54.01 <sup>bc</sup>	51.68 <sup>a</sup>
	DP	57.16 <sup>d</sup>	55.49 <sup>c</sup>	55.12 <sup>bc</sup>	52.01 <sup>ab</sup>
	DC	57.95 <sup>d</sup>	54.92 <sup>bc</sup>	53.51 <sup>b</sup>	52.36 <sup>ab</sup>
Chroma	W	25.92 <sup>de</sup>	24.37 <sup>c</sup>	23.16 <sup>ab</sup>	22.76 <sup>a</sup>
	Ch	26.21 <sup>e</sup>	24.52 <sup>c</sup>	23.26 <sup>ab</sup>	22.94 <sup>a</sup>
	PC	26.08 <sup>de</sup>	24.34 <sup>c</sup>	23.31 <sup>ab</sup>	22.87 <sup>a</sup>
	DP	25.51 <sup>d</sup>	24.14 <sup>bc</sup>	23.63 <sup>b</sup>	23.34 <sup>ab</sup>
	DC	26.20 <sup>e</sup>	24.78 <sup>c</sup>	23.07 <sup>ab</sup>	23.30 <sup>ab</sup>

512 <sup>1</sup>Values designated by the different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). Lowercase letters  
 513 are used for comparisons during storage and uppercase letters for treatment comparisons. Three  
 514 independent trials were carried out in triplicate.

515 FIG. 1. EFFECT OF TREATMENTS ON O<sub>2</sub> (A), CO<sub>2</sub> (B), PH (C) AND MOISTURE  
516 CONTENT (D) IN FRESH-CUT TOMATO PACKAGES OVER 10 DAYS STORAGE AT 4  
517 °C. POINTS DESIGNATED ON ANY CURVE BY THE DIFFERENT LETTERS ARE  
518 SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT (P<0.05). LOWER CASE LETTERS ARE USED FOR  
519 COMPARISONS DURING STORAGE AND UPPER CASE LETTERS FOR TREATMENT  
520 COMPARISONS. THREE INDEPENDENT TRIALS WERE CARRIED OUT IN  
521 TRIPLICATE.

522

523 FIG. 2. EFFECT OF TREATMENTS ON WATER ACTIVITY (A), TEXTURE (B), POD (C)  
524 AND PME ACTIVITY (D) IN FRESH-CUT TOMATO PACKAGES, MONITORED OVER 10  
525 DAYS STORAGE AT 4 °C. POINTS DESIGNATED ON ANY CURVE BY THE  
526 DIFFERENT LETTERS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT (P<0.05). LOWER CASE  
527 LETTERS ARE USED FOR COMPARISONS DURING STORAGE AND UPPER CASE  
528 LETTERS FOR TREATMENT COMPARISONS. THREE INDEPENDENT TRIALS WERE  
529 CARRIED OUT IN TRIPLICATE.

530

531 FIG. 3. SENSORY EVALUATION OF FRESH-CUT TOMATOES AFTER TREATMENT  
532 STORED AT 4 °C FOR 10 DAYS. VALUES DESIGNATED ON ANY CURVE BY THE  
533 DIFFERENT LETTERS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT (P<0.05). LOWERCASE  
534 LETTERS ARE USED FOR COMPARISONS DURING STORAGE AND UPPERCASE  
535 LETTERS FOR TREATMENT COMPARISONS. THREE INDEPENDENT TRIALS WERE  
536 CARRIED OUT IN TRIPLICATE. FRESH APPEARANCE (9 = EXCELLENT, 1 = POOR);  
537 AROMA (9 = FRESH, 1 = ROTTEN); COLOUR (9 = DARK, 1 = LIGHT); TEXTURE (9 =  
538 VERY CRISPY, 1 = SOFT); OVERALL ACCEPTABILITY (9 = EXCELLENT, 1 = POOR).

539

540 FIG. 4. EFFECT OF WASHING TREATMENTS ON MICROBIAL LOAD: MESOPHILIC  
541 (A), PSYCHROTROPHIC (B), LACTIC ACID BACTERIA (C) AND YEAST AND MOULDS  
542 (D) DURING 10 DAYS STORAGE OF FRESH-CUT TOMATO AT 4 °C. POINTS  
543 DESIGNATED ON ANY CURVE BY THE DIFFERENT LETTERS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY  
544 DIFFERENT (P<0.05). LOWERCASE LETTERS ARE USED FOR COMPARISONS  
545 DURING STORAGE AND UPPERCASE LETTERS FOR TREATMENT COMPARISONS.  
546 THREE INDEPENDENT TRIALS WERE CARRIED OUT IN TRIPLICATE.