

Technological University Dublin ARROW@TU Dublin

Articles

School of Mathematics and Statistics

2010-01-01

# Schrödinger Operators with $\pmb{\delta}'$ Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String

Aleksey Kostenko Dublin Institute of Technology, aleksey.kostenko@dit.ie

M. M. Malamud Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/scschmatart

Part of the Mathematics Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Kostenko, A., & Malamud, M. (2010). Schrödinger Operators with δ'Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String. *Doklady Mathematics*, vol. 81, no. 3, pg. 342-347. doi:10.1134/S1064562410030026

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mathematics and Statistics at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

#### **MATHEMATICS** =

## Schrödinger Operators with δ'-Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String<sup>1</sup>

A. S. Kostenko<sup>a, b</sup> and M. M. Malamud<sup>b</sup>

Presented by Academician V.P. Maslov October 21, 2009

Received November 9, 2009

Abstract—We investigate one dimensional symmetric Schrödinger operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  with  $\delta'$ -interactions of strength  $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$  on a discrete set  $X = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, b), b \leq +\infty (x_n \uparrow b)$ . We consider  $H_{X,\beta}$  as an extension of the minimal operator  $H_{\min} := -d^2/dx^2 \int W_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}\setminus X)$  and study its spectral properties in the framework of the extension theory by using the technique of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions. The construction of a boundary triplet for  $H_{\min}^*$  is given in the case  $d_* := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n - x_{n-1}| = 0$ . We show that spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, nonnegativity, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  correlate with the corresponding properties of a certain Jacobi matrix. In the case  $\beta_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , these matrices form a subclass of Jacobi matrices generated by the Krein–Stieltjes strings. The connection discovered enables us to obtain simple conditions for the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  to be self-adjoint, lower semibounded and discrete. These conditions depend significantly not only on  $\beta$  but also on X. Moreover, as distinct from the case  $d_* > 0$ , the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with  $\delta$ - and  $\delta'$ -interactions in the case  $d_* = 0$  substantially differ.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S1064562410030026

Let  $\mathcal{I} := [0, b), 0 < b \le +\infty$ , and let  $X = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{I}$ be a strictly increasing sequence such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = b$  and

 $x_0 := 0$ . Let also  $\beta := \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Consider a symmetric operator  $H^0_{X,\beta}$  in  $L^2(\mathcal{I})$ defined by the differential expression  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  on func-

tions  $f \in W^{2,2}_{\text{comp}}(\mathcal{G} \setminus X)$  satisfying the following boundary conditions at the points  $x_n \in X$ :

$$f'(0) = 0, f'(x_n+) = f'(x_n-),$$
  

$$f(x_n+) - f(x_n-) = \beta_n f'(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(1)

Let us denote its closure by  $H_{X,\beta}$ . The operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is interpreted as the Hamiltonian with  $\delta'$ -interactions of strength  $\beta_n$  at the centers  $x_n$  [2] and, as a rule, is associated with the formal differential expression

$$l_{X,\beta} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{x_n \in X} \beta_n(\cdot, \delta'_n) \delta'_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{I},$$
(2)

where  $\delta_n := \delta(x - x_n)$  and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac delta-function.

Spectral properties of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  are widely studied in the case  $d_* := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n > 0$ , where  $d_n := x_n - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n = 0$ 

 $x_{n-1}$ . Thus, it is known that the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is selfadjoint [2] and its spectrum is not discrete in this case. Further results (investigation of spectrum, resolvent comparability etc.) as well as a comprehensive list of references can be found in [2, 14].

The cases  $d_* = 0$  and  $d_* > 0$  are significantly different. Recently, it has been found ([5, Theorem 4.7]) that  $H_{X,\beta}$  is self-adjoint for any  $\beta$  if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $d_* = 0$ . To the best of our knowledge, other spectral properties of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  in the case  $d_* = 0$  have not been studied yet. Let us also mention the recent papers [3, 15] dealing with spectral properties of Hamiltonians with  $\delta$ '-interactions on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with Lebesgue measure zero.

The main aim of this note is the spectral analysis of the Hamiltonian  $H_{X,\beta}$  in the case  $d_* = 0$ . We investigate the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  in the framework of extension theory of symmetric operators using the concept of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions (see [7, 9]). Let us note that this approach was first applied by Kochubei [12] in the study of operators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The article was translated by the authors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine

e-mail: duzer80@gmail.com, mmm@telenet.dn.ua

with point interactions. He investigated Hamiltonians with  $\delta$ -interactions in the case  $d_* > 0$ . Let us stress that the main difficulty of this approach is the construction of an adequate boundary triplet.

In this note, we present a corresponding construction in the case  $d_* = 0$  and show that the spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$ correlate with the corresponding spectral properties of the Jacobi matrix  $B_{X,\beta}$  defined by (15) (see below). It turns out that this class of matrices is closely connected with the class of Krein-Stieltjes string operators. Namely, if  $\beta_n > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then this class of matrices is a subclass of Krein-Stieltjes operators (see Section 5). Discovered connection enables us to obtain simple criteria for the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  to be self-adjoint, lower semi-bounded, and discrete. These conditions depend substantially not only on  $\beta$  but also on X. Moreover, as distinct from the case  $d_* > 0$ , the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with  $\delta$ - and  $\delta$ '-interactions in the case  $d_* = 0$  differ completely.

Detailed treatment of the results discussed in this note are given in [11].

#### 1. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR DIRECT SUMS AND WEYL FUNCTIONS

Let *A* be a closed symmetric operator with dense domain  $\mathfrak{D}(A)$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and equal deficiency indices  $n_+(A) = n_-(A)$   $(n_{\pm}(A) := \dim(\mathfrak{M}_{\pm i}),$  $\mathfrak{M}_z := \ker(A^* - zI)).$ 

**Definition 1** ([7]). A collection  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is an auxiliary Hilbert space,  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are linear mappings from  $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ , is called a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^*$  if the abstract Green identity holds

$$(A^*f,g) - (f,A^*g) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_{\mathcal{H}}$$
(3)  
for all  $f,g \in \mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ ,

and the mapping  $\Gamma: f \to \{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\}$  from  $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$  to  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  is surjective.

A boundary triplet is not unique and, moreover, it enables one to parameterize the set  $\operatorname{Ext}_A$  of all proper extensions  $\tilde{A}$  ( $A \subset \tilde{A} \subset A^*$ ) of the operator A in terms of abstract boundary conditions. Let  $A_j := A^* |\ker(\Gamma_j)$ ,

 $j \in \{0, 1\}$ . It is known that  $A_j = A_j^*, j \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Proposition 1** ([7]). Let  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  be a boundary triplet for  $A^*$ . Then the mapping

$$(\operatorname{Ext}_A \ni) A \to \Gamma \mathfrak{D}(A)$$

$$= \{\{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\}: f \in \mathfrak{D}(A)\} =: \Theta \in \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{H})$$
(4)

establishes a bijective correspondence between  $\operatorname{Ext}_A$  and the set  $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(\mathscr{H})$  of closed linear relations in  $\mathscr{H}$ . Denote  $A_{\Theta} := \tilde{A}$ , where  $\Theta$  is determined by Eq. (4). Then the following statements hold

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 81 No. 3 2010

(i) 
$$A_{\Theta} = A_{\Theta}^* \Leftrightarrow \Theta = \Theta^*$$
;

(ii)  $A_{\Theta}$  is symmetric  $\Leftrightarrow \Theta$  is symmetric. Moreover,  $n_{\pm}(A_{\Theta}) = n_{\pm}(\Theta)$ ;

(iii)  $\mathfrak{D}(A_{\Theta}) \cap \mathfrak{D}(A_0) = \mathfrak{D}(A) \Leftrightarrow \Theta \in \mathscr{C}(\mathscr{H})$ . In this case  $\Theta$  is called a boundary operator and relation (4) becomes

$$A_{\Theta} = A^* \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma_1 - \Theta \Gamma_0).$$
 (5)

**Definition 2** ([9]). Let  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  be a boundary triplet for  $A^*$ . Operator valued function  $M(\cdot): \rho(A_0) \rightarrow [\mathcal{H}]$  defined by

$$\Gamma_1 f_z = M(z) \Gamma_0 f_z, \quad f_z \in \ker(A^* - z),$$
  
$$z \in \rho(A_0), \tag{6}$$

is called the Weyl function corresponding to the boundary triplet  $\Pi$ .

Further, let  $S_n$  be a closed densely defined symmetric operator in  $\mathfrak{H}_n$  such that  $n_+(S_n) = n_-(S_n) \le \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_n$ , consider the operator  $A := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ . Let also  $\Pi_n = \{\mathfrak{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$  be a boundary triplet for the operator  $S_n^*$ . Define a direct sum  $\Pi := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pi_n$  of boundary triplets  $\Pi_n$  by setting

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n, \quad \Gamma_j := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_j^{(n)},$$
  
$$\Gamma_j : \mathfrak{D}(A^*) \to \mathcal{H}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$
(7)

Green's formulae (3) for operators  $S_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , yield validity of Green's formula for the operator  $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$  and also vectors  $f = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n, g = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) \subset \mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ , where

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_j) := \left\{ \varphi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \Gamma_j^{(n)} \varphi_n \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_n}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It is shown in [12] that a boundary triplet  $\Pi$  for the operator  $A^* = \bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} S_n^*$  can be chosen in the form (7) if there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$  such that all pairs  $\{\rho_n S_n, \rho_n S_{n0}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are unitary equivalent to  $\{S_1, S_{10}\}$ . However, it is not difficult to give an example of the operator  $A = \bigoplus_{1=1}^{\infty} S_n$  for which a triplet (7) is not a boundary triplet for  $A^*$ . The reason is the following. The mapping  $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}: \mathfrak{D}(A^*) \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  may not fulfill the following necessary conditions:

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) = \mathfrak{D}(A^*), \operatorname{ran}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

Next theorem provides criteria for the collection  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  of the form (7) to be a boundary triplet

for the operator 
$$A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$$
.  
**Theorem 1.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ ,  $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ 

be a boundary triplet for the operator  $S_n^*$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  be defined by (7). Then:

(i) the triplet  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  is a boundary relation (in the sense of [8]) for the operator  $A^*$ ;

(ii) the collection  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  is a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^*$  precisely when the mappings  $\Gamma_j$  are bounded, i.e.,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \Gamma_0^{(n)} \right\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \Gamma_1^{(n)} \right\| < \infty; \tag{8}$$

(iii) the collection  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  is a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^*$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\sup_{n} \|M_{n}(\mathbf{i})\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n} \|(\operatorname{Im} M_{n}(\mathbf{i}))^{-1}\| < \infty.$$
(9)

Here  $M_n(\cdot)$  is the Weyl function corresponding to the triplet  $\Pi_n$ .

(iv) If  $a_0 = \overline{a}_0 \ (\in \mathbb{R})$  is the point of a regular type of the operator A, then the collection  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  is a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^*$  precisely when

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| M_n(a_0) \right\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \left( M'_n(a_0) \right)^{-1} \right\| < \infty.$$
 (10)

Theorem 1 enables one to regularize an arbitrary collection of boundary triplets  $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$  in such a way that the direct sum of the regularized triplets is a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^*$ . Namely, assume that  $Q_n = Q_n^* \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$  and  $R_n \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$  with  $R_n^{-1} \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$ . We set

$$\Gamma_0^{(n)} := R_n \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_1^{(n)} := (R_n^{-1})^* (\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)} - Q_n \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}).$$
(11)

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$  be a boundary triplet for  $S_n^*$ , and let  $\tilde{M}_n(\cdot)$  be the corresponding Weyl function,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left\| (R_n^{-1})^* (\tilde{M}_n(\mathbf{i}) - Q_n) R_n^{-1} \right\| < \infty, \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| R_n (\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{M}_n(\mathbf{i})))^{-1} R_n^* \right\| \right\} < \infty,$$
(12)

then the direct sum  $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\text{see } (7))$  of triplets  $\Pi_n =$ 

 $\{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$  defined by (11) is a boundary triplet for the operator  $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$ .

**Remark 1.** The existence of the regularization (11) was first established in [13, Theorem 5.3]. Namely, in

relations (11) it is taken  $Q_n := \operatorname{Re} \tilde{M}_n(i)$  and  $R_n :=$ 

 $\sqrt{\text{Im}M_n(i)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [13]). However, let us stress that the latter regularization is not suitable for our aims since it does not lead to a parametrization of the Hamiltonians  $H_{X,\beta}$  by Jacobi matrices.

#### 2. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR SCHRODINGER OPERATORS

Consider the minimal (closed) symmetric operator

$$H := H_{\min} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \quad \mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}) = W_0^{2,2}(\mathcal{I} \setminus X). \quad (13)$$

It is clear that  $n_{\pm}(H_{\min}) = \infty$  and the operator  $H_{\min}^*$  is defined by the same differential expression on the domain  $\mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}^*) = W^{2,2}(\mathfrak{F} \setminus X)$ . It is easy to see that  $H_{\min} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ , where  $H_n := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}(H_n) = W_0^{2,2}[x_{n-1}, x_n]$ .

Define the mappings

$$\Gamma_{0}^{(n)}f := \begin{pmatrix} d_{n}^{1/2}f(x_{n-1}+) \\ -d_{n}^{1/2}f(x_{n-1}-) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_{1}^{(n)}f := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d_{n}f'(x_{n-1}+) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_{n}-)))}{d_{n}^{3/2}} \\ \frac{d_{n}f'(x_{n-1}+) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_{n}-)))}{d_{n}^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(14)

A straightforward calculation shows that the triplet  $\Pi_n = \{\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$  is a boundary triplet for the operator  $H_n^*$ . Moreover, we have the following

**Theorem 2.** Let 
$$d^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n < \infty$$
 and let  $\Gamma_0^{(n)}$ ,  $\Gamma_1^{(n)}$ 

be defined by (14). Then the triplet  $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  defined by (7) is a boundary triplet for the operator  $H^*_{\min}$ .

**Proof.** The condition  $d^* < \infty$  yields that z = 0 is a point of a regular type for the operator  $H_{\min}$ . To complete the proof of Theorem 2 it suffices to check (10) at the point z = 0.

# 3. PARAMETRIZATION OF HAMILTONIANS WITH $\delta$ '-INTERACTIONS

For the remainder of this note, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\beta_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, consider the semi-infinite Jacobi matrix

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 81 No. 3 2010

$$B_{X,\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{-2} & d_1^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_2^{-2} & d_2^{-2} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \frac{d_3^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_3^{-2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$
(15)

In  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ , the minimal symmetric operator is naturally associated with the matrix  $B_{X,\beta}$  (see [4]). We denote it also by  $B_{X,\beta}$ .

The following Lemma shows that the boundary operator  $\Theta$  parameterizing  $H_{X,\beta}$  via (5) is a Jacobi matrix of the form (15).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$  be a boundary triplet for  $H^*_{\min}$  defined in Theorem 2. Then  $H_{X,\beta} = H_{B_{X,\beta}}$ , that is

$$H_{X,\beta} = H_{\min}^* \mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}),$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}) = \{ f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} \setminus X) \colon \Gamma_1 f = B_{X,\beta} \Gamma_0 \}.$$

Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and some results from [7, 9] enable us to establish the following connection between spectral properties of the Hamiltonian  $H_{X,\beta}$  and the Jacobi matrix (boundary operator)  $B_{X,\beta}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that*  $d^* < \infty$ *. Then:* 

(i) the following relation holds  $n_{\pm}(H_{X,\beta}) = n_{\pm}(B_{X,\beta})$ ;

(ii) the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is lower semibounded precisely when the operator  $B_{X,\beta}$  is lower semibounded;

(iii) if  $n_{\pm}(H_{X,\beta}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $H_{X,\beta} = H_{X,\beta}^*$ , then the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is discrete precisely when  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d_n = 0$  and the spectrum of the operator  $B_{X,\beta}$  is discrete.

#### 4. A CONNECTION WITH THE KREIN– STIELTJES STRING

It is clear that the parameterizing matrix of the form (15) admits the following factorization

$$B_{X,\beta} = R_X^{-1}(I+U)D_{X,\beta}^{-1}(I+U^*)R_X^{-1}, \qquad (16)$$

where *U* is the unilateral shift operator in  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$  and

$$D_{X,\beta} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} d_n & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_X := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{d_n} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{d_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(17)

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 81 No. 3 2010

We put  $l_{2n-1} := d_n$ ,  $l_{2n} := \beta_n$ ,  $m_{2n-1} = m_{2n} := d_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the case  $\beta_n > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the difference equation associated with the matrix  $B_{X,\beta}$  describes the motion of an inhomogeneous string (Krein–Stieltjes string) with mass distribution  $\mathcal{M}(y) = \sum_{y_n < y} m_n$ , where  $y_n - y_{n-1} := l_n$ 

and  $y_0 := 0$ . This class of matrices is studied sufficiently well. In particular, criterion of self-adjointness (Hamburger's Theorem [1, Theorem 0.5]) and criterion of discreteness of spectrum (the Kac-Krein Theorem [10]) are known and are formulated in terms of sequences  $l = \{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . We hardly exploit these criteria as well as Theorem 3 in the following sections.

#### 5. SELF-ADJOINTNESS

Combining Theorem 3 (i) with the representation (16), (17), we obtain

**Theorem 4.** Deficiency indices of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  are equal and are not greater than one,  $n_+(H_{X,\beta}) = n_-(H_{X,\beta}) \le 1$ . Furthermore,  $H_{X,\beta}$  is self-adjoint if and only if at least one of the following conditions hold:

(1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = \infty$$
, *i.e.*  $\mathscr{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ ;  
(2)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ d_{n+1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i + d_i) \right|^2 \right] = \infty$ .

**Remark 3.** In the case of positive strengths  $\beta_n$ , Theorem 4 follows from Hamburger's Theorem [1]. In the case of arbitrary strengths, we use the formulae for  $P_n(0)$  and  $Q_n(0)$  [1, p. 291] and the self-adjointness criterion [4, Lemma VII.1.5].

Theorem 4 immediately yields the following result of Buschmann–Stolz–Weidmann [5, Theorem 4.7].

**Corollary 2** ([5]). If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ , then the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is self-adjoint.

#### 6. LOWER SEMIBOUNDED HAMILTONIANS

Using Theorem 3(ii) and the form of the matrix  $B_{X,B}$ , we arrive at the following result.

**Proposition 2.** For the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  to be lower semibounded it is necessary that

$$\beta_n^{-1} \ge -C_1 d_n - \min\{d_n^{-1}, d_{n+1}^{-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (18)

and sufficient that

$$\beta_n^{-1} \ge -C_2 \min\{d_n, d_{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (19)

Here  $C_1$  are  $C_2$  are positive constants independent of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary 3.** If  $0 < d_* \le d^* < \infty$ , then the operator

$$H_{X,\beta}$$
 is lower semi-bounded precisely when  $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_n^{-1} > -\infty$ .

#### 7. DISCRETENESS OF SPECTRUM

We set  $\beta_n^- := \beta_n$  if  $\beta_n < 0$  and  $\beta_n^- := -\infty$  if  $\beta_n > 0$ . Applying the discreteness criterion [10] to the matrix  $B_{X,\beta}$  and combining this with Theorem 3 (iii), we arrive at the following necessary conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $d_n \to 0$ . The spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is not discrete if at least one of the following conditions hold:

(i) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n} d_j^3 > 0;$$
  
(ii)  $\beta_n \ge -Cd_n^3, n \in \mathbb{N}, C > 0;$   
(iii)  $\beta_n^- \le -C(d_n^{-1} + d_{n+1}^{-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}, C > 0;$ 

**Corollary 4.** If either  $\beta_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \notin \beta(\mathbb{N})$ , then the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is not discrete.

0.

Proposition 3 shows that the spectrum of the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is discrete in the very exceptional cases. For instance, the spectrum is not discrete if either  $\beta \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ or if there exists a subsequence  $\{\beta_{n_k}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_-$ , which tends to  $-\infty$  sufficiently fast. Furthermore,  $d_n$  must tend to zero sufficiently fast for the spectrum to be discrete. Sufficient conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian  $H_{X,\beta}$  are given in the following

**Theorem 5.** Assume that  $\beta_n + d_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) If  $b < +\infty$ , and X and  $\beta$  are such that the operator  $H_{X,\beta}$  is self-adjoint, then the spectrum of  $H_{X,\beta}$  is discrete if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (b - x_n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0.$$
 (20)

(ii)  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ , then the spectrum of  $H_{X,\beta}$  is discrete precisely when

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} d_j^3 = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0.$$
(21)

**Remark 4.** Let us note that we use another parametrization of the Hamiltonian  $H_{X,\beta}$  for proving Propositions 3 (i), (ii) and Theorem 5 (for the details, see [11, Sect. 6].

### 8. ON THE NEGATIVE SPECTRUM OF THE OPERATOR $H_{X,\beta}$

Let *T* be a self-adjoint operator in  $\mathfrak{H}$  and let  $E_T(\cdot)$  be its spectral function. Dimension of the negative subspace  $E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{H}$  of the operator *T* is denoted by  $\kappa_-(T)$ ,  $\kappa_-(T) := \dim(E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{H})$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $d^* < \infty$  and let  $\kappa_{-}(\beta)$  be the number of negative elements in the sequence  $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . If

$$H_{X,\beta} = H^*_{X,\beta}$$
, then

$$\kappa_{-}(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_{-}(\beta). \tag{22}$$

In particular,  $H_{X,\beta} > 0$  if  $\beta_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M(\cdot)$  be the Weyl function of the operator  $H_{\min}$ , which corresponds to the boundary triplet  $\Pi$  defined in Theorem 2. Using the form of the Weyl function, we get the following equality M(0): =  $s - \lim_{x \to -0} M(x) = 0$ . By [9, Theorem 4],  $\kappa_{-}(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_{-}(B_{X,\beta} - M(0)) = \kappa_{-}(B_{X,\beta})$ . On the other hand, by (16), (17),  $\kappa_{-}(B_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_{-}(D_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_{-}(\beta)$ .

**Remark 5.** Using rather different approaches, Proposition 4 was obtained in [15] under assumptions that  $\kappa_{-}(\beta) = |X| < \infty$ , and also in [6] assuming that  $|X| = \infty$ and  $d_* > 0$ .

#### 9. HAMILTONIANS WITH δ-INTERACTIONS

Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the differential expression

$$l_{X,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \delta(x - x_n), \quad x \in \mathcal{I}.$$
 (23)

In  $L^2(\mathcal{I})$ , one associates with (23) a closed symmetric operator  $H^0_{X,\alpha}$ , defined by the differential expression

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$
 on functions  $f \in W_{\text{comp}}^{2,2}(\mathcal{I} \setminus X)$  satisfying the fol-

lowing boundary conditions at the points  $x_n \in X$ :

$$f'(0) = 0, \quad f(x_n+) = f(x_n-),$$
  

$$f'(x_n+) - f'(x_n-) = \alpha_n f(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(24)

Let  $H_{X,\alpha}$  be its closure. The operator  $H_{X,\alpha}$  is known as the Hamiltonian with  $\delta$ -interactions of strengths  $\alpha_n$  at the centers  $x_n$  [2].

The analogs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 hold true for the operator  $H_{X,\alpha}$  (see [11, Sect. 5]) and the role of the matrix (15) is played by the Jacobi matrix

DOKLADY MATHEMATICS Vol. 81 No. 3 2010

$$B_{X,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \right) & -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left( \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{d_2} + \frac{1}{d_3} \right) & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \dots \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \frac{1}{r_3^2} \left( \alpha_3 + \frac{1}{d_3} + \frac{1}{d_4} \right) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$
(25)

where  $r_n := \sqrt{d_n + d_{n+1}}$  and  $d_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that

$$B_{X,\alpha} = R_X^{-1}((I-U)D_X^{-1}(I-U^*) + A_\alpha)R_X^{-1}, \quad (26)$$

where  $A_{\alpha} = \text{diag}(\alpha_n)$ ,  $D_X = \text{diag}(d_n)$ , and  $R_X = \text{diag}(r_n)$ . By (16) and (26), the structure of matrices (15) and (25) are completely different in the case  $d_* = 0$ . Therefore, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with  $\delta'$ and  $\delta$ -interactions on X are essentially different in this case (see [11]).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author acknowledges the support from the ESI Junior Research Fellowship Programme and IRCSET Post-Doctoral Fellowship Program.

#### REFERENCES

- N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961; Oliver and Boyd Ltd, Edinburgh, London, 1965).
- S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Sec. Edition (AMS Chelsea Publ., 2005).
- 3. S. Albeverio and L. Nizhnik, Math. Nachr. 279, 467 (2006).
- 4. Ju. M. Berezanskii, *Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Self-Adjoint Operators* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965;

Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS, 1968, Vol. 17).

- 5. D. Buschmann, G. Stolz, and J. Weidmann, J. Reine Angew. Math. **467**, 169 (1995).
- N. Goloschapova and L. Oridoroga, Integr. Equations Oper. Theory 67 (1), 1–14 (2010); DOI 10.1007/s00020-010-1759-x.
- V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk, *Boundary Value Problems for Operator-Differential Equations* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984; Mathematics and Its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991, Vol. 48).
- V. A. Derkach, S. Hassi, M. M. Malamud, and H. S. V. de Snoo, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 358 (12), 5351 (2006).
- 9. V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, J. Fund. Anal. 95, 1 (1991).
- I. S. Kac and M. G. Krein, Izv. VUZov, Ser. Matem. 2 (3), 136 (1958).
- 11. A. S. Kostenko and M. Malamud, J. Differ. Equations (2010), DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2010.02.011.
- A. N. Kochubei, Ukr. Matem. Zhurn. 41 (10), 1391 (1989) [Ukr. Math. J. 41, 1391 (1989)].
- 13. M. M. Malamud and H. Neidhardt, arXiv:0907.0650v1.
- 14. V. A. Mikhajlets, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **348** (6), 727 (1996).
- 15. L. P. Nizhnik, Funktsion. Analiz i Ego Prilozh. **37** (1), 85 (2003) [Funct. Anal. Appl. **37** (1), 72 (2003)].