

2010-01-01

Schrödinger Operators with δ 'Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String

Aleksey Kostenko

Dublin Institute of Technology, aleksey.kostenko@dit.ie

M. M. Malamud

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/scschmatart>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kostenko, A., & Malamud, M. (2010). Schrödinger Operators with δ 'Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String. *Doklady Mathematics*, vol. 81, no. 3, pg. 342-347. doi:10.1134/S1064562410030026

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mathematics at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](#)

Schrödinger Operators with δ' -Interactions and the Krein–Stieltjes String¹

A. S. Kostenko^{a, b} and M. M. Malamud^b

Presented by Academician V.P. Maslov October 21, 2009

Received November 9, 2009

Abstract—We investigate one dimensional symmetric Schrödinger operator $H_{X, \beta}$ with δ' -interactions of strength $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ on a discrete set $X = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, b)$, $b \leq +\infty$ ($x_n \uparrow b$). We consider $H_{X, \beta}$ as an extension of the minimal operator $H_{\min} := -d^2/dx^2 \upharpoonright W_0^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} \setminus X)$ and study its spectral properties in the framework of the extension theory by using the technique of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions. The construction of a boundary triplet for H_{\min}^* is given in the case $d_* := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n - x_{n-1}| = 0$. We show that spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, nonnegativity, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ correlate with the corresponding properties of a certain Jacobi matrix. In the case $\beta_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, these matrices form a subclass of Jacobi matrices generated by the Krein–Stieltjes strings. The connection discovered enables us to obtain simple conditions for the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ to be self-adjoint, lower semibounded and discrete. These conditions depend significantly not only on β but also on X . Moreover, as distinct from the case $d_* > 0$, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ - and δ' -interactions in the case $d_* = 0$ substantially differ.

DOI: 10.1134/S1064562410030026

Let $\mathcal{I} := [0, b)$, $0 < b \leq +\infty$, and let $X = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{I}$ be a strictly increasing sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = b$ and

$x_0 := 0$. Let also $\beta := \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Consider a symmetric operator $H_{X, \beta}^0$ in $L^2(\mathcal{I})$ defined by the differential expression $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on functions $f \in W_{\text{comp}}^{2,2}(\mathcal{I} \setminus X)$ satisfying the following boundary conditions at the points $x_n \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(0) &= 0, \quad f'(x_n+) = f'(x_n-), \\ f(x_n+) - f(x_n-) &= \beta_n f(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Let us denote its closure by $H_{X, \beta}$. The operator $H_{X, \beta}$ is interpreted as the Hamiltonian with δ' -interactions of strength β_n at the centers x_n [2] and, as a rule, is associated with the formal differential expression

$$l_{X, \beta} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{x_n \in X} \beta_n (\cdot, \delta'_n) \delta'_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (2)$$

where $\delta_n := \delta(x - x_n)$ and $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta-function.

Spectral properties of the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ are widely studied in the case $d_* := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n > 0$, where $d_n := x_n - x_{n-1}$. Thus, it is known that the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ is self-adjoint [2] and its spectrum is not discrete in this case. Further results (investigation of spectrum, resolvent comparability etc.) as well as a comprehensive list of references can be found in [2, 14].

The cases $d_* = 0$ and $d_* > 0$ are significantly different. Recently, it has been found ([5, Theorem 4.7]) that $H_{X, \beta}$ is self-adjoint for any β if $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $d_* = 0$. To the best of our knowledge, other spectral properties of the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ in the case $d_* = 0$ have not been studied yet. Let us also mention the recent papers [3, 15] dealing with spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ' -interactions on compact subsets of \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure zero.

The main aim of this note is the spectral analysis of the Hamiltonian $H_{X, \beta}$ in the case $d_* = 0$. We investigate the operator $H_{X, \beta}$ in the framework of extension theory of symmetric operators using the concept of boundary triplets and the corresponding Weyl functions (see [7, 9]). Let us note that this approach was first applied by Kochubei [12] in the study of operators

¹ The article was translated by the authors.

^a Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland

^b Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics NASU, Ukraine

e-mail: duzer80@gmail.com, mmm@telenet.dn.ua

with point interactions. He investigated Hamiltonians with δ -interactions in the case $d_* > 0$. Let us stress that the main difficulty of this approach is the construction of an adequate boundary triplet.

In this note, we present a corresponding construction in the case $d_* = 0$ and show that the spectral properties like self-adjointness, lower semiboundedness, and discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ correlate with the corresponding spectral properties of the Jacobi matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ defined by (15) (see below). It turns out that this class of matrices is closely connected with the class of Krein–Stieltjes string operators. Namely, if $\beta_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then this class of matrices is a subclass of Krein–Stieltjes operators (see Section 5). Discovered connection enables us to obtain simple criteria for the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ to be self-adjoint, lower semi-bounded, and discrete. These conditions depend substantially not only on β but also on X . Moreover, as distinct from the case $d_* > 0$, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ - and δ' -interactions in the case $d_* = 0$ differ completely.

Detailed treatment of the results discussed in this note are given in [11].

1. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR DIRECT SUMS AND WEYL FUNCTIONS

Let A be a closed symmetric operator with dense domain $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and equal deficiency indices $n_+(A) = n_-(A)$ ($n_\pm(A) := \dim(\mathfrak{N}_{\pm i}), \mathfrak{N}_z := \ker(A^* - zI)$).

Definition 1 ([7]). A collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$, where \mathcal{H} is an auxiliary Hilbert space, Γ_0 and Γ_1 are linear mappings from $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ to \mathcal{H} , is called a boundary triplet for the operator A^* if the abstract Green identity holds

$$(A^*f, g) - (f, A^*g) = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (3)$$

for all $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(A^*)$,

and the mapping $\Gamma: f \rightarrow \{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\}$ from $\mathfrak{D}(A^*)$ to $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is surjective.

A boundary triplet is not unique and, moreover, it enables one to parameterize the set Ext_A of all proper extensions \tilde{A} ($A \subset \tilde{A} \subset A^*$) of the operator A in terms of abstract boundary conditions. Let $A_j := A^*|_{\ker(\Gamma_j)}$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. It is known that $A_j = A_j^*$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proposition 1 ([7]). Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for A^* . Then the mapping

$$(\text{Ext}_A \ni) \tilde{A} \rightarrow \Gamma \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{A})$$

$$= \{ \{ \Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f \} : f \in \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{A}) \} =: \Theta \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H}) \quad (4)$$

establishes a bijective correspondence between Ext_A and the set $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$ of closed linear relations in \mathcal{H} . Denote $A_\Theta := \tilde{A}$, where Θ is determined by Eq. (4). Then the following statements hold

- (i) $A_\Theta = A_\Theta^* \Leftrightarrow \Theta = \Theta^*$;
- (ii) A_Θ is symmetric $\Leftrightarrow \Theta$ is symmetric. Moreover, $n_\pm(A_\Theta) = n_\pm(\Theta)$;
- (iii) $\mathfrak{D}(A_\Theta) \cap \mathfrak{D}(A_0) = \mathfrak{D}(A) \Leftrightarrow \Theta \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{H})$. In this case Θ is called a boundary operator and relation (4) becomes

$$A_\Theta = A^* \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma_1 - \Theta \Gamma_0). \quad (5)$$

Definition 2 ([9]). Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for A^* . Operator valued function $M(\cdot): \rho(A_0) \rightarrow [\mathcal{H}]$ defined by

$$\Gamma_1 f_z = M(z) \Gamma_0 f_z, \quad f_z \in \ker(A^* - z), \quad z \in \rho(A_0), \quad (6)$$

is called the Weyl function corresponding to the boundary triplet Π .

Further, let S_n be a closed densely defined symmetric operator in \mathfrak{H}_n such that $n_+(S_n) = n_-(S_n) \leq \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathfrak{H}_n$, consider the operator $A := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty S_n$. Let also $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ be a boundary triplet for the operator S_n^* . Define a direct sum $\Pi := \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \Pi_n$ of boundary triplets Π_n by setting

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_n, \quad \Gamma_j := \bigoplus_{j=1}^\infty \Gamma_j^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_j: \mathfrak{D}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (7)$$

Green’s formulae (3) for operators $S_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N}$, yield validity of Green’s formula for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ and also vectors $f = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty f_n, g = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty g_n \in \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) \subset \mathfrak{D}(A^*)$, where

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_j) := \left\{ \varphi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \varphi_n : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Gamma_j^{(n)} \varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_n}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It is shown in [12] that a boundary triplet Π for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty S_n^*$ can be chosen in the form (7) if

there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}]$ such that all pairs $\{\rho_n S_n, \rho_n S_{n0}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are unitary equivalent to $\{S_1, S_{10}\}$. However, it is not difficult to

give an example of the operator $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty S_n$ for which a triplet (7) is not a boundary triplet for A^* . The reason is the following. The mapping $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}: \mathfrak{D}(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ may not fulfill the following necessary conditions:

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_0) \cap \mathfrak{D}(\Gamma_1) = \mathfrak{D}(A^*), \quad \text{ran}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

Next theorem provides criteria for the collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ of the form (7) to be a boundary triplet for the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$.

Theorem 1. Let $A = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, $\Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$

be a boundary triplet for the operator S_n^* , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be defined by (7). Then:

(i) the triplet $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary relation (in the sense of [8]) for the operator A^* ;

(ii) the collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary triplet for the operator A^* precisely when the mappings Γ_j are bounded, i.e.,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Gamma_0^{(n)}\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\Gamma_1^{(n)}\| < \infty; \tag{8}$$

(iii) the collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary triplet for the operator A^* if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\sup_n \|M_n(i)\| < \infty, \quad \sup_n \|(\operatorname{Im} M_n(i))^{-1}\| < \infty. \tag{9}$$

Here $M_n(\cdot)$ is the Weyl function corresponding to the triplet Π_n .

(iv) If $a_0 = \bar{a}_0 (\in \mathbb{R})$ is the point of a regular type of the operator A , then the collection $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary triplet for the operator A^* precisely when

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|M_n(a_0)\| < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|(M_n'(a_0))^{-1}\| < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Theorem 1 enables one to regularize an arbitrary collection of boundary triplets $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$ in such a way that the direct sum of the regularized triplets is a boundary triplet for the operator A^* . Namely, assume that $Q_n = Q_n^* \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$ and $R_n \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$ with $R_n^{-1} \in [\mathcal{H}_n]$. We set

$$\Gamma_0^{(n)} := R_n \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \quad \Gamma_1^{(n)} := (R_n^{-1})^* (\tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)} - Q_n \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}). \tag{11}$$

Corollary 1. Let $\tilde{\Pi}_n = \{\mathcal{H}_n, \tilde{\Gamma}_0^{(n)}, \tilde{\Gamma}_1^{(n)}\}$ be a boundary triplet for S_n^* , and let $\tilde{M}_n(\cdot)$ be the corresponding Weyl function, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \|(R_n^{-1})^* (\tilde{M}_n(i) - Q_n) R_n^{-1}\| < \infty, \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|R_n (\operatorname{Im} (\tilde{M}_n(i)))^{-1} R_n^*\| < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

then the direct sum $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pi_n$ (see (7)) of triplets $\Pi_n =$

$\{\mathcal{H}_n, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ defined by (11) is a boundary triplet for

the operator $A^* = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$.

Remark 1. The existence of the regularization (11) was first established in [13, Theorem 5.3]. Namely, in

relations (11) it is taken $Q_n := \operatorname{Re} \tilde{M}_n(i)$ and $R_n := \sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \tilde{M}_n(i)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [13]). However, let us stress that the latter regularization is not suitable for our aims since it does not lead to a parametrization of the Hamiltonians $H_{X, \beta}$ by Jacobi matrices.

2. BOUNDARY TRIPLETS FOR SCHRODINGER OPERATORS

Consider the minimal (closed) symmetric operator

$$H := H_{\min} := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \quad \mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}) = W_0^{2,2}(\mathcal{J} \setminus X). \tag{13}$$

It is clear that $n_{\pm}(H_{\min}) = \infty$ and the operator H_{\min}^* is defined by the same differential expression on the domain $\mathfrak{D}(H_{\min}^*) = W^{2,2}(\mathcal{J} \setminus X)$. It is easy to see that $H_{\min} =$

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n, \quad \text{where } H_n := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}, \quad \mathfrak{D}(H_n) = W_0^{2,2}[x_{n-1}, x_n].$$

Define the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0^{(n)} f &:= \begin{pmatrix} d_n^{1/2} f(x_{n-1}+) \\ -d_n^{1/2} f(x_n-) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Gamma_1^{(n)} f &:= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d_n f'(x_{n-1}+) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_n-))}{d_n^{3/2}} \\ \frac{d_n f'(x_n-) + (f(x_{n-1}+) - f(x_n-))}{d_n^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the triplet $\Pi_n = \{\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}\}$ is a boundary triplet for the operator H_n^* . Moreover, we have the following

Theorem 2. Let $d^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_n < \infty$ and let $\Gamma_0^{(n)}, \Gamma_1^{(n)}$

be defined by (14). Then the triplet $\Pi = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Pi_n = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ defined by (7) is a boundary triplet for the operator H_{\min}^* .

Proof. The condition $d^* < \infty$ yields that $z = 0$ is a point of a regular type for the operator H_{\min} . To complete the proof of Theorem 2 it suffices to check (10) at the point $z = 0$.

3. PARAMETRIZATION OF HAMILTONIANS WITH δ' -INTERACTIONS

For the remainder of this note, we can assume without loss of generality that $\beta_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, consider the semi-infinite Jacobi matrix

$$B_{X,\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{-2} & d_1^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_1^{-2} & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{d_1^{-1/2}d_2^{-1/2}}{\beta_1} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_1} + d_2^{-2} & d_2^{-2} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_2^{-2} & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_2^{-1/2}d_3^{-1/2}}{\beta_2} & \frac{d_3^{-1}}{\beta_2} + d_3^{-2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

In $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, the minimal symmetric operator is naturally associated with the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ (see [4]). We denote it also by $B_{X,\beta}$.

The following Lemma shows that the boundary operator Θ parameterizing $H_{X,\beta}$ via (5) is a Jacobi matrix of the form (15).

Lemma 1. *Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for H_{\min}^* defined in Theorem 2. Then $H_{X,\beta} = H_{B_{X,\beta}}$, that is*

$$H_{X,\beta} = H_{\min}^* \upharpoonright \mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}),$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(H_{X,\beta}) = \{f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R} \setminus X) : \Gamma_1 f = B_{X,\beta} \Gamma_0 f\}.$$

Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and some results from [7, 9] enable us to establish the following connection between spectral properties of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ and the Jacobi matrix (boundary operator) $B_{X,\beta}$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $d^* < \infty$. Then:*

- (i) *the following relation holds $n_{\pm}(H_{X,\beta}) = n_{\pm}(B_{X,\beta})$;*
- (ii) *the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is lower semibounded precisely when the operator $B_{X,\beta}$ is lower semibounded;*
- (iii) *if $n_{\pm}(H_{X,\beta}) = 0$, i.e., $H_{X,\beta} = H_{X,\beta}^*$, then the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete precisely when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$ and the spectrum of the operator $B_{X,\beta}$ is discrete.*

4. A CONNECTION WITH THE KREIN–STIELTJES STRING

It is clear that the parameterizing matrix of the form (15) admits the following factorization

$$B_{X,\beta} = R_X^{-1}(I + U)D_{X,\beta}^{-1}(I + U^*)R_X^{-1}, \tag{16}$$

where U is the unilateral shift operator in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and

$$D_{X,\beta} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} d_n & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_X := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{d_n} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{d_n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

We put $l_{2n-1} := d_n, l_{2n} := \beta_n, m_{2n-1} = m_{2n} := d_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the case $\beta_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the difference equation associated with the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ describes the motion of an inhomogeneous string (Krein–Stieltjes string) with mass distribution $\mathcal{M}(y) = \sum_{y_n < y} m_n$, where $y_n - y_{n-1} := l_n$

and $y_0 := 0$. This class of matrices is studied sufficiently well. In particular, criterion of self-adjointness (Hamburger’s Theorem [1, Theorem 0.5]) and criterion of discreteness of spectrum (the Kac–Krein Theorem [10]) are known and are formulated in terms of sequences $l = \{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $m = \{m_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. We hardly exploit these criteria as well as Theorem 3 in the following sections.

5. SELF-ADJOINTNESS

Combining Theorem 3 (i) with the representation (16), (17), we obtain

Theorem 4. *Deficiency indices of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ are equal and are not greater than one, $n_{+}(H_{X,\beta}) = n_{-}(H_{X,\beta}) \leq 1$. Furthermore, $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint if and only if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n = \infty$, i.e. $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}_+$;
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[d_{n+1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_i + d_i) \right|^2 \right] = \infty$.

Remark 3. In the case of positive strengths β_n , Theorem 4 follows from Hamburger’s Theorem [1]. In the case of arbitrary strengths, we use the formulae for $P_n(0)$ and $Q_n(0)$ [1, p. 291] and the self-adjointness criterion [4, Lemma VII.1.5].

Theorem 4 immediately yields the following result of Buschmann–Stolz–Weidmann [5, Theorem 4.7].

Corollary 2 ([5]). *If $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}_+$, then the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint.*

6. LOWER SEMIBOUNDED HAMILTONIANS

Using Theorem 3(ii) and the form of the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$, we arrive at the following result.

Proposition 2. *For the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ to be lower semibounded it is necessary that*

$$\beta_n^{-1} \geq -C_1 d_n - \min\{d_n^{-1}, d_{n+1}^{-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (18)$$

and sufficient that

$$\beta_n^{-1} \geq -C_2 \min\{d_n, d_{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (19)$$

Here C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3. *If $0 < d_* \leq d^* < \infty$, then the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is lower semi-bounded precisely when $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_n^{-1} > -\infty$.*

7. DISCRETENESS OF SPECTRUM

We set $\beta_n^- := \beta_n$ if $\beta_n < 0$ and $\beta_n^- := -\infty$ if $\beta_n > 0$. Applying the discreteness criterion [10] to the matrix $B_{X,\beta}$ and combining this with Theorem 3 (iii), we arrive at the following necessary conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $d_n \rightarrow 0$. The spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is not discrete if at least one of the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} d_j^3 > 0$;
- (ii) $\beta_n \geq -C d_n^3, n \in \mathbb{N}, C > 0$;
- (iii) $\beta_n^- \leq -C(d_n^{-1} + d_{n+1}^{-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}, C > 0$.

Corollary 4. *If either $\beta_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \notin \beta(\mathbb{N})$, then the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is not discrete.*

Proposition 3 shows that the spectrum of the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete in the very exceptional cases. For instance, the spectrum is not discrete if either $\beta \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ or if there exists a subsequence $\{\beta_{n_k}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_-$, which tends to $-\infty$ sufficiently fast. Furthermore, d_n must tend to zero sufficiently fast for the spectrum to be discrete. Sufficient conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ are given in the following

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\beta_n + d_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *If $b < +\infty$, and X and β are such that the operator $H_{X,\beta}$ is self-adjoint, then the spectrum of $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b - x_n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0. \quad (20)$$

(ii) *$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}_+$, then the spectrum of $H_{X,\beta}$ is discrete precisely when*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} d_j^3 = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (\beta_j + d_j) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Remark 4. Let us note that we use another parametrization of the Hamiltonian $H_{X,\beta}$ for proving Propositions 3 (i), (ii) and Theorem 5 (for the details, see [11, Sect. 6]).

8. ON THE NEGATIVE SPECTRUM OF THE OPERATOR $H_{X,\beta}$

Let T be a self-adjoint operator in \mathfrak{S} and let $E_T(\cdot)$ be its spectral function. Dimension of the negative subspace $E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{S}$ of the operator T is denoted by $\kappa_-(T)$, $\kappa_-(T) := \dim(E_T(-\infty, 0)\mathfrak{S})$.

Proposition 4. *Let $d^* < \infty$ and let $\kappa_-(\beta)$ be the number of negative elements in the sequence $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. If $H_{X,\beta} = H_{X,\beta}^*$, then*

$$\kappa_-(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(\beta). \quad (22)$$

In particular, $H_{X,\beta} > 0$ if $\beta_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $M(\cdot)$ be the Weyl function of the operator H_{\min} , which corresponds to the boundary triplet Π defined in Theorem 2. Using the form of the Weyl function, we get the following equality $M(0) := s\text{-}\lim_{x \rightarrow -0} M(x) = 0$. By [9, Theorem 4], $\kappa_-(H_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(B_{X,\beta} - M(0)) = \kappa_-(B_{X,\beta})$. On the other hand, by (16), (17), $\kappa_-(B_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(D_{X,\beta}) = \kappa_-(\beta)$.

Remark 5. Using rather different approaches, Proposition 4 was obtained in [15] under assumptions that $\kappa_-(\beta) = |\mathcal{X}| < \infty$, and also in [6] assuming that $|\mathcal{X}| = \infty$ and $d_* > 0$.

9. HAMILTONIANS WITH δ -INTERACTIONS

Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Consider the differential expression

$$l_{X,\alpha} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \delta(x - x_n), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (23)$$

In $L^2(\mathcal{F})$, one associates with (23) a closed symmetric operator $H_{X,\alpha}^0$, defined by the differential expression

$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on functions $f \in W_{\text{comp}}^{2,2}(\mathcal{F} \setminus X)$ satisfying the following boundary conditions at the points $x_n \in X$:

$$f'(0) = 0, \quad f(x_n+) = f(x_n-), \quad (24)$$

$$f'(x_n+) - f'(x_n-) = \alpha_n f(x_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $H_{X,\alpha}$ be its closure. The operator $H_{X,\alpha}$ is known as the Hamiltonian with δ -interactions of strengths α_n at the centers x_n [2].

The analogs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 hold true for the operator $H_{X,\alpha}$ (see [11, Sect. 5]) and the role of the matrix (15) is played by the Jacobi matrix

$$B_{X,\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} \right) & -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{1}{r_1 r_2 d_2} & \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{d_2} + \frac{1}{d_3} \right) & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \dots \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{r_2 r_3 d_3} & \frac{1}{r_3^2} \left(\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{d_3} + \frac{1}{d_4} \right) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

where $r_n := \sqrt{d_n + d_{n+1}}$ and $d_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that

$$B_{X,\alpha} = R_X^{-1} ((I - U) D_X^{-1} (I - U^*) + A_\alpha) R_X^{-1}, \tag{26}$$

where $A_\alpha = \text{diag}(\alpha_n)$, $D_X = \text{diag}(d_n)$, and $R_X = \text{diag}(r_n)$. By (16) and (26), the structure of matrices (15) and (25) are completely different in the case $d_* = 0$. Therefore, the spectral properties of Hamiltonians with δ' and δ -interactions on X are essentially different in this case (see [11]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author acknowledges the support from the ESI Junior Research Fellowship Programme and IRCSET Post-Doctoral Fellowship Program.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis* (Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961; Oliver and Boyd Ltd, Edinburgh, London, 1965).
2. S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn, and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Sec. Edition (AMS Chelsea Publ., 2005).
3. S. Albeverio and L. Nizhnik, *Math. Nachr.* **279**, 467 (2006).
4. Ju. M. Berezanskii, *Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Self-Adjoint Operators* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965;

Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS, 1968, Vol. 17).

5. D. Buschmann, G. Stolz, and J. Weidmann, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **467**, 169 (1995).
6. N. Goloschapova and L. Oridoroga, *Integr. Equations Oper. Theory* **67** (1), 1–14 (2010); DOI 10.1007/s00020-010-1759-x.
7. V. I. Gorbachuk and M. L. Gorbachuk, *Boundary Value Problems for Operator-Differential Equations* (Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984; Mathematics and Its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991, Vol. 48).
8. V. A. Derkach, S. Hassi, M. M. Malamud, and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **358** (12), 5351 (2006).
9. V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *J. Fund. Anal.* **95**, 1 (1991).
10. I. S. Kac and M. G. Krein, *Izv. VUZov, Ser. Matem.* **2** (3), 136 (1958).
11. A. S. Kostenko and M. Malamud, *J. Differ. Equations* (2010), DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2010.02.011.
12. A. N. Kochubei, *Ukr. Matem. Zhurn.* **41** (10), 1391 (1989) [*Ukr. Math. J.* **41**, 1391 (1989)].
13. M. M. Malamud and H. Neidhardt, arXiv:0907.0650v1.
14. V. A. Mikhajlets, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **348** (6), 727 (1996).
15. L. P. Nizhnik, *Funktsion. Analiz i Ego Prilozh.* **37** (1), 85 (2003) [*Funct. Anal. Appl.* **37** (1), 72 (2003)].