

Technological University Dublin ARROW@TU Dublin

#### Articles

NanoLab

2018

# Application of Box-Behnken Experimental Design for the Formulation and Optimisation of Selenomethionine-Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles Coated with Zein for Oral Delivery.

Giuliana Vozza Technological University Dublin

Minna Danish Technological University Dublin

Hugh Byrne Technological University Dublin, hugh.byrne@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/nanolart

Part of the Physics Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Ryan, S. et al. (2018) Application of Box-Behnken Experimental Design for the Formulation and Optimisation of Selenomethionine-Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles Coated with Zein for Oral Delivery, *Int J Pharm*.2018 Nov 15;551(1-2):257-269. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.08.050

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the NanoLab at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

# Authors

Giuliana Vozza, Minna Danish, Hugh Byrne, Jesus Maria Frias, and Sinéad M. Ryan

This article is available at ARROW@TU Dublin: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/nanolart/89

1	Application of Box-Behnken experimental design for the formulation and
2	optimisation of selenomethionine-loaded chitosan nanoparticles coated with
3	zein for oral delivery
4	
5	Giuliana Vozza <sup>a b</sup> , Minna Danish <sup>a b</sup> , Hugh J. Byrne <sup>b</sup> , Jesús M. Frías <sup>c</sup> , Sinéad M. Ryan <sup>d,*</sup>
6	<sup>a</sup> School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology,
7	Marlborough Street, Dublin 1, Ireland
8	<sup>b</sup> FOCAS Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin Street, Dublin 8, Ireland
9	<sup>c</sup> Environmental Science and Health Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Grangegorman,
10	<sup>d,</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
11	Dublin 7, Ireland
12	* Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>Sinead.Ryan@ucd.ie</u>
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### 26 Abstract:

27 Selenomethionine is an essential amino acid with a narrow therapeutic index and 28 susceptibility to oxidation. Here it was encapsulated into a nanoparticle composed of chitosan cross-linked with tripolyphosphate for oral delivery. The formulation was optimised using a 29 30 three-factor Box-Behnken experimental design. The chitosan:tripolyphosphate ratio, chitosan 31 solvent pH, and drug load concentration were independently varied. The dependent variables 32 studied were encapsulation efficiency, particle size, polydispersity index and zeta potential. 33 For optimisation, encapsulation efficiency and zeta potential were maximised, particle 34 diameter was set to 300 nm and polydispersity index was minimised. A 0.15mg/mL 35 concentration of selenomethionine, chitosan solvent pH of 3, and chitosan:tripolyphosphate 36 ratio of 6:1 yielded optimum nanoparticles of size 187±58nm, polydispersity index 37 0.24±0.01, zeta potential 36±6mV, and encapsulation efficiency of 39±3%. Encapsulation 38 efficiency was doubled to 80±1.5% by varying pH of the ionotropic solution components and 39 by subsequent coating of the NPs with zein, increasing NP diameter to 377±47nm, whilst 40 retaining polydispersity index and zeta potential values. Selenomethionine-entrapped 41 nanoparticles were not cytotoxic to intestinal and liver cell lines. Accelerated thermal 42 stability studies indicated good stability of the nanoparticles under normal storage conditions 43 (23°C). In simulated gastrointestinal and intestinal fluid conditions, 60% cumulative release 44 was obtained over 6 hours.

- 45
- 46

47

- 48
- 49
- 50 Keywords:

51

#### 53 Abbreviations

BBD, Box-Behnken design; CL113, PROTASAN™ UP; Cs, Chitosan; DLS, dynamic light
scattering; EE%, Encapsulation efficiency; GRAS, Generally recognised as safe; LDV, laser
doppler velocimetry; MSC, methylselenocysteine; NP, nanoparticle; PDI, Polydispersity; pI,
Isoelectric point; SeCys, selenocysteine; SeMet, Selenomethionine; TPP, Tripolyphosphate;
ZP, Zeta potential.

chitosan, zein, selenomethionine, nanoparticles, Box-Behnken design, oral delivery

# 59 **1 INTRODUCTION**

Selenium is an essential micronutrient in human and animal nutrition (Rayman, 2000), that 60 61 exists in a wide array of different formats, both organic and inorganic, better known as 62 speciation. Selenomethionine (SeMet), the selenium analogue of methionine, is the predominant form of organic Se found in foods from the Brassica and Allium families (Reilly 63 64 et al., 2014). SeMet is used for oral supplementation due to its capacity to be non-specifically 65 incorporated into body proteins in place of methionine (Rayman et al., 2008). The potential 66 health benefits of selenium are dependent on its chemical species, and several studies have 67 suggested a possible role in cancer prevention (Nie et al., 2016), increased immunological status (Narayan et al., 2015) and increased fertility (Shanmugam et al., 2015). SeMet may 68 69 also to have a number of benefits regarding oncology treatments due to its modulation of the 70 therapeutic efficacy and selectivity of anticancer drugs (Evans et al., 2017), capacity to 71 provide protection of normal tissues from the toxicities associated with chemotherapy and 72 radiation treatments, in addition to enhancing their anti-tumour effects (Chintala et al., 2012; 73 Mix et al., 2015; Panchuk et al., 2016). It may also have some potential in degenerative 74 disease by decreasing oxidative stress of small molecule antioxidants used as a buffer for free 75 radicals in brain tissue (Reddy et al., 2017; Song et al., 2014). However, the oral delivery of 76 SeMet can be challenging due to the distinctive electronegativity and atomic radius of the 77 selenium atom (i.e. larger radius and lower electronegativity than sulphur,) that makes it 78 easier for low valence state Se compounds to be more readily oxidised compared to their 79 sulphur counter parts (Xu et al., 2013). SeMet is readily oxidised (Davies, 2016) and, even 80 though it is less toxic than inorganic selenium (Se), it still has a low therapeutic index 81 (Takahashi et al., 2017). Oral delivery formulations of SeMet therefore need to consider the 82 balance between doses that exert beneficial effects and those which may potentially be toxic. 83

Inorganic Se species such as selenite (Se $O_3^{2-}$ ) and elemental selenium (Se<sub>0</sub>), together with 84 85 methylseleninic acid, have been formulated to nano-enabled delivery systems which 86 exhibited improved bioactivity with reduced cytotoxicity in vitro (Forootanfar et al., 2014; 87 Loeschner et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008). Nanoparticles (NPs) can be more biologically 88 active due to their enhanced surface area per mass compared with larger-sized particles of the 89 same chemistry (Oberdörster et al., 2005). By using NPs as a drug delivery vehicle, it might 90 be possible to enhance a range of characteristics for a given bioactive, including; increased 91 protection and stability (Nair et al., 2010) and suitability to increase bioavailability by non-92 parenteral routes of administration including oral, pulmonary and topical applications 93 (Helson, 2013).

94

The natural polymer chitosan (Cs) is a mucopolysaccharide, closely related to cellulose and obtained by deacetylation of the compound chitin, predominantly found in the exoskeletons of crustaceans (Nagpal et al., 2010). Cs has been used for the development and formulation of nanoparticles by ionotropic gelation due to its physicochemical and biological beneficial properties (Mohammed et al., 2017; H. Zhang et al., 2015). Benefits include improved

adherence to mucosal surfaces, increased drug residence time (Ryan et al., 2012), and
protection of the bioactive drug from intestinal proteases (Amaro et al., 2015; Ryan et al.,
2013). In acidic medium, Cs can be dissolved, due to protonation of the amine residues
present in the polymer backbone. Ionotropic gelation allows for the formation of NPs from
Cs via crosslinking with oppositely-charged electrolytes under mild conditions in which
amino acids and peptides will remain reasonably stable (Chen et al., 2013; Janes et al., 2001;
Wang et al., 2011).

107

108 Zein a GRAS approved prolamine-rich protein derived from maize, has been used in the 109 formulation and coating of peptide oral delivery systems (Y. Zhang et al., 2015), to increase 110 encapsulation efficiency (Luo and Wang, 2014) and improve the control of gastric release of 111 labile bioactives (Luo et al., 2010; Paliwal and Palakurthi, 2014). By exploiting the physical 112 interactions between protein and polysaccharide (in this instance zein and Cs), it is possible 113 to improve and broaden the physical and chemical stability properties of the NP delivery 114 systems (Benshitrit et al., 2012). However, the formulation, characterisation and development 115 of these multi-component systems can be more challenging than single component systems 116 and as such, it is important to comprehensively optimise the formulation process. To the best 117 of our knowledge, there are currently no reports which describe the formulation of biological 118 Se species such as SeMet into a NP delivery system. The potential optimisation of this 119 formulation could be significant, given that SeMet more effectively increases human and 120 animal selenium levels and is less toxic than inorganic Se (Garousi, 2015).

121

In situations where several variables may influence system properties, a useful technique to
identify the relationships between a given response and independent variables (or factors) and
optimise the system, is Response Surface Methodology (RSM) (Anderson and Whitcomb,

125 2005). RSM is a more efficient approach to experimentation than one factor at a time (OFAT) 126 experiments since it: 1) reduces the number of experimental runs typically required to gather 127 the same information as OFAT, thus reducing resource requirements, 2) is useful in detecting 128 interdependencies of variables that would not be typically identified during OFAT 129 experiments and 3) improves the prediction of a response through use of gathered 130 information from a larger parameter space. One of the most commonly applied RSM designs 131 for process optimisation with a minimal experimental requirement is the Box-Benhken design 132 (BBD), an independent quadratic design in which factor combinations are considered at 3 133 levels; the midpoints of edges of the process space and the centre (Traynor et al., 2013; 134 Zolgharnein et al., 2013). After polynomial models for each of the different responses in a 135 study have been completed, a desirability function may be constructed in order to estimate 136 minima or maxima, provided such optima are within the design space (Bezerra et al., 2008). 137

138 In this study, SeMet was formulated into nanoparticles consisting of Cs and zein using 139 ionotropic gelation. After evaluating the main variables which affect encapsulation 140 efficiency, particle size and drug loading, a systematic approach (RSM) was used to optimise 141 the formulation of nanoparticles suitable for oral delivery. A three-level, three-factor BBD 142 was utilised to build polynomial models for the three responses and a desirability function 143 was then constructed to optimise the system. Optimised SeMet NPs were prepared based on 144 the predicted optimum levels of the independent variables of the factorial design. To ensure 145 stability of the optimised formulation after lyophilisation, a cryoprotectant (trehalose) was 146 also included (Danish et al., 2017a). The physicochemical properties, storage stability, 147 cytotoxicity, and the release profile in a simulated intestinal buffer were assessed.

# 148 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 149 **2.1 Materials**

150 The chitosan ultrapure PROTASAN<sup>™</sup> UP (CL113, Mw=110-150kDa, DDA=85%,

151 Endotoxins  $\leq$  100 EU/gram, Heavy metals $\leq$  40 ppm) was purchased from NovaMatrix, FMC

- 152 Corporations, Norway. DL-selenomethionine, D(+)-Trehalose dihydrate, and zein, of  $\geq 99\%$
- 153 purity, were obtained from ACROS Organics<sup>TM</sup>, Fisher Scientific, Ireland. Ultra-pure water
- 154  $18m\Omega cm^{-1}$  was obtained from a Millipore simplicity 185 model instrument, UK, and was
- used for all aqueous solution preparations throughout. Sodium Tripolyphosphate (TPP) of
- 156 technical grade (85%), and all other reagents, chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade
- 157 from Sigma Aldrich, Ireland.

#### 158 **2.2** Optimisation of nanoparticle formulation physicochemical properties

- 159 A BBD was used to optimise the formulation and EE% of SeMet into the nanoparticle.
- 160 Selected target physicochemical properties for oral delivery for the NPs were particle size of
- approximately 300 nm, PDI < 0.5 and ZP > 30 mV (des Rieux et al., 2006). A three level,
- 162 three factor BBD (Maleki Dizaj et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2013) of 15 random order
- 163 experiments was designed using Minitab<sup>TM</sup> 17 (Pennsylvania, USA). The 3 independent
- 164 variables were, (X<sub>1</sub>) the pH of the Cs solvent the isoelectric point (pI) of SeMet, (pH-pI)
- 165  $(X_2)$ , the load concentration of SeMet and  $(X_3)$  the ratio of Cs:TPP, while  $(Y_1)$  Particle size,
- 166  $(Y_2)$  PDI,  $(Y_3)$  ZP and  $(Y_4)$  EE% were the dependent variables. The variable ranges (Table
- 167 1) were based on an exploratory study.
- Each dependant variable was independently assessed by linear regression using a 2<sup>nd</sup> degree
   polynomial model with 1<sup>st</sup> order interactions (Eq. 1).
- 170

171 
$$\mathbf{Y}_{i} = \mathbf{b}_{0} + \mathbf{b}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{2}\mathbf{X}_{2} + \mathbf{b}_{3}\mathbf{X}_{3} + \mathbf{b}_{12}\mathbf{X}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{2} + \mathbf{b}_{13}\mathbf{X}_{1}\mathbf{X}_{3} + \mathbf{b}_{23}\mathbf{X}_{2}\mathbf{X}_{3} + \mathbf{b}_{11}\mathbf{X}_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{b}_{22}\mathbf{X}_{2}^{2} + \mathbf{b}_{33}\mathbf{X}_{3}^{2} + \varepsilon$$
172 (Eq. 1)

173	where $Y_i$ is the measure of the response associated with each factor level combination, $b_0$ is
174	an intercept, $b_1$ - $b_{33}$ are the regression coefficients, $X_1$ - $X_3$ are the coded independent variables
175	and the $X_iX_j$ and $X_i^2$ (i,j = 1, 2, 3) denote the interactive and quadratic terms, respectively. The
176	linear regression and the significance (p<0.05) test of independent variables and their
177	interactions was assessed by statistical software (Minitab <sup>TM</sup> ,17) to generate regression
178	models. Through bidirectional elimination (testing at each step for variables to be included or
179	excluded), non-significant terms were removed from the model in order to calculate
180	regression equations with significant terms only (Wang et al., 2013). Desirability functions
181	to optimise all responses were built the weighted geometric mean of individual desirabilities
182	presented in Table 1.

## 184 **Table 1: Variables and levels employed in the BBD with desirability function for**

185 optimisation of nanoparticle formulation.

Factor (Independent variables)	Levels use	d, (Actual co	oded)
$X_1 = pH$ of the Cs solvent – the pI of SeMet (pH- pI)	0.5	1.5	2.5
$X_2 =$ SeMet concentration (mg/mL)	0.05	0.15	0.25
$X_3 = $ ratio of Cs:TPP	4:1	6:1	8:1
Dependent Variables	Composite des	sirability	
$Y_1$ = Size (nm)	Target of 300n	m	
$Y_2 = PDI$	Minimise		
$Y_3 = ZP (mV)$	Maximise		
$Y_4$ = Encapsulation efficiency (EE%)	Maximise		

186

187 Response surface plots, statistical testing of the linear models and identification of optimum

188 formulations *via* feasibility and grid searches was performed to study the optimal area

189 (Barrentine, 1999). Finally, repetitions (N=4) of the optimal point found were conducted

190 experimentally to validate the study.

#### 191 2.3 Preparation of SeMet loaded Cs:TPP nanoparticles

192 SeMet-entrapped NPs were produced using a modified ionic gelation method (Calvo et al., 193 1997). Briefly, Cs was dissolved in buffered pH medium (3, 4 or 5 pH) at a concentration of 194 3 mg/mL and filtered through a 0.22 µm syringe filter (Millex Millipore, UK) to remove 195 undissolved Cs. A known amount of SeMet was then added to the Cs solution prior to 196 crosslinking to obtain a final load concentration 0.05, 0.150 or 0.250 mg/mL. TPP was added 197 dropwise to the solution under stirring at 700 rpm and room temperature to yield final mass 198 ratios of Cs:TPP NPs of 4:1, 6:1 and 8:1. All of these experimental parameters (pH, 199 concentrations and ratios) were prepared according to the BBD design. The NP suspension 200 was stirred at 700 rpm for 30 min at room temperature for further crosslinking. After 201 stabilisation, NPs were then transferred to a 30 kDa molecular weight cut off (Vivaspin 20, 202 Sartorius) centrifugal filter and isolated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 30 min. Filtered 203 H<sub>2</sub>O (equivalent in volume to the recovered supernatant) was then added to the isolated NPs 204 and sonicated at 35 % amplitude for 30 s with 5 s pulse intervals. Physicochemical properties 205 of the NPs were then determined as per section 2.4, using a Malvern Zetasizer NanoZS 206 (Worcestershire, UK) and the supernatant was retained for EE% determination as outlined in 207 section 2.7. The optimised formulation has a mass ratio 6:1 (Cs:TPP), Cs media (pH 5), and a 208 final SeMet load concentration of 0.15 mg/mL.

# 209 2.3.1 Increase of Ionisation/Protonation states of NP components during ionic gelation to 210 increase EE% - Formulation I

211 After optimising the general physicochemical properties via BBD (section 2.2), the ionic

gelation component preparation procedure was modified with the aim of increasing the EE %.

213 Formulation I was produced as described in section 2.3 with one exception; SeMet and TPP

214 were dissolved and diluted with NaOH (0.01 M) prior to crosslinking. The rationale for the

215 pH adjustment was to induce higher electrostatic interactions (i.e. maximise the cationic

component of Cs and the anionic component of SeMet) between SeMet and Cs during thecrosslinking process.

#### 218 2.3.2 Coating NPs with zein to increase EE%

219 NPs were prepared as per formulation I (ratio 6:1 (Cs:TPP), Cs media (pH 3), TPP/SeMet 220 NaOH solution (pH 11) and a final load concentration of 0.15 mg/mL), with the following 221 modifications; after the NPs had stabilised, 8 mL of absolute EtOH were added dropwise to 222 the formulation whilst the stirring speed of the solution was maintained at 700 rpm for 30 min 223 at room temperature. Zein (10 mg/mL dissolved in 80 % EtOH and filtered) was added 224 dropwise to yield zein: Cs mass ratios of 0.5:1, 1:1 and 2:1, stabilised at 700 rpm for 30 min 225 and isolated as per section 2.3. The NP formulations were then concentrated under vacuum 226 (175 mbar) at 40 °C until EtOH was completely removed. To ensure stability of the 227 optimised formulation after lyophilisation, 10 mL of the cryoprotectant trehalose 5 % w/v in 228 H<sub>2</sub>O was added to each formulation and lyophilised for 36 hr (Danish et al., 2017a).

229

#### 230 **2.4** Nanoparticle Characterisation: Particle size, PDI and surface charge

231 Freshly prepared NP solutions were used for physicochemical analysis (Luo et al., 2010). The 232 mean particle size and PDI of the NP formulations were determined by dynamic light 233 scattering (DLS). The ZP values were measured with the use of laser doppler velocimetry 234 (LDV). Both DLS and LDV analysis were performed in triplicate at 25 °C with a Zetasizer Nano series Nano-ZS ZEN3600 fitted with a 633 nm laser (Malvern Instruments Ltd., UK), 235 236 using a folded capillary cuvette (Folded capillary cell-DTS1060, Malvern, UK). The values 237 presented herein were acquired from three separate experiments, each of which included 238 three replicates; N=3.

#### 239 **2.5** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

NP morphology was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Hitachi, SU6600
FESEM, USA), at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, unless otherwise stated, using the
secondary electron detector. The fresh NP solutions were then spin coated onto Si wafers,
dried at room temperature and then sputter coated with 4 nm Au/Pd prior to imaging
(Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013).

#### 245 **2.6** Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

FTIR spectra of CL113, TPP, Cs:TPP NPs, SeMet and SeMet loaded Cs:TPP NPs were acquired via a Spotlight 400 series spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, USA), using the attenuated total reflectance spectroscopy method (ATR-FTIR), in the range of 650-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to analysis, NP samples were lyophilised using a FreeZone 6 L bench top freeze dry system (Labconco, USA) at -40 °C for 20 hr. The dried solids were then placed on the ATR crystal prism (ZnSe), and 32 scans were acquired at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution with background subtraction using the empty sample holder (Vongchan et al., 2011).

#### 253 2.7 EE% of SeMet in Cs:TPP nanoparticles

254 The EE% of SeMet in the NPs was determined by the separation and quantification of SeMet 255 left in the supernatant. This was performed by ultracentrifugation at 3000 rpm, 4 °C for 30 256 min. SeMet in the supernatant was quantified by reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), as previously described (Ward et al., 2012) with the following 257 258 modifications. Samples were analysed with a Waters 2998 HPLC and Photodiode Array 259 Detector, (Waters, USA), using a Poroshell 120, EC-C8 column, 3.0 x 100 mm, 2.7 µm, 260 (Agilent Technologies, UK). Isocratic elution was carried out at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, column temperature  $45.0 \pm 5.0$  °C with a mobile phase of water/methanol/trifluoroacetic acid 261

262 (97.9:2.0:0.1). Samples were monitored according to their UV absorbance at 218 nm. The
263 encapsulation efficiency was calculated by Eq. 2 (Xu and Du, 2003);

264

265 
$$EE\% = \frac{Total \ amount \ of \ Se \ Met-Free \ amount \ of \ SeMet}{Total \ amount \ of \ SeMet} X \ 100$$

266

(Eq. 2)

### 267 2.8 MTS assay

268 The potential cytotoxicity of pure SeMet, SeMet loaded NPs and unloaded NPs (coated with 269 zein) were examined on Caco-2 human epithelial cells, and HepG2 human liver 270 hepatocellular cells. Both cell lines are routinely employed to assess the potential toxicity of 271 orally delivered compounds (Brayden et al., 2015; Gleeson et al., 2015). Caco-2 and HepG2 cells, were seeded at a density of  $2 \times 10^4$  cells/well and cultured on 96 well plates in 272 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) and Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium 273 274 (EMEM) respectively, supplemented with 10 % foetal bovine serum, 1 % L-glutamine, 1 % 275 penicillin-streptomycin and 1 % non-essential amino acids at 37 °C in a humidified incubator 276 with 5 % CO<sub>2</sub> and 95 % O<sub>2</sub>. Time points were selected with the intention to mimic in vivo 277 conditions for each cell type. As the maximum time NPs will be exposed to the intestine, a 4 278 hr exposure time was used in Caco-2 cell lines (Neves et al., 2016), to mimic the liver, a 72-279 h exposure time was used for HepG2 cell lines (Brayden et al., 2014). Triton X-100<sup>™</sup> 280 (0.05%) was used as a positive control. The concentrations of the test compounds applied 281 were 25, 50 and 100 µM. After exposure, treatments were removed and replaced with MTS 282 (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-283 tetrazolium). Optical density (OD) was measured at 490 nm using a microplate reader 284 (TECAN GENios, Grodig, Austria). Each value presented was normalised against untreated 285 control and calculated from three separate experiments, each of which included six replicates.

#### 286 **2.9** Accelerated stability analysis

287 NPs were suspended at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL, in aqueous KCl solution (10 mM) and stored at accelerated conditions; 60 °C for 720 min, 70 °C for 300 min and 80 °C for 120 min 288 289 (Danish et al., 2017b). The particle size, PDI and ZP were measured using the Nanosizer ZS 290 (Malvern Instruments Ltd, UK) over time intervals to determine the degree of degradation. 291 The generated data was then analysed via R software (R Core Team, 2016). The temperature dependence of the kinetic parameters of SeMet-loaded NPs stability was measured by 292 293 calculating the observed rate constants. This was plotted in an Arrhenius representation and 294 apparent activation energy,  $E_a$  and reaction rate constant,  $k_{ref}$  were calculated according to Eq. 295 3;

$$P = Po + e^{ln(k) - \frac{Ea}{R}(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}})}t$$

(Eq.3)

296

where P is the property (particle size, PDI or ZP) at time t, Po is the initial property conditions, k is the apparent zero order reaction constant,  $E_a$  is the energy of activation, R is the universal gas constant, T is the temperature of the experiment in Kelvin (K) and  $T_{ref}$  is the reference temperature (343 K).

#### 301 **2.10** *In vitro* controlled release studies

302 SeMet release from the NPs was carried out using a dialysis bag diffusion technique
303 (Hosseinzadeh et al., 2012) over 6 hr (Calderon L. et al., 2013; Yoon et al., 2014). Freeze
304 dried SeMet loaded NPs were suspended in 5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and sonicated at 35 % amplitude for

- 305 30 s with 5 s intervals and placed into a Float-A-Lyzer<sup>®</sup>G2 dialysis membrane with a pore
- 306 size of 25 kDa (Spectrum Laboratories, USA). The sample was placed into 40 mL of
- 307 simulated gastric fluid (SGF) or simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) specified according to the
- 308 British Pharmacopoeia (Pharmacopoeia, 2016). SGF was composed of 0.1 M HCL and SIF

was composed of 1 volume of 0.2 M trisodium phosphate dodecahydrate and 3 volumes of
0.1 M HCL (adjusted to pH 6.8), without enzymes (British Pharmacopoeia Commission,
2016). Samples were placed in a thermostatic shaker at 37 °C and agitated at 100 rpm. At
predetermined time points, 1 mL of release fluid was analysed and replaced with simulated
fluid to maintain sink conditions.
SeMet release was measured by RP-HPLC (section 2.7). Eq. (4) was used to determine the %

- 316 drug release;
- 317

$$Drug_{rel}\% = \frac{C(t)}{C(l)} * 100$$

318 (Eq. 4) 319 where  $Drug_{rel}$  is the percentage of SeMet released, C(l) represents the concentration of drug 320 loaded and C(t) represents the amount of drug released at time t, respectively.

321

322 **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** 

### 323 **3.1 Response Surface Modelling – Box Behnken design**

324 The observed values for all 15 experiments described by the BBD yielded minimum and

325 maximum values for Size (Y<sub>1</sub>) (152, 318 nm), PDI (Y<sub>2</sub>) (0.218, 0.554), ZP (Y<sub>3</sub>) (26.0, 42.7

326 mV) and EE% (Y<sub>4</sub>) of, (24.7, 41.4 %). The reduced models resulting from the analysis are

327 presented in table 2. Response Y<sub>1</sub> showed no significant terms in the model, suggesting that

- 328 there was no evidence in the sample population that could prove the association of the
- 329 independent variables with particle size. This finding is unsurprising, as the NPs produced in
- this study fell within a narrow target region (152, 318 nm) and the regions of interest chosen

- in this study (e.g. Cs:TPP 4:1-8:1, pH 3-5), to produce the Cs NPs, have been well
- established for yielding particle sizes within 100-400 nm range (Hassani et al., 2015;
- 333 Mohammed et al., 2017; Sipoli et al., 2015).
- 334
- 335 Responses  $Y_2$ - $Y_4$  (PDI, ZP and EE% respectively) all showed a curvature with regards to  $X_1$ -
- 336 X<sub>3</sub>, as quadratic or interactive effects of some independent variables were statistically
- 337 significant in all the models.
- 338

339 Table 2: Coded variable estimated coefficients (Coef) with associated standard error (SE Coef.)

Y <sub>2</sub> ( <b>PDI</b> )			<b>Y</b> <sub>3</sub> ( <b>ZP</b> )			Y <sub>4</sub> (EE%)		
Term	Coef	SE Coef	Term	Coef	SE Coef	Term	Coef	SE Coef
Constant	0.30833	0.00630	Constant	35.534	0.293	Constant	31.731	0.814
X <sub>1</sub> (pH-pI)	0.05150	0.00386	X <sub>1</sub> (pH-pI)	6.405	0.300	X <sub>1</sub> (pH-pI)	6.113	0.599
X <sub>2</sub> (SeMet	0.00838	0.00386	X <sub>2</sub> (SeMet			X <sub>2</sub> (SeMet		
(mg/mL))	0.00050	0.00580	(mg/mL))	-	-	(mg/mL))	-	-
X <sub>3</sub> (Ratio	0.05213	0.00386	X <sub>3</sub> (Ratio of			X <sub>3</sub> (Ratio of		
of Cs:TPP)	0.05215	0.00380	Cs:TPP)	1.245	0.300	Cs:TPP)	0.487	0.599
$X_1 * X_1$	-0.06129	0.00568	$X_1 * X_1$	-	-	$X_1 * X_1$	3.821	0.879
$X_2 * X_2$	0.10396	0.00568	X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>2</sub>	-	-	X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>2</sub>	-	-
X <sub>3</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	0.06446	0.00568	X <sub>3</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-2.626	0.419	X <sub>3</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-3.529	0.879
$X_1 * X_2$	-0.01750	0.00545	$X_1 * X_2$	-	-	$X_1 * X_2$	-	-
$X_1 * X_3$	0.01650	0.00545	X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-	-	X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-	-
$X_2 * X_3$	-0.04025	0.00545	X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-	-	X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	-	-
Lack of fit	0.27		Lack of fit	0.09		Lack of fit	0.62	
R <sup>2</sup> adjusted	98.66%		R <sup>2</sup> adjusted	98.65%		R <sup>2</sup> adjusted	90.82%	
Eq. (5)	$Y_{2} = 0.592 + 0.212 X_{1}$ - 1.565 X <sub>2</sub> - 0.1495 X <sub>3</sub> - 0.06 X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>1</sub> + 10.40 X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>2</sub> + 0.01611 X <sub>3</sub> *X <sub>3</sub> - 0.175 X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>2</sub> + 0.00825 X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>3</sub> - 0.2012 X <sub>2</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>		Eq. (6)	Y <sub>3</sub> = -1.21 + 6 8.49 X <sub>3</sub> - 0.65	5.405 X <sub>1</sub> + 588 X <sub>3</sub> *X <sub>3</sub>	Eq. (7)	$Y_4 = -2.06 + 3.10.83 X_3 + 3.10.882 X_3 + 3.10.882 X_3 + 3.10.882 X_3 + 3.10.100 X_3 + 3.100 X_3 + 3.1000 X_3 + 3.1000 X_3 $	5.35 X <sub>1</sub> + 821 X <sub>1</sub> *X <sub>1</sub>

340 and uncoded reduced regression equations for  $Y_2$ - $Y_4$ .

341

In table 2 (Eq. 5), PDI was mostly affected by the quadratic effects of X<sub>2</sub>\*X<sub>2</sub>, which showed 342 343 a positive correlation such that, at -1 and +1 levels of  $X_2$ , the PDI increased. It is also worth 344 noting that the linear term of X<sub>2</sub> showed a negative coefficient, indicating that X<sub>2</sub> has a 345 negative effect on PDI until a turning point is reached, whereafter X<sub>2</sub>\*X<sub>2</sub> has a positive 346 impact on PDI. Similar findings were reported by Masarudin et al., (2015), who found that 347 ratios of Cs:TPP less than 3:1 and greater than 12:1, resulted in a significant increase of the 348 formed NPs PDI values (>0.75). Additionally, they found that the NPs produced at ratios 349 between (median levels) the aformentioned upper and lower bounds resulted in NPs with PDI 350 values ranging from 0.15-0.32. A contour plot based on the regression model is presented in 351 Figure 1(A) and highlights this, showing that, at medium load concentrations of 0.15 mg/mL 352 and medium/low ratios of Cs:TPP (4.75:1 to 5.5:1), a minimum value for PDI can be achieved. Conversely, as the concentration of TPP passes median levels PDI increases, which 353 354 may be attributable to TPP inducing cross-linking between the nanoparticles and thus the

presence of smaller aggregates within the solution (Antoniou et al., 2015a; Hu et al., 2008). Furthermore, the reduction of PDI as the concentration of TPP approaches median levels, has been shown to relate with the increased availability of TPP molecules to interact with the free amino groups of chitosan, thus allowing for additional incorporation of the anion within the nanoparticle chitosan chains (Huang and Lapitsky, 2017). Although PDI can be minimised at these particular levels, it is worth noting that the PDI values for all formulations fell within optimum values for oral delivery (Wong et al., 2017).

362

363 The results presented in table 2 (Eq.6) show that ZP was not affected by X<sub>2</sub>, although it was 364 affected by X<sub>1</sub> (pH-pI) and X<sub>3</sub> (Cs:TPP), indicating that the pH of the formulation medium 365 and the electrostatic forces between the ionizable groups of Cs and TPP determine the net 366 charge of the produced particles. It is likely that this is a direct consequence of the binding 367 between the anionic phosphate groups of TPP with the positively charged amino acid 368 moieties of Cs (Rampino et al., 2013), for example, a positive correlation was observed, such 369 that ZP increased with increasing ratios. In addition, X<sub>1</sub> also showed a prominent effect on 370 ZP, whereby an increase in ZP is observed as  $X_1$  increases. The contour plot representing the reduced regression model (Figure 1(B)), demonstrates that low  $X_1$  levels (pH 5 - 4.2) and low 371 372 ratios of Cs:TPP (4.0/4.5:1) are the areas where NPs of ZP <30mV were obtained (with a 373 minimum of 27 mV). As X<sub>1</sub> increases (approximately pH 3.5), an increment in ZP was 374 observed and maximum values of >39 mV are achieved between  $X_3$  (ratio) of 4.5:1 - 7.5:1. 375 This is in agreement with previous studies by Antoniou et al., (2015), that showed at Cs: TPP mass ratios of 7:1, the ZP of the produced NPs decreased almost linearly with increasing pH 376 377 of the formulation medium. As it has been shown that high ZP (either negative or positive), 378 requires higher energy for bringing two particles in contact with each other (Dora et al., 2010), this pH-responsive behaviour can be attributed to the protonation of the primary amino 379

380 groups present on the Cs chain, resulting in an increase of electron density and repulsion 381 forces between the crosslinked Cs chains (Lai and Guo, 2011). For example, at pH 3.5, the 382 charge interaction between these two molecules becomes strong enough, and stable Cs NPs 383 are obtained. On the contrary, at pH approaching the pI of Cs, reduced availability of 384 protonated amine residues (-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>) present on the Cs polymer backbone chain results in a 385 lower surface charge of the formed NPs (Huang et al., 2015).

386

387 EE% was not affected by load concentration  $(X_2)$  but was mostly affected by the pH of the Cs 388 media (pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>)) (table 2 (Eq.7)), demonstrating the notable effect of SeMet loading with 389 the charge of both polyelectrolytes (Cs and TPP) and their subsequent interaction during the 390 crosslinking process. As can be seen, a negative correlation was observed, indicating that, as 391 X<sub>1</sub> increases, the EE% decreases, most likely attributable to the reduced protonation of the 392 primary amines present on Cs (Umerska et al., 2014). It is also worth noting that the 393 quadratic term  $X_1 * X_1$  showed a positive coefficient, indicating  $X_1$  had a negative effect on 394 EE% until a turning point was reached, where after  $X_1$  had a positive impact on EE%. He et 395 al., (2017), reported that by exploiting the ionic nature of insulin through modulation of the 396 formulation media, the EE% of insulin into Cs:TPP NPs could be significantly enhanced, 397 going from 37 to 94 %. This is evident in the contour plot produced by the regression model 398 (Figure 1(C)) showing that, at maximum  $X_1$  levels (approximately 2.5 unit distance from pI 399 of SeMet) and minimum to median/high ratios of Cs:TPP (4:1 - 7.5:1), maximum EE % (>40 400 %) can be achieved, indicating that pH plays a significant role in the EE%. These findings 401 agree with those of other research groups (Antoniou et al., 2015b; Janes et al., 2001), that 402 reported a strategy to increase ionisable proteins (such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 403 insulin) EE% (>80%) within a Cs NP matrix, by dissolving the load protein at a pH above its 404 isoelectric point. By doing this, deprotonation of the hydroxy groups present on the load

405 cargo occurs, inducing a predominantly negative state and thus has a higher affinity to Cs and
406 increased EE%. Several other studies have mirrored these findings, showing that the
407 electrostatic interactions between the acidic groups present on insulin and the amino groups
408 of Cs play a role in the association of insulin to the Cs-NPs by mediation of the ionic
409 interaction between both macromolecules (Mattu et al., 2013; Pan et al., 2002).
410
411 Figure 1: Contour Plot of (A) PDI against ratio of Cs:TPP (X<sub>3</sub>) and SeMet (mg/mL)
412 (X ) with pH pL (X ) hold at median level (15) (B) ZP against pH pL (X ) and the ratio

(X<sub>2</sub>), with pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>) held at median level (1.5), (B) ZP against pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>) and the ratio
of Cs:TPP (X<sub>3</sub>) and (C) EE% against pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>) vs ratio of Cs:TPP (X<sub>3</sub>) for the RSM
models presented in Table 2.

## 415 **3.2 Optimisation**

416 Employing the models constructed with the BBD evaluation in Table 2, response

417 optimisation was employed in order to establish a formulation strategy to yield NPs with

418 minimum PDI values, ZP of  $\geq$  30 mV and maximum EE% (des Rieux et al., 2006). As the

419 range of NP sizes within all experimental runs fell within recommended target values for oral

420 delivery, this response was excluded from the optimisation analysis.

421

422 Figure 2 shows the optimisation plot of the desired responses and indicates that, when the

423 variable settings of X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>3</sub> are fixed at 2.5 (pH-pI), 0.15 (mg/mL) and 6 (Cs:TPP),

424 respectively, NPs with the desired properties can be produced. Additionally, the 95 %

425 confidence interval ranges of the predicted NP properties that these conditions would produce

426 are presented in Table 3.

427

428 Figure 2: Desirability profiles for optimisation of the formulation parameters; X<sub>1</sub> (pH-

429 pI), X<sub>2</sub> (load concentration) and X<sub>3</sub> (Ratio of Cs:TPP) - maximising ZP and EE%,

430 whilst minimising PDI.

#### 431 **Table 3: 95% confidence interval for particle characteristics that optimal conditions**

Response	Fit	95 % CI	Actual values
$Y_2$ (PDI)	$0.299\pm0.007$	(0.282, 0.317)	$0.284 \pm 0.044$
$Y_3 (mV)$	$42.2 \pm 2.0$	(41.6, 42.7)	$39.7 \pm 2.6$
Y <sub>4</sub> (EE%)	$41.7 \pm 1.0$	(39.5, 43.8)	$39 \pm 3$

432	would produce	under the present	experimental	conditions of	uncertainty
-----	---------------	-------------------	--------------	---------------	-------------

433

434	To verify the validity of the proposed models, $n = 4$ replicates of the optimised formulation
435	were prepared, and each experimental response was compared with the predicted one. Table
436	3 shows the validation results of the model, whereby NPs presented sizes of $187 \pm 58$ nm,
437	PDI of 0.284 $\pm$ 0.044, ZP of 39.7 $\pm$ 2.6 mV and a max EE % of 39 $\pm$ 3 %. No statistical
438	significant values (p>0.05) for predicted and measured responses ( $Y_2$ - $Y_4$ ) were observed,
439	indicating that the models fit the data satisfactorily and has adequate precision for the
440	prediction of NP ZP, PDI and EE% in the chosen space of independent variables (in the
441	domain of levels chosen for the independent variables). Additionally, several consuming and
442	laborious laboratory studies were eliminated in this study by using the BBD, rather than a
443	OFAT approach.

# 444 **3.3 EE% optimisation I: protonation and ionisation**

445 The results from the BBD were promising in terms of the NPs produced and their 446 physicochemical properties. However, even when optimised, EE% remained low at 447 approximately 40 %. A second study was undertaken with the aim of maximising the EE%, by controlling the ionisation process, given that this property primarily influenced the 448 449 encapsulation efficiency in the desirability profile (Figure 2). In the previous BBD, it was 450 noted that the highest EE% of SeMet within Cs:TPP NPs was obtained when the pH of the Cs 451 medium was maintained at pH 3 and the SeMet load was dissolved within it prior to crosslinking. The fundamentals of ionotropic gelation exploit the opposing charges between 452

453 the protonated amine residues found on the glucosamine residue unit of Cs against the 454 deprotonated hydroxyl groups on TPP (Janes et al., 2001). In order to increase the EE%, a 455 modulation of the ionisation state of SeMet was achieved by dissolving it (and TPP) in a 456 basic solution prior to crosslinking with Cs. The rationale for this approach is the observation that the pKa for the acid moiety of SeMet is pH 2.6, whereas the pKa for the basic moiety is 457 458 at pH 8.9 (Foulkes, 2003) and as such, further electrostatic interactions can be induced at time of complexation (Qi et al., 2010). The effect of dissolving the TPP and SeMet in 0.01 M 459 460 NaOH (pH 12) rather than H<sub>2</sub>O prior to crosslinking in the optimised formulation (ratio 461 Cs:TPP 6:1, SeMet load concentration of 0.15 mg/mL and Cs medium pH of 3) was 462 investigated, as a means to elicit greater interaction between Cs and SeMet and subsequently 463 increase EE%. N=3.

464

By altering the pH of the formulation, the EE% increased from  $39 \pm 3$ % to  $66 \pm 1$ %, whilst 465 466 the physicochemical properties of the NPs remained within the target range for oral delivery 467 (Table 4) (des Rieux et al., 2006). This was most likely attributed to the electrostatic interactions between the now further ionised TPP and SeMet groups and protonated amine 468 469 residues found on the glucosamine unit of Cs. This is consistent with the work by Pan et al., 470 (2014) which showed curcumin (CUR) successful encapsulation (>90%) into casein NPs, 471 through deprotonation of CUR at pH 12, enabling for its re-association and thus subsequent 472 encapsulation into the NP matrix.

473

474

# 475 **3.4 Improved Encapsulation Efficiency using zein**

The results from the first EE% optimisation study (Table 4) were promising, in terms of

477 increasing EE% in addition to maintaining the desired NP physicochemical properties.

478 Nevertheless, the maximum EE% obtained by modifying the pH remained at  $66 \pm 4$  %.

479 Therefore, a subsequent step, involving the coating of the optimised NPs with zein (at

480 varying zein:Cs mass ratios), was pursued as a means to increase the encapsulation efficiency

481 of SeMet into the Cs: TPP NPs.

482

483 As shown in Table 4, as the ratio of zein in the formulation increased, the EE% improved. At 484 0.5:1, zein:Cs, the average EE% achieved was 75 % and approximately 5 % increments in 485 EE% were observed with subsequent increments of zein:Cs. The physicochemical properties 486 of the NPs (PDI and ZP) were still within the target range for oral delivery for formulations 487 with zein: Cs ratios  $\leq 1:1$ , although an increase in NP size was observed upon increasing zein 488 concentrations. At a ratio of 2:1, zein:Cs, the physicochemical properties of the NPs were less 489 than ideal, as large particle sizes (721 nm average) and high PDI values (0.783) were yielded, 490 most likely as a consequence of a denser and thicker coating (Luo et al., 2010) provided by 491 the partial deposition of the negatively charged protein on the particle surface, thus reducing 492 the total net charge and inducing particle swelling (Rampino et al., 2013). For example, as the 493 zein concentration increases, so does the viscosity of the dispersion, which can affect the 494 nucleation process leading to the production of larger sized NPs (Zhong and Jin, 2009). 495 Similar results have been observed by others, whereby, an increase in zein concentration led 496 to an increase in particle size of 6,7-dihydroxycoumarin loaded zein NPs (Podaralla and 497 Perumal, 2012) or alpha-tocopherol loaded zein NPs, stabilised with Cs (Luo et al., 2012). 498 Lastly, the ZP of this formulation was the lowest observed (average of + 6 mV), which may 499 be attributed to the increasing zein concentration, causing increased masking of the free 500 positively charged amino groups of Cs (Krauland and Alonso, 2007). It is also possible that 501 agglomeration occurred as a result of the reduced electrostatic repulsion between the NPs in 502 suspension (Liu and Gao, 2009).

- 503
- 504 Table 4: Physiochemical results for SeMet loaded NPs (Ratio 6:1, SeMet in NaOH (0.15
- 505 mg/mL load), Cs in pH 3) coated with zein. Size, PDI, ZP and EE% are presented for

Zein:Cs	Size (nm)	PDI	ZP (mV)	EE (%)
0:1	$227 \pm 17$	$0.448\pm0.049$	$32 \pm 1$	66 ± 4
0.5:1	319 ± 19	$0.221 \pm 0.040$	$27 \pm 6$	74 ± 1
1:1	$377 \pm 47$	$0.325\pm0.136$	$35\pm 6$	81 ± 1
2:1	$721 \pm 108$	$0.783 \pm 0.281$	6 ± 4	85 ± 1

506 each NP using different mass ratio combinations of zein and Cs. N=3.

507

# 508 3.5 Characterisation of SeMet loaded and unloaded Cs:TPP NPs with and without 509 zein coating - Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

510 Figure 3 shows the FTIR spectra of (A) zein, (B) TPP, (C) Cs, (D) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs and

511 (E) SeMet:Cs:TPP:zein NPs. The zein spectrum (Figure 3, A) shows characteristic peaks at

512 3289, 2929, 1644, 1515 and 1233 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to; NH stretching vibrations, CH

513 stretching, amide I (C=O stretch), amide II (C-N and C-N-H/ in plane bending) and amide III

514 respectively (Podaralla and Perumal, 2012). Characteristic peaks for the phosphate ion (P=O)

515 in TPP (Figure 3, B) were observed at 1121 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 886 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Rampino et al.,

516 2013). Cs spectra (Figure 3, C) showed characteristic peaks at 3240, 2879, 1625, 1514, 1376

and 1063 cm<sup>-1</sup>. corresponding to NH Stretch / OH in pyranose ring, CH<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>OH group,

518 C=O in NHCOCH<sub>3</sub> group (amide I), NH<sub>2</sub> in NHCOCH<sub>3</sub> group (amide II), CH<sub>3</sub> in NHCOCH<sub>3</sub>

519 group and C-O-C (glycosidic linkage) respectively (Luo et al., 2010; Mohammed et al.,

520 2013).

521

522 Figure 3: FTIR spectra of (A) zein, (B) TPP, (C) Cs, (D) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs and (E)

523 SeMet:Cs:TPP:zein NPs. Spectra are offset for clarity.

525 The FTIR spectrum of SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs (Figure 3, D) is different to that of the Cs matrix, as a result of intermolecular interactions of the constituent components. If interaction 526 527 between the Cs and TPP had occurred, it will lead to frequency shifts or splitting in absorption peaks (Gan et al., 2005). For example, the peaks at 1514 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Cs spectrum 528 have been shifted to 1560 cm<sup>-1</sup>, indicating electrostatic interaction between the phosphate 529 530 groups of TPP and the amino groups present in the Cs NP matrix (Papadimitriou et al., 2008). Additionally, the broadening and the increased absorbance of the peak at 3272 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate 531 hydrogen bonding has been enhanced (Luo et al., 2010). The band observed at 1644  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  in 532 the zein FTIR spectrum (assigned to N-H bond), has been shifted to  $1648 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the zein/Cs 533 534 NPs spectrum (Figure 3, A and E respectively), indicating an interaction among the zein and 535 Cs chains, possibly through hydrogen bonding among the amino groups present on both Cs 536 and zein chains (Müller et al., 2011). Another indication of Cs:zein interaction arises from the 537 amide III bands at 1406 cm<sup>-1</sup>, not visible in the zein spectrum, but now visible in the zein/Cs NPs (Figure 3, A and E respectively) spectrum, most likely as a result of the C-N stretching 538 539 and out of plane N-H deformation being highly sensitive to structural changes (Sessa et al., 2008). 540

541

Lastly, the formation of zein/Cs NPs (E) is also characterised by the appearance of a band at 1041 cm<sup>-1</sup> (assigned to C-O-C (glycosidic linkage)), which was observed in Cs/SeMet NPs (D) at 1028 cm<sup>-1</sup> but not observed in the zein spectrum (A), supporting the presence of Cs within the NP matrix (Figure 3) (Müller et al., 2011). The crosslinked Cs also showed a peak for P = O at 1151 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Bhumkar and Pokharkar, 2006), further indicating electrostatic interaction between Cs and TPP (Figure 3, E). No significant SeMet peaks in either Cs/TPP/SeMet or Cs/TPP/SeMet/zein NPs spectra were observed, most likely due to the fact,

that only 0.07 % of the dried formulation is contributed by SeMet and it is consequentlymasked by the other formulation components.

### 551 **3.6 Scanning electron microscopy**

552 Figure 4 shows the SEM images of uncoated and zein coated Se loaded NPs. The particle size 553 of the NPs after spin coating was in good agreement with the DLS measurements taken on 554 the freshly prepared NPs. Spherical, well distributed particles for both coated and uncoated 555 SeMet loaded NPs were observed. However, it is interesting to note that the zein coated NPs 556 displayed a smoother surface than that of the uncoated. This may be attributed to the use of 557 the acetic acid buffer used during the formulation process, as similar results have been 558 observed in spin-cast zein films prepared from an aqueous ethanol solvent compared to an 559 acetic acid solution, indicating that a rough and hydrophilic surface was acquired for the 560 former, whilst the zein film produced from an acetic acid solution appeared to be smooth, 561 featureless and more hydrophobic (Shi et al., 2009; Y. Zhang et al., 2015).

562

Figure 4: SEM image of (A) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs and (B) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs coated
with zein

#### 565 3.7 Accelerated stability analysis of SeMet-loaded NPs coated with zein

The principle aim of accelerated stability testing is to provide reasonable assurance that a pharmaceutical or food consumable will remain at an acceptable level of quality throughout its timespan in the market place (Bajaj et al., 2012; Waterman and Adami, 2005). Real-time, retained sample, cyclic temperature and acceleration, are the four categories into which stability testing procedures fall (Bhagyashree et al., 2015). In the latter, the product is subjected to elevated temperatures and/or humidity well above ambient values, to determine the temperature at which product failure (i.e. degradation) will occur.

574 The Arrhenius equation, upon which the interpretation of accelerated stability testing is 575 based, allows for the determination of the activation energy and consequently, the 576 degradation rate of a product at lower temperatures (i.e. ambient, refrigerated etc.). In this 577 instance, the data acquired can then be used to project the shelf life of the product in a much 578 shorter time than that of real time assessments (Ali et al., 2013; Bhagyashree et al., 2015). 579 This is a beneficial approach to stability testing, as it results in a greatly reduced product 580 development schedule. 581 Figure 5 shows the kinetic behaviour of the NP properties; size (A), PDI (B) and ZP (C) at 582 temperatures ranging from 60-80 °C. The stability of the NPs decreased with increasing 583 temperature. Little change was detected for all properties at 60 °C, over the course of 720 584 min, whereas a more pronounced increment in size and PDI and a decrease in ZP was 585 observed at 70 °C after 300 min. At 80 °C, destabilisation of the NP complexes was evident 586 across all properties, whereby size increased from approximately 300 nm to > 800 nm, PDI 587 from approximately 0.2 to >0.9 and ZP reduced from approximately 32 mV to < 18 mV, 588 indicating that aggregation of the NPs had occurred (Wu et al., 2011).

589

590 Figure 5: (1) Particle size, (2) PDI and (3) ZP analysis of SeMet loaded NPs exposed to

591 (a) 80 °C, (b) 70 °C and (c) 60 °C, over time periods of 120, 300 and 720 min,

592 respectively. N=3

593 The one-step nonlinear regression analysis of the kinetic experiments shows that particle size

and PDI fit to a zero-order kinetic behaviour, with an Arrhenius dependence of  $\ln (k_{ref}@70$ 

595 °C) = 1.66  $\pm$  9.44 min<sup>-1</sup> and Ea = 452.57  $\pm$  570.59 kJ/mol for size, and a ln (k<sub>ref</sub>@70 °C) =

596  $0.029 \pm 0.014 \text{ min}^{-1}$ , and an Ea = 182.31 ± 42.64 kJ/mol for PDI respectively. In terms of ZP,

597 an apparent first order mechanism fits the data better than that of an apparent zero order model, with an Arrhenius dependence of ln ( $k_{ref}$ @70 °C) = 0.038 ± 0.010 min<sup>-1</sup> and Ea = 598 599  $205.71 \pm 25.65$  kJ/mol. Additionally, as can be seen in figure 6, a linear correlation is evident 600 between 1/T and ln k, indicating that the formulations will be stable under normal storage 601 conditions. This was expected, as previous reports have shown that zein coatings can increase 602 the colloidal stability of iron phosphate NPs (Van Leeuwen et al., 2014) and, when stabilised 603 with Cs, results in high thermal resistance of the NPs over prolonged periods of time (Luo et 604 al., 2013).

605

# Figure 6: Arrhenius plots for the (A) ZP, (B) PDI and (C) size accelerated studies of SeMet loaded NPs. N=3.

# 608 3.8 Cytotoxicity assessment of SeMet-loaded NPs

609 The potential cytotoxicity of SeMet in its native form and unloaded or SeMet loaded NPs 610 coated with zein, at different test concentrations (25, 50 and 100 uM), were examined on 611 Caco-2 human epithelial cells, and HepG2 human liver hepatocellular cells, using the MTS 612 assay. As the NPs will become exposed to the intestinal epithelia following oral delivery 613 (leading to its facilitated transport and uptake), time points were selected with the intention to 614 mimic in vivo conditions for each cell type, to assess the potential cytotoxicity of the 615 formulated NPs (Gleeson et al., 2015; Brayden et al., 2015). Caco-2 cells were exposed for 616 4h (figure 7(A)) and HepG2 cells for 72h (figure 7(B)). In Caco-2 exposures, no cytotoxicity 617 was observed for unloaded or SeMet loaded NPs, in comparison to the negative control, 618 across all tested concentrations. For HepG2 exposures, no cytotoxicity was observed for 619 unloaded or SeMet loaded NPs coated with zein. Additionally, the lower concentrations (25 620 and 50 uM) of native SeMet showed no cytotoxicity to either cell line, whereas a reduction in

HepG2 cell viability (figure 7(B)) for native SeMet, at the 100 μM test concentration, was
observed (approx. 66% cell viability).

623

624 Similar results were observed by Takahashi, Suzuki and Ogra, 2017, whereby SeMet showed 625 no significant change on the viability of Caco-2 cell lines, although it did show marginal 626 toxicity to HepG2 cells at concentrations > 80 ug/mL after prolonged exposure (48 hr). This 627 finding is also in agreement with other works that showed SeMet toxicity occurred at 628 concentrations  $\geq$ 40 uM in various hepatoma cell lines (Kajander et al., 1991). It has 629 previously been shown that Cs nanoparticles can enhance the delivery of inorganic Se 630 compounds whilst reducing its toxicity (C. Zhang et al., 2015). In this work, SeMet loaded 631 NPs elicited no significant reduction in viability of either cell line at equivalent concentration 632 (100 uM), indicating that, by encapsulating SeMet within the Cs NP matrix, the cytotoxic 633 effects of pure SeMet, can be reduced.

634

Figure 7: Cytotoxicity assessment of SeMet, unloaded NPs with zein coating and 635 SeMet loaded NPs with zein coating, exposed for (a) 4h in Caco-2 cell lines and (b) 72h 636 637 in HepG2 cell line at SeMet equivalent concentrations (25 uM, 50 uM and 100 uM). Triton<sup>™</sup> 638 X-100 (0.05%) was used as positive control and percentage (%) of MTS converted was compared to untreated control. 1-Way ANOVA with Dunnetts's post-test \*\*\* P< 0.001, \*\* 639 640 P<0.01, Each value presented was normalised against untreated control and calculated from 641 three separate experiments, each of which included six replicates. N=3 642 643

#### 644 **3.9** *In vitro* release studies

645 In vitro techniques are advantageous for modelling potential interactions between NPs and 646 the in vivo environment of the GI tract. Simulated gastric fluid and membrane analysis 647 models enable assessment of *in vivo* environments without the use of human cell lines 648 (Gamboa and Leong, 2013). Figure 8, shows the cumulative release profile of SeMet loaded 649 NPs coated with zein, after subjection to 2 hr in an SGF environment (pH 1.2) representative 650 of the stomach, followed by a compartmental change to SIF (pH 6.8), representative of the intestine, for 4 hr. As can be seen, 25±1 % of SeMet was released after 2 hr in SGF, followed 651 652 by  $33 \pm 3\%$  in SIF for 4 hr. No significant difference in the release profile of SeMet loaded 653 NPs without zein coating was observed (data not shown). However, it was necessary to keep 654 zein in the formulation due to the increase in EE ( $\geq$  80 %).

655

656 The target site of absorption of SeMet is the jejunum, in the small intestine. Therefore, it is 657 important to withstand the acidic environment of the stomach. Three basic mechanisms that 658 are typically applied to describe the release of drugs from polymeric particles, are 659 swelling/erosion, diffusion, and degradation (Liechty et al., 2010). In this work, the total 660 cumulative release of SeMet from the zein coated NPs, after 6 hr in simulated gastrointestinal 661 tract environments, was 58 %, indicating that degradation of the NP was slow and thus the mechanism may be diffusion/relaxation oriented. As such, the release kinetics of SeMet NPs, 662 663 under the SGF and SIF sequential controlled release experiments, were fitted using the 664 following diffusive models derived from swellable systems (Siepmann and Peppas, 2011; 665 Danish *et al.*, 2017a). 666

000

667

668

669 For the SGF:

680 min), ki<sub>1</sub> and ki<sub>2</sub> are diffusive and relaxation rate constants.

681

**Table 5: Swellable model parameters for kinetic release studies SeMet NPs. ks** 

683 represents the stomach compartment and ki the intestinal compartment, divided into

684 diffusion and relaxation mechanisms (1 and 2). All parameters listed where statistically

```
685 significant, ***P < 0.001; *P < 0.05.
```

Parameters	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	Significance code
Ks <sub>2</sub>	0.17082	0.04092	4.175	***
Ki <sub>1</sub>	0.69145	0.33863	2.042	*
Ki <sub>2</sub>	0.10817	0.02105	5.140	***
R <sup>2</sup> <sub>adj</sub>		0.98	4	

686

Table 5 presents the fitted values for the rate constants in SGF (ks) and SIF (ki) for SeMet

688 NPs, with  $ks_1$ , representing a diffusion mechanism and  $ks_2$  a relaxation mechanism (Eq. 8, 9).

In terms of the stomach compartment (SGF, pH 1.2), no statistically significant ks<sub>1</sub> parameter

690 was found, indicating that the primary mechanism for release in the stomach was via

691 relaxation, i.e. slower release, approaching zero-order kinetics. After 2 hr subjected to the 692 SGF environment, a compartmental change was employed to mimic the movement of the 693 NPs to the intestinal environment (SIF, pH 6.8), whereupon a combination of diffusion (ki<sub>1</sub>) 694 and relaxation  $(ki_2)$  mechanisms were observed (p<0.05). Overall, the model employed (Eq. 8, 9) predicted the experimental data well, with an  $R^2adj > 0.98$ . These results were expected, 695 696 as polysaccharides generally undergo solvent penetration, swelling and chain 697 disentanglement and relaxation, resulting in their ultimate dissolution (Fu and Kao, 2010). 698 Additionally, this result is in agreement with previous studies, reporting a diffusion and zero 699 order kinetic profile for IPP and LKP loaded CsNPs, coated with zein (Danish et al., 2017a) 700 and that of other researchers, who observed that zein proved to be a good coating for NPs, 701 whereby, the stronger the interaction of the load material (in this instance phenolic 702 monoterpenes) with that of the wall material (zein) was evidenced by its controlled release 703 over time (da Rosa et al., 2015). 704

Figure 8: Release kinetics of SeMet NPs coated with zein after 2 hr in SGF (pH 1.2) and
4 hr in SIF (pH 6.8).

707

### 708 4 CONCLUSION

In this study, SeMet-loaded Cs NPs were produced via ionotropic gelation. BBD was used to identify optimum formulation variables that would result in NPs with physicochemical properties thought to be suitable for oral delivery. BBD highlighted the optimum conditions for NP production, although EE% remained relatively low. By varying the formulation media pH, increased electrostatic interaction between the crosslinking polyelectrolytes and drug were achieved, resulting in an increase in EE %. Coating the NPs at a 1:1 mass ratio of Cs:zein, resulted in NPs with a doubled EE accompanied by an increase in diameter. These

716	NPs were then characterised via FTIR analysis, which identified the presence of key
717	functional groups of the native components and identifying shifts in the crosslinked matrixes.
718	SEM analysis showed that spherical, well distributed particles were observed. MTS
719	cytotoxicity studies showed no decrease in cellular viability in either Caco-2 or HepG2 cell
720	after 4 and 72 hr exposures, respectively. Accelerated thermal stability of the loaded NPs
721	indicated good stability under normal storage conditions. Lastly, after 6 hr exposure to
722	simulated intestinal buffers, the release profile of the formulation showed that $\leq 60\%$ of the
723	drug had been released. These findings infer that encapsulation of SeMet into a NP delivery
724	system comprising food-derived components reveals an oral administration approach for this
725	molecule.
726	
727	Funding:
728	This work was supported by Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine under FIRM (Food
729	Institutional Research Measure). Project Ref: 13F510.
730	
731	Conflict of interest:
732	All authors have approved the final manuscript, and the authors declare that they have no
733	conflicts of interest to disclose.
734	
735	References:
736	Ali, M.S., Alam, M.S., Alam, N., Anwer, T., Safhi, M.M.A., 2013. Accelerated stability
737	testing of a clobetasol propionate-loaded nanoemulsion as per ICH guidelines. Sci.
738	Pharm. 81, 1089–1100. https://doi.org/10.3797/scipharm.1210-02
739	Amaro, M.I., Tewes, F., Gobbo, O., Tajber, L., Corrigan, O.I., Ehrhardt, C., Healy, A.M.,
740	2015. Formulation, stability and pharmacokinetics of sugar-based salmon calcitonin-

741 loaded nanoporous/nanoparticulate microparticles (NPMPs) for inhalation. Int. J. Pharm.

742 483, 6–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2015.02.003

- Anderson, M.J., Whitcomb, P.J., 2005. RSM simplified: optimizing processes using response
  surface methods for design of experiments. Productivity press.
- Antoniou, J., Liu, F., Majeed, H., Qi, J., Yokoyama, W., Zhong, F., 2015a. Physicochemical
- and morphological properties of size-controlled chitosan-tripolyphosphate nanoparticles.
- 747 Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 465, 137–146.
   748 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2014.10.040
- Antoniou, J., Liu, F., Majeed, H., Qi, J., Yokoyama, W., Zhong, F., 2015b. Physicochemical
  and morphological properties of size-controlled chitosan-tripolyphosphate
  nanoparticles. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 465, 137–146.
- Bajaj, S., Sakhuja, N., Singla, D., Bajaj Principal, S., 2012. Stability Testing of
  Pharmaceutical Products. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 02, 129–138.
  https://doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.2012.2322
- Barrentine, L.B., 1999. An introduction to design of experiments: a simplified approach.
  ASQ Quality Press.
- 757 Benshitrit, R.C., Levi, C.S., Tal, S.L., Shimoni, E., Lesmes, U., 2012. Development of oral
- food-grade delivery systems: Current knowledge and future challenges. Food Funct. 3,
  10. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1fo10068h
- 760 Bezerra, M.A., Santelli, R.E., Oliveira, E.P., Villar, L.S., Escaleira, L.A., 2008. Response
- surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. Talanta
  762 76, 965–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.05.019
- Bhagyashree, P., Karishma, G., Sampada, A., Ankita, P., Pratibha, C., Kailash, V., 2015.
  Recent trends in stability testing of pharmaceutical products: A review. Res. J. Pharm.
  Biol. Chem. Sci. 6, 1557–1569.

- Bhumkar, D.R., Pokharkar, V.B., 2006. Studies on effect of pH on cross-linking of chitosan
  with sodium tripolyphosphate: a technical note. Aaps Pharmscitech 7, E138–E143.
- Brayden, D.J., Cryan, S.-A., Dawson, K.A., O'Brien, P.J., Simpson, J.C., 2015. High-content
- analysis for drug delivery and nanoparticle applications. Drug Discov. Today 20, 942–
- 770 957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2015.04.001
- 771 Brayden, D.J., Gleeson, J., Walsh, E.G., 2014. A head-to-head multi-parametric high content
- analysis of a series of medium chain fatty acid intestinal permeation enhancers in Caco2 cells. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 88, 830–839.
- British Pharmacopoeia Commission, 2016. British Pharmacopoeia: Appendix XII B.
  Dissolution. TSO, London.
- 776 Calderon L., Harris, R., Cordoba-Diaz, M., Elorza, M., Elorza, B., Lenoir, J., Adriaens, E.,
- Remon, J.P., Heras, A., Cordoba-Diaz, D., 2013. Nano and microparticulate chitosanbased systems for antiviral topical delivery. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 48, 216–222.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2012.11.002
- 780Calvo, P., RemunanJLop & loftso, VMaJ., Jat 997. Novel hydrophilic781chitosan-polyethylene

782 125–132.

- 783 Chen, M.C., Mi, F.L., Liao, Z.X., Hsiao, C.W., Sonaje, K., Chung, M.F., Hsu, L.W., Sung,
- H.W., 2013. Recent advances in chitosan-based nanoparticles for oral delivery of
  macromolecules. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.10.010
- 786 Chintala, S., Najrana, T., Toth, K., Cao, S., Durrani, F. a, Pili, R., Rustum, Y.M., 2012. Prolyl
- 787 hydroxylase 2 dependent and Von-Hippel-Lindau independent degradation of Hypoxia-
- inducible factor 1 and 2 alpha by selenium in clear cell renal cell carcinoma leads to
- tumor growth inhibition. BMC Cancer 12, 293. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-12-
- 790 293

da Rosa, C.G., de Oliveira Brisola Maciel, M.V., de Carvalho, S.M., de Melo, A.P.Z.,
Jummes, B., da Silva, T., Martelli, S.M., Villetti, M.A., Bertoldi, F.C., Barreto, P.L.M.,
2015. Characterization and evaluation of physicochemical and antimicrobial properties
of zein nanoparticles loaded with phenolics monoterpenes. Colloids Surfaces A
Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 481, 337–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2015.05.019

- 796 Danish, M.K., Vozza, G., Byrne, H.J., Frias, J.M., Ryan, S.M., 2017a. Comparative study of 797 the structural and physicochemical properties of two food derived antihypertensive tri-798 peptides, Isoleucine-Proline-Proline and Leucine-Lysine-Proline encapsulated into a 799 chitosan based nanoparticle system. Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 800 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2017.07.002
- Danish, M.K., Vozza, G., Byrne, H.J., Frias, J.M., Ryan, S.M., 2017b. Formulation,
  Characterization and Stability Assessment of a Food-Derived Tripeptide, LeucineLysine-Proline Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles. J. Food Sci. 82, 2094–2104.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13824
- B05 Davies, M.J., 2016. Protein oxidation and peroxidation. Biochem. J. 473, 805–825.
- 806 des Rieux, A., Fievez, V., Garinot, M., Schneider, Y.-J., Préat, V., 2006. Nanoparticles as
- potential oral delivery systems of proteins and vaccines: a mechanistic approach. J.
  Control. release 116, 1–27.
- BO9 Dora, C.P., Singh, S.K., Kumar, S., Datusalia, A.K., Deep, A., 2010. Development and
  characterization of nanoparticles of glibenclamide by solvent displacement method. Acta
  Pol. Pharm. Drug Res. 67, 283–290.
- Evans, S.O., Khairuddin, P.F., Jameson, M.B., 2017. Optimising Selenium for Modulation of
  Cancer Treatments. Anticancer Res. 37, 6497–6509.
- 814 Forootanfar, H., Adeli-Sardou, M., Nikkhoo, M., Mehrabani, M., Amir-Heidari, B.,
- 815 Shahverdi, A.R., Shakibaie, M., 2014. Antioxidant and cytotoxic effect of biologically

- 816 synthesized selenium nanoparticles in comparison to selenium dioxide. J. Trace Elem.
  817 Med. Biol. 28, 75–79.
- Foulkes, M.E., 2003. Screening Methods for Semi-quantitative Speciation Analysis. Handb.
  Elem. Speciat. Tech. Methodol. 591.
- Fu, Y., Kao, W.J., 2010. Drug Release Kinetics and Transport Mechanisms of Nondegradable and Degradable Polymeric Delivery Systems. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 7,
  429–444. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425241003602259
- Gamboa, J.M., Leong, K.W., 2013. In vitro and in vivo models for the study of oral delivery
  of nanoparticles. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 65, 800–810.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2013.01.003
- 826 Gan, Q., Wang, T., Cochrane, C., McCarron, P., 2005. Modulation of surface charge, particle
- 827 size and morphological properties of chitosan–TPP nanoparticles intended for gene
  828 delivery. Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces 44, 65–73.
- Garousi, F., 2015. The toxicity of different selenium forms and compounds Review. Acta
  Agrar. Debreceniensis 64, 33–38.
- Gleeson, J.P., Heade, J., Ryan, S.M.M., Brayden, D.J., 2015. Stability, toxicity and intestinal
  permeation enhancement of two food-derived antihypertensive tripeptides, Ile-Pro-Pro
- 833 and Leu-Lys-Pro. Peptides 71, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2015.05.009
- Hassani, S., Laouini, A., Fessi, H., Charcosset, C., 2015. Preparation of chitosan–TPP
  nanoparticles using microengineered membranes–Effect of parameters and
  encapsulation of tacrine. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 482, 34–43.
- He, Z., Santos, J.L., Tian, H., Huang, H., Hu, Y., Liu, L., Leong, K.W., Chen, Y., Mao, H.Q.,
- 838 2017. Scalable fabrication of size-controlled chitosan nanoparticles for oral delivery of
- insulin. Biomaterials 130, 28–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.03.028
- 840 Helson, L., 2013. Curcumin (diferuloylmethane) delivery methods: A review. Biofactors 39,

841 21–26.

- Hosseinzadeh, H., Atyabi, F., Dinarvand, R., Ostad, S.N., 2012. Chitosan-Pluronic 842 843 nanoparticles as oral delivery of anticancer gemcitabine: Preparation and in vitro study. 844 Int. J. Nanomedicine 7, 1851–1863. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S26365
- 845 Hu, B., Pan, C., Sun, Y., Hou, Z., Ye, H., Hu, B., Zeng, X., 2008. Optimization of fabrication 846 parameters to produce chitosan- tripolyphosphate nanoparticles for delivery of tea catechins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 7451-7458. 847
- 848 Y., Cai, Y., Lapitsky, Y., 2015. Factors affecting the stability of Huang, 849 chitosan/tripolyphosphate micro- and nanogels: resolving the opposing findings. J. 850 Mater. Chem. B 3, 5957-5970. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TB00431D
- 851 Huang, Y., Lapitsky, Y., 2017. On the kinetics of chitosan/tripolyphosphate micro- and 852 nanogel aggregation and their effects on particle polydispersity. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 853 486, 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.09.050
- 854 Janes, K.A., Calvo, P., Alonso, M.J., 2001. Polysaccharide colloidal particles as delivery 855 systems for macromolecules. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 47, 83–97. 856 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(00)00123-X
- Kajander, E.O., Harvima, R.J., Eloranta, T.O., Martikainen, H., Kantola, M., Kärenlampi, 857 858 S.O., Åkerman, K., 1991. Metabolism, cellular actions, and cytotoxicity of 859

Biol.

Trace

Elem.

Res.

28.

57-68.

860 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02990463

selenomethionine in cultured cells.

- 861 Krauland, A.H., Alonso, M.J., 2007. Chitosan/cyclodextrin nanoparticles as macromolecular drug delivery system. Int. J. Pharm. 340, 134–142. 862
- 863 Lai, L.F., Guo, H.X., 2011. Preparation of new 5-fluorouracil-loaded zein nanoparticles for 864 liver targeting. Int. J. Pharm. 404, 317–323.
- Liechty, W.B., Kryscio, D.R., Slaughter, B. V, Peppas, N.A., 2010. Polymers for Drug 865

- Belivery Systems. Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 1, 149–173.
  https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-073009-100847
- Liu, H., Gao, C., 2009. Preparation and properties of ionically cross
  -linked chitos
  nanoparticles. Polym. Adv. Technol. 20, 613–619.
- 870 Loeschner, K., Hadrup, N., Hansen, M., Pereira, S.A., Gammelgaard, B., Møller, L.H.,
- 871 Mortensen, A., Lam, H.R., Larsen, E.H., 2014. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and
- excretion of selenium following oral administration of elemental selenium nanoparticles
  or selenite in rats. Metallomics 6, 330–337.
- Luo, Y., Teng, Z., Wang, Q., 2012. Development of Zein Nanoparticles Coated with
  Carboxymethyl Chitosan for Encapsulation and Controlled Release of Vitamin D3. J.
  Agric. Food Chem. 60, 836–843. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf204194z
- Luo, Y., Wang, Q., 2014. Zein-based micro- and nano-particles for drug and nutrient
  delivery: A review. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 131, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.40696
- Luo, Y., Wang, T.T.Y., Teng, Z., Chen, P., Sun, J., Wang, Q., 2013. Encapsulation of indole-
- 880 3-carbinol and 3,3'-diindolylmethane in zein/carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles with
- controlled release property and improved stability. Food Chem. 139, 224–230.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.01.113
- Luo, Y., Zhang, B., Cheng, W.H., Wang, Q., 2010. Preparation, characterization and
  evaluation of selenite-loaded chitosan/TPP nanoparticles with or without zein coating.
  Carbohydr. Polym. 82, 942–951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.06.029
- 886 Maleki Dizaj, S., Lotfipour, F., Barzegar-Jalali, M., Zarrintan, M.-H., Adibkia, K., 2015.
- 887 Box-Behnken experimental design for preparation and optimization of ciprofloxacin
- hydrochloride-loaded CaCO3 nanoparticles. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 29, 125–131.
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2015.06.015
- 890 Masarudin, M.J., Cutts, S.M., Evison, B.J., Phillips, D.R., Pigram, P.J., 2015. Factors

- determining the stability, size distribution, and cellular accumulation of small,
  monodisperse chitosan nanoparticles as candidate vectors for anticancer drug delivery:
  Application to the passive encapsulation of [14C]-doxorubicin. Nanotechnol. Sci. Appl.
  894 8, 67–80. https://doi.org/10.2147/NSA.S91785
- Mattu, C., Li, R., Ciardelli, G., 2013. Chitosan nanoparticles as therapeutic protein
  nanocarriers: The effect of ph on particle formation and encapsulation efficiency.
  Polym. Compos. 34, 1538–1545.
- 898 Mix, M., Ramnath, N., Gomez, J., de Groot, C., Rajan, S., Dibaj, S., Tan, W., Rustum, Y.,
- Jameson, M.B., Singh, A.K., 2015. Effects of selenomethionine on acute toxicities from
- 900 concurrent chemoradiation for inoperable stage III non-small cell lung cancer. World J.
- 901 Clin. Oncol. 6, 156–165. https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v6.i5.156
- Mohammed, M.A., Syeda, J.T.M., Wasan, K.M., Wasan, E.K., 2017. An overview of
  chitosan nanoparticles and its application in non-parenteral drug delivery. Pharmaceutics
  904 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics9040053
- Mohammed, M.H., Williams, P. a., Tverezovskaya, O., 2013. Extraction of chitin from prawn
  shells and conversion to low molecular mass chitosan. Food Hydrocoll. 31, 166–171.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.10.021
- 908 Mukhopadhyay, P., Sarkar, K., Chakraborty, M., Bhattacharya, S., Mishra, R., Kundu, P.P.,
- 909 2013. Oral insulin delivery by self-assembled chitosan nanoparticles: in vitro and in vivo
- 910 studies in diabetic animal model. Mater. Sci. Eng. C. Mater. Biol. Appl. 33, 376–82.
- 911 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2012.09.001
- 912 Müller, V., Piai, J.F., Fajardo, A.R., Fávaro, S.L., Rubira, A.F., Muniz, E.C., 2011.
- Preparation and characterization of zein and zein-chitosan microspheres with great
  prospective of application in controlled drug release. J. Nanomater. 2011, 10.
- 915 Nagpal, K., Singh, S.K., Mishra, D.N., 2010. Chitosan Nanoparticles: A Promising System in

- 916 Novel Drug Delivery. Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo). 58, 1423–1430.
  917 https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.58.1423
- 918 Nair, H.B., Sung, B., Yadav, V.R., Kannappan, R., Chaturvedi, M.M., Aggarwal, B.B., 2010.

Delivery of antiinflammatory nutraceuticals by nanoparticles for the prevention and

- 920 treatment of cancer. Biochem. Pharmacol. 80, 1833–43.
  921 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2010.07.021
- Narayan, V., Ravindra, K.C., Liao, C., Kaushal, N., Carlson, B.A., Prabhu, K.S., 2015.
  Epigenetic regulation of inflammatory gene expression in macrophages by selenium. J.
  Nutr. Biochem. 26, 138–145.
- Neves, A.R., Martins, S., Segundo, M.A., Reis, S., 2016. Nanoscale delivery of resveratrol
  towards enhancement of supplements and nutraceuticals. Nutrients 8, 131.
- Nie, T., Wu, H., Wong, K.-H., Chen, T., 2016. Facile synthesis of highly uniform selenium
  nanoparticles using glucose as the reductant and surface decorator to induce cancer cell
  apoptosis. J. Mater. Chem. B 4, 2351–2358.
- 930 Oberdörster, G., Maynard, A., Donaldson, K., Castranova, V., Fitzpatrick, J., Ausman, K.,
- 931 Carter, J., Karn, B., Kreyling, W., Lai, D., Olin, S., Monteiro-Riviere, N., Warheit, D.,
- 932 Yang, H., 2005. Principles for characterizing the potential human health effects from
- 933 exposure to nanomaterials: elements of a screening strategy. Part. Fibre Toxicol. 2, 8.
- 934 https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-2-8

919

- Paliwal, R., Palakurthi, S., 2014. Zein in controlled drug delivery and tissue engineering. J.
  Control. Release 189, 108–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.06.036
- 937 Pan, Y., Li, Y.J., Zhao, H.Y., Zheng, J.M., Xu, H., Wei, G., Hao, J.S., Cui, F. De, 2002.
- 938 Bioadhesive polysaccharide in protein delivery system: Chitosan nanoparticles improve
- 939 the intestinal absorption of insulin in vivo. Int. J. Pharm. 249, 139–147.
- 940 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(02)00486-6

- 941 Panchuk, R.R., Skorokhyd, N.R., Kozak, Y.S., Lehka, L. V, Chumak, V. V, Omelyanchik,
- 942 S.N., Gurinovich, V.A., Moiseenok, A.G., Stoika, R.S., 2016. Antioxidants
- selenomethionine and D-pantethine decrease the negative side effects of doxorubicin in
- 944 NL/Ly lymphoma-bearing mice. Croat. Med. J. 57, 180–92.
  945 https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2016.57.180
- Papadimitriou, S., Bikiaris, D., Avgoustakis, K., Karavas, E., Georgarakis, M., 2008.
  Chitosan nanoparticles loaded with dorzolamide and pramipexole. Carbohydr. Polym.
  73, 44–54.
- 949 Pharmacopoeia, B., 2016. British Pharmacopoeia Commission: London.
- Podaralla, S., Perumal, O., 2012. Influence of formulation factors on the preparation of zein
  nanoparticles. Aaps Pharmscitech 13, 919–927.
- Qi, J., Yao, P., He, F., Yu, C., Huang, C., 2010. Nanoparticles with dextran/chitosan shell and
  BSA/chitosan core-Doxorubicin loading and delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 393, 177–185.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2010.03.063
- Rampino, A., Borgogna, M., Blasi, P., Bellich, B., Cesàro, A., 2013. Chitosan nanoparticles:
  Preparation, size evolution and stability. Int. J. Pharm. 455, 219–228.
  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.07.034
- Rayman, M.P., 2000. The importance of selenium to human health. Lancet 356, 233–241.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02490-9
- Rayman, M.P., Infante, H.G., Sargent, M., 2008. Food-chain selenium and human health:
  spotlight on speciation. Br. J. Nutr. 100, 238–253.
  https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114508922522
- Reddy, V.S., Bukke, S., Dutt, N., Rana, P., Pandey, A.K., 2017. A systematic review and
  meta-analysis of the circulatory, erythrocellular and CSF selenium levels in Alzheimer's
  disease: A metal meta-analysis (AMMA study-I). J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol. 42, 68–75.

- Reilly, K., Valverde, J., Finn, L., Gaffney, M., Rai, D.K., Brunton, N., 2014. A note on the
  effectiveness of selenium supplementation of Irish-grown Allium crops. Irish J. Agric.
  Food Res. 91–99.
- 969 Ryan, K.B., Maher, S., Brayden, D.J., O'driscoll, C.M., 2012. .2: NANOSTRUCTURES
- 970 OVERCOMING THE INTESTINAL BARRIER: DRUG DELIVERY STRATEGIES.
  971 Nanostructured Biomater. Overcoming Biol. Barriers 63.
- Ryan, S.M., McMorrow, J., Umerska, A., Patel, H.B., Kornerup, K.N., Tajber, L., Murphy,
  E.P., Perretti, M., Corrigan, O.I., Brayden, D.J., 2013. An intra-articular salmon
  calcitonin-based nanocomplex reduces experimental inflammatory arthritis. J. Control.
  Release 167, 120–129.
- Sessa, D.J., Mohamed, A., Byars, J.A., 2008. Chemistry and physical properties of meltprocessed and solution-cross-linked corn zein. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 7067–7075.
- Shanmugam, M., Prakash, B., REDDY, E.P.K., PANDA, A.K., 2015. Dietary organic zinc
  and selenium supplementation improves semen quality and fertility in layer breeders.
  Indian J. Anim. Sci. 85.
- Shi, K., Kokini, J.L., Huang, Q., 2009. Engineering zein films with controlled surface
  morphology and hydrophilicity. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 2186–2192.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803559v
- Siepmann, J., Peppas, N.A., 2011. Higuchi equation: Derivation, applications, use and
  misuse. Int. J. Pharm. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.03.051
- Sipoli, C.C., Santana, N., Shimojo, A.A.M., Azzoni, A., de la Torre, L.G., 2015. Scalable
  production of highly concentrated chitosan/TPP nanoparticles in different pHs and
  evaluation of the in vitro transfection efficiency. Biochem. Eng. J. 94, 65–73.
- Song, G., Zhang, Z., Wen, L., Chen, C., Shi, Q., Zhang, Y., Ni, J., Liu, Q., 2014.
  Selenomethionine ameliorates cognitive declineSong, G. et al. (2014)

'Selenomethionine ameliorates cognitive decline, reduces tau hyperphosphorylation, and
reverses synaptic deficit in the triple transgenic mouse model of alzheimer's disease',
Journal of . J. Alzheimer's Dis. 41, 85–99. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-131805

- Takahashi, K., Suzuki, N., Ogra, Y., 2017. Bioavailability comparison of nine
  bioselenocompounds in vitro and in vivo. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18, 1–11.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18030506
- Traynor, M., Burke, R., Frias, J.M., Gaston, E., Barry-ryan, C., Traynor, M., Barry-ryan, C.,
  2013. Formation and Stability of an Oil in Water Emulsion Containing Lecithin ,
  Xanthan Gum and Sunflower Oil Formation and Stability of an Oil in Water Emulsion
  Containing Lecithin , Xanthan Gum and Sunflower Oil 20, 2173–2181.
- 1001 Umerska, A., Corrigan, O.I., Tajber, L., 2014. Intermolecular interactions between salmon
  1002 calcitonin, hyaluronate, and chitosan and their impact on the process of formation and
  1003 properties of peptide-loaded nanoparticles. Int. J. Pharm. 477, 102–112.
  1004 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.10.023
- 1005 Van Leeuwen, Y.M.M., Velikov, K.P.P., Kegel, W.K.K., 2014. Colloidal stability and
  1006 chemical reactivity of complex colloids containing Fe3+. Food Chem. 155, 161–166.
  1007 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.01.045
- 1008 Vongchan, P., Wutti-In, Y., Sajomsang, W., Gonil, P., Kothan, S., Linhardt, R.J., 2011. N, N,
   1009 N-Trimethyl chitosan nanoparticles for the delivery of monoclonal antibodies against
   1010 hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Carbohydr. Polym. 85, 215–220.
- 1011 Wang, J.J., Zeng, Z.W., Xiao, R.Z., Xie, T., Zhou, G.L., Zhan, X.R., Wang, S.L., 2011.
- 1012 Recent advances of chitosan nanoparticles as drug carriers. Int. J. Nanomedicine.
  1013 https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S17296
- 1014 Wang, M., Wright, J., Buswell, R., Brownlee, A., 2013. A comparison of approaches to
- 1015 stepwise regression for global sensitivity analysis used with evolutionary optimization,

- in: Proceedings of the BS2013, 13th Conference of International Building Performance
  Simulation Association, Chambéry, France. pp. 26–28.
- 1018 Ward, P., Connolly, C., Murphy, R., 2012. Accelerated Determination of Selenomethionine
- 1019 in Selenized Yeast: Validation of Analytical Method. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 151, 446–
- 1020 450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-012-9571-x
- Waterman, K.C., Adami, R.C., 2005. Accelerated aging: prediction of chemical stability of
  pharmaceuticals. Int. J. Pharm. 293, 101–125.
- Wong, C.Y., Al-Salami, H., Dass, C.R., 2017. The role of chitosan on oral delivery of
  peptide-loaded nanoparticle formulation. J. Drug Target. 0, 1–12.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2017.1400552
- Wu, L., Zhang, J., Watanabe, W., 2011. Physical and chemical stability of drug nanoparticles.
  Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 63, 456–469.
- Xu, H., Cao, W., Zhang, X., 2013. Selenium-containing polymers: promising biomaterials for
   controlled release and enzyme mimics. Acc. Chem. Res. 46, 1647–1658.
- 1030 Yoon, H.Y., Son, S., Lee, S.J., You, D.G., Yhee, J.Y., Park, J.H., Swierczewska, M., Lee, S.,
- 1031 Kwon, I.C., Kim, S.H., Kim, K., Pomper, M.G., 2014. Glycol chitosan nanoparticles as
- specialized cancer therapeutic vehicles: Sequential delivery of doxorubicin and Bcl-2
- 1033 siRNA. Sci. Rep. 4, 6878. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06878
- Zhang, C., Zhai, X., Zhao, G., Ren, F., Leng, X., 2015. Synthesis, characterization, and
  controlled release of selenium nanoparticles stabilized by chitosan of different molecular
  weights. Carbohydr. Polym. 134, 158–166.
- 1050 weights. Carbonyur. Polym. 154, 158–100.
- 1037 Zhang, H., Huang, Q., Huang, Z., Liu, T., Li, Y., 2015. Preparation and physicochemical
- 1038 properties of chitosan broadleaf holly leaf nanoparticles. Int. J. Pharm. 479, 212–218.
- 1039 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.12.010
- 1040 Zhang, J., Wang, X., Xu, T., 2008. Elemental selenium at nano size (Nano-Se) as a potential

- 1041 chemopreventive agent with reduced risk of selenium toxicity: comparison with se-1042 methylselenocysteine in mice. Toxicol. Sci. 101, 22–31.
- 1043Zhang, Y., Cui, L., Che, X., Zhang, H., Shi, N., Li, C., Chen, Y., Kong, W., 2015. Zein-based1044films and their usage for controlled delivery: Origin, classes and current landscape. J.
- 1045 Control. Release 206, 206–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.03.030
- 1046 Zhao, L., Zhu, B., Jia, Y., Hou, W., Su, C., 2013. Preparation of biocompatible
- 1047 carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles for delivery of antibiotic drug. Biomed Res. Int.
- 1048 2013, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/236469
- 1049 Zhong, Q., Jin, M., 2009. Zein nanoparticles produced by liquid–liquid dispersion. Food
  1050 Hydrocoll. 23, 2380–2387.
- 1051 Zolgharnein, J., Shahmoradi, A., Ghasemi, J.B., 2013. Comparative study of Box–Behnken,
- 1052 central composite, and Doehlert matrix for multivariate optimization of Pb (II)
  1053 adsorption onto Robinia tree leaves. J. Chemom. 27, 12–20.
- 1054
- 1055 Graphical abstract:



The above graphic depicts the formulation methodology used to produce selenomethionine loaded chitosan nanoparticles, coated with zein, for *in vitro* assessment. Briefly, to produce the nanoparticles, chitosan was protonated by dissolving in acidic buffer (pH 3), then crosslinked with ionised tripolyphosphate and selenomethionine in NaOH (0.1 M). Zein coating was then employed to coat the nanoparticles and purification was achieved by removing unencapsulated formulation components through ultracentrifugation.

1063

# Figure 1: Contour Plot of (A) PDI against ratio of Cs:TPP (X<sub>3</sub>) and SeMet (mg/mL) (X<sub>2</sub>), with pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>) held at median level (1.5), (B) ZP against pH-pI (X<sub>1</sub>) and the ratio

1066 1067	of Cs:TPP (X <sub>3</sub> ) and (C) EE% against pH-pI (X <sub>1</sub> ) vs ratio of Cs:TPP (X <sub>3</sub> ) for the RSM models presented in Table 2.
1068	
1069 1070 1071 1072	Figure 2: Desirability profiles for optimisation of the formulation parameters; $X_1$ (pH-pI), $X_2$ (load concentration) and $X_3$ (Ratio of Cs:TPP) - maximising ZP and EE%, whilst minimising PDI.
1073	Figure 3: FTIR spectra of (A) zein, (B) TPP, (C) Cs, (D) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs and (E)
1074	SeMet:Cs:TPP:zein NPs. Spectra are offset for clarity.
1075	
1076	Figure 4: SEM image of (A) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs and (B) SeMet:Cs:TPP NPs coated
1077	with zein
1078	
1079	Figure 5: (1) Particle size, (2) PDI and (3) ZP analysis of SeMet loaded NPs exposed to
1080	(a) 80 °C, (b) 70 °C and (c) 60 °C, over time periods of 120, 300 and 720 min,
1081	respectively. N=3
1082	Figure 6: Arrhenius plots for the (A) ZP, (B) PDI and (C) size accelerated studies of
1083	SeMet loaded NPs. N=3.
1084	
1085	Figure 7: Cytotoxicity assessment of SeMet, Dunloaded NPs with zein coating and
1086	SeMet loaded NPs with zein coating, exposed for (a) 4h in Caco-2 cell lines and (b) 72h
1087	in HepG2 cell line at SeMet equivalent concentrations (25 uM, 50 uM and 100 uM). Triton <sup>™</sup>
1088	X-100 (0.05%) was used as positive control and percentage (%) of MTS converted was
1089	compared to untreated control. 1-Way ANOVA with Dunnetts's post-test *** P< 0.001, **
1090	P<0.01, Each value presented was normalised against untreated control and calculated from
1091	three separate experiments, each of which included six replicates. $N=3$

- 1093 Figure 8: Release kinetics of SeMet NPs coated with zein after 2 hr in SGF (pH 1.2) and
- **4 hr in SIF (pH 6.8).**



# 

**Figure 1** 









**Figure 3** 



- **Figure 4**



- **Figure 5**













- **Figure 7**





