

Technological University Dublin

ARROW@TU Dublin

Articles Social Sciences

2023

Towards An Inclusive and Representative Academic Landscape

Linn Leppert

University of Twente: Enschede, NL

Katalin Solymosi

Eötvös Loránd Tudományegyetem: Budapest, HU

Yvonne Galligan

Technological University Dublin, yvonne.galligan@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/aaschsslarts



Part of the Education Commons, and the Sociology Commons

Recommended Citation

Leppert, Linn; Solymosi, Katalin; and Galligan, Yvonne, "Towards An Inclusive and Representative Academic Landscape" (2023). Articles. 95.

https://arrow.tudublin.ie/aaschsslarts/95

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Social Sciences at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

Towards an inclusive and representative academic landscape

Linn Leppert¹, Katalin Solymosi², Yvonne Galligan³

¹MESA+ Institute for Nanotechnology, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

²Department of Plant Anatomy, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

³ Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Directorate, Technological University Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

Abstract

This article is a summary of a panel discussion titled "Towards an inclusive and representative academic landscape", held at the Building Bridges Meeting of Academia Europaea and the Young Academy of Europe on 26 October 2022. Panelists were Prof. Yvonne Galligan, director of Equality, Diversity and Inclusion and Professor of Comparative Politics at the Technological University Dublin, Dr. Katalin Solymosi, plant biologist, assistant professor at Eötvös Loránd University and vice-chair of the Young Academy of Europe, and Prof. Stephen Curry, Assistant Provost for Equality, Diversity and Inclusion and Professor of Structural Biology at Imperial College London. Dr. Linn Leppert, Associate Professor of Computational Chemical Physics and board member of the Young Academy of Europe chaired the discussion.

1. Introduction

The role of researchers and educators in academia is crucial, as they are responsible for performing innovative and impactful scientific research, as well as educating future generations of researchers, entrepreneurs, policy- and other decision-makers^{1,2}. However, a lack of diversity, equality, and inclusion (EDI) in academia can limit the recognition, attraction, and retention of talented individuals, leading to missed opportunities for significant scientific and societal progress^{3,4}.

The problem of a lack of EDI in academia is further compounded by the fact that it is fed forward to future generations through the education and visibility of role models that are provided, or not provided, to students. Much progress has been made in the last years, and diversification and internationalization efforts have significantly changed the demographics

of students and staff at European universities^{3,4}. Nonetheless, there is still a profound lack of diversity, in particular in university leadership positions⁵, and an insufficient focus on equality and inclusion of underrepresented groups in academia.

The lack of diversity in academia has a variety of adverse consequences. For example, a number of studies have shown that when underrepresented groups are absent from a field, it can perpetuate stereotypes and biases about those groups^{6–8}. This can limit the types of research questions that are asked, the types of methodologies that are used, and the types of solutions that are proposed. In addition, a lack of EDI in academia can limit the ability of underrepresented groups to access educational and career opportunities, which can lead to missed opportunities for scientific and societal progress⁹. Finally, universities are educating the decision- and policy makers of the future, implying that problems with EDI are self-propelling and unlikely to decline "by themselves".

However, it is important to note that diversity strategies have to go beyond hiring or recruitment strategies. While these strategies are important, they are not enough on their own¹⁰. Regardless of whether students or staff at universities are concerned, the internal dynamics of inequality at universities can create hostile environments for minoritized groups. The inclusion of these groups needs to be a core goal of EDI strategies and has to be ensured by the dominant group¹¹.

Many knowledge institutions have recognized the lack of diversity as a problem and have developed actionable EDI plans to counteract its effects. Two of the panelists in this discussion session titled "Towards an inclusive and representative academic landscape", Prof. Yvonne Galligan and Prof. Stephen Curry, have been deeply involved in the development of such plans. The panel discussion was aimed at broadly mapping out the current landscape of measures for improving EDI at European universities, identifying roadblocks, and providing examples for best practices than can be implemented by universities and research institutes.

In Section 2, we start our discussion with an overview of gender representation in the current academic landscape of Hungary, for which extensive data on the effects of female underrepresentation on wage and recognition gaps between male and female researchers at universities and research institutes exists. While the situation in Hungary is not necessarily

representative of that in other European countries, we note that female representation in university leadership positions is among the lowest in Western European countries like Germany, the Netherlands, and Belgium³. For our summary of best practices in Section 3, we primarily draw on the examples of the UK and Ireland, where almost two decades of experience with the Athena SWAN scheme and gender equality and EDI plans allow for the identification of measures with proven efficiency. In Section 4, we briefly discuss the problem of resistance against EDI plans and summarize our main conclusions in Section 5.

2. Gender wage gaps and female representation in academia in EU13 countries: the case of Hungary

In 2018^{12,13} and 2021¹⁴, the Hungarian Young Academy conducted comprehensive surveys among early-career researchers (defined as researchers below the age of 45) in academia. Both surveys collected responses from > 1000 respondents. The second survey was more detailed and contained data related to salaries and publication practices. This study also covered all early academic career stages and scientific areas and included 45% female and 55% male respondents. The results showed a strong gender wage gap, which was significant, even after normalization of the data with respect to factors such as academic rank, scientific performance, discipline, and leadership position. The survey found a clear correlation between the appearance of the wage gap and the age of respondents. In the traditional family model that is prevalent in Hungary, women are expected to take over the majority of child-caring responsibilities and stay at home for longer periods (parental leave can be up to 3 years per child) and men are expected to act as financial providers.

Additionally, the survey analyzed publication records of respondents and found that only women with children under the age of ten publish less than men. Moreover, the data showed that this publication gap — and potentially other, not studied factors, like lower visibility of female researchers - later resulted in a citation gap between men and women, because women who did not publish during this period got less citations than men.

It is important to note that female representation in academia and engineering in Hungary is among the lowest within Europe (below 35%)¹⁵. This might be correlated with the strong traditional family model and the long parental break and is in strong contrast with data observed in other EU13 countries, in which the representation of women is relatively high in

academia. However, salaries of researchers at universities and in research institutes are comparably low in all EU13 countries¹⁶, which complicates the comparison with other European countries.

3. Identifying Best Practices

The case study of Hungary described above highlights that a thorough analysis of the underlying dynamics of inequality is crucial for developing tailored responses, and that systemic and cultural changes promoting equality and inclusion sometimes even beyond academia are important to help underrepresented minorities to thrive in the scientific landscape. The responsibility for implementing systemic changes and smart plans for inclusion rests with the dominant group, i.e., those who make decisions in universities. The implementation of these plans requires full commitment, implementation, and accountability at all levels of the university. While networks of underrepresented groups are important for crystallizing their priorities and shaping institutional discussion, these groups should not be expected to fit into structures that do not currently represent them¹⁷.

Equally important to this shared responsibility approach, where every student and staff member has a role to play in promoting EDI, are formal oversight processes and active involvement of senior university leadership in delivering the EDI strategy. An important point highlighted throughout the panel discussion was that addressing EDI challenges is complex and requires multi-faceted approaches. Here we mention several initiatives undertaken by Imperial College London to promote EDI, including shaping conference policies to ensure gender balance, the need for data-driven approaches and listening to staff experiences in developing action plans, introducing reverse mentoring schemes for senior leaders, providing appropriate and accessible facilities such as toilets, and examining the university's history linked to British colonialism¹⁸.

Measurable outputs and data availability are particularly important in promoting diversity in academia. For example, at Imperial College London, external charters were used to hold the institution accountable and create deadlines. Specific measures were implemented around recruitment, including a policy called "Know Your Pool" which encourages talented women to apply to the university. The policy involves identifying candidates through networks within departments and diversifying the recruiting panels to include women. The interview process

was also re-engineered to be non-adversarial and to explore the candidate's contributions to the department beyond their research. Data collection is intensive and involves the determination of gender and race ratios, who applies, who gets short-listed and hired, grievances and disciplinary issues, admissions, and graduation rates. The data collected has revealed gaps and underrepresentation in certain ethnic groups, highlighting the need for more interventions in areas like engineering, physics, and computing, which are still male dominated. The success of these measures has been shown in some departments and in recruitment numbers. However, the challenges do vary, and the institution continues to work towards making the academic landscape more inclusive and representative.

A demonstrable commitment from the highest decision-making levels of the institution is crucial to making change happen. One example given by panelist Prof. Yvonne Galligan was how the TU Dublin achieved a gender-balanced governing body and senate, which then led to the expectation that every decision-making committee of the university would be gender-balanced. Although there was resistance at first, including from women who viewed the initiative as a tokenist gesture, it has now died away. Gender balance in the Academic Council, followed by a gender-balanced distribution among Heads of Schools after a reorganization, has brought about more inclusive decision-making teams. It is now unthinkable for TU Dublin to have a decision-making group solely composed of one gender or identity.

One of the main topics of discussion was unconscious bias training, which is not widely available in countries across Europe. However, the European Council's requirement for institutions applying for Horizon or European funding to have (gender) equality plans is a step towards improving the situation. On the other side it is highly important to implement unbiased control mechanisms to ensure that these equality plans do not remain only tick-box exercises to ensure EU funding, but receive enough attention, tailored and dedicated funding and human resources to initiate real changes in academia in countries where EDI policy measures have not been adequately addressed institutionally before¹⁹. In this respect, learning from universities and research institutes across Europe, and working with policymakers can help create change in such situations. Policymakers can exert leverage on the sector to change expectations for higher education.

The panelists also discussed the need for social and structural changes to promote gender equality, including the availability and affordability of childcare facilities. They also discussed the need for institutional measures to ensure that maternity leave is respected, and that reintegration into the academic workforce on return is supported in a positive manner.

Regarding research assessment, the panelists highlighted the differences between the number of papers published by male and female researchers and differences in how often these papers are cited^{20–22}. They suggested that a change in mindset is needed to address the issue of gender inequality in research funding. They suggested further that consideration should be given to parenting times and other times spent on care responsibilities which disproportionately affect female researchers²³, and highlighted that research excellence is a question of quality and impact, not quantity.

Finally, the panelists discussed the variability in teaching activities across different European countries and universities, which should also be taken into consideration in promoting gender equality.

In conclusion, the panelists suggest that creating a more inclusive and representative academic landscape requires extensive data for identifying tailored measures that address various areas such as recruitment, promotion, maternity leave, and institutional culture. A demonstrable commitment from the highest decision-making levels of the institution is critical to making change happen. Learning from other universities and working with policymakers can also help create change in academia.

4. Resistance against implementation of change

The panel also addressed the topic of resistance towards discussions on EDI inside and outside of academia. One of the examples cited was a recent survey on EDI conducted at several Dutch universities that was intentionally hijacked by internet trolls to render the data unusable²⁴. Another issue discussed was how political players are politicizing the conversation on EDI for their own purposes. The panelists discussed how to deal with these types of resistance, emphasizing the importance of having constructive conversations and the need for universities to stand for free discourse. The panelists agreed that universities cannot shy away from these conversations and that those who work in EDI should build capacity throughout the university and among all staff and students to enable self-reflection

and critical analysis. Overall, the panel discussion highlighted the importance of having respectful and constructive conversations on EDI in universities and research institutions and the need to address resistance in a proactive and reflective manner.

5. Summary

The panel discussion "Towards an inclusive and representative academic landscape" stressed that bringing social justice into academia is necessary for achieving excellence. Therefore, institutions should incorporate strategic goals centering on equality, diversity, and inclusion in their strategies. To achieve this, collecting data to identify the real problems and areas of underrepresentation is crucial. The panelists suggested that institutions should take measures in response to these issues, explicitly address accountability in their EDI plans, and involve all students and staff members in promoting EDI, while firmly placing the burden of responsibility and accountability on decision-makers and the dominant groups.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for discussions with Stephen Curry (Imperial College London, United Kingdom) and Brigitta Németh (Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Budapest, Hungary) for her critical reading of the part related to the 2021 survey of the Hungarian Young Academy.

References

- 1. Kyvik, S. The academic researcher role: enhancing expectations and improved performance. *High. Educ.* **65**, 525–538 (2013).
- Nogrady, B. Academic employers seek research experience and teaching skills. *Nature* (2023) doi:10.1038/d41586-023-00630-z.
- Directorate-General for Research and Innovation (European Commission). She figures
 2021: gender in research and innovation: statistics and indicators. (Publications Office of the European Union, 2021).

- 4. Gender Equality Index 2022: The COVID-19 pandemic and care. European Institute for Gender Equality https://eige.europa.eu/publications/gender-equality-index-2022-covid-19-pandemic-and-care.
- 5. Women in university leadership some progress but more to do.

 https://www.eua.eu/news/841:women-in-university-leadership-%E2%80%93-some-progress-but-more-to-do.html.
- Nosek, B. A. et al. National differences in gender–science stereotypes predict national sex differences in science and math achievement. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 10593–10597 (2009).
- 7. Llorens, A. *et al.* Gender bias in academia: A lifetime problem that needs solutions.

 Neuron 109, 2047–2074 (2021).
- 8. Markle, R. S. *et al.* Supporting Historically Underrepresented Groups in STEM Higher Education: The Promise of Structured Mentoring Networks. *Front. Educ.* **7**, (2022).
- 9. Baumeister, R. F., Twenge, J. M. & Nuss, C. K. Effects of social exclusion on cognitive processes: Anticipated aloneness reduces intelligent thought. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **83**, 817–827 (2002).
- 10. Milkman, K. L., Akinola, M. & Chugh, D. What happens before? A field experiment exploring how pay and representation differentially shape bias on the pathway into organizations. *J. Appl. Psychol.* **100**, 1678–1712 (2015).
- 11. Wolbring, G. & Lillywhite, A. Equity/Equality, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) in Universities:

 The Case of Disabled People. *Societies* **11**, 49 (2021).
- 12. Alpár D. *et al.* Fiatal kutatók Magyarországon felmérés a 45 év alatti kutatók helyzetéről. *Magy. Tud.* (2019) doi:10.1556/2065.180.2019.7.13.

- 13. Magyar Tudomány 2019/7 Fiatal kutatók Magyarországon felmérés a 45 év alatti kutatók helyzetéről MeRSZ. https://mersz.hu/dokumentum/matud__557/.
- 14. Németh B. et al. A fiatalok helyzete az akadémiai pályán : Fiatal Kutatók Kérdőív : Az FKA 2021-ben készült felmérésének eredményei. https://mta.hu/fka/elkeszult-a-fiatalok-az-akademiai-palyan-2021-cimu-felmeres-kutatasi-jelentese-112472 (2022) doi:10.36820/fka.2022.
- 15. Proportion of women scientists and engineers, 2021.

 https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/4187653/16022092/10.02-girls-science-v2.png/6924908d-bc07-e80d-16cd-1f5655660cb9?t=1675950257881.
- 16. New indicator on annual average salaries in the EU Products Eurostat News Eurostat. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-eurostat-news/w/ddn-20221219-3#.
- 17. Equality, diversity and inclusion at universities: the power of a systemic approach. *LERU* https://www.leru.org/publications/equality-diversity-and-inclusion-at-universities.
- 18. Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Strategy. *Imperial College London*https://www.imperial.ac.uk/admin-services/equality/governance/strategy/.
- 19. Clavero, S. & Galligan, Y. Delivering gender justice in academia through gender equality plans? Normative and practical challenges. *Gend. Work Organ.* **28**, 1115–1132 (2021).
- 20. Gendered Citation Patterns across Political Science and Social Science Methodology
 Fields | Political Analysis | Cambridge Core.
 https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/political-analysis/article/gendered-citation-patterns-across-political-science-and-social-science-methodology-fields/5E8E92DB7454BCAE41A912F9E792CBA7.
- 21. Larivière, V., Ni, C., Gingras, Y., Cronin, B. & Sugimoto, C. R. Bibliometrics: Global gender disparities in science. *Nature* **504**, 211–213 (2013).

- 22. King, M. M., Bergstrom, C. T., Correll, S. J., Jacquet, J. & West, J. D. Men Set Their Own Cites High: Gender and Self-citation across Fields and over Time. *Socius* **3**, 2378023117738903 (2017).
- 23. Swider-Cios, E., Solymosi, K. & Srinivas, M. Why science needs a new reward and recognition system. *Nature* **595**, 751–753 (2021).
- 24. U-Today stops data collection for diversity survey.

 https://www.utoday.nl/news/72029/u-today-stops-data-collection-for-diversity-survey
 (2022).