

2002-01-01

Some Irrational Generalised Moonshine from Orbifolds

Rossen Ivanov

Technological University Dublin, rossen.ivanov@tudublin.ie

Michael Tuite

National University Of Ireland - Galway

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/scschmatart>



Part of the [Algebra Commons](#), [Elementary Particles and Fields and String Theory Commons](#), and the [Quantum Physics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

R. Ivanov and M.P. Tuite, Some Irrational Generalised Moonshine from Orbifolds, Nuclear Physics B 635, n 3, (2002) 473-491; doi.org/10.21427/jtvd-mb47

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Mathematics and Statistics at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

Funder: SFI

Some Irrational Generalised Moonshine from Orbifolds

Rossen Ivanov^{1,2} and Michael Tuite^{1,3}

¹Department of Mathematical Physics,

National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

²Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy,

72 Tzarigradsko shosse, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

³Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies,

10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland

email: rossen.ivanov@nuigalway.ie, michael.tuite@nuigalway.ie

Abstract

We verify the Generalised Moonshine conjectures for some irrational modular functions for the Monster centralisers related to the Harada-Norton, Held, M_{12} and $L_3(3)$ simple groups based on certain orbifolding constraints. We find explicitly the fixing groups of the hauptmoduls arising in each case.

PACS: 11.25.Hf, 02.10.De, 02.20.Bb

Key Words: Conformal Fields, Modular Groups, Moonshine, Orbifolds.

1 Introduction

The Moonshine Module [1],[2] whose automorphism group is the Monster finite sporadic group \mathbf{M} , is an orbifold Meromorphic Conformal Field Theory (MCFT) constructed by orbifolding the Leech lattice MCFT with respect to the group generated by a reflection involution. The Moonshine Module partition function is the classical elliptic J function which is a hauptmodul for the genus zero modular group $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. The Moonshine Module is believed to be the unique MCFT with this partition function [2]. Orbifolding the Moonshine Module with respect to the group generated by an element $g \in \mathbf{M}$ leads naturally to the notion of the orbifold partition function known as the Thompson series T_g . Monstrous Moonshine is mainly concerned with the property, conjectured by Conway and Norton [3] and subsequently proved by Borcherds [4], that each Thompson series T_g is a hauptmodul for some genus zero fixing modular group. Assuming the uniqueness of the Moonshine Module, this genus zero property is also believed to be equivalent to the following statement [5]: the only orbifold MCFT that can arise by orbifolding with respect to g is either the Moonshine Module itself (for g belonging to a so-called Fricke Monster conjugacy class) or the Leech lattice MCFT (for g belonging to a non-Fricke Monster conjugacy class).

The Generalised Moonshine conjecture of Norton [6] is concerned with modular functions associated with a commuting pair $g, h \in \mathbf{M}$ and asserts that each such modular function known as a Generalised Moonshine Function (GMF) is either constant or is a hauptmodul for some genus zero fixing group. No extension of the Borcherds' approach to Monstrous Moonshine has yet been shown to be possible for Generalised Moonshine. The most natural setting for these conjectures is to consider orbifoldings of the Moonshine Module with respect to the abelian group $\langle g, h \rangle$ generated by g, h [7],[8]. In [8] we considered the case where

g is of prime order $p = 2, 3, 5$ and 7 and is of Fricke type and h is of order pk for $k = 1$ or k prime. There we confirm Norton's conjecture for modular functions with rational coefficients in these cases by considering orbifold modular properties and some consistency conditions arising from the orbifolding procedure leading to constraints on the possible Monster conjugacy classes to which the elements of $\langle g, h \rangle$ may belong. In the present paper we extend the approach based on the restrictions coming from the orbifolding of the Moonshine Module and demonstrate the hauptmodul property for GMFs with irrational coefficients where g and h are of the same prime order.

We begin in Section 2 with a brief review of some properties of Meromorphic Conformal Field Theory (MCFT), the Moonshine Module and Generalised Moonshine Functions. In Section 3 we discuss the general properties for GMFs with irrational coefficients when g is of prime order. We then state two theorems about the conjugation properties of the centraliser elements, and one theorem concerning constraints that arise from the consistency of orbifolding the Moonshine Module with respect to $\langle g, h \rangle$ under specific choices of generators. We then analyse the GMFs with irrational coefficients in the cases where g is Fricke of prime order $p = 5, 7, 11$ and 13 and h is of same order p . This analysis in part relies on properties of the characters of the centralisers of the Monster related to the Harada-Norton, Held, Mathieu and $L_3(3)$ simple groups. We give a comprehensive analysis of the possible singularity structure of GMFs for the cases under consideration. In each case we demonstrate that all singularities of the GMF can be identified under some genus zero fixing group for which the GMF is a hauptmodul. Thus we verify the Generalised Moonshine conjecture in these cases.

2 Generalised Moonshine

2.1 Self-Dual Meromorphic Conformal Field Theories

Let \mathcal{H} denote the Hilbert space of a Self-Dual Meromorphic Conformal Field Theory (MCFT) [9] of central charge 24. The characteristic function (or genus one partition function) for \mathcal{H} is given by $Z(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(q^{L_0-1})$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, where $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, the upper half complex plane, is the usual elliptic modular parameter. \mathcal{H} has integral grading (the conformal weight) with respect to L_0 , the Virasoro level operator so that $Z(\tau)$ is invariant under $T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$. Furthermore, self-duality of the MCFT implies invariance under $S : \tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ so that $Z(\tau)$ is invariant under the modular group $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ generated by S, T . Hence $Z(\tau)$ is given up to an additive constant by $J(\tau)$, the hauptmodul for $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ [10] i.e. $Z(\tau) = J(\tau) + N_0$, where J has q expansion

$$J(\tau) = \frac{E_4^3(\tau)}{\eta^{24}(\tau)} - 744 = \frac{1}{q} + 0 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \dots \quad (1)$$

and where $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n>0} (1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind eta function, $E_n(\tau)$ is the Eisenstein modular form of weight n [10], N_0 is the number of conformal weight 1

operators in \mathcal{H} . For the Leech lattice MCFT $N_0 = 24$ and for the FLM Moonshine Module \mathcal{V}^\natural with Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^\natural [1, 2] $N_0 = 0$. This means that the latter does not contain conformal dimension 1 operators i.e. there is an absence of the usual Kac-Moody symmetry.

2.2 The Moonshine Module and Monstrous Moonshine

The Monster group \mathbf{M} , the largest finite sporadic simple group, is the automorphism group of the Moonshine Module [1, 2]. The Thompson series $T_g(\tau)$ for each $g \in \mathbf{M}$ is defined by

$$T_g(\tau) \equiv \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}^\natural}(gq^{L_0-1}) \quad (2)$$

with q expansion with coefficients determined by (reducible) characters of \mathbf{M} . The Thompson series for the identity element is $J(\tau)$ of (1), which is the hauptmodul for the genus zero modular group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ as already stated.

Conway and Norton [3] conjectured and Borcherds [4] proved that $T_g(\tau)$ is the hauptmodul for some genus zero fixing modular group Γ_g . This remarkable property is known as Monstrous Moonshine. In general, for $o(g) = n$, $T_g(\tau)$ is found to be $\Gamma_0(n)$ invariant up to m^{th} roots of unity where

$$\Gamma_0(n) \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, c = 0 \pmod{n} \right\},$$

and where m is an integer with $m|n$ and $m|24$. g is said to be a normal element of \mathbf{M} if and only if $m = 1$, otherwise g is said to be anomalous. $T_g(\tau)$ is fixed by some $\Gamma_g \supseteq \Gamma_0(N)$ which is contained in the normalizer of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ where $N = nm$ [3]. This normalizer contains the Fricke involution $W_N : \tau \rightarrow -1/N\tau$. All classes of \mathbf{M} can therefore be divided into Fricke and non-Fricke type according to whether or not $T_g(\tau)$ is invariant under the Fricke involution. There are a total of 51 non-Fricke classes of which 38 are normal and there are a total of 120 Fricke classes of which 82 are normal. The genus zero properties of Monstrous Moonshine can also be understood using constraints arising from the possible orbifolding of \mathcal{V}^\natural with respect to the group generated by g [11], [5].

2.3 Properties of Generalised Moonshine Functions

We now consider Generalised Moonshine Functions (GMFs) which are generalised Thompson series depending on two commuting Monster elements of the form

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \equiv \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_g^\natural}(hq^{L_0-1}), \quad (3)$$

for $h \in C_g$, the centralizer of g in \mathbf{M} . \mathcal{H}_g^\natural denotes the Hilbert space for a so called g -twisted sector of \mathcal{V}^\natural [12],[8]. The original Thompson series (2) then corresponds to the untwisted sector, i.e.

$$T_g(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (\tau).$$

Each $h \in C_g$ has a central extension, acting on \mathcal{H}_g^h , which we also denote by h [8]. Norton has conjectured that [6]:

Generalised Moonshine. *The GMF (3) is either constant or is a hauptmodul for some genus zero fixing group $\Gamma_{h,g}$.*

If $\langle g, h \rangle = \langle u \rangle$ for some $u \in \mathbf{M}$ then (3) can always be transformed to a regular Thompson series (2) [11],[13],[8]. In particular this is always possible when $o(g)$ and $o(h)$ are coprime. In these cases, the genus zero property for the GMF therefore follows from that for a regular Thompson series (2). The GMFs with non-trivial genus zero behaviour then occur for $h \in C_g$ where $o(h)$ and $o(g)$ are not coprime.

In Section 3 we analyse GMFs with irrational coefficients in their q -expansion for $o(g) = o(h) = p$, prime, where $\langle g, h \rangle \simeq \mathbf{Z}_{p^2}$ (then g, h are independent, i.e. $g^A \neq h^B$ for all $A, B = 1, \dots, p-1$). We denote the fixing group for $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (p\tau)$ by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ which is obviously conjugate to $\Gamma_{h,g}$ where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \left\{ \tilde{\gamma} | \tilde{\gamma} = \theta_p \gamma \theta_p^{-1}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{h,g}, \theta_p \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Various properties of (3) arising from orbifold considerations can be summarised as follows [8]:

(i) When all elements of $\langle g, h \rangle$ are normal elements of \mathbf{M} then for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \equiv SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\gamma\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d g^{-b} \\ h^{-c} g^a \end{bmatrix} (\tau), \quad \gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \quad (4)$$

Hence $\Gamma(p) \subseteq \Gamma_{h,g}$, (in fact $\Gamma(p) \triangleleft \Gamma_{h,g}$) with

$$\Gamma(p) \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \pmod{p} & 0 \pmod{p} \\ 0 \pmod{p} & 1 \pmod{p} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

In particular, since γ and $-\gamma$ act equally we have

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

which property is known as charge conjugation invariance.

(ii) For a normal non-Fricke element g of order p

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = \text{const} + O(q^{1/p}). \quad (6)$$

i.e. \mathcal{H}_g^h has zero vacuum energy and the GMF is nonsingular at $q = 0$ [11],[7].

The value of (3) at any parabolic cusp a/c with $(a, c) = 1$ is determined by the vacuum energy of the $g^a h^{-c}$ twisted sector from (4). If all elements of $\langle g, h \rangle$

are non-Fricke then there are no singular cusps so that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{H}/\Gamma(p)$ and hence is constant. This accounts for the constant GMFs referred to in the Generalised Moonshine Conjecture above. We therefore assume from now on that at least one element of $\langle g, h \rangle$ is Fricke which we chose to be g without loss of generality.

(iii) For a normal Fricke element g of order p the vacuum energy of \mathcal{H}_g^{\natural} is $-1/p$ and the GMF is singular at $q = 0$ [8]:

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = \phi_g(h)[q^{-1/p} + 0 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{g,s}(h)q^{s/p}]. \quad (7)$$

Here $\phi_g(h)$ is a p -th root of unity describing the central extension of the action of $h \in C_g$ on \mathcal{H}_g^{\natural} [8]. It is further assumed that ϕ_g can be chosen so that [8]

$$\phi_g(g^a h^b) = \phi_g(g)^a \phi_g(h)^b, \quad \phi_g(1) = 1. \quad (8)$$

Then by considering a T transformation in (4) with $h = 1$ we have

$$\phi_g(g) = \omega_p \equiv \exp(2\pi i/p) \quad (9)$$

Let $G_g \equiv C_g/\langle g \rangle$. If $C_g = \langle g \rangle \times G_g$ then h and gh are not elements of the same C_g conjugacy class and we assume that ϕ_g can be chosen so that [8]

$$\phi_g(xhx^{-1}) = \phi_g(h) \quad (10)$$

for all $x \in C_g$. By inspection from the ATLAS [14] $h \in G_g$ is conjugate to h^a , for some $a \neq 0 \pmod p$, so that (8) implies

$$\phi_g(h) = 1. \quad (11)$$

The coefficient $a_{f,s}(h)$ is called a head character for the given GMF and is a reducible character of G_g [13],[8]. If $g^a h^{-c}$ is Fricke then due to (7) and (4) the GMF is singular at a/c with residue $\phi_{g^a h^{-c}}(h^d g^{-b})$. The GMF (3) is holomorphic at all other points on \mathbf{H} . Once these singularities are known, then (3) can be analysed to check whether it is constant or is a hauptmodul for an appropriate genus zero modular group. The singularities and residues of $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ are restricted by certain orbifolding constraints discussed in [8].

(iv) Given the uniqueness of the twisted sectors for \mathcal{H}_g^{\natural} , under conjugation by any element $x \in \mathbf{M}$ then $x(\mathcal{H}_g^{\natural})x^{-1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{xgx^{-1}}^{\natural}$ so that if $C_g = \langle g \rangle \times G_g$ with $h \in G_g$ [8]

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} xhx^{-1} \\ xgx^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

3 Irrational GMFs for $g = p+$

3.1 Monster centralisers and irrational characters

We now briefly describe all irrational GMFs (3) where g is Fricke of prime order p i.e. $g = p+$ in Conway-Norton notation [3] and h of order p . A GMF is said to be irrational if it has at least one irrational head character (7). A character is said to be irrational if it is irrational for at least one conjugacy class. Otherwise, it is said to be rational. Rational cases are discussed in [8].

From the ATLAS [14] we see that irrational characters occur for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$ and 13 . From the explicit calculations for the head characters of $C_{2+} = 2.B$ ($p = 2$) and $C_{3+} = 3.Fi$ ($p = 3$) [8] we observe that there are no irrational head characters for $p = 2$ and that there is only one pair of conjugate irrational head characters for $p = 3$, with h of order 54. For $p = 5, 7, 11$ and 13 the centraliser $C_{p+} = \langle p+ \rangle \times G_{p+}$ for simple group G_{p+} is as follows:

| $g = p+$ | C_{p+} | G_{p+} | Name |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 5+ | $5 \times \text{HN}$ | HN | Harada-Norton |
| 7+ | $7 \times \text{He}$ | He | Held |
| 11+ | $11 \times \text{M}_{12}$ | M_{12} | Mathieu |
| 13+ | $13 \times \text{L}_3(3)$ | $\text{L}_3(3)$ | |

Table 1. $p+$ centralisers for $p = 5, 7, 11, 13$

We will focus now our analysis on the groups from Table 1. For $h \in G_g$ from (11) the GMF (7) has leading q expansion in so-called Normalised Function Form [16]:

$$Z \left[\begin{array}{c} h \\ g \end{array} \right] (\tau) = \frac{1}{q^{1/p}} + 0 + O(q^{1/p}). \quad (13)$$

This is sufficient to ensure that g, h are independent generators of $\langle g, h \rangle$ since otherwise $h^B = g^A$ for some $A \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ implies the contradictory relation $(\phi_g(h))^B = (\phi_g(g))^A = \omega_p^A$ from (9). We make the following useful observations concerning the irreducible characters for the groups from Table 1, which can be checked by inspecting the appropriate ATLAS character tables [14]. In all cases irrational characters can only occur when $o(h)$ is divisible by p . When $p = 5, 7, 11$ any irrationality for an irreducible character χ is quadratic i.e. for $h \in G_{p+}$, $\chi(h) = a \pm \sqrt{b}$, $\bar{\chi}(h) \equiv \chi(h^d) = a \mp \sqrt{b}$ ($d = 2$ when $p = 5$ and $d = -1$ when $p = 7, 11$, see below), for some $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ where $b = 0$ for rational $\chi(h)$. The HN group contains one pair of conjugate irrational classes of orders 5, 15, 20, 25 and 30, and two pairs of conjugate irrational classes of order 10. The He group contains one pair of conjugate irrational classes of orders 21 and 28, and two pairs of conjugate irrational classes of orders 7 and 14. The M_{12} group contains only one pair of conjugate irrational classes of order 11. The only non-quadratic irrationalities occur when $p = 13$ for $\text{L}_3(3)$ which has four conjugate irrational classes of order 13.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider $g = p+$ and $h \in G_{p+}$ with $o(h)$ divisible by p for $p =$*

5, 7, 11, 13. Then for integers $a, b \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, $Z \begin{bmatrix} h^a \\ g^b \end{bmatrix}$ is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ or one of its algebraic conjugates.

Proof. For $g = p+$ we have $xgx^{-1} = g^b$ for some $x \in \mathbf{M}$. For any irreducible character χ of G_g and for all $h \in G_g$, $\chi^x(h) \equiv \chi(xhx^{-1})$ is also an irreducible character of the same dimension. Furthermore $xG_gx^{-1} = G_{g^b} = G_g$ implies that the number of elements in the conjugacy classes in G_g for h and xhx^{-1} are equal. Since $h \in G_g$, h is conjugate to h^a for some $a \neq 1 \pmod{o(h)}$ from an inspection of the ATLAS character tables [14]. Hence xhx^{-1} is conjugate to $(xhx^{-1})^a$ and is also member of a G_g class. Therefore $\phi_{g^b}(xhx^{-1}) = 1$ also. For $p = 5, 7, 11$ one can show that if $\chi^x \neq \chi$, then $\chi^x = \bar{\chi}$, the algebraic conjugate [8]. Thus xhx^{-1} is conjugate to a power of h in G_g . When $p = 13$ there are four irrational characters that are algebraically conjugate. Then $\chi^x = \chi * 2^r$, $r = 0, 1, 2$ or 3 , where by definition $(\chi * k)(h) \equiv \chi(h^k)$ i.e. the operation $*k$ replaces every root of unity in the character value, prime to k by its k^{th} power (see below). This implies that xhx^{-1} is either an element of the same class of G_g as h , or of a class algebraically conjugate to h i.e. there exists A such that $xhx^{-1} \stackrel{G_g}{\sim} h^A$. Therefore from (12) in all cases there exists A such that

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^A \\ g^b \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

The latter is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h^a \\ g^b \end{bmatrix}$ or to one of its algebraic conjugates and hence the result follows. \square

From now on we will assume that $o(h) = p$, prime. Then the set of elements

$$\mathcal{S} \equiv \{h^a \in G_g, a = 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}, \quad (15)$$

with irrational characters can be divided into classes characterized by the so-called Dirichlet character of a as follows. Let $C_{p-1} \equiv \langle *m \rangle$ be the cyclic group of order $p-1$ acting on \mathcal{S} generated by

$$*m : h \rightarrow h^m$$

i.e. the Galois group for the polynomial $(x^p - 1)/(x - 1)$. All irrational characters χ of G_g are fixed by a cyclic subgroup $\langle *(mN) \rangle$ of C_{p-1} , for some N . Then \mathcal{S} can be divided into classes with representatives

$$\{h^a \in \mathcal{S} | a \in C_{p-1}/\langle *(mN) \rangle \equiv C_N\}, \quad (16)$$

characterised uniquely by a Dirichlet character of order N defined as follows. A Dirichlet character χ_D of the Galois group C_{p-1} is a homomorphism:

$$\chi_D : C_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*. \quad (17)$$

Then these characters form a group under pointwise multiplication which is isomorphic to C_{p-1} , but not under any canonical map. The character of order N , $\chi_D^{(N)}$ then gives an isomorphism

$$\chi_D^{(N)} : C_{p-1}/\langle *(mN) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \omega_N \rangle$$

and thus characterises uniquely the representatives (16).

For p odd, the character group (17) is cyclic of even order $p-1$, hence it has an element of order 2, $\chi_D^{(2)}$. This is the Legendre symbol: $\chi_D^{(2)}(a) \equiv \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p} = \pm 1$ which characterises (16) in the case of quadratically irrational characters for which $N = 2$. This applies to the characters of G_{p+} for $p = 5, 7, 11$.

There is a character of order $N = 4$ precisely when $4 \mid (p-1)$ (e.g. $p = 13$). There are then exactly two characters of order 4, because a cyclic group of order divisible by 4 has exactly two elements of order 4. These two characters are inverse to each other and hence are complex conjugates of each other. Their quotient will be the Legendre symbol. For $p = 13$, we may take $m = 2$ as a generator of the Galois group C_{12} . The fixing group for the irrational characters of $G_{13+} = L_3(3)$ is then $\langle *3 \rangle$ [14]. We get a Dirichlet character $\chi_D^{(4)}$ of order 4 by mapping 2 to $\omega_4 = i = \exp(\pi i/2)$. The other element of order 4 obtains by mapping 2 to $-i$. If a is in C_{12} with $a = 2^r \pmod{13}$ then $\chi_D^{(4)}(a) = \exp(r\pi i/2)$. Thus

$$\chi_D^{(4)} : \begin{array}{l} 1, 3, 9 \rightarrow 1 \\ 12, 10, 4 \rightarrow -1 \\ 5, 2, 6 \rightarrow i \\ 8, 11, 7 \rightarrow -i \end{array}$$

So 1, 12, 5, 8 are representatives of $C_{12}/\langle *3 \rangle$ which map to the four different 4th roots of unity. Thus the value of $\chi_D^{(4)}$ characterises each of the four conjugate irrational classes of order 13 in $L_3(3)$.

3.2 The Genus Zero Property for Irrational GMFs for $g = p+$ and $o(h) = p$

We now come to the main purpose of this paper which is to demonstrate the genus zero property for Generalised Moonshine Functions (GMFs) (3) in the irrational cases where $g = p+$ and $o(h) = p$. Such cases occur when $p = 5, 7, 11, 13$. Our aim is to show that the fixing group $\Gamma_{h,g}$ permutes all of the singular points of the GMF. In each case we find that $\Gamma_{h,g}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ so that $\Gamma_{h,g}/\Gamma(p)$ is a subgroup of $L_2(p) = \Gamma/\Gamma(p)$, the group permuting all $\mathbf{H}/\Gamma(p)$ inequivalent cusps. Then all possible singularities of the GMF at the cusps $\tau = a/c$ with $(a, c) = 1$ (related to the $g^a h^{-c}$ Fricke-twisted sector) are identified under $\Gamma_{h,g}$ and the corresponding GMF is a hauptmodul for a genus zero group.

In our analysis we use the following two theorems. The first one gives some constraints following from the orbifolding of the Moonshine Module with respect to the abelian group $\langle g, h \rangle$. See [8] for details:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $g, h \in \mathbf{M}$ be independent commuting elements where both g and h are Fricke such that $\phi_g(h) = 1$ and where all elements of $\langle g, h \rangle$ are normal. Let u, v be any independent generators for $\langle g, h \rangle$. If u is Fricke then there is a unique $A \bmod o(u)$ such that $u^A v$ is Fricke with $\phi_{u^A v}(u) = 1$ and $o(u^A v) = o(v)$.*

The next theorem gives the relation between the fixing groups of hauptmoduls with algebraically conjugate coefficients [15],[16]:

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a fixing group of a hauptmodul such that $\Gamma(p) \subseteq G$. Let k be any integer, coprime to p . Choose coset representatives of $\Gamma(p)$ in G , $\begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$, such that $k|c_i$. Then the operation $*k$, which replaces every p^{th} root of unity by its k^{th} power yields a Hauptmodul with a fixing group*

$$G * k = \left\langle \Gamma(p), \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_i & kb_i \\ c_i/k & d_i \end{pmatrix} \right\} \right\rangle$$

which is independent of choices made.

In terms of Generalised Moonshine the action of $*k$ on the GMF $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ is to map it to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h^k \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ because $*k$ takes a character of h to that of h^k . Hence

$$\Gamma_{h^k, g} = (\Gamma_{h, g}) * k \tag{18}$$

We begin with a preliminary Lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For $p = 5, 7, 11, 13$ we have $\Gamma_0^0(p) \equiv \langle \Gamma(p), \delta_p \rangle$ for δ_p of order $(p-1)/2$ in $\Gamma(p)$, where*

$$\Gamma_0^0(p) \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, b = c = 0 \bmod p \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \delta_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 5 & 13 \end{pmatrix}, \delta_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 7 & 25 \end{pmatrix}, \delta_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} -40 & -11 \\ 11 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \delta_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 85 & 13 \\ 13 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: By inspection of the possible solutions for $a, d \bmod p$ of $ad - bc = 1$ where $b, c = 0 \bmod p$ one can check the validity of the statement. \square

Note that $\Gamma_0(p^2)$ and $\Gamma_0^0(p)$ are conjugate where $\Gamma_0(p^2) = \theta_p \Gamma_0^0(p) \theta_p^{-1}$. Therefore $\Gamma_0(p^2)$ invariance follows from invariance under $\tilde{\Gamma}(p)$ and $\tilde{\delta}_p$.

3.2.1 Irrational GMFs for $g = 5+$ and $o(h) = 5$

The HN group has one pair of quadratically irrational classes of order 5. Then $m = 2, N = 2$ in (16). The two subsets of \mathcal{S} (15) conjugate in $G_{5+} \equiv \text{HN}$ are characterised by the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{g}{5}\right)$: $h \stackrel{G_{5+}}{\sim} h^4$ (i.e. h^a where $\left(\frac{g}{5}\right) = 1$) and $h^2 \stackrel{G_{5+}}{\sim} h^3$ (h^a where $\left(\frac{g}{5}\right) = -1$) [14]. Therefore $gh \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^4$ and $gh^2 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^3$.

Since $g_0 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} g_0^s$ for $s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ for any Monster element g_0 of order 5 we have the following two disjoint sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ of conjugate elements in \mathbf{M} defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_1 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s \mid \left(\frac{n}{5}\right) = 1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}\} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s \mid \left(\frac{n}{5}\right) = -1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}\end{aligned}$$

i.e. \mathcal{S}_1 consists of the elements $gh \sim g^2h^2 \sim g^3h^3 \sim g^4h^4 \sim gh^4 \sim g^2h^3 \sim g^3h^2 \sim g^4h$ and \mathcal{S}_2 consists of $gh^2 \sim g^2h^4 \sim g^3h \sim g^4h^3 \sim gh^3 \sim g^2h \sim g^3h^4 \sim g^4h^2$ where $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 = \{g^A h^B \mid A, B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$. The irrational GMFs occur when the elements of the two sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ are of different Fricke type, e.g. \mathcal{S}_1 is Fricke but \mathcal{S}_2 is non-Fricke. Indeed, otherwise we have some of the cases, analysed previously in [8] which lead to rational GMFs.

Proposition 1. *For $g = 5+$ and $h \in G_g \equiv \text{HN}$ of order 5, the following class structures give rise to a genus zero fixing group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ for irrational GMFs $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (5\tau)$ as follows:*

(i) g Fricke, h non-Fricke, \mathcal{S}_1 Fricke, \mathcal{S}_2 non-Fricke,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(25), T^{1/5} W_{25} \tilde{\delta}_5 T^{1/5} \rangle.$$

(ii) g Fricke, h non-Fricke, \mathcal{S}_1 non-Fricke, \mathcal{S}_2 Fricke,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(25), T^{2/5} W_{25} T^{2/5} \rangle$$

where $T^{r/5} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r/5 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{\delta}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 25 & 13 \end{pmatrix}$ and $W_{25} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 25 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, the Fricke involution.

Proof: Firstly we will prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ contains $\Gamma_0(25)$. Due to Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to demonstrate invariance with respect to δ_5 .

According to (14) we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix}$ for some d . Clearly $h^d \in G_g$ is of order 5 and has irrational characters. From inspection of the ATLAS [14] we have only two such classes with algebraically conjugate irreducible characters distinguished by $\left(\frac{d}{5}\right) = \pm 1$. Therefore there are two possibilities: either $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix}$ or $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^3 \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix}$. The first possibility can be ruled out since it implies after an ST^{-1} transformation that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1} \\ gh \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-2} \\ g^2h \end{bmatrix}$. This is impossible since $gh \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $g^2h \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are of different Fricke/non-Fricke type for both cases (i) and (ii) above. Therefore the second possibility remains which implies δ_5 invariance and the result follows.

Case (i): From the analysis in [8], where all rational cases with $o(g) = o(h) = 5$ are analysed, it follows that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}$ cannot be rational for $(a, b) \neq$

$(0, 0) \pmod{5}$. If we choose a, b such that $g^a h^b \in G_{g^2 h^3}$ ($\phi_{g^2 h^3}(g^a h^b) = 1$), this GMF must be quadratically irrational. Since the Harada-Norton group has only one pair of irrational classes of order 5 so that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}$ is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ or its algebraic conjugate. We may then further restrict the choice of a, b so that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Firstly we observe that $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ since $g^a h^b$ should be non-Fricke since h is. If $a \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ then $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^b \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}$ and after a T^{-1} transformation $Z \begin{bmatrix} gh \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^2 h^{3+b} \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}$ and therefore $g^2 h^{3+b}$ is also Fricke and hence $b \not\equiv 1, 3 \pmod{5}$. Applying a T transformation we can also see that $g^3 h^{2+b}$ is Fricke and hence $b \not\equiv 2, 4 \pmod{5}$. Thus $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

Since $g^a h^b$ is non-Fricke we conclude that $(a, b) \notin \{(s, ns) \mid (\frac{n}{5}) = 1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$. Applying a $T^{\pm 1}$ transformation we also conclude that $(a, b) \notin \{(\pm 2, s), (s \pm 2, ns \pm 3) \mid (\frac{n}{5}) = -1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}\}$. Thus either $(a, b) = (1, 2)$ or $(4, 3)$ both leading to the same GMF since $(gh^2)^{-1} = g^4 h^3$ and all characters are real.

Thus we have shown that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} gh^2 \\ g^2 h^3 \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\alpha\tau)$ with $\alpha \equiv TS\delta_5 T$. Furthermore we find that all the singular cusps of the GMF are identified under $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0^0(5), \alpha \rangle$ which is therefore a genus zero fixing group. Clearly $\Gamma_{h,g}$ is a subgroup of Γ where $\alpha^3 = \delta_5^2 = (\alpha\delta_5)^2 = 1 \pmod{\Gamma(5)}$ and so $\Gamma_{h,g}/\Gamma(5) = D_3$ which is a (maximal) subgroup of $L_2(5)$. The corresponding Hauptmodul for $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(25), \tilde{\alpha} \rangle$ can be explicitly expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(5\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+2/5)\eta(\tau+3/5)}{\eta(\tau+1/5)\eta(\tau+4/5)\eta(25\tau)} + 1 - \sqrt{5} = \\ &= q^{-1} + 0 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{5\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)q - 10q^2 + 5q^3 \\ &\quad + (21 + 5\sqrt{5})q^4 + \left(\frac{-25}{2} + \frac{25\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which is known as the 25^-b series [17].

Assuming that the GMF is replicable and based on numerical matching L. Queen conjectures that the head characters for $h \in G_{5^+} \equiv \text{HN}$ can be expanded in terms of the irreducible characters $\chi_i(h)$ of HN (in ATLAS notation [14]) to give [18], [19]

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(5\tau) &= \frac{1}{q} + 0 + (\chi_1(h) + \chi_3(h))q + \chi_4(h)q^2 + (\chi_1(h) + \chi_5(h))q^3 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + \chi_2(h) + \chi_5(h) + \chi_6(h))q^4 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + \chi_2(h) + \chi_4(h) + \chi_5(h) + \chi_{11}(h))q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and therefore (19) corresponds to the head character expansion (20) for the $5C$ class of HN [14].

Case (ii). This class structure is obtained from that in Case (i) by replacing h by h^2 . Therefore the fixing group given by (18) with $k = 2$ is $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(25), T^{2/5}W_{25}T^{2/5} \rangle$. Its hauptmodul is then $\frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+1/5)\eta(\tau+4/5)}{\eta(\tau+2/5)\eta(\tau+3/5)\eta(25\tau)} + 1 + \sqrt{5}$. This is known as the $25\tilde{a}$ series [17] and has algebraically conjugate coefficients to those of case (i). The head character expansion corresponds to the $5D$ class of HN (20). \square

3.2.2 Irrational GMFs for $g = 7+$ and $o(h) = 7$

The Held group has two pairs of quadratically irrational classes of order 7. In (16) $m = 3$, $N = 2$. The two subsets of powers for each h of order 7 (15), conjugate in $G_{7+} = \text{He}$ are: $\{h^a\}$ for $(\frac{a}{7}) = 1$ and $\{h^a\}$ for $(\frac{a}{7}) = -1$ [14]. Therefore $gh \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^2 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^4$ and $gh^3 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^5 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} gh^6$. Since $g_0 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} g_0^s$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$) for any Monster element g_0 , we have the following two disjoint sets $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ of conjugate elements in \mathbf{M} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s \mid \left(\frac{n}{7}\right) = 1, s \neq 0 \pmod{7}\} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s \mid \left(\frac{n}{7}\right) = -1, s \neq 0 \pmod{7}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 = \{g^A h^B \mid A, B \neq 0 \pmod{7}\}$.

Proposition 2. *For $g = 7+$ and h of order 7, $h \in G_{7+} \equiv \text{He}$ the following class structures give rise to a genus zero fixing group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ for irrational GMFs*

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (7\tau):$$

(i) g Fricke, h non-Fricke, the set \mathcal{S}_1 Fricke, \mathcal{S}_2 non-Fricke,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(49), \tilde{\alpha} \rangle, \tilde{\alpha} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2/7 \\ -35 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii) g Fricke, h non-Fricke, \mathcal{S}_1 non-Fricke, \mathcal{S}_2 Fricke,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(49), \tilde{\alpha}^* \rangle, \tilde{\alpha}^* \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2/7 \\ 35 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(iii) g Fricke, h Fricke, \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 sets Fricke. There are two possible fixing groups, which are isomorphic and usually both denoted by $7||7+$.

Proof: Firstly we will prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ contains $\Gamma_0(49)$. Due to Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to demonstrate invariance with respect to δ_7 .

According to (14) we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix}$ for some d . We need to show that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^4 \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \equiv Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\delta_7\tau)$. Assume that $(\frac{d}{7}) = -1$. Then

we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g^4 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g^8 \end{bmatrix} \equiv Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ which is impossible since h and h^{-1} are not conjugate in G_g . Therefore $(\frac{d}{7}) = 1$ and the statement follows.

When $p = 7$ there are two pairs of conjugate irrational characters in $G_{7+} \equiv \text{He}$ [14]. If we consider a GMF on another Fricke twisted sector, for convenience, a g^3h^5 twisted sector, then for a pair $(a, b) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{7}$ and $g^ah^b \in G_{g^3h^5}$ ($\phi_{g^3h^5}(g^ah^b) = 1$), $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^ah^b \\ g^3h^5 \end{bmatrix}$ must be quadratically irrational and $\langle g, h \rangle = \langle g^3h^5, g^ah^b \rangle$, so that it is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ or its algebraic conjugate. By choosing an appropriate multiple of (a, b) we may further restrict the choice of a, b so that

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^ah^b \\ g^3h^5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Case (i) Suppose $a = 0 \pmod{7}$. Then T^{-1} transformation gives $Z \begin{bmatrix} gh \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^3h^{5+b} \\ g^3h^5 \end{bmatrix}$ and since gh is Fricke, so is g^3h^{5+b} i.e. it is in the \mathcal{S}_1 Fricke set and therefore $b \not\equiv 4, 3, 6 \pmod{7}$. Since $h^4 \sim h^2 \sim h$ and $h^3 \sim h^5$ in the corresponding centraliser, $b \not\equiv 1, 2, 5 \pmod{7}$. Thus $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$.

Since $g^ah^b \in \mathcal{S}_2$ is non-Fricke, $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, $(a, b) \notin \{(s, ns) \mid (\frac{n}{7}) = 1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}\}$. Furthermore, a T^{-1} transformation of (21) gives $Z \begin{bmatrix} gh \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{3+a}h^{5+b} \\ g^3h^5 \end{bmatrix}$, and since gh is Fricke, so is $g^{3+a}h^{5+b}$ thus $(a, b) \notin \{(s-3, ns-5), (4, s) \mid (\frac{n}{7}) = -1, s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}\}$. If a given pair (a, b) is inadmissible, (i.e. does not satisfy (21)) so are the pairs leading to conjugates in the same set: (na, nb) , $(\frac{n}{7}) = 1$. Therefore $(a, b) = (5n, 4n)$, $(\frac{n}{7}) = 1$. Hence $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^5h^4 \\ g^3h^5 \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\alpha\tau)$ where $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -5 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ and thus the GMF is α modular invariant. Furthermore we find that all the singular cusps of the GMF are identified under $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0^0(7), \alpha \rangle$ which is therefore a genus zero fixing group. Introducing $\beta = \delta_7\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$, one can check that $\beta^3 = \alpha^2 = (\beta\alpha)^3 = 1 \pmod{\Gamma(7)}$. Therefore $\langle \Gamma(7), \delta_7, \alpha \rangle / \Gamma(7) \simeq A_4$, a subgroup of $L_2(7)$. The hauptmodul for the genus zero fixing group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(49), \tilde{\alpha} \rangle$ is

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(7\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+3/7)\eta(\tau+5/7)\eta(\tau+6/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2} = \\ &= \frac{1}{q} + 0 + (-\frac{3}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2})q + (-\frac{5}{2} - i\frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2})q^2 + 2q^3 + \\ &= (3 - i\sqrt{7})q^4 - 3q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

known as the $49\tilde{a}$ series [17].

Assuming that the GMF is replicable and based on numerical matching L. Queen conjectures that the head characters for $h \in G_{7+} \equiv \text{He}$ can be expanded in terms of the irreducible characters $\chi_i(h)$ of He (in ATLAS notation [14]) to give [18],[19]

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (7\tau) &= \frac{1}{q} + 0 + \chi_2(h)q + (\chi_3(h) + \chi_4(h))q^2 + (\chi_1(h) + \chi_6(h))q^3 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + \chi_6(h) + \chi_{11}(h))q^4 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + \chi_2(h) + \chi_3(h) + \chi_6(h) + \chi_{14}(h))q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Thus the expansion (22) is associated with the $7E$ class of He.

Case (ii). This class structure is obtained from that in Case (i) by replacing h by h^{-1} . Therefore the fixing group is given by (18) with $k = -1 \pmod{7}$. The fixing group contains $\alpha^* = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 5 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ i.e. $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0^0(7), \alpha^* \rangle$. h is in $7D$ class of He, with algebraically conjugate characters to those of $7E$. The GMF $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (7\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+1/7)\eta(\tau+2/7)\eta(\tau+4/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}$ corresponding to the $49\tilde{a}$ series [17], is a hauptmodul for the genus zero fixing group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0(49), \tilde{\alpha}^* \rangle$. This hauptmodul has algebraically conjugate coefficients compared to (22).

Case (iii) From earlier remarks there are two algebraically conjugate order 7 classes of He remaining. We will demonstrate S -invariance. Since $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g^2 \end{bmatrix}$, after an S -transformation $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1} \\ h \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-2} \\ h \end{bmatrix}$ and thus $\phi_h(g^{-1}) = \phi_h(g^{-2})$ i.e. $\phi_h(g) = 1$. So $g \in G_h \simeq G_g$. We have only one pair of irrational classes left, hence $Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$, or $Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix}$, its conjugate. Assume that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$, then after an ST^{-1} transformation $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1} \\ gh \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ gh \end{bmatrix}$, which implies that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^A \\ gh \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^A \\ gh \end{bmatrix}$ for any A . Taking $A = -2$, after a T^{-1} transformation we obtain $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1}h \\ gh \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} (g^{-1}h)^{-1} \\ gh \end{bmatrix}$. This is impossible since the irrational characters of He are complex. Hence $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-1} \\ h \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(S\tau)$.

Consider the GMF Z^* , algebraically conjugate to Z

$$Z^* \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} \equiv Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

From (21) and S invariance we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-2} \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h^2 \end{bmatrix} =$

$Z \begin{bmatrix} g^b h^{2a} \\ g^5 h^6 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^b h^{2a} \\ (g^5 h^6)^2 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^b h^{2a} \\ g^3 h^5 \end{bmatrix} = Z^* \begin{bmatrix} g^{-b} h^{-2a} \\ g^3 h^5 \end{bmatrix}$ i.e. if Z satisfies (21) for a pair (a, b) then Z^* satisfies (21) for the pair $(-b, -2a)$ (and therefore $(-nb, -2na)$ with $(\frac{n}{7}) = 1$).

We can show that Z and Z^* cannot satisfy (21) for one and the same pair (a, b) (otherwise they would have the same fixing group and possibly hauptmodul). Let us assume that it is possible and therefore $(a, b) = (-2b, -4a) \pmod{7}$ ($n = 2$): i.e. $(a, b) = (s, 3s)$, $s \neq 0 \pmod{7}$. For $s = 1$ we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} gh^3 \\ g^3 h^5 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^3 \\ g^5 \end{bmatrix}$ it follows that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^5 h^2 \\ gh \end{bmatrix}$. Applying two times the last transformation we get $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^4 h^5 \\ h^5 \end{bmatrix}$ which is a contradiction since clearly $\phi_{h^5}(g^4 h^5) \neq 1$. Thus $(a, b) \neq (s, 3s)$ for $(\frac{s}{7}) = 1$. For $s = 3$ we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^3 h^2 \\ g^3 h^5 \end{bmatrix}$. Applying two times this transformation we get $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^4 h \\ h^2 \end{bmatrix}$ which is a contradiction since clearly $\phi_{h^2}(g^4 h) \neq 1$. Thus $(a, b) \neq (s, 3s)$ for $(\frac{s}{7}) = -1$ and finally $(a, b) \neq (s, 3s)$ in general.

Suppose $a = 0 \pmod{7}$. Then $Z \begin{bmatrix} g \\ h \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^3 h^5 \\ h^b \end{bmatrix}$ which is impossible, because $\phi_h(g) = 1$, $\phi_{h^b}(g) = 1$, $\phi_{h^b}(h^b) = \omega_7$. Thus $a \neq 0 \pmod{7}$ and similarly $b \neq 0 \pmod{7}$.

Since $\phi_{g^3 h^5}(g^3 h^5) = \omega_7$, $g^a h^b$ must not be a power of $g^3 h^5$, $(a, b) \neq (3s, 5s)$. Applying once again (21) we have

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{a(3+b)} h^{5a+b^2} \\ g^{2-2a} h^{1-2b} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $a = 4b^2 \pmod{7}$ and $b = \pm 1$ or $\pm 2 \pmod{7}$. Then $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{5b^2+4b^3} \\ g^{2-b^2} h^{1-2b} \end{bmatrix}$ is possible only if $\phi_{g^{2-b^2} h^{1-2b}}(g) = 1$. However according to Theorem 3.2, since $\phi_h(g) = 1$ it is not possible to have $\phi_{g^{2-b^2} h^{1-2b}}(g) = 1$ unless $2 - b^2 = 0 \pmod{7}$ which is not the case. Therefore $(a, b) \neq (4, \pm 1)$, $(2, \pm 2)$, and we exclude also their conjugates of the form (na, nb) , $(\frac{n}{7}) = 1$: $(a, b) \notin \{(4n, \pm n), (2n, \pm 2n) \mid (\frac{n}{7}) = 1\}$. Finally we exclude all pairs, which can be represented as $(-b, -2a)$ from an already excluded pair (a, b) . It is due to the fact that if (a, b) satisfies (21), $(-b, -2a)$ must satisfy the same relation for Z^* (24). The remaining pairs are $(a, b) = (5n, 4n)$, $(\frac{n}{7}) = 1$ $((5, 4), (3, 1)$ and $(6, 2))$; their Z^* -partners of the form $(-b, -2a)$: $(3, 4)$, $(6, 1)$, $(5, 2)$. This leads to modular invariance under $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -5 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ for Z (for $(a, b) = (5, 4)$), and $\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 \\ -5 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for Z^* (for $(-b, -2a) = (3, 4)$). Note that α is of order 2 in $\Gamma(7)$ and α' is of order 4 in $\Gamma(7)$ i.e. the mapping to $g^3 h^5$ Fricke twisted sector (21) requires modular transformations of different order in each

case. Each of the two fixing groups $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0^0(7), \alpha, S \rangle, \langle \Gamma_0^0(7), \alpha', S \rangle$ matches all the singular cusps of the GMF and therefore each group is of genus zero. These two fixing groups are isomorphic. Indeed, introducing $\alpha_1 = \alpha S, \alpha_2 = \delta_7 S, \alpha_3 = S$, we have $\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^3 = (\alpha_2 \alpha_3)^3 = (\alpha_3 \alpha_1)^2 = 1 \pmod{\Gamma(7)}$, the defining relations of the group S_4 . Introducing $\beta_1 = S, \beta_2 = S\alpha'$, we have $\beta_1^2 = \beta_2^3 = (\beta_1 \beta_2)^4 = 1 \pmod{\Gamma(7)}$, also defining the group S_4 , a maximal subgroup of $L_2(7)$. Therefore $\langle \Gamma(7), \delta_7, \alpha, S \rangle / \Gamma(7) \simeq S_4$ and $\langle \Gamma(7), \alpha', S \rangle / \Gamma(7) \simeq S_4$. According to the definition in [16] for both groups we use the same notation $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = 7||7+$. The GMFs represents the hauptmoduls for these groups and can be found from those of Case (i) with symmetrization:

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (7\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+3/7)\eta(\tau+5/7)\eta(\tau+6/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + \\ & i\sqrt{7} \frac{\eta(49\tau)\eta(\tau+1/7)\eta(\tau+2/7)\eta(\tau+4/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} \\ &= q^{-1} + 0 + \left(-\frac{3}{2} + i\frac{3\sqrt{7}}{2}\right)q + (1 - i\sqrt{7})q^2 + 9q^3 + \\ & 3(1 - i\sqrt{7})q^4 + 4q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z^* \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (7\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(\tau+1/7)\eta(\tau+2/7)\eta(\tau+4/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + \\ & i\sqrt{7} \frac{\eta(49\tau)\eta(\tau+3/7)\eta(\tau+5/7)\eta(\tau+6/7)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} \end{aligned}$$

Z^* has a series expansion with complex conjugate coefficients to Z . According to (23) Z is the GMF when h is an element of $7A$ class of He, Z^* - when h is an element of $7B$ class of He. \square

3.2.3 Irrational GMFs for $g = 11+$ and $o(h) = 11$

The M_{12} group has one pair of quadratically irrational classes of order 11. In (16) $m = 2, N = 2$. There are two subsets of powers of h (15) conjugate in $G_{11+} \equiv M_{12}$: $\{h^a\}$ for $\left(\frac{a}{11}\right) = 1$ and $\{h^a\}$ for $\left(\frac{a}{11}\right) = -1$ [14].

Proposition 3. *For $g = 11+, h \in G_{11+} \equiv M_{12}$ and $o(h) = 11$, the class structure where all elements of the group $\langle g, h \rangle$ are Fricke gives rise to a pair of irrational GMFs with isomorphic genus zero fixing groups (usually both denoted by $11||11+$).*

Proof: Firstly we will prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ contains $\Gamma_0(121)$. Due to Lemma 3.4 it is sufficient to demonstrate invariance with respect to δ_{11} .

According to (14) $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d \\ g^4 \end{bmatrix}$ for some d . We need to show that $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^3 \\ g^4 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) \equiv Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (\delta_{11}\tau)$. Clearly $h^d \in G_g$ is of order 11 and has irrational characters. From inspection of the ATLAS [14] we have only two

such classes with algebraically conjugate irreducible characters distinguished by $\left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = \pm 1$. Assume that $\left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = -1$. Then we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g^4 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{(-1)^5} \\ g^{4^5} \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ which is impossible since h and h^{-1} are not conjugate in G_g . Therefore $\left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = 1$, and the statement follows. One can demonstrate also that $\phi_h(g) = 1$ and hence S invariance follows as in Proposition 2(iii).

Let us now consider a GMF related to another Fricke twisted sector, for convenience, say a gh^2 twisted sector. When $o(h) = 11$ there is only one pair of elements in M_{12} with irrational characters [14]. So $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ gh^2 \end{bmatrix}$ cannot be rational for $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \pmod{11}$. If we choose a, b such that $g^a h^b \in G_{gh^2}$ ($\phi_{gh^2}(g^a h^b) = 1$), this GMF must be quadratically irrational. Since M_{12} has only one pair of irrational classes of order 11 (and no rational ones), then $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ gh^2 \end{bmatrix}$ is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ or its algebraic conjugate. We may then further restrict the choice of a, b so that

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ gh^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

Using (25) and S invariance we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-4} \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^{-4 \cdot (-5)} \\ g^{-5} \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-5} \\ h^2 \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{-5b} h^{2a} \\ h^2 g^{-5 \cdot 2} \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} (g^{5b} h^{-2a})^{-1} \\ gh^2 \end{bmatrix}$ and hence

$$Z^* \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} \equiv Z^* \begin{bmatrix} g^{5b} h^{-2a} \\ gh^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the definition of Z^* is as in (24). Thus if (a, b) is an invariance for Z as in (25) then $(5b, -2a)$ is an invariance for Z^* . Using similar techniques as in Proposition 2(iii) we can prove that $(a, b) \neq (5b, -2a) \pmod{11}$ i.e. $(a, b) \neq (s, 9s)$. Furthermore, since $\phi_{gh^2}(gh^2) = \omega_{11}$, $(a, b) \neq (s, 2s)$.

As in Proposition 2(iii) one can show that $a, b \neq 0 \pmod{11}$. Applying once again the transformation (25) we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{a(1+b)} h^{2a+b^2} \\ g^{2a+1} h^{2b+2} \end{bmatrix}$. Let $a = 5b^2 \pmod{11}$ with $b^2 \neq 1 \pmod{11}$. Then $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{5b^2(1+b)} \\ g^{1-b^2} h^{2b+2} \end{bmatrix}$ is possible only if $\phi_{g^{1-b^2} h^{2b+2}}(g) = 1$. However according to theorem (3.2), since $\phi_h(g) = 1$ it is not possible to have $\phi_{g^{1-b^2} h^{2b+2}}(g) = 1$ unless $b^2 = 1 \pmod{11}$ which is not the case. Therefore $(a, b) \neq (5b^2, b)$ for $b = \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5 \pmod{11}$, and we exclude also their conjugates of the form $(5b^2 n, bn)$, $\left(\frac{n}{11}\right) = 1$, $b \neq 0, \pm 1 \pmod{11}$. Finally we exclude all pairs which can be represented as $(5b, -2a)$ from an already

excluded pair (a, b) . It is due to the fact that if (a, b) satisfies (25), $(5b, -2a)$ must satisfy the same relation for Z^* .

The remaining pairs are $(a, b) = (10n, 10n)$, $\left(\frac{n}{11}\right) = 1$ and their Z^* -partners of the form $(5b, -2a)$: $(6n, 2n)$, $\left(\frac{n}{11}\right) = 1$. This leads to modular invariance under $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $(a, b) = (10, 10)$ -say for Z , and $\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ -2 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$ for $(a, b) = (6, 2)$ for Z^* . The two fixing groups $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma_0^0(11), \alpha, S \rangle$, $\langle \Gamma_0^0(11), \alpha', S \rangle$ are conjugate and isomorphic to $A_5 \bmod \Gamma(11)$ (generated by $\alpha_1 = \delta_{11}S\alpha$, $\alpha_2 = S$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha$, which satisfy $\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = (\alpha_1\alpha_2)^3 = (\alpha_1\alpha_3)^2 = (\alpha_2\alpha_3)^5 = (\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)^5 = 1 \bmod \Gamma(11)$, the defining relations of A_5). A_5 is a (maximal) subgroup of $L_2(11)$. For both fixing groups we use the notation $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = 11||11+$ [16]. All the singular cusps of the GMF are identified under the corresponding $\Gamma_{h,g}$ which is therefore a genus zero fixing group.

The q expansions to $O(q^5)$ of the hauptmoduls for $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g} = 11||11+$ are [18],[19]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (11\tau) &= \frac{1}{q} + 0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2}\right)q + 2q^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2}\right)q^3 \\ &\quad - (1 \pm i\sqrt{11})q^4 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{11}}{2}\right)q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Assuming that the GMF is replicable the head character expansion for $h \in G_{11+} \equiv M_{12}$ in terms of the irreducible characters $\chi_i(h)$ of M_{12} (in ATLAS notation [14]) is [18],[19]

$$\begin{aligned} Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} (11\tau) &= \frac{1}{q} + 0 + (\chi_1(h) + \chi_4(h))q + (\chi_1(h) + \chi_6(h))q^2 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + \chi_4(h) + \chi_6(h) + \chi_7(h))q^3 + \\ &\quad (\chi_1(h) + 2\chi_5(h) + \chi_6(h) + \chi_7(h) + \chi_{13}(h))q^4 + \\ &\quad (2\chi_1(h) + 2\chi_4(h) + \chi_5(h) + 2\chi_6(h) + 2\chi_7(h) + \\ &\quad \chi_{11}(h) + \chi_{12}(h) + \chi_{13}(h))q^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The upper signs in (26) according to (27) are for h an element of $11A$ class of M_{12} , the lower - for h an element of $11B$ class of M_{12} . \square

Propositions 1-3 lead us to the following statement:

Corollary 3.5. *For $g = p+$, $o(h) = p$ and $p = 5, 7, 11$ the irrational GMFs obey*

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d \\ g^a \end{bmatrix} \text{ iff } \left(\frac{ad}{p}\right) = 1,$$

where the fixing group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ contains $\Gamma_0(p^2)$.

3.2.4 Irrational GMFs for $g = 13+$ and $o(h) = 13$

The $L_3(3)$ group has four conjugate irrational classes of order 13. There are four subsets of powers of h (15) conjugate in $G_{13+} = L_3(3)$ determined by $\chi_D^{(4)}(a) \in$

$\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. Since $g_0 \stackrel{\mathbf{M}}{\sim} g_0^s$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$) for any Monster element g_0 , we have the following four disjoint sets of conjugate elements in \mathbf{M} defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_0 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s | \chi_D^{(4)}(n) = -i, s \neq 0 \pmod{13}\} \\ \mathcal{S}_1 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s | \chi_D^{(4)}(n) = 1, s \neq 0 \pmod{13}\} \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s | \chi_D^{(4)}(n) = i, s \neq 0 \pmod{13}\} \\ \mathcal{S}_3 &\equiv \{(gh^n)^s | \chi_D^{(4)}(n) = -1, s \neq 0 \pmod{13}\}\end{aligned}$$

where $\cup_{r=0}^3 \mathcal{S}_r = \{g^A h^B | A, B \neq 0 \pmod{13}\}$.

The fixing group for the irreducible characters of $L_3(3)$ is $\langle *3 \rangle$, so we expect invariance under $\Delta \equiv \delta_{13}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\Gamma(13)}$, which is of order 3 in $\Gamma(13)$, and therefore the order of $\Gamma_{h,g}/\Gamma(13)$ is divisible by 3. The only possibility is $\Gamma_{h,g}/\Gamma(13) = A_4$, a maximal subgroup of $L_2(13)$. Then $\Gamma_{h,g}$ contains an element α , such that $\Delta^3 = \alpha^2 = (\Delta\alpha)^3 = 1 \pmod{\Gamma(13)}$. There are four solutions of the above relation, $\alpha(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 2^{3-r} \\ 92 \cdot 2^r & 67 \end{pmatrix}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, corresponding to the fixing groups related to the four irrational classes. The result can be rigorously formulated in the following

Proposition 4. *For $g = 13+$ and h of order 13, $h \in G_{13+} \equiv L_3(3)$ the class structure with g Fricke, h non-Fricke, one of the sets \mathcal{S}_r ($r = 0, 1, 2, 3$) Fricke and the others non-Fricke gives rise to an irrational GMF with a genus zero fixing group $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma(13), \Delta, \alpha(r) \rangle$, such that $\Gamma_{h,g}/\Gamma(13) = A_4$.*

Proof: Let us assume that the elements of \mathcal{S}_1 are Fricke, the others are non-Fricke. Firstly we show invariance under Δ . According to (14) for some d , $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^d \\ g^3 \end{bmatrix}$. A T^{-1} transformation gives us $Z \begin{bmatrix} gh \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^3 h^d \\ g^3 \end{bmatrix}$ and hence $g^3 h^d \in \mathcal{S}_1$ since $gh \in \mathcal{S}_1$ is Fricke. Hence $\chi_D^{(4)}(d) = 1$ so $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\tau) = Z \begin{bmatrix} h^9 \\ g^3 \end{bmatrix}(\tau) \equiv Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}(\Delta\tau)$.

Now we demonstrate $\alpha(1)$ invariance. To this end, as before, we consider a general transformation to the $(gh)^{11}$ Fricke twisted sector. It is clear that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^{11} h^{11} \end{bmatrix}$ cannot be rational for $(a, b) \neq (0, 0) \pmod{13}$. If we choose a, b such that $g^a h^b \in G_{g^{11} h^{11}}$ ($\phi_{g^{11} h^{11}}(g^a h^b) = 1$), this GMF must be still irrational, since there are no rational characters of order 13. So that $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^{11} h^{11} \end{bmatrix}$ is either equal to $Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ or one of its algebraic conjugates. We may then further restrict the choice of a, b so that

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} h \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^a h^b \\ g^{11} h^{11} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking a T^{-n} transformation we have $Z \begin{bmatrix} g^nh \\ g \end{bmatrix} = Z \begin{bmatrix} g^{a-2n}h^{b-2n} \\ g^{11}h^{11} \end{bmatrix}$. For $\chi_D^{(4)}(n) = 1$, $g^nh \in \mathcal{S}_1$ is Fricke and hence $g^{a-2n}h^{b-2n} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ also, which leads to $(a, b) = (3, 5), (9, 2), (1, 6)$. The solution $(9, 2)$ provides the modular transformation $\alpha(1)$. Thus $\Gamma_{h,g} = \langle \Gamma(11), \Delta, \alpha(1) \rangle$. All the singular cusps of the GMF are identified under $\Gamma_{h,g}$ which is therefore a genus zero fixing group. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{h,g}$ does not contain $\Gamma_0(169)$. The fixing groups for the other cases follow from (18). \square

4 Conclusions

We have shown how Irrational Generalised Moonshine can be understood from the analysis of the class structure for a pair of Monster elements of prime order p . This follows from constraints originating from the abelian orbifolding of the Moonshine Module and properties of centraliser irreducible characters. We have explicitly demonstrated the genus zero property for Irrational Generalised Moonshine Functions (GMFs) in all cases. The methods developed in this paper and in [8] can in principle be extended to analyse other GMFs towards proving the genus zero property in general.

5 Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to S. Norton for providing us with information on replicable series and to G. Mason for many valuable discussions. We acknowledge funding from Enterprise Ireland under the Basic Research Grant Scheme.

References

- [1] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Proc. Natl.Acad. Sci. USA **81** (1984) 3256.
- [2] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, (Academic Press, New York, 1988).
- [3] J.H. Conway and S.P. Norton, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979) 308.
- [4] R. Borcherds, Invent. Math. **109** (1992) 405.
- [5] M. P. Tuite, Commun. Math. Phys. **166** (1995) 495.
- [6] S. P. Norton, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47** (1987) 208.
- [7] M. P. Tuite, Contemp. Math. **193** (1996) 353.
- [8] R. Ivanov and M.P. Tuite, math.QA/0106027.

- [9] P. Goddard, Proceedings of the CIRM Luminy conference, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [10] J-P. Serre, A course in arithmetic, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1978).
- [11] M. P. Tuite, Commun. Math. Phys. **146** (1992) 277.
- [12] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Contemp. Math. **193** (1996) 25.
- [13] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Commun. Math. Phys. **214** (2000) 1.
- [14] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, An Atlas of Finite Groups, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [15] S. P. Norton, Groups, Difference Sets and the Monster, Proceedings of a special research quarter at the Ohio State University, (Walter de Gruyter, New York, 1996).
- [16] D. Ford, J. MacKay and S.P. Norton, Commun. Algebra **22** (1994) 5175.
- [17] S. P. Norton, private communication.
- [18] L. Queen, Some Relations Between Finite Groups, Lie Groups and Modular Functions-Ph.D. Dissertation, (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 1980).
- [19] L. Queen, Mathematics of Computation, **37** (1981) 547.