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Abstract

An Inverse Scattering Method is developed for the Camassa-Holm equation. As an illustration of our approach the solutions corresponding to the reflectionless potentials are constructed in terms of the scattering data. The main difference with respect to the standard Inverse Scattering Transform lies in the fact that we have a weighted spectral problem. We therefore have to develop different asymptotic expansions.
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1 Introduction

In this introductory section some well known facts about the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation and the related spectral problem will be highlighted. The CH equation \cite{5}

\begin{equation}
    u_t - u_{xxt} + 2\omega u_x + 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} = 0,
\end{equation}

where \( \omega \) is a real constant, gained popularity as a model describing the unidirectional propagation of shallow water waves over a flat bottom \cite{5, 25}.
as well as that of axially symmetric waves in a hyperelastic rod [16, 18].
It firstly appeared in [20] as an equation with a bi-hamiltonian structure.
CH is a completely integrable equation [5, 6, 1, 14, 9, 29, 21], describing
permanent and breaking waves [10, 8]. Its solitary waves are stable solitons
if $\omega > 0$ [2, 15, 17, 26] or peakons if $\omega = 0$ [5, 6]. CH arises also as an equation
of the geodesic flow for the $H^1$ right-invariant metrics on the Bott-Virasoro
group (if $\omega > 0$) and on the diffeomorphism group (if $\omega = 0$) [34, 13, 8, 12].

The bi-Hamiltonian form of (1) is [5, 20]:

$$m_t = -\left(\partial - \partial^3\right)\frac{\delta H_2[m]}{\delta m} = -(2\omega \partial + m \partial + \partial m)\frac{\delta H_1[m]}{\delta m}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$m = u - u_{xx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and the Hamiltonians are

$$H_1[m] = \frac{1}{2} \int mudx$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$H_2[m] = \frac{1}{2} \int (u^3 + uu_x^2 + 2\omega u^2)dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The integration is from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ in the case of Schwartz class functions,
and over one period in the periodic case.

There exists an infinite sequence of conservation laws (multi-Hamiltonian
structure) $H_n[m]$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, such that [19, 40, 30, 24]

$$-(\partial - \partial^3)\frac{\delta H_n[m]}{\delta m} = -(2\omega \partial + m \partial + \partial m)\frac{\delta H_{n-1}[m]}{\delta m}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The equation (1) admits a Lax pair [5, 9]

$$\Psi_{xx} = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda(m + \omega)\right)\Psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\Psi_t = \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda} - u\right)\Psi_x + \frac{u_x}{2}\Psi + \gamma\Psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\gamma$ is an arbitrary constant. We will use this freedom for a proper
normalization of the eigenfunctions.

In our further considerations $m$ will be a Schwartz class function, $\omega > 0$
and $m(x,0) + \omega > 0$. Then $m(x,t) + \omega > 0$ for all $t$ [9]. For a discussion of
the periodic case we refer to [14] and [7]. Let $k^2 = -\frac{1}{4} - \lambda\omega$, i.e.

$$\lambda(k) = -\frac{1}{\omega}\left(k^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
The spectrum of the problem (7) under these conditions is described in [9]. The continuous spectrum in terms of \( k \) corresponds to \( k \) – real. The discrete spectrum (in the upper half plane) consists of finitely many points \( k_n = i\kappa_n, \ n = 1, \ldots, N \) where \( \kappa_n \) is real and \( 0 < \kappa_n < 1/2 \).

For all real \( k \neq 0 \) a basis in the space of solutions of (7) can be introduced, fixed by its asymptotic when \( x \to \infty \) [9]:

\[
\psi_1(x, k) = e^{-ikx} + o(1), \quad x \to \infty; \quad (10)
\]

\[
\psi_2(x, k) = e^{ikx} + o(1), \quad x \to \infty. \quad (11)
\]

Another basis can be introduced, fixed by its asymptotic when \( x \to -\infty \):

\[
\varphi_1(x, k) = e^{-ikx} + o(1), \quad x \to -\infty; \quad (12)
\]

\[
\varphi_2(x, k) = e^{ikx} + o(1), \quad x \to -\infty. \quad (13)
\]

For all real \( k \neq 0 \) if \( \Psi(x, k) \) is a solution of (7), then \( \Psi(x, -k) \) is also a solution, thus

\[
\varphi_1(x, k) = \varphi_2(x, -k), \quad \psi_1(x, k) = \psi_2(x, -k). \quad (14)
\]

Due to the reality of \( m \) in (7) for any \( k \) we have

\[
\varphi_1(x, k) = \bar{\varphi}_2(x, \bar{k}), \quad \psi_1(x, k) = \bar{\psi}_2(x, \bar{k}) \quad (15)
\]

The vectors of each of the bases are a linear combination of the vectors of the other basis:

\[
\varphi_i(x, k) = \sum_{l=1,2} T_{il}(k) \psi_l(x, k) \quad (16)
\]

where the matrix \( T(k) \) defined above is called the scattering matrix. For real \( k \neq 0 \), instead of \( \varphi_1(x, k), \varphi_2(x, k), \psi_1(x, k), \psi_2(x, k) \) due to (15), for simplicity we can write correspondingly \( \varphi(x, k), \bar{\varphi}(x, k), \psi(x, k), \bar{\psi}(x, k) \). Thus \( T(k) \) has the form

\[
T(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
a(k) & b(k) \\
b(k) & \bar{a}(k)
\end{pmatrix} \quad (17)
\]

and clearly

\[
\varphi(x, k) = a(k)\psi(x, k) + b(k)\bar{\psi}(x, k). \quad (18)
\]
The Wronskian \( W(f_1, f_2) \equiv f_1 \partial_x f_2 - f_2 \partial_x f_1 \) of any pair of solutions of (7) does not depend on \( x \). Therefore

\[
W(\varphi(x, k), \bar{\varphi}(x, k)) = W(\psi(x, k), \bar{\psi}(x, k)) = 2ik \quad (19)
\]

From (18) and (19) it follows that

\[
|a(k)|^2 - |b(k)|^2 = 1, \quad (20)
\]

i.e. \( \det(T(k)) = 1 \).

In analogy with the spectral problem for the KdV equation [35], one can see that the quantities \( T(k) = a^{-1}(k) \) and \( R(k) = b(k)/a(k) \) represent themselves the transmission and reflection coefficients respectively [9, 11]. From (20) it follows that the scattering is unitary, i.e.

\[
|T(k)|^2 + |R(k)|^2 = 1. \quad (21)
\]

The entire information about \( T(k) \) (17) is provided by \( R(k) \) for \( k > 0 \) only [11]. It is sufficient to know \( R(k) \) only on the half line \( k > 0 \), since from (14) and (18), \( \bar{a}(k) = a(-k) \), \( \bar{b}(k) = b(-k) \) and thus \( \bar{R}(-k) = R(k) \).

At the points of the discrete spectrum, \( a(k) \) has simple zeroes [9], therefore \( \varphi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) are linearly dependent (18):

\[
\varphi(x, i\kappa_n) = b_n \bar{\psi}(x, -i\kappa_n). \quad (22)
\]

In other words, the discrete spectrum is simple, there is only one (real) eigenfunction \( \varphi^{(n)}(x) \), corresponding to each eigenvalue \( i\kappa_n \), and we can take this eigenfunction to be

\[
\varphi^{(n)}(x) \equiv \varphi(x, i\kappa_n) \quad (23)
\]

The asymptotic of \( \varphi^{(n)} \), according to (12), (11), (22) is

\[
\varphi^{(n)}(x) = e^{\kappa_n x} + o(e^{\kappa_n x}), \quad x \to -\infty; \quad (24)
\]
\[
\varphi^{(n)}(x) = b_n e^{-\kappa_n x} + o(e^{-\kappa_n x}), \quad x \to \infty. \quad (25)
\]

The sign of \( b_n \) obviously depends on the number of the zeroes of \( \varphi^{(n)} \). Suppose that

\[
0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \ldots < \kappa_N < 1/2. \quad (26)
\]
Then from the oscillation theorem for the Sturm-Liouville problem [4], $\varphi^{(n)}$ has exactly $n-1$ zeroes. Therefore

$$b_n = (-1)^{n-1}|b_n|. \tag{27}$$

The set

$$S \equiv \{ R(k), \quad k > 0, \quad \kappa_n, \quad |b_n|, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N \} \tag{28}$$

is called scattering data. The Hamiltonians for the CH equation in terms of the scattering data are presented in [11].

The time evolution of the scattering data can be easily obtained as follows. From (18) with $x \to \infty$ one has

$$\varphi(x, k) = a(k)e^{-ikx} + b(k)e^{ikx} + o(1). \tag{29}$$

The substitution of $\varphi(x, k)$ into (8) with $x \to \infty$ gives

$$\varphi_t = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \varphi_x + \gamma \varphi \tag{30}$$

From (29), (30) with the choice $\gamma = ik/2\lambda$ for the eigenfunction $\varphi(x, k)$ we obtain

$$\dot{a}(k, t) = 0, \quad \dot{b}(k, t) = \frac{ik}{\lambda} b(k, t), \tag{31, 32}$$

where the dot stands for derivative with respect to $t$. Thus

$$a(k, t) = a(k, 0), \quad b(k, t) = b(k, 0)e^{\frac{ik}{\lambda} t}; \tag{33}$$

$$T(k, t) = T(k, 0), \quad R(k, t) = R(k, 0)e^{\frac{ik}{\lambda} t}. \tag{34}$$

In other words, $a(k)$ is independent on $t$ and will serve as a generating function of the conservation laws.

The time evolution of the data on the discrete spectrum is found as follows. $i\kappa_n$ are zeroes of $a(k)$, which does not depend on $t$, and therefore $\kappa_n = 0$. From (8) with $\gamma = ik/2\lambda$; $k = i\kappa_n$ and (25) one can obtain

$$b_n = \frac{4\omega\kappa_n}{1 - 4\kappa_n^2} b_n. \tag{35}$$
The Poisson brackets for the scattering data of the Camassa-Holm equation are computed in [11] where also the action-angle variables are expressed in terms of the scattering data.

In Section 2 we compute the asymptotics for large $k$ of the scattering data and the eigenfunctions, which we use in Section 3 to develop the Inverse Scattering Transform for the CH equation. A number of recent papers [9, 26, 31, 32] used a Liouville transformation to reduce the weighted spectral problem (7) to a standard problem. Our approach is more direct, and provides, we believe, more transparent formulas. The special case of reflectionless potentials ($\mathcal{R}(k) = 0$ for all $k$) which corresponds to the important class of solutions, namely the multi-soliton solutions is separately studied in Section 4. A formula for the $N$-soliton solution is obtained.

2 Analytic solutions and Riemann-Hilbert Problem

For the application of the Inverse Scattering Method it will be necessary the asymptotics for large $k$ of $a(k)$ and the Jost solutions to be found. Firstly we compute the asymptotic of $a(k)$.

The solution of (7) can be represented in the form
\[ \varphi(x, k) = \exp \left( -ikx + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \chi(y, k) dy \right). \] (36)

For $\text{Im } k > 0$ and $x \to \infty$, $\varphi(x, k)e^{ikx} = a(k)$, i.e.

\[ \ln a(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(x, k) dx, \quad \text{Im } k > 0. \] (37)

Since $a(k)$ does not depend on $t$, the expressions $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(x, k) dx$ represent integrals of motion for all $k$. The equation for $\chi(x, k)$ follows from (7) and (36)

\[ \chi_x(x, k) + \chi^2 - 2ik\chi = -\frac{1}{\omega} \left( k^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) m(x) \] (38)

and admits a solution with the asymptotic expansion

\[ \chi(x, k) = p_1 k + p_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{-n}}{k^n}. \] (39)

The substitution of (39) into (38) gives the following quadratic equation for $p_1$:

\[ p_1^2 - 2ip_1 + \frac{m}{\omega} = 0, \] (40)
with solutions

\[ p_1 = i \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{m}{\omega}} \right) \]  

(41)

Since \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_1(x)dx \) is an integral of the CH equation, presumably finite, we take the minus sign in (41). One can easily see that \( p_0 \) and all \( p_{-2n} \) are total derivatives \[24\] and thus we have the expansion

\[ \ln a(k) = -i\alpha k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_{-n}}{k^n}, \]  

(42)

where \( \alpha \) is a positive constant (integral of motion):

\[ \alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{m(x)}{\omega}} - 1 \right) dx, \]  

(43)

and \( I_{-n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{-n} \) are the other integrals, whose densities, \( p_{-n} \) can be obtained recurrently from (38), (39) \[24, 11\].

In terms of the scattering data \( \alpha \) can be expressed as \[11\]

\[ \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \frac{1 + 2\kappa_n}{1 - 2\kappa_n} \right)^2 - \frac{8}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln |\tilde{a}(k)|}{4k^2 + 1} dk. \]  

(44)

The asymptotic of \( a(k) \) for \( \text{Im } k > 0 \) and \( |k| \to \infty \) from (42) is

\[ e^{i\alpha k} a(k) \to 1, \quad \text{Im } k > 0, \quad |k| \to \infty. \]  

(45)

When \( k \) is in the upper half plane the following expression is valid \[11\]

\[ \ln a(k) = -i\alpha k + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \frac{k - i\kappa_n}{k + i\kappa_n} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln |a(k')|}{k' - k} dk'. \]  

(46)

Let us now consider the asymptotic of the Jost solutions, starting for example from \( \psi(x,k) \), (10). One can check that the asymptotic for \( |k| \to \infty \) has the form

\[ \psi(x,k) = e^{-ikx + kG(x)}\eta(x,k) \]

\[ \eta(x,k) = X_0(x) + \frac{X_1(x)}{k} + \frac{X_2(x)}{k^2} + \ldots, \]  

(47)

where, due to (10), \( G(x) \to 0 \) and \( \eta(x,k) \to 1 \) for \( x \to \infty \). The substitution of (47) into (7) gives explicitly \( G(x) \), \( X_0, X_1, \ldots \):

\[ \psi(x,k) = e^{-ikx + ik \int_{0}^{x} \frac{dx'}{\sqrt{m(x') + \omega}}} \left[ \left( \frac{\omega}{m(x) + \omega} \right)^{1/4} + \frac{X_1(x)}{k} + \ldots \right]. \]  

(48)
Introducing the function

\[ \xi(x) = \exp \left[ x + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m(y) + \omega}{\omega}} - 1 \right) dy \right], \tag{49} \]

which looks like a deformation of the ordinary exponent, (48) can be written as

\[ \psi(x, k) = [\xi(x)]^{-ik} \left[ \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{X_1(x)}{k} + \frac{X_2(x)}{k^2} + \ldots \right]. \tag{50} \]

Furthermore, the function \( \chi(x, k) \equiv \psi(x, k)e^{ikx} \) is analytic for \( \text{Im } k < 0 \), [9]. This follows from the representation

\[ \chi(x, k) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{k} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2ik(x-x')}}{\omega} - 1 \frac{m(x')\chi(x', k)}{2i} dx'. \tag{51} \]

Notice that \( \int_{x}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m(y) + \omega}{\omega}} - 1 \right) dy \) is bounded for all values of \( x \). Indeed,

\[ \left| \int_{x}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m(y) + \omega}{\omega}} - 1 \right) dy \right| = \left| \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{m(y)dy}{\omega \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{m(y) + \omega}{\omega}} \right)} \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|m(y)|}{\omega} dy < \infty \]

since \( m(x) \) is a Schwartz class function. Therefore the function

\[ \tilde{\psi}(x, k) \equiv \psi(x, k)[\xi(x)]^{ik} \tag{52} \]

is also analytic for \( \text{Im } k < 0 \).

Similarly,

\[ \varphi(x, k) \equiv \varphi(x, k) \exp \left\{ ik \left[ x + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m(y) + \omega}{\omega}} - 1 \right) dy \right] \right\} = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\tilde{X}_1(x)}{k} + \frac{\tilde{X}_2(x)}{k^2} + \ldots \tag{53} \]

is analytic for \( \text{Im } k > 0 \).

Multiplying (18) by \( \xi(x)/a(k) \) and using (43), (52) and (53) we obtain

\[ \frac{\varphi(x, k)}{e^{ik\alpha \omega(k)}} = \psi(x, k) + \mathcal{R}(k)\tilde{\psi}(x, k)[\xi(x)]^{2ik}. \tag{54} \]

The function \( \varphi(x, k)/(e^{ik\alpha \omega(k)}) \) is analytic for \( \text{Im } k > 0 \), \( \psi(x, k) \) is analytic for \( \text{Im } k < 0 \). Thus, (54) represents an additive Riemann-Hilbert Problem with a jump on the real line, given by \( \mathcal{R}(k)\tilde{\psi}(x, k)[\xi(x)]^{2ik} \).
3 Integration of the CH equation by the Inverse Scattering Method

Let us take an arbitrary $k$ from the lower half plane ($\text{Im} \ k < 0$). Then using the Residue Theorem, (43) and (22) we can compute the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_+} \frac{\varphi(x, k')}{e^{i\kappa a(k')} k' - k} \, dk' = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varphi(x, \kappa_n)}{(i\kappa_n - k)e^{-\kappa_n a'(i\kappa_n)}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n [\xi(x)]^{-2\kappa_n \psi(x, -i\kappa_n)} a'(i\kappa_n)(i\kappa_n - k), \quad (55)$$

where $C_+$ is the closed contour in the upper half plane (Fig.1). On the other hand, due to (54) the same integral can be computed directly as
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_+} \frac{\varphi(x, k')}{e^{\varphi k a(k')}} \frac{dk'}{k' - k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \psi(x, k') + \mathcal{R}(k') \overline{\psi(x, k')} |\xi(x)|^{2k'} \right) \frac{dk'}{k' - k} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_+} \frac{\varphi(x, k')}{e^{\varphi k a(k')}} \frac{dk'}{k' - k},
\]

where \( \Gamma_+ \) is the infinite semicircle in the upper half plane (Fig.1). Using the expansion (53) and (45), it is straightforward to compute that the integral over \( \Gamma_+ \) is simply \((1/2)(\xi(x)/\xi'(x))^{1/2}\).

Similarly,

\[
-\psi(x, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_-} \frac{\psi(x, k')}{k' - k} \frac{dk'}{k' - k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(x, k')}{k' - k} \frac{dk'}{k' - k} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_-} \frac{\psi(x, k')}{k' - k},
\]

where \( C_- \) is the closed contour in the lower half plane, \( \Gamma_- \) is the infinite semicircle in the lower half plane (Fig.1). Due to (50), (52) the integral over \( \Gamma_- \) is \((1/2)(\xi(x)/\xi'(x))^{1/2}\).

Now, from (55) – (57) it follows that for \( \text{Im } k < 0 \),

\[
\psi(x, k) = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(k') \overline{\psi(x, k')} |\xi(x)|^{2k'} \frac{dk'}{k' - k} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n[\xi(x)]^{-2\kappa_n} \psi(x, -i\kappa_n)}{a'(i\kappa_n)(k - i\kappa_n)},
\]

The expression (58), taken at \( k = -i\kappa_p, p = 1, \ldots, N \) gives

\[
\psi(x, -i\kappa_p) = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(k') \overline{\psi(x, k')} |\xi(x)|^{2k'} \frac{dk'}{k' + i\kappa_p} + i \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n[\xi(x)]^{-2\kappa_n} \psi(x, -i\kappa_n)}{a'(i\kappa_n)(\kappa_p + \kappa_n)}.
\]

The equations (58) – (59) represent a linear system, from which \( \psi(x, k) \) (for real \( k \)) and \( \psi(x, -i\kappa_n) \) can be expressed through \( \xi \), which, indeed is yet an unknown function.

Let us now recall that \( \lambda(-i/2) = 0 \). Since \( \psi(x, k) \) does not depend on \( m \) for \( \lambda = 0 \) and since \( \psi(x, k) \) is defined by its asymptotics at \(-\infty\), it follows that \( \psi(x, -i/2) = e^{-x/2} \). Thus, for \( k = -i/2 \), (58) gives
\[ e^{-x/2}[\xi(x)]^{1/2} = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}(k') \psi(x, k') \xi(x) \, dk' \quad \text{for} \quad k' \geq 0 \]
\[ + \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n [\xi(x)]^{-2\kappa_n} \psi(x, -i\kappa_n) \frac{\kappa_n - \kappa_n'}{a'(i\kappa_n)(\kappa_n + 1/2)}. \quad (60) \]

Since \( \psi(x, k) \) and \( \psi(x, -i\kappa_n) \) are known from (58) – (59), the equation (60) is a first order differential equation for \( \xi \), which can be directly integrated to give \( \xi(x) \). In other words, (58) – (60) represent a system of singular integral equations for \( \psi(x, k) \), \( \psi(x, -i\kappa_n) \) and \( \xi(x) \).

Since the time evolution of the scattering data is known (35), the dependence on \( t \), i.e. \( \xi(x,t) \) is also known, expressed by the scattering data. Thus the set \( \mathcal{S} \), (28) uniquely determines the potential: from (35) one obtains

\[
m(x,t) = \omega \left[ \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{2} - 1 \right]. \quad (61)
\]

### 4 Reflectionless potentials

The inverse scattering is simplified in the important case of the so-called reflectionless potentials, when the scattering data is confined to the case \( \mathcal{R}(k) = 0 \) for all real \( k \). This class of potentials corresponds to the \( N \)-soliton solutions of the CH equation. In this case \( b(k) = 0 \) and \( |a(k)| = 1 \) (21) and from (46), (44) one can easily find that \( id'(i\kappa_p) \) is real:

\[
ida'(i\kappa_p) = \frac{1}{2\kappa_p} e^{\alpha\kappa_p} \prod_{n \neq p} \frac{\kappa_p - \kappa_n}{\kappa_p + \kappa_n}, \quad (62)
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left( \frac{1 + 2\kappa_n}{1 - 2\kappa_n} \right)^2. \quad (63)
\]

Thus, \( id'(i\kappa_p) \) has the same sign as \( b_n \), (27) and therefore

\[
c_n \equiv \frac{b_n}{ida'(i\kappa_p)} > 0. \quad (64)
\]

The time evolution of \( c_n \) due to (35) is

\[
c_n(t) = c_n(0) \exp \left[ \frac{4\kappa_n}{1 - 4\kappa_n^2} t \right]. \quad (65)
\]

The equation (59) represents a linear system of equations for the quantities \( \psi(x, -i\kappa_p) \):

\[
\]
\[
\psi(x, -i\kappa_p) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{c_n[\xi(x)]^{-2\kappa_n}}{\kappa_p + \kappa_n} \psi(x, -i\kappa_n) = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad p = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{66}
\]

or

\[
\psi(x, -i\kappa_n) = \left( \frac{\xi(x)}{\xi'(x)} \right)^{1/2} \left[ A^{-1} B \right]_n, \tag{67}
\]

where

\[
A_{pn}[\xi, t] \equiv \delta_{pn} + \frac{c_n(t)\xi^{-2\kappa_n}}{\kappa_p + \kappa_n}, \quad B \equiv \left[ 1, 1, \ldots, 1 \right]_N, \tag{68}
\]

i.e., finally

\[
\psi(x, -i\kappa_n, t) = \left( \frac{\xi(x, t)}{\xi'(x, t)} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} A^{-1}_{np}[\xi, t], \quad n = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{69}
\]

Now (60) gives (note that from (49) \( \xi(-\infty, t) = 0 \))

\[
x = X(\xi, t) \equiv \ln \int_0^{\xi} \left( 1 - \sum_{n,p} \frac{c_n(t)\xi^{-2\kappa_n}}{\kappa_n + 1/2} A^{-1}_{np}[\xi, t] \right)^{-2} d\xi, \tag{70}
\]

the time evolution of \( c_n \) is known (65). This represents an implicit relation from which \( \xi \) can be expressed as a function of \( x \) and \( t \). Thus, in this case the scattering data uniquely determine \( \xi = \xi(x, t) \) and therefore the potential \( m(x, t) \) (61).

Using (70) and (61) we obtain the \( N \)-soliton solution. Indeed, for fixed coordinates \( x_0, t_0 \), since \( x \) is a monotonically increasing function of \( \xi \) there is a unique \( \xi_0 > 0 \) (which is treated as a parameter from now on), such that \( x_0 = X(\xi_0, t_0) \). Furthermore, we have

\[
\xi_x = X^{-1}_x(\xi, t), \tag{71}
\]

and from here and (61)

\[
m(x_0, t_0) \equiv m(X(\xi_0, t_0), t_0) = \omega \left[ \left( \xi X_x(\xi_0, t_0) \right)^{-2} - 1 \right]; \tag{72}
\]
Finally, the $N$-soliton solution is

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\omega}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-|x - X(\xi, t)|} \frac{1}{2} e^{-2X^{-1}_\xi(\xi, t)} d\xi - \omega. \quad (73)$$

Note that $X(\xi, t)$ is an explicitly defined function (70) in terms of the scattering data. Thus, the solution (73) does not depend on any additional parameter.

For example, for the one-soliton solution the function $X(\xi, t)$ is:

$$X(\xi, t) = \ln \int_0^\xi \left[ \frac{1}{2} c_1(t) \xi^{-2k_1} \right] d\xi. \quad (74)$$

Note that since $\frac{1}{2k_1} - \frac{1}{k_1 + 1/2} > 0$ [cf. (26)] and $c_1(t) > 0$ [cf. (64)], both the numerator and the denominator in (74) are always positive and singularities do not appear.

5 Conclusions

In this paper the Inverse Scattering Method for the CH equation is outlined in the case when the solutions are confined to be functions in the Schwartz class, $\omega > 0$ and $m(x, 0) + \omega > 0$. The $N$-soliton solution is explicitly constructed. The inverse scattering based on a Liouville transform, which leads to a spectral problem of the standard form $-\Psi_{yy} + Q(y)\Psi = \mu \Psi$ (the Schrödinger equation) is developed in series of works [9, 26, 31, 32]. In this case $Q$ and $m$ are related through the Ermakov-Pinney ordinary differential equation. The construction of the soliton solutions based on the bilinear representation of the CH equation (Hirota’s method) is presented in [36, 37, 38], see also [33] for a parametric representation of the $N$-soliton solution. The situation when the condition $m(x, 0) + \omega > 0$ on the initial data does not hold is more complicated and requires separate analysis [28, 3]. If $m(x, 0) + \omega$ changes sign there are infinitely many positive eigenvalues accumulating at infinity, and singularities can appear in finite time in the form of wave breaking ($\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \{u_x\} \to -\infty$, while $u$ stays uniformly bounded), cf. [9, 10]. The inverse scattering for multi-peakon solutions (for their existence we must
have $\omega = 0$) is reported in [1, 2]. For the periodic solutions see [7, 14, 21] (in this setting a scaling transform shows that there are no qualitative differences between the cases $\omega = 0$ and $\omega \neq 0$). The traveling-wave solutions of the CH equation ($\omega = 0$) are classified in [39]. The Darboux transform for the CH equation is obtained in [41]. The construction of multi-soliton and multi-positon solutions using the Darboux/Bäcklund transform is presented in [22, 23].
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