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HIGHLIGHTS

- A new concept for hybrid solar-biomass thermophotovoltaic is implemented in TRNSYS simulation environment.
- TPV algorithm is developed and analysed to compare and validate with related previous work.
- Validated TPV algorithm is simulated for two system configurations to evaluate their output parameters.
- Results show; a TPV system could recover surplus thermal energy gained from CSP power plant at mid-days.
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ABSTRACT

Medium operating temperature hybrid solar-biomass TPV power plant design requires complex integration of multiple high temperature processes with low band-gap TPV cells. A 0.72 eV band-gap GaSb TPV cell has been used in thermophotovoltaic (TPV) systems operating at temperatures above 1400 °C. Low band-gap TPV cells, such as InGaAs (E\textsubscript{g} = 0.55 eV) and InAs (E\textsubscript{g} = 0.36 eV) could enable a TPV system to operate optimally at temperatures ≈1000 °C. To examine this, two hybrid solar-biomass TPV system configurations are studied using TRNSYS simulation that incorporates a new algorithm for TPV. It is found that in a high solar fraction CSP power plant, a TPV system could recover surplus thermal energy gained from solar energy at mid-days that would otherwise be unused.

1. Introduction

The key components of a thermophotovoltaic (TPV) device are (i) radiative heat energy source (ii) absorber, (iii) emitter and (iv) TPV cell [1]. In a solar TPV (STPV) system, the absorber absorbs solar energy [2]. On the obverse of the absorber with a direct conductive contact is an emitter surface, emitting a thermal radiation spectrum [3]. Photons emitted from the emitter are incident on, and absorbed by the TPV cell to generate electricity [4,5]. TPV can be used in system applications such as (i) stand-alone solar [6], (ii) hybrid solar energy with secondary high temperature heat source [7], or (iii) in a stand-alone high temperature process [8,9]. A hybrid solar-biomass TPV power generation system flow diagram is shown in Fig. 1.

A particular TPV cell absorbs a specific emission spectrum to produce electricity [10,11]. To allow photons with energy in a TPV cell band-gap to be emitted from an emitter while restricting photons with sub-band-gap energy [12,13] requires (i) a spectrally matched emitter attached to a high temperature heat source, or (ii) a spectral control filter. Unutilized photons radiated from the emitter increase TPV cell temperature, reducing its efficiency [14,15]. High conversion efficiency ensures that a TPV maintains constant rated power output [16]. At a specific temperature, different TPV cells produce different electric power outputs with different conversion efficiencies [17,18]. Determining an appropriate thermal energy emission wavelength is essential for successful TPV device operation [19,20], but has, to date, been obtained by trial-and-error [21,22]. Simulation is used in this study for analysing this for both stand-alone and hybrid TPV systems. Previous studies have examined conventional CSP-biomass Rankine cycle power plant simulation using different TRNSYS libraries [23,24]. TPV power plants have not been previously simulated using TRNSYS as there was no TPV component in the TRNSYS library.

2. Methodology

A new TPV algorithm has been developed in TRNSYS 17 that enables examination of the technical characteristics of hybrid
solar–biomass TPV power plant. TRNSYS 17 simulation software enables new system components to be introduced by:

(i) writing a new mathematical algorithm in a TRNSYS equation box to connect to existing TENSYS component [25,26].
(ii) interfacing TRNSYS with new component developed in an external program [27,28].
(iii) developing a new TRNSYS component [29,30].

In this work, an InGaAsSb/InAsSb TPV cell with an energy bandgap $E_g = 0.55$ eV is used for all TPV system simulations. The TPV algorithm is written in the equation box “Type TPV” to connect to the solar central receiver component in the Solar Thermal Electric Component (STEC) library to obtain the thermal energy gained from solar energy conversion. The STEC library includes model for Rankine cycles, central receiver and heliostat fields and thermal energy storage systems [31,32]. This work used Rankine cycle, central receiver systems and Brayton cycle sub-libraries for simulating TPV power generation systems. Simulated TPV systems are:

(i) TPV Model-1: Stand-alone TPV configuration,
(ii) TPV Model-2: Hybrid solar-biomass with TPV system,
(iii) TPV Model-3: TPV retrofitted into a central receiver tower in a hybrid solar-biomass power plant.

Block diagrams of TPV system models are presented in Fig. 2. TPV Model-1 simulation results are verified through a validated analytical TPV model. TPV Model-1 is simulated at three different operating temperatures (i) 1000 °C, (ii) 1200 °C and (iii) 1400 °C to verify the accuracy of thermal-to-electric energy conversion in the TPV system model. TPV Model-2 and TPV Model-3 are simulations of possible future applications of TPV systems.

3. TPV system model

The relation between a peak spectral wavelength and the temperature of the surface emitting that radiation is given by Wein’s Displacement Law;

$$\lambda_{peak} T = 2898 \text{ (} \mu \text{m K)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength in $\mu$m and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin.

TPV cell bandgap varies with wavelength [19]:

$$E = 1.24/\lambda \text{ (eV)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $E$ is the energy/bandgap in eV and $\lambda$ is the wavelength in $\mu$m.

The relationship in Eqs. (1) and (2) are summarized in Fig. 3. The TPV operating temperature at 1000 °C, for example, requires a TPV cell bandgap approximately 0.55 eV that has an emission wavelength of 2.25 $\mu$m.

For an ideal TPV system where emissivity, $\varepsilon = 1$ and reflectivity $\rho = 0$, the maximum power output would be [18]:

$$P_{max} = \frac{15}{\pi} A_{cell} \sigma T_{Em}^4 s_{bg} [s_{bg}^2 + 2s_{bg} + 2]e^{-\frac{E_{bg}}{kT_{Em}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $A_{cell}$ is the surface area of TPV cell, $\sigma$ is Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $T_{Em}$ is emitter temperature, $s_{bg}$ is an integral constant given by [15];

$$s_{bg} = \frac{E_{bg}}{kT_{Em}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $E_{bg}$ is the band-gap energy, $k$ is Boltzmann constant.

Eq. (3) shows that the power output from TPV energy conversion depends upon emitter temperature for a given combination of TPV surface area, emitter emissivity and reflectivity.

Conversion efficiency is given by [15]:

$$\eta_{max} = \frac{s_{bg} [s_{bg}^2 + 2s_{bg} + 2]}{[s_{bg}^2 + 3s_{bg} + 6s_{bg} + 6]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Unutilized photon energy increases TPV cell temperature, reducing conversion efficiency. The operating temperature range for optimal TPV cell efficiency requires a specific $s_{bg}$ value, so by selecting a material that provides an appropriate emitted radiation spectrum, optimal
Fig. 2. TRNSYS models of TPV systems simulated.

Fig. 3. Relationship of bandgap, wavelength and temperature.
TPV system efficiency may be achieved [18].

3.1. TPV cell parameter analysis

For GaSb (Eg = 0.72 eV), InGaAsSb/InAsSbP (Eg = 0.55 eV) and InAs (Eg = 0.36 eV) TPV cells, Fig. 4 illustrates the variation of output power and conversion efficiency with the function of TPV cell temperature Tcell and emitter temperature TEm. High conversion efficiency does not ensure large power output, as efficiency depends on the dimensionless bandgap sbg for an ideal TPV system where emissivity and reflectivity are constant. As sbg is inversely related to temperature as shown in Eq. (4), conversion efficiency decreases for all types of TPV cells as temperature increases, as can be seen in Fig. 4.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, power output increases for all TPV cell types as emitter temperatures are increased. High integral constant (i.e. sbg in Eq. (4)) values correspond to low emitter temperatures that produce significantly lower electric power output. In contrast a low sbg produces a higher electric power output with comparatively low conversion efficiency. An optimized sbg value indicates the best emitter temperature range for optimal efficiency of a specific TPV cell.

In previous research, a maximum conversion efficiency (ɳMax) of 78% was found for a GaSb TPV cell when sbg ≈ 5 [18]. This study also found that for InGaAsSb/InAsSbP and InAs TPV cells, when the sbg = 5 could produce approximately 78% of thermal to electric conversion efficiency, although the power output varies depending on the bandgap of the TPV cell, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPV cell</th>
<th>Band-Gap (eV)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Power Output (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GaSb</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>2,190,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InGaAsSb/InAsSbP</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>728,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InAs</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>123,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variation of the integral constant (sbg) has a significant effect on power output as it decreases when sbg increases. Efficiency however, increases as the sbg increases. For the GaSb, InGaAsSb/InAsSbP and InAs TPV cells, emitter temperatures with approximately 78% conversion efficiency at sbg = 5 are found to be 1400 °C, 1000 °C and 550 °C respectively as shown in Fig. 5.

3.2. TPV model validation

Hosani et al. [33] examined power output of a Germanium (Ge) TPV cell (Eg = 0.76 eV) with a tungsten photonic crystal emitter material with a 0.195 emissivity. It was found that the conversion efficiency was 11.9% at an emitter temperature of approximately 1000 °C. The model proposed in this work calculated the TPV conversion efficiency to be 9.3% when applied emitter emissivity of 0.195 at 1000 °C as it shown in Table 2.

For a Ga based TPV cell, TPV conversion efficiency has been found to reduce by less than 1% for every 20 ºC [34]. TPV efficiency decreased linearly for the temperature range 30–130 ºC. Although this work also found a linear decrement of TPV conversion efficiency, the rate of temperature change was not as sharp as 1% per 20 ºC. However, in a broader temperature range as shown in Fig. 4 illustrated significant change of efficiency as the temperature rises, where conversion efficiency dropped 0.3% per 20 ºC for Ga based TPV cell whereas, a 0.5% efficiency drop was observed per 20 ºC for an InAs TPV cell.

Nam et al. [35] showed that the power output of InGaAsSb is greater than GaSb TPV cell at the same temperature. The model in this study also found that at the same temperature InGaAsSb TPV cell with 0.55 eV of band-gap produced higher power output than GaSb TPV cell with 0.72 eV band-gap. The normalized power output from derived model is compared with those experimental results [35] as shown in Fig. 6. The modelled power is overestimated compared with the experimental results as it is derived from ideal case TPV characteristics that excluded thermal energy losses.

In this work, the output power profile of the modelled TPV system is compared with the simulation results and experimental results produced by Schlenker et al. [36]. As shown in Fig. 7, two graphs have been obtained from the modelled TPV system with (i) 0.1 emissivity [36] and (ii) 0.195 emissivity from previous studies [33]. In case of 0.1 emissance, present TPV model produced an underestimated power output in comparison with the experimental result. A maximum of 40% deviation is observed for the output power at a temperature of 700 ºC. For modelled results with 0.195 emissivity, a maximum deviation of 18% is found from TPV simulations.
4. TPV system performance

4.1. Model implementation of “Type TPV” in TRNSYS

Eqs. (1)-(3) are used for a TPV system algorithm in a ‘TPV’ equation box in TRNSYS that is connected to a solar central receiver component. The algorithm processed input solar energy to generate electricity in simulation TPV Model-1. For hybrid TPV operation in TPV Model-2, the TPV algorithm is connected with a biomass combustion system that simulates to maintain the required process temperature when solar energy is inadequate. TPV Model-3 simulates a conceptual hybrid power plant configuration where a TPV system could be retrofitted to an existing hybrid solar central receiver-biomass power plant. The Direct Normal Irradiance (DNI) input data for all presented models is set to be 1800 kWh/m² for an average eight hours in a typical summer day. The simulated solar field consists of 390 to 670 two axis tracking heliostat mirrors with a 120 m² surface area, depending on required process temperatures of Model-1, Model-2 and Model-3 [37].

4.2. TPV Model-1 simulation

The TRNSYS deck file for standalone TPV operation (i.e. Model-1) is presented in Fig. 8. The electric power output of InGaAsSb/InAsSbP TPV cell is first simulated at 1000 °C temperature using 390 heliostat mirrors. The absorber area and a TPV cells surface area are set to 25 m² [38]. Simulated peak electric power output for an ideal case TPV cell at 1000 °C is found to be 0.73 MW, illustrated in Fig. 9. As shown in Fig. 4, modelled TPV output power shows a linear relationship to temperature. In the ideal case, the thermal energy to electricity conversion efficiency is simulated to be approximately 78% as Fig. 4 illustrated, with a simulated corresponding dimensionless TPV cell band gap; \( \text{sbg} \approx 5 \) (as also analysed in Fig. 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of modelled and experimental TPV cell performance [33].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmax (W/cm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta ) (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Temperature variation with the integral constant (s_g) for (i) GaSb, (ii) InGaAsSb/InAsSbP and (iii) InAs TPV cells.

Fig. 6. Normalized modelled TPV output vs experimental results.
To obtain a process temperature of 1200 °C, the simulated solar field requires 520 heliostats. The simulated peak output power is found to be 1.75 MW as shown in Fig. 10, significantly above that in Fig. 9. However, calculated conversion efficiency is approximately 75%, which is 3% less than the previous result. The s\textsubscript{bg} is found to be 4.3. The simulation results can be compared with TPV analysis as illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5.

A temperature of 1400 °C is produced by a solar field consisting of 670 heliostat mirrors. As shown in Fig. 11, 3.53 MW of peak output electricity is obtained. This is the largest power output among the three stand-alone TPV systems. In contrast the calculated 72% conversion efficiency is the lowest among the three stand-alone systems with a s\textsubscript{bg} of 3.8. These simulation results comply with the TPV model analysis presented in Figs. 4 and 5.

4.3. TPV Model-2 simulation

Model-2 is a simulation of a solar-biomass hybrid power plant with InGaAsSb/InAsSbP TPV cell at the rated biomass combustion temperature of 1000 °C. The inlet air temperature of biomass system is set to 1000 °C in day times when biomass unit operates at minimum load. The inlet temperatures dropped to 300 °C at night when biomass systems operate at full mode. The inlet air flow rate is 75,000 kg/h, where inlet air pressure is 1500 kPa. The solar heliostat system consisting of 390 mirrors has a pressurised air receiver (TRNSYS Type 222). The TRNSYS TPV system component is connected to the biomass combustion unit to receive continuous thermal energy. This model could also be applied to a small scale hybrid solar-biomass TPV system that uses high concentration solar concentrator such as parabolic dish with a smaller biomass fired combustion system. The hybridsystem deck file in TRNSYS is illustrated in Fig. 12.
The simulated thermal energy output and electric power obtained from a hybrid TPV system is shown in Fig. 13. The process temperature is maintained at 1000 °C by the biomass combustion system which operates in full mode when solar energy is inadequate. The biomass system operates at a minimum load during effective solar periods. The overall daily solar fraction in this plant is approximately 80%. The fuel consumption of the biomass combustion system reduces linearly as the solar energy (DNI) increases. The simulated power output is 0.72 MW for a 1000 °C emitter temperature, with a conversion efficiency of 78%.
Fig. 12. TRNSYS deck file for TPV Model-2.

Fig. 13. Model-2 conversion at 1000 °C temperature.

Fig. 14. TRNSYS deck file for TPV Model-3.
4.4. TPV Model-3 simulation

In Model-3 a TPV system is integrated with an existing CSP-biomass Brayton Cycle power plant. TPV cell is located in the absorber of the solar tower, thus connected to the solar receiver directly as shown in Fig. 14. The temperature gained from the solar field is absorbed primarily by the TPV cell. Thermal energy unutilized by TPV cell is then transferred to the biomass combustion system that rotates a turbine electric generator. A cumulative output power is calculated from the outputs of the TPV and Brayton cycle power generating systems.

Simulated temperature from the solar field is found to be higher than the rated combustion temperature as shown in Fig. 15. Simulated peak temperature obtained from the solar field is 1200 °C where the combustion system maintained the temperature at 1000 °C (Fig. 15). As can be seen, solar energy is dumped as there is an overproduction of thermal energy beyond the rated power block capacity.

In contrast, a reduced electric power output is observed in the simulation result when the solar energy increases as shown in Fig. 16. This reduced electric output is due to less total air mass flow produced by solar energy system and delivered into the turbine in a hybrid Brayton Cycle CSP-fuel power plant [32]. Solar thermal energy dumping, leading to a reduced electric power output could be addressed by retrofitting a TPV system in a hybrid CSP-biomass power generation system so that the additional electricity produced by a TPV system recovers a significant amount of solar energy that would otherwise be unused. This maintains the plant rated power output during the solar period, thus improving overall solar fraction of the hybrid power plant as shown in Fig. 17.

5. Discussion and conclusion

It is difficult to synchronize CSP power plant input temperatures and pressures to utilize optimum solar energy during effective solar periods as the temperatures of working fluids usually become higher than the rated power block input at midday. Having thermal energy exceeding power block capacity causes solar energy to be dumped. This surplus thermal energy is not easily recovered due to the cost and complexity of the power plant configuration. However, a TPV system could increase power generation capacity by utilizing the solar energy produced by solar collators at midday. Integration of a TPV system with low bandgap TPV cell (such as InGaAsSb/InAsSbP or InAs) in a hybrid CSP-biomass power plant may thus increase the solar fraction leading to improved plant economic viability. A TPV system enables a solar power plant to recover the waste heat without integrating any additional...
moving parts (as would be required in Rankine/Brayton cycle system) thus incurring less operating and maintenance expenditure.

Solar-biomass TPV systems differ from conventional solar-biomass Rankine/Brayton cycle power plant in their operating principles. At a medium operating temperature, it has been shown that a TPV system could be applied to a hybrid solar-biomass power plant to reduce biofuel mass consumption as well as increase the solar fraction of a CSP power plant.
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