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Abstract—This paper relates to the study of power system harmonics in the built environment and in particular, cable heating caused by proximity effects due to harmonic distortion. Although in recent years, some movement has taken place in the standards to offer harmonic de-rating factors, heating in cables due to skin and proximity effects has not been quantified effectively. Thus, in this paper we present a model for proximity heating and consider numerical simulations to assess this effect in both two- and three-dimensions for different harmonics. Example results are presented to illustrate the model developed which is based on a general solution for the Magnetic Vector Potential in the Fresnel zone. The model provides the basis for using voxel modelling systems to investigate proximity effects for a range of configurations and complex topologies with applications to the design of power cables, cable trays and ducts, inter-connections, busbar junctions and transformers, for example.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Under ideal circumstances, in the built environment, electrical power supply voltage and current waveforms should be sinusoidal. However, this is very seldom the case due to the proliferation of non-linear loads. Examples of non-linear loads are those containing switched mode power supplies, reactors and electronic rectifiers/inverters. Common devices such as Personal Computers, fluorescent lighting, electric motors, variable speed drives, transformers and reactors and virtually all other electrical and electronic equipment are examples of non-linear loads which are the norm in the built environment rather than the exception. Such loads produce complex current and voltage waves and simple spectral analysis of these waves shows that they can be represented by a wave at the fundamental power frequency plus other wave forms at integer and non-integer multiples of this frequency. These harmonics produce an overall effect called ‘Harmonic Distortion’ which can give rise to overheating in plant equipment and the power cables supplying them leading to reduced efficiency, operational life time and, on occasions, failure.

Alternating Current (AC) power systems are subject to distortion by harmonic and inter-harmonic components which affect the supply voltage and load currents. Over the last few decades, harmonic distortion in power supplies has increased significantly due to the increasing use of electronic components in industry and elsewhere. Buildings such as modern office blocks, commercial premises, factories, hospitals etc. contain equipment that generates harmonic loads as described above. Each item of equipment produces a unique harmonic signature and therefore a harmonic distortion which can be predicted if the equipment in use can be determined in advance. Identifying the harmonic signatures of different types of equipment commonly used and the prediction of thermal loading effects on distribution cables caused by the skin and proximity effects of harmonic currents has therefore become increasingly important.

Harmonics can have detrimental effects on the supply system causing a reduction in power quality and consumers equipment connected to the supply can:

1) be adversely affected by existing harmonics, and;
2) generate harmonic currents that create or add to existing distortion.

It is estimated that losses caused by poor power quality cost EU industry and commerce about 10 billion Euros per annum [1]. Harmonic Standards have therefore been created to set acceptable levels of:

- voltage distortions present in supply systems;
- load current distortion in installations connected to the supply system;
- Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) for equipment connected to the supply;
- electromagnetic emissions generated by equipment connected to the supply.

Harmonic Standards have also been harmonised internationally to promote international trade so that equipment manufactured in one country will comply with emission and immunity limits in force in other countries.

A. Harmonic Standards

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) based in Geneva is the body responsible for electric power quality standards under which harmonics fall. These standards are referred to as the Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) Standards and for the most part are covered by the IEC 61000 series [2] applicable in the EU. Other widely accepted international standards are the IEEE Std 519-1992 [3], EN 55011-4-1 [2] and EN 50160 [1]. International standards are used as a basis for global coordination but individual countries may make their own adjustments to the international standards to reflect the special characteristics of their distribution systems. For example, in the case of the UK and Ireland, there are many distributed generation centres located close to many load centres. This presents a different system characteristic to the distribution system in New Zealand, for example, which is characterised by a small number of generating centres connected by long transmission lines to individual load centres [4].

System voltage distortion is a function of the product of harmonic currents and system impedance. Low impedance systems are referred to as ‘hard systems’ because they are less susceptible to voltage distortion by harmonic currents.
The converse is true of high impedance systems which are referred to as ‘soft systems’. The standards quote higher acceptable levels of harmonic currents for ‘hard’ systems. In setting standards, one must be cognisant of a situation where a large industrial consumer is connected to the supply network together with a number of smaller consumers at a point of common coupling. Were the limits of harmonic current emissions set in absolute terms rather than as a proportion of the consumers load, this may discriminate against the large consumer. If the reverse were the case, this may discriminate against the smaller consumer whose harmonic emissions may be high as a proportion of their load but insignificant in terms of their effect on the system as a whole. It is for this reason and other parallel situations that harmonic standards are issued as guidelines to be applied taking local conditions into account. They are intended to be flexible and applied in a sensible manner. The EMC requirements in the European Union for electrical and electronic products are covered by the EMC Directive 89/336/EEC [5] which came into effect on 1st January 1996. This directive has been amended a number of times, the most recent being 93/68/EEC in 2004. The Directive seeks to remove technical barriers to trade by requiring equipment to operate satisfactorily in its specified electromagnetic environment. Limiting these emissions serves public electricity distribution systems which must be protected from disturbances emitted by equipment.


Harmonic distortion limits are not governed by statute. The legally enforcing document is therefore the connection agreement between the network operator and the customer. This agreement lays down connection conditions which require compliance with ER G5/4-1, IEE Std 519-1992 or IEC 50160 and include any derogation and/or harmonic mitigation measures which may be agreed between the network operator and the customer. However, one of the most important issues in the industry is that rating factors tend to ignore the heating effects generated by the higher harmonics. This issue is the theme of the research reported in this paper.

II. THE PROXIMITY EFFECT IN ELECTRIC CABLES

Proximity heating effects occur in AC power systems. When alternating current flows in adjacent electric conductors, eddy currents are induced in both conductors by electromagnetic induction. The eddy currents in each conductor are the sum of the self-induced eddy currents and the eddy currents induced by the adjacent conductor current. The eddy currents cause an alteration to the distribution of the main current flowing in each conductor. In the case of currents flowing in opposite directions in the conductors, as would be the case for a single-phase circuit, the current tends to concentrate on the adjacent sides. When the current flowing in both conductors is in the same direction, the current tends
to concentrate on opposite sides of the conductors. This effect is known as the proximity effect and causes the main current to flows in a restricted higher resistance path giving rise to the generation of additional square current heating losses, that, in turn, causes the operating temperature of the conductor to rise, the apparent increased resistance being referred to as the ‘AC resistance’. This same interaction also occurs between a current carrying conductor and an adjacent conducting material such as structural metal work, a cable tray or metal cladding on cables. In this case the presence of a ferrous material greatly increases the electromagnetic effect. The distribution of current in the conductor is altered in a similar manner to that previously described, leading to an increased operating conductor temperature. The induced currents in the adjacent metalwork give rise to a power loss but they also cause the metal work to increase in temperature. This reduces the heat dissipation of the electric cable and further increases its operating temperature.

A. The Proximity Effect on Electrical Equipment

The proximity effect is frequency dependent, increasing as the frequency increases. It also depends on the conductor material and its diameter. Thus in a harmonically rich environment, the higher order harmonics will generate significant proximity effects with other conductors and adjacent conducting materials. In the case of power transformers, the windings of the transformer are wound as compactly as possible to reduce size; however, the proximity of the conductors to each other and to the magnetic core tend to increase the associated proximity loss. In addition, significant eddy currents are induced in the magnetic core. This leads to a power loss and heat generation in the core. The transformer core is constructed from high electrical resistance silicon steel lamina in order to minimise the eddy current power loss. This loss is proportional to the square of the frequency so higher order harmonics have a very significant heating effect on the core. In a similar manner to transformers, all electrical machines such as electric motors, generators, reactors etc., whose design requires the use of magnetic cores, experience proximity effect losses. These losses increase significantly when harmonic distortion is present.

B. The Skin Effect

The skin effect was first described in 1883 by Sir Horace Lamb, a British Applied Mathematician who described the effect as it related to a spherical conductor. In 1885 the model was generalised and applied to conductors of any shape by Oliver Heaviside, an English engineer and mathematician. The skin effect is similar to the proximity effect insofar as it is a consequence of electromagnetic induction. It applies to a single conductor carrying AC current. In this case, eddy currents are self-induced and interact with the main current in such a way that the current reduces in the centre of the conductor and tends to flow near the surface of the conductor in a skin region, hence the name skin effect. In a similar manner to that described for the proximity effect, the current is forced to flow through a restricted cross-section thus increasing the resistance of the current path. The net effect is the same as for the proximity effect which is to increase the operating temperature of the conductor. The skin depth is defined as the distance from the conductor surface by which the current density reduces by $e^{-1}$. The effect is a function of:

1) the conductor material;
2) the diameter of the conductor;
3) the frequency of the current.

In a similar manner to the proximity effect, the higher order harmonics are affected more than the lower order harmonics, therefore the skin depth is different for each harmonic.

C. Proximity and Skin Effects

These effects are usually observed together in the built environment. The skin effect is always present to a greater or lesser extent. The proximity effect comes into play whenever two conductors or more run parallel to each other or when a single or double conductor runs close to metal work be it a cable tray, cable protective steel cladding or the metal tank of a power transformer or switchgear, for example.

III. PROXIMITY HEATING MODEL

It is well known from Ampere’s law that a current generates a magnetic field in the plane through which the current flows. In general, Ampere’s law is expressed in differential form by (see Appendix A)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{j}$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is the Magnetic Field Vector and $\mathbf{j}$ is the current density. This is Maxwell’s equation without the inclusion of the displacement current. When an alternating current passes through a cable an alternating magnetic field is produced within and beyond the extent of the conductor. Any conductor within the immediate vicinity or ‘proximity’ of the cable will have a current induced by the presence of the alternating magnetic field. This includes currents induced in the cable that is generating the magnetic field - self-induced currents. In turn, this produces further heating of the cable(s) which is proportional to the square of the induced current. This effect can become significantly complex especially when the topology of the cable configuration is non-trivial such as with braided cables. For this reason, it requires the problem to solved in a three-dimensional geometry and
necessitates a numerical approach to solving the problem. The proximity effect is an iterative effect in that it depends on a current generating a magnetic field which induces another current in the proximity of the first which then goes on to generate another magnetic field, each cycle becoming weaker and weaker but each cycle inducing further heating effects. To model such an effect, the magnetic field must first be computed.

In Appendix A, an overview of Maxwell’s equations is provided for completeness. Using the Lorentz Gauge transformation discussed in Section A.3 it can be shown that the Magnetic Vector Field Potential \( \mathbf{A} \) is given by - see the derivation of Equation (A.13)

\[
\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} = -j
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light in a vacuum. This equation is, in effect, an exact solution to Maxwell’s equations subject to homogeneous Boundary Conditions. The surface integral determines the effect of the field \( \mathbf{F} \) on solving equation (2) thereby providing an estimate of the induced proximity current obtained via equation (4).

IV. HARMONIC SOLUTION

Consider the case for a single harmonic when, for angular frequency \( \omega \), all scalar or vector functions (\( F \) and \( \mathbf{F} \), respectively) of time \( t \) taken to be of the form

\[
F(r, t) := F(r, \omega) \exp(-i\omega t), \quad \mathbf{F}(r, t) := \mathbf{F}(r, \omega) \exp(-i\omega t)
\]

Equations (1) and (3) then reduce to

\[
(\nabla^2 + k^2) \mathbf{A} = -j
\]

and

\[
(\nabla^2 + k^2) U = 0
\]

respectively, and equation (2) becomes

\[
\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U + ik\mu \mathbf{A}
\]

where \( k = \omega/c = 2\pi/\lambda \) and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength. Note that these equations are the same for all vector components of the vector function and thus can be evaluated in terms of a scalar field for each component. Thus, with regard to equations (5) and (6), the problem reduces to solving the scalar equation

\[
(\nabla^2 + k^2) u(r, k) = -f(r, k), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^3
\]

for scalar field \( u \) and scalar function \( f \) which can be zero, thereby satisfying equation (6).

V. GREEN’S FUNCTION SOLUTION

The general solutions to equation (8) using the free space Green’s function method is well known and given by

\[
u(r, k) = \int_S (g(r) - g(r, k)) \cdot \hat{n} d^2 r + g(r, k) \odot_3 f(r, k)
\]

where \( g \) is the Green’s function

\[
g(r, k) = \exp(ikr) / 4\pi r, \quad r \equiv |r|
\]

which is the solution to

\[
(\nabla^2 + k^2) g(r, k) = -\delta^3(r)
\]

and \( \odot_3 \) denotes the three-dimensional convolution integral

\[
g(r) \odot_3 f(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(|r - s|, k) f(s, k) d^3 s
\]

The surface integral (obtained through application of Green’s Theorem) represents the effect of the field \( u \) generated by a boundary defining the surface \( S \). This field, together with its respective gradients, need to be specified - the ‘Boundary Conditions’. The surface integral determines the effect of the surface of the source when it is taken to be of compact support, e.g. the conductive material from which a cable is composed.

A. Homogeneous Boundary Conditions

In the context of the model considered here, the surface integral is taken to be zero so that volume effects are considered alone. Formally, this requires that we invoke the ‘Homogenous Boundary Conditions’

\[
u = 0, \quad \nabla u = 0 \quad \forall r \in S
\]

so that equation (9) is reduced to

\[
u(r, k) = g(r, k) \odot_3 f(r, k)
\]

which is a solution to equation (8) since

\[
(\nabla^2 + k^2) u(r, k) = (\nabla^2 + k^2) (g(r, k) \odot_3 f(r, k)) = -\delta^3(r) \odot_3 f(r, k) = -f(r, k)
\]

However, self-consistency requires that the ‘Homogenous Boundary Conditions’ also apply in the solution of equation (6) so that \( U = 0 \) and equation (7) reduces to (for any vector.
component of the Magnetic Vector Potential $A$ and Electric Field $E$)

$$E = ik\mu A$$

Combining the results, we then obtain the following solution for proximity temperature effect $T_p$:

$$T_p(r, k) = T_0k^2\mu^2 | \sigma_\rho(r)|g(r, k) \otimes_3 j(r, k)|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $T_0$ is a scaling constant determined by the resistivity of the proximity conductor and it is noted that the proximity temperature scales as the square of the frequency (since $k = \omega/c$) and the square of the magnetic permeability $\mu$.

**B. Skin Depth and Absorption Characteristics**

For $\rho = 0$ equation (A.5) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 E - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = \mu \frac{\partial j}{\partial t}$$

so that, using Ohm’s law $j = \sigma E$, for any vector component of the Electric Field $E$, the Scalar Electric Field $u$ satisfies the harmonic equation

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2 + ikz\sigma)u(r, k) = 0$$

where $z = \mu c$ defines the impedance of a material with conductivity $\sigma$ and permeability $\mu$. For a unit vector $\hat{n}$, this equation has a simple ‘plane wave solution’ of the form

$$u(r, k) = \exp(ik\hat{n} \cdot r), \hspace{0.5cm} K = k\sqrt{1 + ikz\sigma/k}$$

Thus, when $\sigma/k >> 1$, and noting that

$$K \simeq \sqrt{ikz\sigma} = (1 + i)\sqrt{kz\sigma/2}$$

we obtain the physically significant result (i.e. the wave amplitude cannot increase indefinitely)

$$u(r, k) = \exp(ik\sqrt{kz\sigma/2}\hat{n} \cdot r) \exp(-\sqrt{kz\sigma/2}\hat{n} \cdot r)$$

which yields a solution with a negative exponential decay, i.e. an absorption of the Electric Field characterised by the skin depth

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k\sigma}} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi s\sigma}}$$

Further, if the absorption characteristics of the medium are taken to be determined from the solution (for real $K$)

$$u(r, k) = \exp(ik\hat{n} \cdot r) \exp(-\alpha\hat{n} \cdot r)$$

then the wave equation for the scalar electric field becomes

$$-(K + i\alpha)^2 + k^2 + ikz\sigma = 0$$

so that, upon equating real and imaginary parts, the real component of $\alpha$ is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{z^2\sigma^2}{k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1$$

Since we can write, upon binomial expansion,

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{z^2\sigma^2}{2k^2} + ... \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

when $z^2\sigma^2/k^2 << 1$, $\alpha = \pi\sigma/2$ and the absorption characteristics are independent of wavelength.

**VI. FRESNEL ZONE ANALYSIS**

Proximity effects occur in the near field which is determined by the form of the Green’s function given in equation (11). However, for computational reasons, it is useful to consider a solution in the intermediate or Fresnel zone. It is well known that the key to evaluating the Green’s function in the Fresnel zones relies on a binomial expansion of $| r - s |$ in the exponential component of the Green’s function and considering the relative magnitudes of the vectors $r$ and $s$ (given by $r$ and $s$, respectively).

In the Fresnel zone, the Green’s function in given by

$$g(r, s, k) = \frac{1}{4\pi s} \exp(iks) \exp(-ik\hat{n} \cdot r) \exp(i\alpha^2)$$

where

$$\hat{n} = \frac{s}{s} \hspace{1cm} \alpha = \frac{k}{2s} = \frac{\pi}{s\lambda} \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{1cm} s \equiv \langle s \rangle$$

This result is based on relaxing the condition $r/s << 1$ and ignoring all terms with higher order powers greater than 2 in the binomial expansion of $| r - s |$. In this case, equation (10) becomes

$$u(r, s, k) = \frac{\exp(iks)}{4\pi s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(r, k) \exp(-ik\hat{n} \cdot r) \exp(i\alpha^2) d^3 r$$

However, noting that

$$\frac{ik}{2s} | s - r |^2 = \frac{ik}{2s} (s^2 + r^2 - 2s \cdot r)$$

we can write $u$ in the form

$$u(r, s, k) = \frac{\exp(iks/2)}{4\pi s} f(r, k) \otimes_3 \exp(i\alpha^2)$$

the function $\exp(i\alpha^2)$ being the (three-dimensional) Fresnel Point Spread Function (PSF).

From equation (11) the (Fresnel zone) proximity temperature effect can now by written as

$$T_p(r, k) = T_0k^2\mu^2 | \sigma_\rho(r)|g(r, k) \otimes_3 j(r, k)|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $T_0 := T_0/4\pi s$.

**VII. TWO-DIMENSIONAL SIMULATIONS**

Two-dimensional simulations are appropriate for cable configurations in which the axial geometry is uniform. In this case we can consider a computation using a regular two-dimensional Cartesian mesh of size $N^2$ where the cable cross-section is taken to be in the $(x, y)$-plane at $z = 0$. Computation of the (two-dimensional) Fresnel PSF is also undertaken on a Cartesian grid. For a given value of $N$, the scaling of this function (i.e. the range of values of $\alpha$ that can be applied) is important in order to avoid aliasing. If $\Delta$ defines the spatial resolution of a mesh (the length of each pixel being taken to be given by $\Delta$ for both coordinates), then we can write

$$\alpha(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{\pi}{8\Delta^2} (n_x^2 + n_y^2)$$

where $n_x$ and $n_y$ are array indices running from $-N/2$ through 0 to $N/2 - 1$, the Fresnel PSF being computed over all space and not just a positive (two-dimensional) half-space.
Thus, if we consider a scaling relationship based on multiples $h$ of the wavelength such that
\[ h\lambda = \Delta N \text{ and } \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N} \]
where $h = 1, 2, \ldots$ (for integer harmonics) then we have
\[ \alpha(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{h\pi}{N^2}(n_x^2 + n_y^2) \]
The purpose of developing this result is that it allows us to investigate the proximity temperature as a function of multiples of the wavelength (i.e. harmonics). Given equation (12), we consider a simulation based on the normalised proximity temperature
\[ T(x, y) = \frac{T_{p}(x, y, k)}{\sigma_{k}^{2}k^{2} \mu_{2}} \]

\[ = \sigma_{p}(x, y)\{j(x, y) \otimes_{2} \exp[i\alpha(x^2 + y^2)]\} |^{2} \quad (13) \]

where
\[ ||T(x, y)||_{\infty} = 1 \]
and $\otimes_{2}$ denotes the two-dimensional convolution sum, the current density being taken to be independent of the frequency $k$.

Numerical evaluation of equation (13) is undertaken using MATLAB, the convolution sum being computed using the MATLAB function `conv2` with the option ‘same’ which returns the central part of the convolution that is the same size as the input arrays. Colour coding of the two-dimensional scalar function $T(x, y)$ is used to display the spatial distribution of the temperature in the proximity conductor(s) defined by the function $\sigma_{p}(x, y)$, the amplitude of the ‘Magnetic Scalar Potential’ being given by
\[ M(x, y) = |j(x, y) \otimes_{2} \exp[i\alpha(x^2 + y^2)]| \quad (14) \]

Figure 3 shows an example of a proximity simulation for a simple three-cable based configuration and a uniform cross-sectional current density for the lowest harmonic $h = 1$ and where the current is taken to flow in the same direction (in or out of the plane). Figure 3 also shows the PSF, the Magnetic Field Potential and the proximity temperature based on equations (14) and (13), respectively. Each cable is taken to ‘radiate’ a Magnetic Potential Field in the plane which induces a secondary Electric Field in the proximity cable. This secondary Electric Field induces a proximity current density and thus a (square current) proximity temperature effect whose field pattern is determined by equation (13). Figure 4 shows the proximity temperatures for higher harmonics $h = 3, 5, 7, 9$ and illustrates the surface heating that occurs when higher harmonics are considered in terms of the proximity effects generated by the influence of the magnetic field generated by one cable with another. Two results emerge from these simulations that are notable: (i) Lower harmonic proximity temperatures are biased toward the cable (outer) surfaces in local proximity to each other; the proximity temperature exhibits an increased asymmetry as the harmonic order increases, the region of maximum temperature being skewed toward the surfaces in closer proximity to each other. The last point in the above list is explained in terms of the skin effect. However, as the harmonics increase further the heat is distributed on both the surface and the interior of the cable. This effect is due to the nature of the PSF which generates quadratic phase wavefronts as the value of the harmonic increases. This is not a feature of the conventional skin effect that is based on a model for the penetration on plane wave (for the Electric Field) in a conductor, as illustrated in Section V(B), which is consistent only with regard to a far-field analysis of the Green’s function used to obtain a solution to equations (5).
The effect of multiple cable arrays and the induction temperatures induced in neutral proximity conductors (i.e., conductors with zero primary current density) is easily simulated using equation (13) where the proximity conductivity is taken to be the combination of conductors with and without a primary current density \( j(x, y) \). This is a common problem with regard to the assembly of power cables in cable trays, an example of which is shown in Figure 5. An example is shown in Figure 6 for a non-symmetrical array of nine cables supported by a semi-rectangular metal cable tray and where it is clear that:

1) proximity temperature effects are induced in the tray which have proximity temperatures of the order of the cables closest to the tray;
2) the inner cable of the array reaches the highest proximity temperature (for all harmonics) due to its proximity to largest number of surrounding cables.

**VIII. THREE-DIMENSIONAL SIMULATIONS**

For the three-dimensional case, equations (14) and (13) become

\[
M(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n} \delta_{n} \exp[i \alpha(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2})]
\]

and

\[
T(x, y, z) = \frac{T_{p}(x, y, z, k)}{T_{0} k^{2} \mu^{2}}
\]

\[
= - \sum_{n} \delta_{n} \{ j(x, y, z) \} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n} \exp[i \alpha(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2})] \}
\]

respectively, where

\[
\alpha(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}) = \frac{h \pi}{N^{2}} (n_{x}^{2} + n_{y}^{2} + n_{z}^{2})
\]

\( \Delta \) being taken to define the spatial resolution of a voxel. Three-dimensional simulations are necessary with regard to computing proximity heating effects in high current loading inter-connectors such as illustrated in Figure 2 when radial symmetry can not be assumed. The same principles apply as those used to generate two-dimensional simulations. However, working with a three-dimensional regular grid requires significantly greater CPU time. Further, voxel modelling system are required to generate the input arrays representing the functions \( j(x, y, z) \) and \( \sigma_{p}(x, y, z) \) together with voxel graphical representations to visualise the three-dimensional output numerical fields generated.

Voxel modelling systems such as Voxelogic [6] and VoxelSculpting [7] allow designers to sculpt without any topological constraints. These systems include open source produce such as VoxCAD [8] that provides for the inclusion of multiple materials and is therefore ideal for introducing designs based on variations in the conductivity and current density. Systems such as Pendix, [9] operate like 2D graphics software while producing 3D models and are therefore ideal for designing structures with a single value of the conductivity, for example, although the application of these systems lies beyond the scope of this paper.

By way of an example, we consider a simplified voxel model of the connecting bars and their (square plate) root given in Figure 2. Figure 7 shows a simple visualisation of this model together with an iso-surface of the corresponding Fresnel PSF for \( h = 10 \) using a regular Cartesian grid of 100\(^3\). Figure 7 also shows the two-dimensional proximity temperature field in the \((x, y)\)-planes for \( z = 1 \) and \( z = 100 \) based on equation (15), the three-dimensional convolution process being undertaken using the MATLAB function convn. The results are illustrative of the effect of induction currents generated by a three-dimensional magnetic field associated with a uniform current flowing in a relatively simple but three-dimensional structure.

**IX. THERMAL DIFFUSION EFFECTS**

The total induced temperature is the sum of the proximity temperature effects for all harmonics which is proportional.
to the square of the frequency. This temperature profile is the source for a temperature field that will thermally diffuse throughout the regions of compact support. Thus, referring to equation (12), the diffusion equation becomes
\[
\left( D \nabla^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) T(r, t) = -S(r), \quad T(r, t = 0) = T_i \quad (16)
\]
where \( D \) is the Thermal Diffusivity of the conductor(s), \( T_i \) is the initial temperature and the Source Function \( S(r, t) \) is given by
\[
S(r) = T_0 \sum_{k=1}^N T_0 P_h \kappa_h^2 \mu^2 | \sigma_p(r)j(r, k) \otimes_n \exp(i \alpha r) \|^2 \quad (17)
\]
for dimensions \( n = 1, 2 \) and \( 3 \), where \( P_h \) is the Power Spectrum and it is noted that \( \alpha \) is a function of \( h \). The general solution to equation (16) can be obtained using the Green’s function for the diffusion equation and is given by [10]
\[
T(r, t) = T_i(r) \otimes_n G_n(r, t) + S(r) \otimes_n G_n(r, t)
\]
where
\[
G_n(r, t) = \left( \frac{1}{4\pi D t} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{r^2}{4D t} \right) \right] H(t)
\]
and
\[
H(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t > 0; \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases}
\]
For the steady state case, the time independent diffusion problem applies for which we are required to solve the Poisson equation
\[
D \nabla^2 T(r) = -S(r)
\]
the two- and three-dimensional solutions to this equation being [10]
\[
T(r) = \begin{cases} -D^{-1} \ln r \otimes_2 S(r), & r \in (0, 1]; \\ +D^{-1} \ln r \otimes_2 S(r), & r \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}
\]
and
\[
T(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi D r} \otimes_3 S(r, k)
\]
respectively.

Given that the Diffusivity \( D \) of conductive materials used in the construction of power cables is readily available, the principal unknown with regard to the evaluation of equation (17) is the characteristic Power Spectrum. There is no unifying scaling law for the power spectrum associated with harmonic distortion in power cables and the power spectrum can change from one built environment to another depending on the loads and appliances. For example, Figure 8 shows the AC wave form and the corresponding harmonic distortion associated with a single-phase rectifier [11]. Power spectra of this type generate additional heating due to both the skin and proximity effects causing cables to operate at a higher temperature than would be the case without harmonic distortion. The degree of harmonic distortion in the load current determine the degree of additional heating that can occur to which suitable de-rating corrections factor can be applied [12].
XI. CONCLUSIONS

The model developed in this paper is based on decoupling Maxwell’s equations through application of a Gauge transformation as detailed in Appendix A. The method of solution is based on a Green’s function solution for the Magnetic Vector Potential given the current density and the application of Ohm’s law. Under this condition the charge density is zero and application of the homogenous boundary conditions on the surface of a conductor allows equation (11) is derived which shows that the proximity temperature is proportional to the square frequency. With regard to the development of a proximity temperature simulation, the key to the approach taken is to consider the Green’s function solution in the Fresnel zone which yields a convolution based model compounded in equation (12).

The simulations are similar in both two- and three-dimensions and in both cases can be used to investigate the proximity heating effects generated at different harmonics. Higher harmonic effects are particularly important in the design of cable arrays and component structures but relies on knowledge of the spectrum of the harmonic components present in the wave form of an AC load current which must be determined experimentally, a priori. Note that in the example simulations presented, the primary current density $j$ is taken to be uniformly distributed which, in general, will not be the case due to (self-induced) skin effects in the primary conductor(s). Furthermore, the proximity conductivity $\sigma_p$ may be non-uniform and the magnetic permeability $\mu$ may also be expected to change for different elements of a power cable and proximity components. However, within the context of the model presented, these physical aspects are readily incorporated.

One of the goals of the simulator developed is to evaluate the possible presence of ‘hotspots’ in the design of cable configurations and proximal structures for harmonics that are present in the load. Although proximity temperature effects are subject to thermal diffusion, as discussed in Section IX, in the context of the problem considered in this chapter, proximity related hotspots represent a continuous source of heat and can therefore be evaluated independently of thermal diffusion processes. As these effects are also present in electrical machines and equipment used in the built environment, in addition to determining harmonic de-rating factors for power cables arranged in different layouts and combinations, the simulator will provide a powerful design tool for a diverse range electrical equipment and machines which contain non-trivial conductor topologies and magnetic circuits.

APPENDIX A: MAXWELL’S EQUATIONS AND THE MAGNETIC VECTOR POTENTIAL

The motions of electrons (and other charged particles) give rise to electric and magnetic fields [13], [14]. These fields are described by the following equations which are a complete mathematical descriptions for the physical laws stated for constant electric permittivity $\epsilon$ and (constant) magnetic permeability $\mu$.

Coulomb’s law
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \quad (A.1)$$

Faraday’s law of induction
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (A.2)$$

No free magnetic monopoles exist
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (A.3)$$

Modified (by Maxwell) Ampere’s law
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \quad (A.4)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field, $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field, $\mathbf{j}$ is the current density and $\rho$ is the charge density These equations are used to predict the electric $\mathbf{E}$ and magnetic $\mathbf{B}$ fields given the charge and current densities ($\rho$ and $\mathbf{j}$ respectively) for the case when the material parameters defined by $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ are constant. The differential operator $\nabla$ is defined as follows:
$$\nabla \equiv \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

for unit vectors ($\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$). By including a modification to Ampere’s law, i.e. the inclusion of the ‘displacement current’ term $\mu \rho / \partial t$, Maxwell provided a unification of electricity and magnetism compounded in the equations above.

A.1 Linearity of Maxwell’s Equations

Maxwell’s equations are linear because if
$$\rho_1, \ j_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_1, \ \mathbf{B}_1$$
and
$$\rho_2, \ j_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_2, \ \mathbf{B}_2$$
then
$$\rho_1 + \rho_2, \ j_1 + j_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2, \ \mathbf{B}_1 + \mathbf{B}_2$$
where $\rightarrow$ means ‘produces’. This is because the operators $\nabla \cdot$, $\nabla \times$ and the time derivatives are all linear operators.

A.2 Solution to Maxwell’s Equations

The solution to these equations is based on exploiting the properties of vector calculus and, in particular, identities involving the curl. Taking the curl of equation (A.2), we have
$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \nabla \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$
and using the identity
$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E}$$
together with equations (A.1) and (A.4), we get
$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \rho - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j} \right)$$
or, after rearranging,
$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \rho + \mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} \quad (A.5)$$
where

\[ c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}} \]

Taking the curl of equation (A.4), using the identity above, equations (A.2) and (A.3) and rearranging the result gives

\[ \nabla^2 B - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla \times j. \tag{A.6} \]

Equations (A.5) and (A.6) are inhomogeneous wave equations for \( E \) and \( B \). These equations are ‘coupled’ to the vector field \( j \) (which is related to \( B \)). If we define a region of free space where \( \rho = 0 \) and \( j = 0 \), then both \( E \) and \( B \) satisfy the equation

\[ \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = 0. \]

This is the homogeneous wave equation. One possible solution of this equation (in Cartesian coordinates) is

\[ f_x = p(z - ct); \quad f_y = 0, \quad f_z = 0 \]

which describes a wave or distribution \( p \) moving along \( z \) at velocity \( c \). Thus, in free space, Maxwell’s equations describe the propagation of an electric and magnetic (or electromagnetic field) in terms of a wave traveling at the speed of light.

A.3 General Solution to Maxwell’s Equations

The solution to Maxwell’s equation in free space is specific to the charge density and current density being zero. We now investigate a method of solution for the general case [15], [16]. The basic method of solving Maxwell’s equations (i.e. finding \( E \) and \( B \) given \( \rho \) and \( j \)) involves the following:

1) Expressing \( E \) and \( B \) in terms of two other fields \( U \) and \( A \).
2) Obtaining two separate equations for \( U \) and \( A \).
3) Solving these equations for \( U \) and \( A \) from which \( E \) and \( B \) can then be computed.

For any vector field \( A \)

\[ \nabla \cdot \nabla \times A = 0. \tag{A.7} \]

Hence, if we write

\[ B = \nabla \times A \]

then equation (A.3) remains unchanged. Equation (A.2) can then be written as

\[ \nabla \times E = -\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times A \]

or

\[ \nabla \times \left( E + \mu \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = 0. \]

The field \( A \) is called the Magnetic Vector Potential. For any scalar field \( U \)

\[ \nabla \times \nabla U = 0 \]

and thus equation (A.2) is satisfied if we write

\[ \pm \nabla U = E + \mu \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \]

or

\[ E = -\nabla U - \mu \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}. \tag{A.8} \]

where the minus sign is taken by convention. \( U \) is called the Electric Scalar Potential.

Substituting equation (A.8) into Maxwell’s equation (A.1) gives

\[ \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla U + \mu \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \]

or

\[ \nabla^2 U + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot A = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}. \tag{A.9} \]

Substituting equations (A.7) and (A.8) into Maxwell’s equation (A.4) gives

\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times A + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \nabla U + \mu \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \right) = j \]

Finally, using the identity

\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times A = \nabla (\nabla \cdot A) - \nabla^2 A \]

we can write

\[ \nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot A + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} \right) = -j. \tag{A.10} \]

If we could solve equations (A.9) and (A.10) above for \( U \) and \( A \) then \( E \) and \( B \) could be computed. The problem here, is that equations (A.9) and (A.10) are coupled. They can be decoupled by applying a technique known as a ‘Gauge Transformation’. The idea is based on noting that equations (A.7) and (A.8) are unchanged if we let

\[ A \rightarrow A + \nabla X \]

and

\[ U \rightarrow U - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \]

since \( \nabla \times \nabla X = 0 \). If this gauge function \( X \) is taken to satisfy the homogeneous wave equation

\[ \nabla^2 X - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial t^2} = 0 \]

then

\[ \nabla \cdot A + \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = 0 \tag{A.11} \]

which is called the Lorentz condition. With equation (A.11), equations (A.9) and (A.10) become

\[ \nabla^2 U - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} \tag{A.12} \]

and

\[ \nabla^2 A - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} = -j \tag{A.13} \]

respectively. These equations are non-coupled inhomogeneous wave equations and in this paper, the principal equation used to derive a simulation for proximity effects is equation (A.13) with equation (A.8) serving to relate the solution for \( A \), via the Green’s function solution to equation (A.13), to the Electric Field \( E \), subject to the conditions that \( \rho = 0 \) (appropriate for highly conductive media) and \( U = 0 \) (a consequence of using the homogeneous boundary conditions).
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