

Technological University Dublin ARROW@TU Dublin

Articles

School of Food Science and Environmental Health

2010-01-01

# Inactivation of Escherichia Coli by Ozone Treatment of Apple Juice at Different pH Levels

Sonal Patil Technological University Dublin, sonalpatil81@gmail.com

Vasilis Valdramidis *Technological University Dublin*, vasilis.valdramidis@tudublin.ie

Patrick Cullen Technological University Dublin, pj.cullen@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart

🔮 Part of the Food Microbiology Commons, and the Food Processing Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Patil, S., Valdramidis, V.P., Cullen, P.J., Frias, J., Bourke P. (2010) :Inactivation of Escherichia coli by ozone treatment of apple juice at different pH levels. Food Microbiology 27,pp. 835-890. doi:10.1016/j.fm.2010.05.002

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

Funder: National Development Plan 2000-2006, through the Food Institutional Research Measure, administered by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, Ireland.

# Authors

Sonal Patil, Vasilis Valdramidis, Patrick Cullen, Jesus Maria Frias, and Paula Bourke

This article is available at ARROW@TU Dublin: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart/65

1	Title: "Inactivation of Escherichia coli in orange juice using
2	ozone"
3	
4	S. Patil <sup>1</sup> , P. Bourke <sup>*1</sup> , J. Frias <sup>1</sup> , B. Tiwari <sup>2</sup> and P.J. Cullen <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology,
7	Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland
8	<sup>2</sup> ,School of Agriculture, Food Science & Veterinary Medicine, University College Dublin, Belfield,
9	Dublin 4, Ireland
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	* Corresponding author. Tel: +353-14027594; Fax: +353-14024495; E-mail: paula.bourke@dit.ie
24	

# 25 Abstract

26 This research investigated the efficacy of gaseous ozone for the inactivation of 27 Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and NCTC 12900 strains in orange juice. Orange juice inoculated with E. coli (10<sup>6</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) as a challenge microorganism was treated with 28 ozone at 75-78µg mL<sup>-1</sup> for different time periods (0-18 min). The efficacy of ozone for 29 30 inactivation of both strains of *E. coli* was evaluated as a function of different juice types: 31 model orange juice, fresh unfiltered juice, juice without pulp, and juice filtered through 32 500µm or 1mm sieves. Fast inactivation rates for total reduction of E. coli were achieved 33 in model orange juice (60 seconds) and in juice with low pulp content (6 min). However, 34 in unfiltered juice inactivation was achieved after 15-18 min. This indicated that juice 35 organic matter interferes with antibacterial activity of gaseous ozone. The effect of prior acid (pH 5.0) exposure of E. coli strains on the inactivation efficacy of ozone treatment 36 37 was also investigated. There was a strain effect observed, where prior acid exposure 38 resulted in higher inactivation times in some cases by comparison with the control cells. 39 However, the overarching influence on inactivation efficacy of ozone was related to the 40 pulp content. Generally, the applied gaseous ozone treatment of orange juice resulted in a 41 population reduction of 5 log cycles.

# 42 Key words: *Escherichia coli*, ozone, non-thermal inactivation, acid exposure, orange

43 juice, microbial kinetics

Industrial relevance: To facilitate the preservation of unstable nutrients many juice processors have investigated alternatives to thermal pasteurisation, including unpasteurised short shelf life juices with high retail value. This trend has continued within the European Union. However within the US recent regulations by the FDA have

48	required processors to achieve a 5-log reduction in the numbers of the most resistant
49	pathogens in their finished products. Pathogenic E. coli may survive in acid environments
50	such as fruit juices for long periods. This study demonstrates that the use of ozone as a
51	non-thermal technology is effective for inactivation of E. coli and acid exposed E. coli in
52	orange juice. Information on the design of the ozone treatment for inactivation of E. coli
53	which results into safe juice products is also among the main outputs of this work. Ozone
54	auto-decomposition makes this technology safe for fruit juice processing.
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	

# 71 1. Introduction

72 Fruit juices are an important source of bioactive compounds such as phenolics (e.g. 73 flavanone glycosides, hydroxycinnamic acids), vitamin C and carotenoids (Abeysinghe, 74 Li, Sun, Zhang, Zhou & Chen, 2007), but technologies used for their processing and 75 subsequent storage may cause alterations in their contents so they may not provide the 76 benefits expected by the consumer. Fruit juice producers have traditionally relied on the 77 acidity of their products to assure microbiological safety. Nevertheless, several incidents 78 of food borne disease have been associated with juices. In 1991, an outbreak of 79 Escherichia coli O157:H7 infections and hemolytic uremic syndrome was linked to 80 traditionally pressed apple cider. In United States 21 juice-associated outbreaks reported 81 to the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) between 1995 and 2005 82 (Vojdani, Beuchat & Tauxe, 2008). Recent outbreaks have shown that fruit juices can be 83 vehicles for food borne pathogens (CDC, 1996, 1999). E. coli O157:H7 is an enteric 84 pathogen with a low infectious dose, which usually causes hemorrhagic colitis, but has 85 also the potential to cause hemolytic uremic syndrome in young children and the 86 immunocompromised (Boyce, Swerdlow & Griffin, 1995).

These outbreaks led the United States Food and Drug administration (FDA) to issue hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) regulations for safe and sanitary processing of juice (USFDA, 2001). A primary performance standard is a minimum 5-log reduction of the pathogens of concern in the juice being processed (USFDA, 2001). A common method for preservation and processing of fruit juices is pasteurisation. Thermal pasteurisation of orange juice can cause degradation of the product's quality (non-enzymatic browning and off-flavours production), while the fresh juice flavour

94 (Basak & Ramaswamy, 1996) may be impaired and its vitamin content decreased. In 95 recent years consumers have increasingly sought ready-to-use 'fresh-like' products, 96 which are usually refrigerated. This has led the food industry to develop alternative 97 processing technologies in order to produce foods with a minimum of nutritional, 98 physicochemical, or organoleptic changes (Esteve & Frigola, 2007). Consumers tend to 99 prefer recently extracted fresh juices with fresh taste and minimal flavour or vitamin 100 losses (Bignon, 1997). The FDA's approval of ozone as a direct additive to food in 2001 101 triggered interest in ozone applications. A number of commercial fruit juice processors in 102 the US and Europe began employing ozone for pasteurisation resulting in the issue of 103 industry guidelines. These guidelines (FDA, 2004) highlight gaps in the literature with 104 respect to the critical control parameters of ozone during microbial inactivation in liquid 105 systems.

106 Ozone is a triatomic allotrope of oxygen and is characterized by a high oxidation 107 potential that conveys bactericidal and viricidal properties (Burleson, Murray & Pollard, 108 1975; Kim, Yousef & Dave, 1999). Ozone inactivates microorganisms through 109 oxidisation and residual ozone decomposes to nontoxic products (i.e., oxygen) making it 110 an environmentally friendly antimicrobial agent for use in the food industry (Kim et al., 111 1999). Restaino et al. (1995) determined that ozone effectively killed Gram-positive 112 bacteria such as Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, 113 Enterococcus faecalis, and Gram-negative bacteria including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 114 and Yersinia enterocolitica in deionized water in the absence or presence of organic 115 material such as soluble starch (SS) and bovine serum albumin (BSA). Ozone has been 116 shown to reduce populations of *E. coli* O157:H7 in phosphate buffer (Byun, Kwon, Yook

Kim, 1998) while its preservation efficacy has been also evaluated in a variety of food
products, including milk, gelatin, albumin, casein, and meat products (Kim et al., 1999).
The antibacterial activity of ozone has been attributed to its diffusion capability (Hunt &
Marinas, 1997). It reacts up to 3000 times faster than chlorine with organic material, and
it readily diffuses through biological cell membranes.

- 122 Microorganisms can induce adaptation responses to environmental stresses by expressing 123 specific sets of genes on exposure to acid, salt, heat, cold, reactive oxygen species, 124 starvation etc. Therefore it is of great importance to evaluate the efficiency of food 125 preservation treatments using resistant strains while developing process criteria (Johnson, 126 2003). The objectives of this study were (i) to determine the efficacy of continuous 127 gaseous ozone treatment for reduction of two different strains of E. coli at ambient 128 temperature (12-15 °C) in orange juice, (ii) to evaluate how inactivation was affected by 129 the orange juice pulp content and (iii) to investigate if prior acid exposure of the 130 challenge microorganism significantly impacted on treatment efficacy.
- 131

# 132 **2. Materials and Methods**

#### 133 2.1 Bacterial strains and cultural conditions

Two strains of *E. coli* were used in this study: *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (generic strain), obtained from microbiology stock culture of the School of Food Science and Environmental Health of the Dublin Institute of Technology, and *E. coli* NCTC 12900 (non-toxigenic strain of *E. coli* O157:H7), obtained from National Collection of Type Cultures of the Health Protection Agency (London, UK). Both strains were used for inactivation studies to ensure potential useful effects against this key pathogen of concern to fruit juice processors were measured. The bacteria were maintained as frozen stocks at
-70°C in the form of protective beads, which were plated onto tryptic soy agar (TSA,
Scharlau Chemie) and incubated overnight at 37 °C to obtain single colonies before
storage at 4 °C. Working cultures were prepared by inoculating a single colony into
tryptic soya broth (TSB, Scharlau Chemie) and incubating overnight at 37°C (Cheng, Yu
& Chou, 2003; Caggia, Ombretta Scifò, Restuccia & Randazzo, 2009).

146

147 2.2 Preparation of model orange juice (MOJ)

The MOJ medium of Shinoda, Murata, Homma, and Komura (2004) without modifications was used in the experiments. The composition of MOJ per 100mL was as follows: sucrose: 5.0g; glucose: 2.5g; fructose: 2.5g; citric acid: 1.0g; ascorbic acid: 30 mg; L-serine: 7.0 mmol; L-asparagine: 5.4 mmol, L-alanine: 1.9 mmol; L-arginine: 0.75 mmol; L-glutamic acid: 0.54 mmol; L-proline: 0.42 mmol. The pH of MOJ was adjusted to pH 3.0 using 1N NaOH. MOJ was then sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min.

154

155 2.3 Preparation of orange juice

156 2.3.1 Fresh orange juice unfiltered

Oranges (variety: Balady, Egypt) were purchased from a local market, washed with tap water and cut into two pieces. The fresh oranges were squeezed with fruit juicer (Rowenta NEO type 8332). All juice preparations were stored at 4 °C. The pH was measured using a pH meter with a glass electrode (Orion Model, England) and was in the range of 3.5-4.0.

162 *2.3.2 Fresh orange juice filtered* (without pulp)

Juice without pulp was prepared as above with centrifugation (SIGMA 2K15, Bench Top Refrigerated Ultracentrifuge, AGB scientific LTD) at 13000 rpm for 10 min followed by filtering the juice through Whatman No.1 filter paper, giving a 75% yield in terms of filtrate.

167 2.3.3 Fresh orange juice with reduced pulp content

Juice with reduced pulp was prepared as above and submitted to a finishing process by passing through sieves (Laboratory test sieve, Retsch, Germany) to reduce the pulp content. Two different sieve sizes were employed to obtain juice with different pulp levels; sieve size of 500µm {mesh no.35} and sieve size of 1mm {mesh no.18}.

172

#### 173 2.4 Preparation of cell suspensions

174 Cells grown in TSB were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10min at 4 °C. 175 The cell pellet was washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Oxoid 176 LTD, UK). The pellet was re-suspended in PBS and the bacterial density was determined 177 by measuring absorbance at 550 nm using McFarland standard (BioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) to allow a working inoculum corresponding to  $1.0 \times 10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> to be 178 179 prepared. This was then serially diluted in maximum recovery diluent (MRD, Scharlau Chemie) to obtain approximately  $10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. Adding 10 mL of cell concentration ( $10^7$ 180 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) to 90 mL of orange juice yielded a final concentration of 10<sup>6</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. For 181 182 model orange juice samples, the pellet was re-suspended in PBS and diluted into MOJ to 183 yield the same final concentration.

184

#### 185 2.5 Acid exposure of bacterial cultures

186 Cells were exposed to hydrochloric acid (HCl) as described by Cheng, Yu and Chou 187 (2003). Acid stress conditions were imposed for two time periods; 1 hour and 18 hours. 188 Working cultures were grown overnight in TSB at 37 °C. Cells were then harvested by 189 centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10min at 4°C. The cell pellet was washed twice 190 with sterile PBS, re-suspended in 10 mL TSB (pH5.0, adjusted with 6N HCl, at ambient 191 temperature of 12-15 °C) and incubated at 37 °C for 1h. For a 18-h acid exposure, 192 bacterial strains were grown directly in TSB (pH 5.0) at 37°C. After incubation, cultures were diluted in MRD (pH 5.0) to yield approximately  $10^7$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, with further dilution 193 in orange juice to a final concentration of  $10^6$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. 194

195

#### 196 2.6 Ozone treatment

197 Ozone gas was generated using an ozone generator (Model OL80, Ozone services, 198 Canada, Figure 1) in a 100 mL glass bubble column. Ozone was produced by a corona 199 discharge generator. Pure oxygen was supplied via an oxygen cylinder (Air Products 200 Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) and the flow rate was controlled using an oxygen flow regulator. A previously determined optimum flow rate of 0.12L min<sup>-1</sup> with an ozone concentration of 201 75-78µg mL<sup>-1</sup> was applied for each treatment (Patil, Cullen, Kelly, Frias & Bourke, 202 203 2009). Ozone concentration was recorded using an ozone analyzer (built in ozone module 204 OL80A/DLS, Ozone services, Burton, Canada). Excess ozone was destroyed by an ozone 205 destroyer unit. To prevent excess foaming, 20 µl sterile anti-foaming agent (Antifoam B 206 emulsion, Sigma Aldrich, Ireland Ltd.) was added before each ozone treatment. Two 207 bacterial strains (E. coli ATCC 25922, E. coli NCTC 12900) were investigated for their 208 response to ozone treatment. Experiments were performed with non-acid exposed control cultures as well as a range of acid exposed cultures; namely 1 h, and 18 h acid exposed
cultures. Unfiltered juice was treated for 30 minutes with sampling at 3 min intervals.
All other juices were treated for 6-7 minutes with sampling at 1 min intervals. All
experiments were carried out in duplicate and replicated at least twice.

213

# 214 2.7 Microbiological analysis

215 The efficacy of treatments was determined in terms of reduction in viable counts over 216 time. Populations of challenge organism were determined by plating onto both TSA and 217 selective media, Sorbitol MacConkey agar (SMAC, Scharlau Chemie) respectively. 218 Samples (1mL aliquots) were withdrawn from treated juice at specific time intervals, 219 serially diluted in MRD and 0.1mL aliquots of appropriate dilutions were surface plated 220 on TSA and SMAC to compare recovery of *E. coli* strains. Plates were incubated at 37 °C 221 for 24h and then counted. Results were reported as Log<sub>10</sub>CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. Data were pooled 222 and average values and standard deviations determined. Means were compared using 223 ANOVA followed by LSD testing at p < 0.05 level (SPSS, version 15.0).

# 224 2.8 Inactivation kinetics

225 The GInaFiT tool was employed to perform the regression analysis of the microbial

226 inactivation data (Geeraerd, Valdramidis & Van Impe, 2005). The Weibull model was

used to analyze the data:

228 
$$\log_{10}(N) = \log_{10}(N_0) - \left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)^p$$
 (1)

where *N* is the number of microorganisms,  $N_0$  (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) is the initial number of microorganisms,  $\delta$ [min] (time for the first decimal reduction) and *p* [-] are parameters related to the scale and shape of the inactivation curve, respectively. The Weibull distribution corresponds to a concave upward survival curve if p<1 and concave downward if p>1 (Van Boekel, 2002).

The numerical values of  $\delta$  and p were used to calculate a desired log reduction. The time required to obtain an x log reduction ( $t_{xd}$ ) was calculated using equation 2. For this case study x was equal to 5, following the regulation of USFDA for a minimum 5-log reduction in the juice being processed (USFDA 2001).

238

239 
$$t_{xd} = \delta \times (x)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
(2)

#### **3. Results**

# 241 *3.1 Effect of ozone inactivation of E. coli in model orange juice*

Ozone inactivation of both *E. coli* strains in model orange juice was rapid in this low pH medium. Ozone treatment at the optimum flow rate of 0.12L min<sup>-1</sup> with an ozone concentration of 75-78 $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a 6.0 log cycle reduction within 60 seconds.

245

# 246 *3.2 Effect of ozone on inactivation of E. coli in orange juice*

The Weibull parameters  $\delta$  and p are shown in Table 1. In the present study, the shape parameter p showed downward concavity for both E. *coli* strains (Fig. 2 and 3). The inactivation of E. *coli* in orange juice was fitted using the Weibull model, which provided estimations of microbial inactivation in terms of processing time required. The R<sup>2</sup> values of 0.93 and above (Table 1) show that the Weibull model was a good fit for the experimental data analysed. p values >1 indicate the susceptibility of the remaining cells to the treatment (van Boekel, 2002). 254 The efficacy of ozone was found to depend both on the juice type and the bacterial strain 255 (statistical indices of p< 0.05). Both strains of E. coli studied (E. coli ATCC 25922, E. 256 *coli* NCTC 12900) were sensitive to ozone (p<0.05). In unfiltered juice, ATCC 25922 257 and NCTC 12900 were completely inactivated after 18 and 15 min respectively (Fig. 2 258 and 3) as determined on TSA and SMAC. However, ozone treatment of ATCC 25922 in 259 orange juice without pulp and juice passed through the 500µm sieve, resulted in complete 260 inactivation within 5 min (Fig.2). The population of *E. coli* 25922 in juice passed through 261 sieve of 1mm diameter decreased by 6.0 log cycles in 6 min treatment time (Fig.2). 262 Similarly, ozone treatment of NCTC 12900 in orange juice without pulp and juice passed 263 through the 500µm sieve resulted in complete inactivation in 5 and 6 min, respectively 264 (Fig.3). NCTC 12900 decreased by 4.6 and 6.0 log cycles after 6 min treatment time in 265 juice passed through the 1mm sieve as determined on TSA and SMAC, respectively.

The  $t_{5d}(t_{5d})$  - the time required for a 5 log reduction) for both *E. coli* strains in the different juice types are shown in Table 1. The  $t_{5d}$  values were lower as the amount of pulp present in the orange juice decreased (p<0.05). The inactivation of *E. coli* strains in unfiltered juice showed higher  $t_{5d}$  values compared to the other juice types. *3.3 Effect of acid exposure on treatment efficacy* 

The effect of acid exposure on ozone treatment efficacy was evaluated in orange juice passed through a 1mm sieve. Ozone inactivation curves for acid-exposed *E. coli* cells at the different acid exposure conditions are shown in Figure 4. For acid exposed *E. coli* strains the shape parameter p showed downward concavity. The p values for 1h acid exposed cells were lower by comparison with both the 18h acid exposed and control populations (Table 2), indicating a lower susceptibility to the treatment with a shortperiod of acid adaptation.

278 Ozone treatment of 1h acid exposed E. coli ATCC 25922 resulted in a reduction of 4.8 279 and 5.5 log cycles after 7 min treatment time on TSA and SMAC, respectively. However, 280 ozone treatment of 1h acid exposed E. coli NCTC 12900 reduced an initial count of log 6.28 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> to below detectable levels after 7 min treatment time on TSA and SMAC, 281 282 respectively. However, with the 18h acid exposed cells, populations of E. coli ATCC 283 25922 and E. coli NCTC 12900 were decreased by 6.0 and 5.3 log cycles respectively 284 within 7 min as determined by using TSA. Similar trends were observed using SMAC 285 where 18 h acid exposed E. coli ATCC 25922 and E. coli NCTC 12900 were decreased 286 by 5.8 and 5.1 log cycles, respectively. The  $t_{5d}$  values of the acid exposed E. coli strains 287 are shown in Table 2. There was a strain difference observed between acid exposed and 288 control populations. The estimated time for a 5 log reduction of control (non-acid 289 exposed) E. coli NCTC 12900, was 6.14 min, while the estimate for the generic strain E. 290 *coli* ATCC 25922 was 5.62 min. When the strains were subjected to a 1h acid exposure, 291 the estimated time required for a 5 log cycle reduction in E. coli ATCC 25922 increased 292 to 6.46 min, while there was no similar increase for E. coli NCTC 12900. Conversely, 293 following 18h acid exposure, the estimated time required for a 5 log cycle reduction in E. 294 coli NCTC 12900 increased to 6.84 min, while the estimated time for E. coli ATCC 295 25922 was similar to that recorded for the control cells. However, there was a significant 296 difference observed for E. coli ATCC 25922 between 1-h acid exposed population 297 compared to the control and 18-h acid exposed population (p>0.05); whereas there was no significant difference observed between control population of *E. coli* NCTC 12900
and those exposed to acid conditions for 1h or 18 h.

300

# 301 4. Discussion

302 The direct application of ozone was found to be effective for the inactivation or reduction 303 of E. coli in orange juice (Figures 2, 3 and 4), but the rate was dependent on the juice 304 type used. In the present study inactivation in unfiltered juice was achieved after 15-18 305 min treatment time by comparison with significantly shorter inactivation times within 306 model orange juice or juice with low pulp content. This could be ascribed to the organic 307 compounds such as sugars, fibres, ascorbic acid, present in orange juice which could 308 affect the dissolution rate of ozone in the system, thereby reducing the ozone level 309 available for inactivation of *E. coli* cells. The organic load present within the medium is 310 known to decrease the effectiveness of ozone for the inactivation of microorganisms. 311 Williams, Sumner and Golden (2005), observed a reduced efficacy of ozonation for 312 inactivation of E. coli in orange juice in the presence of ascorbic acid and organic matter 313 and Mielcke and Ried (2004), also reported that a high and persistent level of organic 314 substances will have a negative impact on the ozone disinfection rate. The effectiveness 315 of ozone against microorganisms depends not only on the amount applied, but also on the 316 residual ozone in the medium, various environmental factors such as medium pH, 317 temperature, humidity, additives (surfactants, sugars, etc.), and the amount of organic 318 matter surrounding the cells (Pascual, Liorca & Canut, 2007). The focus of this study was 319 to evaluate the impact of organic matter during ozone processing. However, the effect of 320 residual ozone for the specific flow rate and ozone concentration levels employed was

evaluated in apple juice, where non-significant microbial reduction was observed (Datanot shown).

323 The type of organic material may impact ozone efficacy more than the amount of organic 324 material present (Restaino, Frampton, Hemphill & Palnikar, 1995). This is in agreement 325 with Guzel-seydim, Bever and Greene (2004), who observed that the presence of food 326 components such as caseinate in whipping cream provided a high level of protection to 327 the bacterial populations against ozone treatment, whereas locust bean gum resulted in an 328 intermediate level of protection. In the present study, fast inactivation rates were achieved 329 in the model orange juice and the filtered juices which may be attributed to the absence of 330 high ozone demanding substances. Komanapalli and Lau (1998) found that the cidal 331 activity of ozone was greatly affected by the dose applied, the presence of ozone-332 quenching proteins, and the type of challenge microorganisms. Williams et al., (2004) 333 reported E. coli O157:H7 was inactivated in orange juice after a 75 min ozone treatment 334 applied at ambient temperature, while in the present study faster inactivation rates within 335 a period of 6 to 18 min were achieved. The possible reason for this could be the different ozone system as well as the different control parameters (i.e., flow rate of of 2.4 L min<sup>-1</sup> 336 and ozone concentration of  $0.9g h^{-1}$ ) that were used for the Williams et al., (2004) 337 338 inactivation studies. In the present study, a previously optimized ozone flow rate was 339 used which was lower than that employed by Williams et al., (2004). Flow rate was 340 previously determined to be a critical factor, at high flow rates a small number of large 341 bubbles are produced, which rise to the liquid surface quickly, thereby escaping the 342 medium quickly. The resulting poor gas dissolution reduces the contact time, leading to a 343 lower inactivation rate (Patil et al., 2009). The antibacterial efficacy of ozone was greater

344 when target microorganisms were suspended in pure water or simple buffers than in 345 complex systems (Khadre, Yousef & Kim, 2001). The mechanism for inactivation of 346 microorganisms by ozone is due to its high oxidation-reduction potential. Ozone is 347 capable of oxidizing the constituent elements of microbial cell walls before penetrating 348 inside the organism and oxidizing certain essential components such as unsaturated 349 lipids, proteins, enzymes and nucleic acids. When a large part of the membrane barrier is 350 destroyed, it causes lysis and leakage of bacterial cells and results in their immediate 351 destruction (Muthukumarappan, O'Donnell & Cullen, 2008). Decreasing pH and 352 temperature are associated with increasing stability of ozone molecules (Kim et al., 353 1999). Tiwari, O'Donnell, Muthukumarappan & Cullen (2009) recently studied the 354 effects of ozone on quality and nutritional parameters for a range of fruit juices, 355 highlighting significant losses in nutritional quality which were dependent on ozone 356 control parameters of ozone concentration and gas flow rate. However, achieving rapid 357 microbial inactivation using optimised control parameters may mitigate losses in 358 nutritional quality.

359 When microorganisms are stressed, an adaptive response may follow which can 360 increase the organisms' tolerance to the same or to a different type of stress (Yousef & 361 Courtney, 2003). Many bacteria react to stress by inducing the synthesis of various 362 proteins (Herendeen, Vanbogelen & Neidhardt, 1979; Jones & Inouye, 1994). Buchanan 363 and Edelson (1999), reported a cross protective effect of acid shocking and acid 364 adaptation of enterohaemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) against heat or other stresses but also 365 observed that the determination of survival of EHEC in acidic foods should consider the 366 strain and its ability to induce stress responses. The resistance or adaptation of

367 microorganisms to acid conditions can have implications for food safety. Additionally, 368 Johnson (2003) observed that challenge studies in food systems are required to 369 adequately assess growth or survival of pathogens. The acid adaptation responses of food 370 borne pathogens were previously examined at different pH conditions and pH 5.0-5.5 371 lead to the highest level of acid resistance for E. coli O157:H7 (Koutsoumanis & Sofos, 372 2004). In this study both E. coli strains were subjected to acid exposure at pH 5.0 to 373 examine the effect of prior acid exposure on the efficacy of ozone treatment in orange 374 juice. Increased inactivation time of acid exposed E. coli cells of both strains to ozone 375 treatment over the control cells was observed in the present study. The  $t_{5d}$  values of acid 376 exposed E. coli cells were higher than the  $t_{5d}$  values of control cells in some cases. Acid 377 exposure of E. coli ATCC 25922 for 1h and longer acid exposure (18h) for NCTC 12900 378 resulted in increased acid resistance, potentially giving a cross - protective effect against 379 ozone treatment. Treatment of E. coli O157:H7 with acid has been reported to increase 380 acid resistance after exposure to moderate acid environments (Kroll & Patchett, 1992; 381 Leyer, Wang & Johnson, 1995) and was also shown to confer cross resistance to salt and 382 heat (Rowe & Kirk, 1999). In beef processing, prior acid adaptation negatively 383 influenced the efficacy of a 2% acetic acid decontamination treatment for reduction of E. 384 coli O157:H7 on carcasses (Berry & Cutter, 2000) and acid adaptation prolonged the 385 survival of E. coli O157:H7 in various food systems, including apple cider, sausages 386 (Lever et al, 1995) and acid fruit juice (Hsin-Yi & Chou, 2001).

Acid habituation of pathogens may enhance survival in acidic food (e.g. fruit juice) or in the stomach and subsequently cause infection after ingestion (Goodson & Rowbury, 1989). In an environment with changing pH, acid sensitive *E. coli* O157

390 cultures can become acid-resistant within 17 min (de Jonge, Takumi, Ritmeester & van 391 Leusden, 2003). Acid resistance and survival of pathogens have significant implications 392 for food safety and the virulence of pathogenic microorganisms and the ability of non-393 acid adapted E. coli O157 to adapt within a very short period under extreme conditions 394 further contribute to their virulence (Beales, 2004). Our results also showed that the 395 extent of increased acid resistance varied with the strain and acid exposure conditions. 396 When E. coli ATCC 25922 was acid exposed for 1 h, an increased resistance to ozone 397 treatment was observed. In the case of E. coli NCTC 12900 only the longer acid exposure 398 time (18h) showed an increased  $t_{5d}$  value compared to the control cells. However, while 399 increased resistance of acid stressed E. coli cells to ozone treatment was observed, 5 log 400 cycle reductions in populations were still achieved in less than 7 min. Buchanan, Edelson 401 and Boyd (1999) also reported that while pH during exposure had little effect on survival 402 of E. coli O157:H7, acid-resistance consistently enhanced radiation resistance. 403 Therefore, acid resistance should be considered when determining  $t_{5d}$  values in foods. 404 Additional studies could be conducted in order to further elucidate the role of strains and 405 stress exposure time on the inactivation efficacy of direct ozone treatments. Such studies 406 could include comparison of the behaviour of acid-stressed E. coli strains with that of 407 unadapted control cells in orange juice, through measurement of the in vivo expression of 408 stress-related genes.

409 **5.** Conclusions

This work has shown that direct ozone treatment can be used to inactivate *E. coli* in orange juice. The efficacy of ozone treatment was found to be a function of juice type, strain of *E. coli* and duration of acid exposure conditions. Inactivation times for a 5 log

413	cycle reduction ranged between 60 sec and 18 min. Therefore ozone treatment could be
414	used as a potential alternative to traditional thermal pasteurization for control of E. coli
415	populations as a safety issue in fresh orange juice.

#### 416 Acknowledgement

- 417 Funding for this research was provided under the National Development Plan 2000-2006,
- 418 through the Food Institutional Research Measure, administered by the Department of
- 419 Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, Ireland.

#### 420 References

421 Abeysinghe, D., Li, X., Sun, C., Zhang, W., Zhou, C., & Chen, K. (2007). Bioactive

422 compounds and antioxidant capacities in different edible tissues of citrus fruit of four 422 E = 104(4) 1229 1244

- 423 species. Food Chemistry, 104(4), 1338-1344.
- Basak, S.,& Ramaswamy, H. S. (1996). Ultra high pressure treatment of orange juice: A
  kinetic study on inactivation of pectin methyl esterase. *Food Research International*,
  29(7), 601-607.
- 427 Beales, N. (2004). Adaptation of microorganisms to cold temperatures, weak acid
- 428 preservatives, low pH, and osmotic stress: a review. Comprehensive Reviews in Food
- 429 *Science and Food Safety*, 3 (1), 1–20.
- 430 Berry, E. D., & Cutter, C. N. (2000). Effects of acid adaptation of *Escherichia coli* O157:
- 431 H7 on efficacy of acetic acid spray washes to decontaminate beef carcass tissue. Applied
- 432 and Environmental Microbiology, 66, 1493-1498.
- 433 Bignon, J. (1997). Cold pasteurizers Hyperbar for the stabilization of fresh fruit juices.
- 434 *Fruit processing*, 6(2), 46-48.

- 435 Boyce, T. G., Swerdlow, D. L., & Griffin, P.M. (1995). Escherichia coli O157:H7 and
- 436 the haemolytic uremic syndrome. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 333, 364-368.
- 437 Buchanan, R., & Edelson, S. (1999). pH-dependent, stationary phase acid resistance
- 438 response of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in the presence of various acidulants.
- 439 Journal of Food Protection, 62, 211-218.
- 440 Buchanan, R., Edelson, S. G., & Boyd, G. (1999). Effects of pH and acid resistance on
- the radiation resistance of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Food *Protection*, 62, 219-228.
- 443 Burleson, G. R., Murray, T., M., & Pollard, M. (1975). Inactivation of viruses and
- 444 bacteria by ozone with and without sonication. *Applied Microbiology*, 29, 340-344.
- 445 Byun, M., Kwon, O., Yook, H., & Kim, K. (1998). Gamma irradiation and ozone
- treatment for inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in culture media. *Journal of Food*
- 447 *Protection*, 61, 728-730.
- 448 CDC (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention). (1996). Outbreak of Escherichia coli
- 449 O157:H7 infections associated with drinking commercial unpasteurised apple juice-
- 450 British, Colombia, California, Colorado, and Washington, October 1996. Morbidity
- 451 Mortality Weekly Report, 45, 975.
- 452 CDC (Centres for Disease Control and Prevention). (1999). Outbreak of Salmonella
- 453 serotype Muenchen infections associated with unpasteurised orange juice-United states
- 454 and Canada, June 1999. Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report, 48, 582-585. Available at
- 455 http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm4827a2.htm

- 456 Cheng, H.Y., Yu, R., C., & Chou, C.C. (2003). Increased acid tolerance of Escherichia
- 457 *coli* O157:H7 as affected by acid adaptation time and conditions of acid challenge. *Food*
- 458 Research International, 36, 49-56.
- 459 De Jonge, R., Takumi, K., Ritmeester, W, S., & van Leusden, F, M. (2003). The adaptive
- 460 response of Escherichia coli O157 in an environment with changing pH. Journal of
- 461 *Applied Microbiology*, 150, 348-357.
- 462 Esteve M.J., & Frígola A. (2007). Refrigerated fruit juices: quality and safety issues.
- 463 Advances in Food Nutrition Research, 52,103-39
- 464 FDA. (2004). FDA Guidance to Industry, 2004: Recommendations to Processors of
- 465 Apple Juice or Cider on the Use of Ozone for Pathogen Reduction Purposes.
- 466 Available online <a href="http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/juicgu13.html">http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/juicgu13.html</a>.
- 467 Geeraerd, A.H., Valdramidis, V. P., & Van Impe, J.F. (2005). GInaFit, a freeware tool to
- 468 assess non-log-linear microbial survivor curves. *International Journal of Food*469 *Microbiology*, 102, 95-105.
- 470 Goodson, M., & Rowbury, R, J. (1989). Habituation to normally lethal acidity by prior
- 471 growth of *Escherichia coli* at a sub-lethal acid pH value. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*,
- 472 8, 77-79.
- 473 Guzel-Seydim, Z., Bever, P., & Greene, A.K. (2004). Efficacy of ozone to reduce
  474 bacterial populations in the presence of food components. *Food Microbiology*, 21, 475475 479.
- 476 Herendeen, S. L., Vanbogelen, R. A., & Neidhardt, F. F. (1979). Levels of major proteins
- 477 of *Escherichia coli* during growth at different temperatures. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 139,
  478 185-194.

- 479 Hsin-Yi, C., & Chou, C. C. (2001). Acid adaptation and temperature effect on the
- 480 survival of *E-coli* O157: H7 in acidic fruit juice and lactic fermented milk product.

481 International Journal of Food Microbiology, 70, 189-195.

- 482 Hunt, N.K., & Marinas, B.J. (1997). Kinetics of *Escherichia coli* inactivation with ozone.
- 483 *Water Research*, 31, 1355-1362.
- 484 Johnson, E. A. (2003). Microbial adaptation and survival in foods. In Yousef and Juneja,
- 485 *Microbial Stress adaptation and Food Safety*. (pp 84-85). CRC press.
- 486 Jones, P. G., & Inouye, M. (1994). The cold shock response- a hot topic. Molecular
- 487 *Microbiology*, 11, 811-818.
- 488 Khadre, M.A., Yousef, A.E., & Kim, J. G. (2001). Microbiological aspects of ozone.
- 489 Applications in food: a review. *Journal of Food Science*, 66, 1242-1252.
- 490 Kim, J.G., Yousef, A.E., & Dave, S. (1999). Application of ozone for enhancing the
- 491 microbiological safety and quality of foods: A review. Journal of Food Protection, 62(9),

492 1071–1087.

- 493 Komanapalli, I. R., & Lau, B. H. S. (1998). Inactivation of bacteriophage  $\lambda$ , *Escherichia*
- 494 *coli* and *Candida albicans* by ozone. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology*, 49, 766-769.
- 495 Koutsoumanis, K. P., & Sofos, J. N. (2004). Comparative acid stress response of Listeria
- 496 monocytogenes, Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Salmonella typhimurium after habituation
- 497 at different pH conditions. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 38, 321-326.
- 498 Kroll, R. G., & Patchett, R. A. (1992). Induced acid tolerance in *Listeria monocytogenes*.
- 499 *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 14(5), 224-227.

- 500 Leyer, G. J., Wang, L. L., & Johnson, E. A. (1995). Acid adaptation of Escherichia coli
- 501 O157:H7 increases survival in acidic foods. Applied and Environmental Microbiology,
- 502 61, 3752-3755.
- 503 Mielcke, J., & Ried, A. (2004). Current state of application of ozone and UV for food
- 504 processing. In proceedings of the food protection international conference 2004. Monte
- 505 de Caparica, Portugal, 20-22 May 2004.
- 506 Muthukumarappan K., O'Donnell, C., & Cullen, P. J. (2008). Ozone utilization.
- 507 Encyclopedia of Agricultural, Food, and Biological Engineering, 1-4.
- 508 Pascual, A., Liorca, I.,& Canut, A. (2007). Use of ozone in food industries for reducing
- 509 the environmental impact of cleaning and disinfection activities. Trends in Food Science
- 510 and Technology, 18, S29-S35.
- 511 Patil, S., Cullen, P. J., Kelly, B., Frias, J. M., & Bourke, P. (2009). Extrinsic control
- 512 parameters for ozone inactivation of *Escherichia coli* using ozone bubble column.
- 513 Journal of Applied Microbiology, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04255.x.
- 514 Restaino, L., Frampton, E. W., Hemphill, J. B., & Palnikar, P. (1995). Efficacy of
- 515 ozonated water against various food-related microorganisms. Applied and Environmental
- 516 *Microbiology*, 61(9), 3471–3475.
- 517 Rowe, M. T., & Kirk, R. (1999). An investigation into the phenomenon of cross-
- 518 protection in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Food Microbiology*, 16, 157-164.
- 519 Shinoda, Y., Murata, M., Homma, S., & Komura, H. (2004). Browning and decomposed
- 520 products of model orange juice. Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry, 68, 529-
- 521 536.

- 522 Tiwari, B. K., O' Donnell, C. P., Muthukumarappan, K., & Cullen, P.J. (2009)
- 523 Anthocyanin and colour degradation in ozone treated blackberry juice. Innovative Food
- 524 Science and Emerging Technologies, 10, 70-75.
- 525 United States Food and Drug Administration (USFDA). (2001). Hazard analysis and
- 526 critical point (HACCP); procedures for the safe and sanitary processing and importing of
- 527 juice; final rule. *Federal Register*, 66, 6137-6202.
- 528 van Boekel, M. A. J. S. (2002) On the use of the Weibull model to describe thermal
- 529 inactivation of microbial vegetative cells. International Journal of Food Microbiology,
- 530 74 (1-2), 139-159.
- 531 Vojdani, J., Beuchat, L., & Tauxe, R. (2008). Juice-associated outbreaks of human illness
- in the United States, 1995 through 2005. *Journal of Food protection*, 71(2), 356-364.
- 533 Williams, R., Sumner, S., & Golden, D. (2005). Inactivation of Escherichia coli O157:H7
- and Salmonella in apple cider and orange juice treated with combinations of ozone,
- 535 dimethyl dicarbonate, and hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of Food Science*, 70(4), 197-201.
- 536 Williams, R., Sumner, S., & Golden, D. (2004). Survival of Escherichia coli O157:H7
- 537 and Salmonella in apple cider and orange juice as affected by ozone and treatment
- temperature. *Journal of Food Protection*, 67(11), 2381-2386.
- Yousef, A. E., & Courtney, P. (2003). Basics of stress adaptation and implications in
  new-generation foods. In Yousef and Juneja, *Microbial Stress adaptation and Food Safety*. (pp-1-30). CRC press.
- 542
- 543
- 544

546

547

548	Figure	Captions
-----	--------	----------

- 549 Figure 1: Schematics of Ozone generator
- 550 Figure 2: Microbial survival curve of Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 for the different
- orange juice types. Curves are fitted using the Weibull model.
- 552 Figure 3: Microbial survival curve of *Escherichia coli* NCTC 12900 for the different
- 553 orange juice types. Curves are fitted using the Weibull model.
- 554 Figure 4: Microbial survival curve of acid exposed *Escherichia coli* strains of the reduced
- 555 pulp orange juice (1mm sieve size).
- 556 . Curves are fitted using the Weibull model.
- a) 1h acid exposed *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922
- b) 18h acid exposed *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922
- c) 1h acid exposed *Escherichia coli* NCTC 12900
- d) 18h acid exposed *Escherichia coli* NCTC 12900

561

- 563
- 564
- 565
- 566

567 Table 1: Parameters of the Weibull model and the time required to reach a 5 log reduction for *Escherichia coli* strains in orange juice

after treatment with ozone (Different letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between each juice type for each strain

and between both strains for each juice type.)

Microorganism	Juice type	Condition	$\delta$ (min) ± SE	$p \pm SE$	$R^2$	$t_{5d}$ (min)
E.coli ATCC 25922	Unfiltered	control	$1.58^{a}\pm0.84$	$0.80^{\rm f} \pm 0.16$	0.96	11.86 <sup>k</sup>
	1mm sieve	control	$3.28^{b}\pm0.33$	$2.98^{g}\pm0.49$	0.98	$5.62^{1}$
	500 µm sieve	control	$2.77^{c} \pm 0.39$	3.14 <sup>g</sup> ±0.73	0.97	4.63 <sup>m</sup>
	Without pulp	control	$2.91^{d} \pm 0.35$	$3.26^{g}\pm0.69$	0.98	$4.76^{m}$
E.coli NCTC 12900	Unfiltered 1mm sieve 500 um sieve	control control	$2.55^{ea} \pm 0.91$ $3.24^{eb} \pm 0.43$ $3.12^{e} \pm 0.26$	$\frac{1.08^{\text{hf}} \pm 0.21}{2.52^{\text{ig}} \pm 0.52}$ 2.81 <sup>j</sup> +0.35	0.98 0.97 0.98	$11.30^{nk}$ 6.14 <sup>ol</sup> 5.53 <sup>p</sup>
	Without pulp	control	$3.41^{\circ}\pm0.55$	$4.44^{j} \pm 1.81$	0.93	4.90 <sup>pm</sup>

570 \* SE- standard error

571 Table 2: Parameters of the Weibull model and the time required to reach a 5 log reduction for acid exposed *Escherichia coli* strains in

572 orange juice after treatment with ozone (\* Different letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between each juice type

573 for each strain and between both strains for each juice type.)

Microorganism	Juice type	Condition	$\delta$ (min) ± SE	$p \pm SE$	$R^2$	$t_{5d}$ (min)
E.coli ATCC 25922						
	1mm sieve	Control	$3.28^{a} \pm 0.33$	$2.98^{d} \pm 0.49$	0.98	5.62 <sup>h</sup>
	1mm sieve	1h acid adapatation	$2.41^{b} \pm 0.52$	$1.63^{e} \pm 0.31$	0.97	$6.46^{i}$
	1mm sieve	18 h acid adaptation	$3.08^{a}\pm0.20$	$2.63^{f} \pm 0.25$	0.99	5.67 <sup>h</sup>
E.coli NCTC 12900						
	1mm sieve	Control	$3.24^{ca} \pm 0.43$	$2.52^{gd} \pm 0.52$	0.97	6.14 <sup>jh</sup>
	1mm sieve	1h acid adapatation	$2.49^{\text{cb}} \pm 0.36$	$1.81^{ge} \pm 0.25$	0.98	$6.06^{ji}$
	1mm sieve	18 h acid adaptation	$3.47^{ca} \pm 0.37$	$2.37^{gf} \pm 0.35$	0.98	6.84 <sup>j</sup>

574 \* SE- standard error











- **Figure 3**





**Figure 4** 

- -