

Technological University Dublin ARROW@TU Dublin

Articles

NanoLab

2012-05-15

Polyamidoamine Dendrimer Nanoparticle Cytotoxicity, Oxidative Stress, Caspase Activation and Infammatory Response: Experimental Observation and Numerical Simulation

Sourav Prasanna Mukherjee Technological University Dublin, sourav.mukherjee@tudublin.ie

Hugh Byrne Technological University Dublin, hugh.byrne@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/nanolart

Part of the Medical Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Mukherjee, S.P., Byrne, H.J., 2012. Polyamidoamine dendrimer nanoparticle cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, caspases activation and infammatory response: experimental observation and numerical simulation. Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine, 9, 202-211 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.nano.2012.05.002

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the NanoLab at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

Funder: This work was conducted under the framework of the INSPIRE programme, funded by the Irish Government's Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions, Cycle 4, National Development Plan 2007-2013, supported by the European Union Structural Fund.



Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx NANO-00638; No of Pages 10

nanomedjournal.com

Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine

Original Article

Polyamidoamine dendrimer nanoparticle cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, caspase activation and inflammatory response: experimental observation and numerical simulation

Sourav Prasanna Mukherjee, PhD^{a,*}, Hugh J. Byrne, PhD^b

^aCentre for Radiation and Environmental Science (RESC), Focas Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin, Ireland ^bFocas Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin, Ireland

Received 9 November 2011; accepted 7 May 2012

9 Abstract

2

3

4

6

8

O15

Mechanisms underlying the in vitro cytotoxicity of Polyamidoamine nano-dendrimers in human keratinocytes are explored. Previous 10 studies demonstrated a systematic, dendrimer-generation-dependent cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, and genotoxicity. The emerging picture is 11 of dendrimer endocytosis, endosomal rupture and subsequent mitochondrial attack and cell death. To understand the underlying mechanisms, 12the evolution of reactive oxygen species, intracellular glutathione, caspase activation, mitochondrial membrane potential decay, and 13 inflammatory responses have been examined. Early-stage responses are associated with endosomal encapsulation, later-stage with 14 15 mitochondrial attack. In all cases, the magnitude and evolution of responses depend on dendrimer generation and dose. The early-stage 16 response is modelled using a rate equation approach, qualitatively reproducing the time, dose and generation dependences, using only two 17variable parameters. The dependence of the response on the nanoparticle physicochemical properties can thus be separated from internal 18 cellular parameters, and responses can be quantified in terms of rate constants rather than commonly employed effective concentrations. © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 19

20 Key words: Polyamidoamine Dendrimer; Molecular mechanism of cytotoxicity; Rate equation model; Numerical simulation

21

The rapid advance of nanotechnology has rendered it 22imperative that possible hazardous effects of nanomaterials on 23humans and the environment are elucidated. Nanoparticles (NPs) 24 with different chemical composition and size have been shown to 25induce different levels of injury to cells and organisms, and thus 26a fundamental understanding of the mechanisms of their 27interaction is critical.¹ In vitro studies have demonstrated that 28the generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) by 29NPs is a key to their toxicity by triggering different cell-death 30 pathways, including cytokine² and caspase-activation³ and 31 nuclear-DNA damage.4 32

To elucidate the mechanisms underlying toxic responses and establish structure-activity-relationships, NPs of well-defined physicochemical properties that are systematically variable and elicit systematically variable cellular responses can play a key role. PAMAM dendrimers are widely explored, commercially available NPs of well-defined structure.⁵⁻⁹ They have a 2-carbon ethylenediamine core with terminal amidoamines attached,

*Corresponding author: RESC, Focas Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin 8, Ireland.

yielding a highly branched radial structure having tertiary- 40 amine branches and primary surface amino-groups. The diameter 41 and number of surface amino-groups increases systematically 42 with increasing generation.⁵ 43

PAMAM dendrimers have been proposed for a range of 44 biomedical applications, from MRI contrast agents, ¹⁰ to targeted 45 delivery of drugs, ¹¹ DNA, ¹² and siRNA, ¹⁴ However, they have 46 been reported to be toxic to mammalian cell lines⁵⁻⁹ and aquatic 47 species.⁷ The polar surface amino groups impart an effective 48 cationic charge, and endocytosis leads to oxidative-stress, 49 mitochondrial and DNA damage, and ultimately apoptosis.⁵⁻⁹ 50 PAMAM dendrimers have also been reported to activate 51 expression of different cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor 52 (TNF- α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and macrophage inflammatory 53 protein-2 (MIP-2), in a mouse macrophage cell line.⁸ Previous 54 studies have demonstrated the cytotoxicological responses to 55 these species to vary systematically with increasing dendrimer 56 generation and therefore number of surface amino groups.^{5,6,8} A 57 similar systematic response was observed for the generation of 58 ROS, onset of apoptosis, and levels of DNA damage.⁶ The 59 mechanism of the toxic response has been at least partially 60 elucidated, based on standard cytotoxicity assays including 61 MTT, AB, and NR and microscopic co-localization studies.^{6,15} 62

Please cite this article as: S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne, Polyamidoamine Dendrimer Nanoparticle Cytotoxicity, Oxidative Stress, Caspase Activation and Inflammatory Response: Experimental Observation and Numerical Simulation. *Nanomedicine: NBM* 2012;xx:1-10, doi:10.1016/j.nano.2012.05.002

No conflict of interest was reported by the authors of this article.

E-mail address: sourav.mukherjee@dit.ie (S.P. Mukherjee).

^{1549-9634/\$ –} see front matter @ 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2012.05.002

The mechanistic model which has emerged is one of 63 endocytosis, oxidative stress, endosomal rupture through the 64 proton-sponge effect, followed by mitochondrial damage and the 65 onset of apoptosis.^{6,16} The use of amine groups to induce 66 endosomolytic behavior is a well-established strategy in drug 67 delivery,¹⁷ and the endosomolytic activity observed for 68 PAMAM dendrimers is consistent with this. The cellular toxicity 69 thus has primarily two phases; an early-stage, in which oxidative 70 stress is primarily due to the presence of particles in endosomes, 71 and a later stage, in which the particles migrate to the 72mitochondria, generating further oxidative-stress.^{6,9} However, 73 the toxicity of a specific NP to different cell lines can differ, due 74 to the differences in intracellular constituent levels.⁶ Under-75 standing the metabolic pathways in the target cell, and their dose 76 and time dependencies, is therefore critical to understanding the 77 toxic responses in vitro, and ultimately in vivo. 78

In this study, the mechanism of PAMAM toxicity to the 79 human keratinocyte, HaCaT, cell line is further explored. ROS 80 production upon exposure to different PAMAM generations and 81 doses is monitored as a function of time. Intracellular levels of 82 the antioxidant glutathione (GSH), representative of the natural 83 cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms, are also monitored. 84 Changes in caspase-8 and caspase-3 activity, mitochondrial 85 86 membrane potential (MMP) and TNF- α , IL-8 expression, over 87 an exposure period of 24 hours are also explored. Based on the observations, potential underlying pathways for the early- and 88 late-stage cellular responses are proposed. 89

The early-stage responses are visualized with the aid of a 90 phenomenological rate-equation model, which qualitatively 91 reproduces the generation and dose dependence of the sequence 92 of events. It is highlighted that, although simplistic, such a rate-93 equation approach is a valuable tool in visualizing and 94 elucidating cellular responses. It identifies response rates as 95 critical parameters in determining toxicity and potentially a more 96 reliable route towards quantitative structure-activity relation-97 ships than commonly employed cytotoxicological endpoints. 98

99 Methods

Commercially available PAMAM dendrimers of generation 100 4 (G4), 5 (G5) and 6 (G6) were used in this study. The 101 nominal diameters of the PAMAM G4, G5, and G6 dendrimers 102are 4.5, 5.4, and 6.7 nm respectively.⁵ Full physicochemical 103 characterization has been reported.⁵ All studies were performed 104 using HaCaT cells. Assays were performed to evaluate ROS 105generation, GSH depletion, caspase-8 and 3 activation, 106mitochondrial membrane potential decay (MMPD), and TNF-107 α and IL-8 expression upon PAMAM exposure at different 108 doses and time points. A detailed description of the materials 109 used and experimental methods is given in the Supplementary 110 Material available online at http://www.nanomedjournal.com. 111

112 *Experimental results*

113 *ROS measurement*

The generation of ROS shows a complex behavior as a function of time and dose for all PAMAM dendrimer generations, although the response is somewhat systematic as a function of generation. As a function of exposure time, a 117 biphasic response is observed over a 24-hour time period at 118 lower concentrations of PAMAM, as shown in Figure S1. At a 119 fixed time point, the increase in ROS levels has been shown to 120 increase monotonically with generation (Figure S1, C),⁸ and 121 when expressed in terms of molar concentration of surface NH₂ 122 groups, the dose dependences of ROS for the different 123 generations are overlaid.¹³

For PAMAM G6, an initial or early-stage maximum in 125 ROS levels is observed after ~1 hour, for doses of 0.5 μ M to 126 1.16 μ M, whereas for higher doses (1.3 μ M and 2.23 μ M), the 127 maximum is observed at ~0.5-hour exposure (Figure 1, *A*). At 128 ~4 hours, exposure at all concentrations results in a reduction of 129 the ROS levels below those of the control, whereas a later 130 increase in the ROS levels is observed at ~24 hours for doses of 131 0.5 μ M and 1 μ M.

A similar behavior is observed for exposure to PAMAM G5, 133 although the early-stage maximum for the lower doses has 134 shifted toward the longer time of ~ 2 hours (Figure S1, *A*). This 135 trend is continued for G4, all but the highest exposure 136 concentration exhibiting a maximum response after ~ 3 hours 137 (Figure S1, *B*). After ~ 24 hours, only the lowest dose exposure 138 results in ROS levels above the control, all others being 139 significantly quenched in comparison with the control levels. 140

At a concentration of 1µM, the maximum amount of ROS 141 was produced after a ~ 24-hour exposure, the levels increasing 142 with increasing generation of PAMAM (G4<G5<G6). Notably, 143 this concentration is close to the EC₅₀ of G5 and G6, as 144 previously determined in HaCaT cells using MTT assay (Table 145 S1), and at this concentration, increased lysosomal activity in 146 comparison with control after ~24-hour exposure was also 147 observed.⁶ It was found that, at this concentration, in early stages 148 after exposure (e.g., 1 hour), ROS levels increased linearly with 149 number of surface amino groups per generation, as shown in 150 Figure S1, *C*. With increasing doses, for all generations, after 151 initial increase in ROS levels, the levels are seen to be reduced 152 significantly below those of negative controls and do not recover 153 over a 4-hour period. 152

ROS localization by CFM

Confocal fluorescence microscopy (CFM) demonstrates 156 that early-increased (1 - 2 hour) levels of ROS localized in 157 sacs/vesicles in cytosol (Figure S2, *A*), consistent with previous 158 observations of early-stage trafficking of endocytosed PAMAM 159 dendrimers in endosomes.¹⁵ However, in the later stages 160 (~24 hours), ROS are co-localized in the mitochondria (Figure 161 S2, *B*), potentially indicating that they are generated through 162 differing mechanisms. 163

GSH measurement

In control cells, intracellular GSH level increases approxi- 165 mately linearly as a function of time over 24 hours, as shown in 166 Figure 1, *B*. Such a linear increase is commonly observed when 167 studying in vitro cell cultures.^{18,19} Upon exposure to PAMAM 168 dendrimer solutions at a 1- μ M concentration, initial linear 169 increase in GSH levels follows the trend observed for control, 170 but an abrupt deviation from the levels of controls is observed 171

2

155

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx



Figure 1. (A) ROS generation in HaCaT cells upon different concentrations of PAMAM G6 exposure. (B) GSH depletion upon 1 μ M PAMAM G4, G5, G6 exposure in HaCaT cells as a function of exposure time. The y-axis shows the fluorescence intensity of the ThiolTracker Violet dye measured in the plate reader. The values are represented as arbitrary units (arb. units). (C) Mitochondrial membrane potential decay of HaCaT cells as a function of exposure time to 1 μ M of PAMAM G4, G5, and G6.

within 1 - 5 hours. For G4, the deviation is observed after 4 hours, for G5 3 hours, and for G6 1 hour. Following these timepoints, the degree of reduction of the GSH levels is also seen to be systematic in dendrimer generation (G4<G5<G6).



Figure 2. Expression of different caspases after exposure times of 1 μ M PAMAM G4, G5 and G6- (A) caspase-8, (B) caspase-3.

Caspase-8 and -3 activity

The activity of caspase-8 and 3 was studied at different time 177 points for 1- μ M doses of PAMAM G4, G5, and G6. For both the 178 caspases, a biphasic activity was observed (Figure 2). For 179 PAMAM G4 and G5, an initial or early-stage maximum of 180 caspase-8 levels was observed after ~4 hours' exposure, 181 whereupon a minimum was observed before subsequent increase 182 after 24 hours' exposure (Figure S3, *A*, S3, *B*). For G6, the early- 183 stage maximum was found after ~2 hours' exposure (Figure 184 S3C). Comparing the percentage increase of caspase-8 with 185 control upon exposure to 1 μ M for the different PAMAM 186 generations after 24 hours, a systematic increase is observed 187 (G4<G5<G6).

A similar behavior is observed for the time evolution of 189 caspase-3 levels. For PAMAM G4 and G5, early-stage 190 maximum were observed at ~4 hours' exposure and after an 191 intermediate decrease, a late increase of caspase-3 activity was 192 observed at 24 hours (Figure-S3, A, S3, B). For G6, early-stage 193 increase of caspase-3 activity was observed at ~2 hours' 194 exposure (Figure S3, C). Again, a systematic generation 195 dependence of the percentage increase of caspase-3 levels in 196

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx

197 comparison with control upon $1-\mu M$ exposure after 24 hours is 198 observed (G4<G5<G6). Thus, although, in a similar way to the 199 behaviour of ROS levels, the temporal evolution is complex, a 200 clear systematic variation in the response with dendrimer 201 generation is also evident.

202 Mitochondrial membrane potential decay

Upon exposure to 1-µM solutions of the respective PAMAM 203 204 dendrimer generations over a 24-hour period, the percentage of 205mitochondrial membrane potential decay (MMPD), in comparison with controls, also shows a biphasic response, as shown in 206 Figure 1, C. For all generations, the degree of MMPD increases 207initially within early stages of exposure. It then decreases to a 208 minimum after $\sim 6 - 7$ hours of exposure, after which a further 209 increase is observed up to 24 hours of exposure. The early-stage 210MMPD was observed after $\sim 4-5$ hours for G4 and $\sim 2-3$ 211 hours for G5 and G6. At all time points, percentage of MMPD in 212 comparison with control was seen to vary systematically with 213 dendrimer generation (G4<G5<G6). 214

215 TNF-α and IL8 expression

An upregulation of TNF- α expression that is time, dose, and 216generation dependent was observed. For G4, the maximum 217amount of TNF- α was expressed at a concentration of 3.21 μ M 218 after \sim 6-hour exposure, as shown in Figure S4, A. For G5, the 219maximum amount of TNF- α expression was observed for 1 μ M 220after ~ 4-hour exposure (Figure S4, B). For G6, the maximum 221 222 amount of TNF- α is expressed at 1 μ M after ~4-hour exposure 223 (Figure S4, C). For a 1- μ M dose, maximum percentage of TNF-224 α expression in comparison with the controls increases with increasing PAMAM generation (G4<G5<G6), although the 225maxima occur at different exposure times (Figure 3, A). 226

Over a 24-hour period, IL-8 expression shows a monotonic-227increase for all doses, for all dendrimer generations, and the 228 maximum response was observed after a 24-hour exposure. For 229G4, the maximum amount of IL-8 was expressed at 3.21 μM 230 (Figure S5, A), for G5, at 1μ M (Figure S5, B) and for G6 at 1μ M 231 (Figure S5, C). The percentage of IL-8 expression in comparison 232 with controls increases with increasing generation of PAMAM 233 (G4 \leq G5 \leq G6), as shown for 1- μ M dose in Figure 3, *B*. 234

235 Discussion and numerical simulation

The cellular responses upon exposure to PAMAM dendrimers are a complex function of generation, dose, and time. Figure 4 summarizes the time evolution of the cellular responses for the case of $1-\mu M$ exposure to the G6 dendrimer. The plot indicates a defined sequence of events, and the relative temporal evolutions of the different responses indicate some degree of interdependence of the responses.

Previous studies have demonstrated a two-phase response of cells to exposure to PAMAM dendrimers; early-stage localization in endosomes,¹⁵ followed by a later-stage localization in mitochondria.⁹ These two phases are well visualized in Figure S2, *A* and S2, *B*, whereby, at early stages, the ROS are primarily localized in smaller vesicles assumed to be endosomes, whereas



Figure 3. Inflammatory responses in HaCaT cells upon 1- μ M exposures to all dendrimer generations for different time points (A) TNF- α , (B) IL-8.

after 24 hours, the ROS are mostly localized in the mitochondria. This two-stage process is further manifest in the time-dependent profile of the ROS levels at low doses, for all generations, as shown in Figure 1, A.

Key to the onset of the toxic response is the increase in ROS 253 levels upon PAMAM exposure and the concomitant changes in 254 intrinsic cellular antioxidant levels. As shown in Figure 1, B, a 255 linear increase in cellular GSH levels is observed in control cells. 256 Upon exposure to PAMAM dendrimers, a generation-dependent 257 reduction in the rate of increase of GSH levels is observed. The 258 degree and rate of reduction is generation dependent, associating 259 the phenomenon with early-stage increase of ROS levels as a 260 result of exposure.²⁰ It should be noted, upon careful inspection, 261 that there is an apparent time lag between the onset of ROS and 262 the deviation of the GSH levels from linearity. This lag is 263 understandable in terms of differing experimental protocols used 264 to monitor the respective responses. To monitor ROS levels, 265 carboxy-H2DCFDA dye was uploaded in the cells before particle 266 exposure, whereupon ROS levels were measured after different 267 exposure times. For the measurement of TNF- α , IL-8, and 268 caspases, the cells were lysed immediately after exposure and so 269 the exposure time was equal to the measurement time. To 270 monitor GSH levels, however, cells were stained with 271 ThiolTrackerTM Violet for a period of ~30 minutes post 272

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx



Figure 4. Representation of the different cellular responses as a function of time, for 1- μ M exposure to PAMAM G6. For visual purposes, the responses from different assays have been normalized to 1 and different responses are offset by 1. The normalized responses are all in respect of negative controls, whereby the response for ROS represents percentage of ROS generation in comparison with control, GSH represents percentage of depletion in comparison with control; caspase-8, caspase-3, TNF- α , and IL-8 represent percentage of expression in comparison with control; mitochondrial membrane potential decay represents percentage of MMPD in comparison with control.

exposure. Thus, including sample washing and preparation, there is a gap of 30 - 60 minutes from exposure time.

Although the results indicate systematic dependences of 275responses on dose and dendrimer generation, the four-dimen-276sional response/dose/time/generation system is not easily 277visualized. To better visualize and elucidate the mechanisms of 278response, the system can be modeled using a simple phenom-279enological rate-equation model, similar to those commonly 280employed for modeling molecular photodynamics.²¹ The 281 particle dose and levels of ROS, GSH, etc., are described by 282 populations, and changes in populations are governed by rate 283 constants. The rate equations can be numerically integrated using 284 an iterative Euler approach²² to yield the temporal evolutions of 285the populations. 286

Salvati et al have demonstrated that the cellular uptake of polystyrene NPs occurs by endocytosis at a constant rate over a time period of 24 hours and that the rate is dose dependent.²³ In the case of PAMAM dendrimers, endocytotic process is assumed to be generation dependent, and thus the number of particles in the cells, N, increases as:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = GK_{endo}D$$
 Equation 1

where K_{endo} is an endocytosis rate constant, G is a generationdependent scaling factor, and D represents the dose. Once endocytosed, particles continuously generate ROS, build-up of ROS is counteracted by increased levels of GSH, and the interaction quenches both the levels of ROS and GSH. Thus:

$$\frac{dN_{ROS}}{dt} = NGK_{ROS} - K_q N_{ROS} N_{GSH}$$
 Equation 2

$$\frac{dN_{GSH}}{dt} = K_{GSH} - K_q N_{ROS} N_{GSH}$$
 Equation 3 ²⁹⁹

The first term in Equation 2 is a generation- (G) and dose- 303 (D) dependent term describing continuous ROS generation at 304 a rate K_{ROS}. This rate is independent of dendrimer generation, 305 but DK_{ROS}G scales linearly with the number of surface 306 amino groups per generation and dose. The second term 307 describes the quenching of the ROS at a rate Kq, which 308 depends on both ROS levels, N_{ROS}, and GSH levels, N_{GSH}. In 309 Equation 3, the linear increase of the control levels of GSH, at 310 a rate of K_{GSH}, is described by the first term, and the second 311 term describes the quenching of the GSH levels. Thus, as a 312 function of generation, for the same molar concentration, 313 simply changing the parameter G for successive generations 314 should reproduce the generation-dependent behaviors observed 315 in Figures 1, A and 1, B, and, for a given dendrimer generation, 316 changing D should similarly mimic the dose dependences of 317 Figure 1, A. 318

Using a constant generation rate, however, the model predicts 319 a monotonic increase in ROS levels over the exposure time, in 320 contrast to early increase and decrease observed experimentally. 321 If, however, the ROS population is constrained to saturate, a 322 generation- and dose-dependent rise and fall is reproduced. To 323 simulate such saturation, the rate of generation is proposed to 324 be dependent on the number of ROS generated and thus time 325 such that: 326

$$\frac{dK_{ROS}}{dt} = -K_{ROS}N_{ROS}$$
 Equation 4

Figure 5, A shows the predicted time and generation 328 dependence of the rate of generation of ROS. Based on such a 330 saturable ROS generation rate, Figure 5, B and 5, C show the 331

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx



Figure 5. The simulated behaviors for all dendrimer generations of (A) the rate of ROS generation, K_{ROS} , (B) the levels of intracellular ROS, and (C) the levels of intracellular GSH as a function of time. In (C), the solid line depicts the linear increase of GSH levels in negative controls.

simulated behaviors for the levels of intracellular ROS and GSH 332 as a function of generation. For G4, maximum ROS levels 333 are observed at ~3 hours, for G5 at ~2 hours, and for G6 at 334 \sim 1.6 hours, in good agreement with experimental observations. 335 Notably, however, the evolution curves of Figure 5, B are not 336 as sharply varying with time as the behaviors observed 337 experimentally in Figure 1, A. This is a result of the simplistic 338 representation of the rate of generation of ROS in Equations (2-339 4). This is further manifest in the smooth departure of the levels 340

of GSH from linearity in Figure 5, *C*, in comparison with rather 341 abrupt behavior observed in Figure 1, *B*. The time delay between 342 experimental ROS and GSH generation observed by comparing 343 Figures 1, *A* and 1, *B* is further manifest here, as the modeled 344 GSH follows the modeled increase in ROS levels. Because of the 345 complexity of multiple processes leading to ROS generation and 346 saturation, the simulation cannot therefore be considered to be a 347 fit to the experimental data. Nevertheless, the simplistic approach 348 Q2 qualitatively reproduces generation-dependent departure from 349 linearity of the GSH levels in both extent and rate. 350

The simulations similarly faithfully predict an approximately $_{351}$ linear dependence of the relative amounts of ROS on generation $_{352}$ number, and therefore on number of surface amino groups for a $_{353}$ fixed time and dose, as shown in Figure S6, *A* for the case of 1 $_{354}$ hour of exposure of G6. At the 6-hour time point, however, a $_{355}$ notably different behavior is observed, highlighting the impor- $_{356}$ tance of experimentally monitoring the full-time evolution of the $_{357}$ response. Moreover, as the maxima shift in time as a function of $_{358}$ dose and generation, at specific time points, complex dose $_{359}$ dependences similar to those in Figure 1, *A*, can be reproduced, $_{360}$ as shown in Figure S6, *B* for the cases of 1 hour, 1.5 hours and 2 $_{361}$ hours of G6 exposure.

It should be noted that biphasic ROS generation is observed 363 only at low doses in a generation-dependent fashion. At 364 elevated doses, the levels of GSH are depleted such that the 365 ROS levels are not quenched and no intermediate time-scale 366 minimum in their levels is observed. In Figure 5, *C*, the 367 generation dependence of this process is apparent in the 368 prolonged timescales of GSH depletion, which further increase 369 with increasing dose. 370

The activities of both caspase-8 and 3 upon 1- μ M PAMAM 371 exposure were also found to be biphasic over 24 hours for all 372 generations (Figures 2, S3). The maximum percentages of early 373 caspase-8 were activated after ~4 hours' exposure of G4 and G5, 374 whereas those for G6 occurred after ~2 hours' exposure 375 (Figure 2, *A*). The activity then decreased to, or below, the 376 level of the control after ~12 hours for G4 and G5 and after ~6 377 hours for G6, before subsequently increasing to a maximum after 378 24 hours' exposure (Figure 2, *A*). Variations in activity of 379 caspase-3 follow a trend similar to that of caspase-8 (Figure 2, 380 *B*). In both cases, the activity profile and the percentage activity 381 in comparison with control are dependent on generation. 382

In an attempt to understand the possible underlying response 383 pathways, it is noted that apoptosis can be mediated through 384 two major pathways, the death-receptor pathway (extrinsic)²⁴ 385 and the mitochondrial pathway (intrinsic).²⁵ Notably, caspase- 386 8 and 3 activations are seen to occur almost instantaneously 387 after ROS generation, both in early and late stages. The 388 observation of the early-stage maximum activation of caspase- 389 8 before the maximum early-stage MMPD and activation of 390 TNF- α indicates that caspase-8 activation in the current study 391 is via the Fas/FasL mediated FADD pathway, independent of 392 the TNF- α mediated FADD or mitochondrial pathway²⁶ 393 (Figure 4). Previously it was also shown that intracellular 394 ROS mediates Fas-ligation that leads to caspase-8 and 3 395 activation.²⁷ It is therefore proposed that activation of early 396 caspase-8 and 3 is mediated via extrinsic FasL mediated 397 Fas-signaling pathway.^{27,28} Caspase-8 activation acts as an 398

upstream process for caspase-3 activation by activating procaspase-3.²⁹ FADD pathway can be naturally inactivated by caspase-8-like inhibitory protein (cFLIP)^{30,31} or can be inhibited by the inhibitors of apoptosis proteins (IAPs), for example Xlinked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP).^{32,33} Such mechanisms can potentially decrease the activity of caspase-8 and 3 after its early activation (Figures 2, S3).

The activation of caspase-8 by intrinsic mitochondrial 406 pathway, which is independent of the classical FADD pathway, 407is also well known.^{34,35} Through this pathway, caspase-8 can be 408 activated either via mitochondrial p38-MAPK or mitogen- and 409 stress-response kinase 1 (MSK1), which are sequentially 410 activated upon mitochondrial oxidative-stress (Mchichi et al, 411 2007). The second-phase of caspase-activation follows the 412 second-phase of ROS, generated in the mitochondria, thereby 413 causing mitochondrial oxidative-stress. Therefore, its activation 414 could potentially occur through the mitochondrial p38-MAPK or 415MSK1 pathway. 416

The expression of TNF- α , a pro-inflammatory cytokine, can 417 also be activated by p38-MAPK and other MAPKs upon 418 oxidative stress.³⁶ NPs have been shown to upregulate TNF- α 419 and CXCL8 via ROS and MAPK activation. 37 TNF- α activation 420 in turn activates the expression of the chemokine IL8.38 It has 421 also been reported that TNF- α downregulates FasL expression in 422 the vascular endothelial cells.³⁹ Therefore, sequential TNF- α 423 and IL8 expressions following early-stage maximum in ROS 424 generation caspase activation, and MMPD is consistent with its 425activation by a MAPK pathway. TNF- α activation before the 426 second-phase of caspase activation possibly activates Smac/ 427 Diablo, which inhibit IAPs,⁴⁰ resulting in the second phase of 428caspase activity with prolonged exposure time. 429

The mitochondrial membrane potential study reveals that the 430decay rapidly follows the caspase activation, in both early and 431 later stages, with a delay of ~45 minutes to 1 hour (Figures 2 and 4321, C). This delay comes in part from the staining of the cells with 433rhodamine-123 for measuring mitochondrial membrane potential 434after the exposure time point, whereas in caspase study the cells 435were lysed immediately after exposure. Therefore, caspase 436 437activation, which is an instantaneous effect of ROS generation, probably via Fas pathway, results in rapid decay of MMP.²⁸ The 438 439extent of MMPD and the time evolution is generation dependent (Figure 1, C). The biphasic response is consistent with the model 440 of early-stage ROS generation by particles in subcellular 441 vesicles, most likely endosomes, which cause oxidative stress 442to the mitochondria, followed by endosomal release and 443 localization of the dendrimer particles in the mitochondria, 444 leading to cell death via the mitochondrial injury pathway,⁴ 445 generating further ROS as a result.^{41,9} The decrease in MMPD 446 after the early-stage increase could be due to the effects of 447 mitochondrial chaperones, e.g., prohibitin, which elevate the 448 synthesis of ATP and stabilize MMP, delaying the onset of 449 apoptosis.42 450

The inflammatory study indicates a subsequent sequential activation of TNF-α and IL-8. The maximum TNF-α expression was observed after ~6 hours' exposure at 3.21 μ M for G4 and after ~4 hours' exposure at 1 μ M for G5 and G6 (Figure 3, *A*). Having reached the maximum, the expression is seen to decrease with exposure time to levels less than control. The expression of IL-8 increases monotonically with exposure 457 time to a maximum at 24 hours' exposure at 3.21 μ M for G4, 458 and 1 μ M for G5 and G6 (Figure 3, *B*). Above and below these 459 concentrations, the expression of TNF- α and IL-8 decreases. 460 Notably, these concentrations are also the EC₅₀ values obtained 461 from the dose response from MTT assay in HaCaT cells⁵ 462 (Table S1). The maximum percentage of increase of TNF- α 463 and IL-8 expression was also seen to increase with increasing 464 generation of PAMAM dendrimer, and therefore number of 465 surface amino groups.

It is notable that TNF- α activation is only observed in the 467 early stages and does not follow the biphasic evolution of ROS 468 and caspase activation and MMPD. In the early stages, the NPs 469 are located in vesicles, proposed to be endosomes, and thus 470 oxidative stress is generated external to the mitochondria. At the 471 later stages, dendrimer NPs and generation of ROS have been 472 located in the mitochondria.^{9,6} Although derived from the 473 mitochondria, it has been demonstrated that acute, internal stress 474 can suppress the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such 475 as TNF- α ,⁴³ without affecting IL-8 expression.⁴⁴ Similarly, 476 PAMAM generates acute stress when incorporated into 477 mitochondria (24 hours)⁶ and the second phase of TNF- α 478 expression is not observed in our study. 479

In the first phase of evolution, the rate-equation model can be 480 simply extended to include the ROS-dependent activation of 481 caspases, and subsequent MMPD and generation of TNF- α and 482 IL8, and thus visualize the generation and dose dependences, 483 as described in the Supplementary Material. Figure 6, A-C 484 illustrates the time evolution of the process as well as generation- 485 dependent behavior predicted by the model, simply by changing 486 the parameter G in Equations (1) and (2). All rates are kept 487 constant and the differing rates of evolution of subsequent stages 488 are the result of the early-stage generation- (or dose-) dependent 489 increase in ROS levels. For example, maximum TNF-a 490 expression is predicted for G4 at 5.1 hours, for G5 at 4.4 hours 491 and for G6 at 4 hours. Although the experimental time intervals 492 do not differentiate the maxima for G5 and G6, the model 493 predicts a sequence of activation of G6<G5<G4. Furthermore, 494 although the magnitudes of responses are normalized to the 495 maximum for graphical representation, at each step in the 496 cascade, the generation dependence of G6>G5>G4 is also 497 reproduced faithfully, as shown by the approximately linear 498 dependence of the maximum levels of TNF- α , as a function 499 of number of surface amino-groups per dendrimer generation 500 in Figure S7. 501

In all cases, only the early stage of the cellular responses has 502 been modeled. This stage is proposed to originate from initial 503 endocytosis of the particles and encapsulation in endosomes. 504 ROS is most likely generated via the proton-pump mechanism, 505 resulting in depletion of GSH and other antioxidants and the 506 onset of caspase activation, MMPD, and inflammatory re- 507 sponses via TNF- α activation. The second phase appears to be 508 spatially distinct, in that it is associated with localization of 509 dendrimer NPs and ROS generation in the mitochondria. 510 Although the second phase is not modeled here, a similar 511 rate-equation approach could be employed to simulate the 512 responses, their time evolution and dependences on dose and 513 dendrimer generation. A more complete understanding of 514

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx



8

Figure 6. Illustration of the generation dependent behavior for **(A)** G4, **(B)** G5, and **(C)** G6, in terms of normalized ROS generation, MMPD and TNF- α and IL8 expression, predicted by the model, simply by changing the parameter G in Equation (2).

generation and dose dependence of the process of endosomal 515rupture, particle migration to and uptake by the mitochondria, 516 and recovery of intracellular antioxidant levels would be 517required. Between the two phases, such phenomena as the 518quenching of ROS levels to below those of controls, the 519recovery of antioxidant levels, and migration of antioxidants to 520 localised subcellular sites should be considered. Nevertheless, 521the phenomenological model is readily adaptable to include 522more complex phenomena, simply by adding additional terms to 523the rate equations (Equation S1-S5). 524

The overall mechanism that can be postulated from the 525 cellular responses is diagrammatically represented in Figure S8. 526PAMAM dendrimers enter the cells by endosomal uptake or by 527rupture of the plasma membrane.^{15,6,45} Initial oxidative stress 528results from early-stage ROS generation whereas the dendri-529 mers are encapsulated in early-stage subcellular vesicles, most 530likely endosomes.⁶ The generation dependence of the ROS 531 generation rate and yield point to the reactive NH₂ surface 532groups as the origin of oxidative stress. Intracellular antioxi-533dants result in a quenching of early-stage ROS and are 534

themselves quenched by the action.⁴⁶ The study of GSH levels 535 and their time evolution, as an example of intracellular 536 antioxidants, highlights the importance of intracellular defense 537 mechanisms, and potentially points to a source of differentia- 538 tion of different cellular responses to NP exposure. The ROS 539 probably play a pivotal role in the possible FasL/Fas mediated 540 activation of caspase-8 which further activates caspase-3, 541 leading to the initial MMPD. Maximum TNF- α expression 542 after early-stage maximum ROS, caspase-8 and 3 activities and 543 MMPD suggests TNF- α activation by mitochondrial pathway. 544 TNF- α subsequently induces IL-8 expression and therefore its 545 expression gradually increases with exposure time. After 546 reduction of early-stage ROS, it is proposed that the action of 547 different caspase inhibitor proteins results in reduction of 548 caspase-8 and 3 activities and MMP similarly recovers, 549 possibly through the action of mitochondrial chaperones. In 550 the second phase, PAMAM dendrimers rupture the endosomes 551 by so-called "proton-sponge effect" and are released into the 552 cytosol and interact directly with other cellular organelles. After 553 ~ 16 hours they have been located in the mitochondria,⁹ 554 whereupon the oxidative stress is increased, resulting in further 555 MMPD. No further TNF- α expression is observed, but a 556 second phase of activation of caspases is observed, which is 557 possibly through the mitochondrial pathway. The sequential 558 and potentially independent pathways of caspase activations 559 that are associated with the early and late stage of ROS 560 generation can be understood by further studies of the 561 activation of Fas and mitochondrial p38MAPK or MSK1. 562 The potential role of IAPs and cFLIP in the inhibition of 563 caspase-8 and 3 after their early-stage activation and the 564 contribution of Smac/Diablo activation profile should also be 565 studied for further elucidation of the biphasic caspase 566 activation. Following the activation of these different cell- 567 death pathways and the activation of caspases, the cell enters 568 apoptosis, its nuclear DNA undergoes fragmentation, and 569 finally it dies.⁶ 570

Although simplistic at this stage, the numerical modeling 571 approach enables a visualization of the complex generation, dose 572 and time dependences of the cellular responses. A fundamental 573 understanding of in vitro cytological responses is becoming 574 increasingly important, given the implications of EU Directive 575 2010/63/EU on reduction, replacement, and refinement of 576 animal models for scientific experimentation. Consideration 577 of the responses in terms of rate equations elucidates their 578 sequence, interdependencies, and relative magnitudes. It is a 579 potential route towards quantifying nanotoxicological responses 580 in terms of response rates that are determined by NP properties 581 and cellular and even cell-line-dependent parameters, indepen- 582 dently. The overall cytotoxicological response, as frequently 583 monitored by classic cytotoxicological assays and expressed, for 584 example, as an EC₅₀, is a convolution of a cascade of events, 585 which can potentially be better expressed as response rates that 586 can be defined per NP and cellular system. 587

A nonlinear response and saturation are required to 588 reproduce the experimental observations, but the origin and 589 mathematical form of this nonlinearity and saturation requires 590 clarification. In cytotoxicity, dose dependences are commonly 591 empirically represented by the Hill function,⁴⁷ but this is not 592

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx

easily represented in a form that indicates the rates of contributing processes.⁴⁸ In pharmacokinetics, it is simply acknowledged that to account for a nonlinear response to a linear dose, at least one of the intermediate stages must be nonlinear.⁴⁹

The endocytotic process is assumed to be linearly dependent 598on dose, but a low-dose exposure of many cells to few NPs is 599most likely significantly different from one in which there are 600 many particles per cell, and ultimately the capacity of a single 601 cell to endocytose NPs must be limited. In the simplistic 602 treatment presented here, no changes to cell population due to 603 cell proliferation or death have been included. The doubling time 604 for HaCaT cells is 23 hours.⁵⁰ The Alamar Blue assay shows 605 that, upon exposure to 1µM PAMAM G6 for 24 hours, the 606 percentage cytotoxicity in comparison with control was 28% 607 (data not shown). Therefore, it is predictable that after 6 hours' 608 exposure the effect of 1µM G6 on HaCaT cell proliferation and 609 viability was not significant. 610

Notably, the dose dependence of ROS generation is nonlinear 611 and potentially originates in its time evolution. However, 612 although the model demonstrates how a complex dose 613 dependence can arise, the results are by no means a fit with the 614 experimental data, and further work utilizing model NP systems 615 is required to accurately predict the dose dependence. Ultimate-616 617 ly, however, such a rate-equation model may provide the basis for quantification of NP toxicity and cellular susceptibility, and 618 thus quantitative structure-activity relationships. 619

619 thus qualitative structure-activity relationship

Q3620 Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2012.05.002.

623 References

- Stone V, Donaldson K. Nanotoxicology: signs of stress. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2006;1:23-4.
- Brown DM, Donaldson K, Borm PJ, Schins RP, Dehnhardt M, Gilmour P,
 et al. Calcium and ROS-mediated activation of transcription factors and
 TNF- a cytokine gene expression in macrophages exposed to ultrafine
 particles. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2004;286:L344-53.
- Kim BM, Choi YJ, Han Y, Yun Y-S, Hong SH. N, N-dimethyl
 phytosphingosine induces caspase-8-dependent cytochrome c release
 and apoptosis through ROS generation in human leukemia cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2009;239:87-97.
- 4. Cooke MC, Evans MD, Dizdaroglu M, Lunec J. Oxidative DNA
 damage: mechanisms, mutation, and disease. *The FASEB Journal* 2003;
 17:1195-214.
- 5. Mukherjee SP, Davoren M, Byrne HJ. In vitro mammalian cytotoxicological study of PAMAM dendrimers –Towards quantitative structure activity relationships. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2010;24(1):1169-77.
- 640
 6. Mukherjee SP, Lyng FM, Garcia A, Davoren M, Byrne HJ. Mechanistic
 641
 642 studies of in vitro cytotoxicity of Poly(amidoamine) dendrimers in
 642 mammalian cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2010;248(3):259-68.
- 7. Naha PC, Davoren M, Casey A, Byrne HJ. An ecotoxicological study of
 Poly(amidoamine) dendrimers toward quantitative structure activity
 relationships. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009;43:6864-9.
- 8. Naha PC, Davoren M, Lyng FM, Byrne HJ. Reactive oxygen species
 (ROS) induced cytokine production and cytotoxicity of PAMAM
 dendrimers in J774A.1 cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2010;246:91-9.

- Lee JH, Cha KE, Kim MS, Hong HW, Chung DJ, Ryu G, et al. 649 Nanosized polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer-induced apoptosis 650 mediated by mitochondrial dysfunction. *Toxicol Lett* 2009;190:202-7. 651
- Bourne MW, Margerun L, Hylton N, Campion B, Lai JJ, Derugin N, 652 et al. Evaluation of the effects of intravascular MR contrast media 653 (gadolinium dendrimer) on 3D time of flight magnetic resonance 654 angiography of the body. J Magn Reson Imaging 1996;6:305-10. 655
- Twyman LJ, Beezer AE, Esfand R, Hardy MJ, Mitchell JC. The 656 synthesis of water soluble dendrimers, and their application as possible 657 drug delivery systems. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:1743-6. 658
- Guillot-Nieckowski M, Eisler S, Diederich F. Dendritic vectors for gene 659 transfection. New J Chem 2007;31:1111-27. 660
- Naha PC. Eco and in vitro mammalian toxicological assessment of 661 polymeric nanomaterials, PhD thesis, DIT, 2011. 662
- Zhou J, Wu J, Hafdi N, Behr JP, Erbacher P, Peng L. PAMAM 663 dendrimers for efficient siRNA delivery and potent gene silencing. 664 *Chem Commun (Camb)* 2006;22:2362-4. 665
- Kitchens KM, Foraker AB, Kolhatkar RB, Swaan PW, Ghandehari H. 666 Endocytosis and interaction of Poly (amidoamine) dendrimers with 667 Caco-2 Cells. *Pharmaceut Res* 2007;24:2138-45. 668
- Nel AE, M\u00e4dler L, Velegol D, Xia T, Hoek EMV, Somasundaran P, et al. 669 Understanding biophysicochemical interactions at the nano-bio inter- 670 face. Nat Mater 2009;8:543-57. 671
- Watson P, Jones AT, Stephens DJ. Intracellular trafficking pathways and 672 drug delivery: fluorescence imaging of living and fixed cells. *Adv Drug* 673 *Deliv Rev* 2005;57:43-61. 674
- Bajt ML, Knight TR, Lemasters JJ, Jaeschke H. Acetaminophen-induced 675 oxidant stress and cell injury in cultured mouse hepatocytes: protection 676 by N-acetyl cysteine. *Toxicol Sci* 2004;80:343-9. 677
- Ding W-X, Shen H-M, Ong C-N. Microcystic cyanobacteria extract 678 induces cytoskeletal disruption and intracellular glutathione alteration in 679 hepatocytes. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;108:605-9. 680
- Ossola JO, Tomaro ML. Heme oxygenase induction by UVA radiation. 681
 A response to oxidative stress in rat liver. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 682 1998;30:285-92. 683
- Penzkofer A, Blau W. Theoretic analysis of S1-state lifetime 684 measurements of dyes with picoseconds laser pulses. *Opt Quant* 685 *Electron* 1983;15:325-47. 686
- Ascher UM, Petzold LR. Computer methods for ordinary differential 687 Q4 equations and differential-algebraic equations. SIAM, 1998; ISBN 688 0898714125.
- Salvati A, Aberg C, Dos Santos T, Varela J, Pinto P, Lynch I, et al. 690 Experimental and theoretical comparison of intracellular import of 691 polymeric nanoparticles and small molecules: toward models of 692 uptake kinetics. *Nanomedicine* 2011, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano. 693 2011.03.005. 694
- Wajant H. The Fas signaling pathway: more than a paradigm. Science 695 2002;296:1635-6.
- Desagher S, Martinou JC. Mitochondria as the central control point of 697 apoptosis. *Trends Cell Biol* 2000;10:369-77. 698
- Gu Q, Wang JD, Xia HHX, Lin MCM, He H, Zou B, et al. Activation of 699 the caspase-8/Bid and Bax pathways in aspirin-induced apoptosis in 700 gastric cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:541-6. 701
- Anathy V, Aesif SW, Guala AS, Havermans M, Reynaert NL, Ho Y-S, 702 et al. Redox amplification of apoptosis by caspase-dependent cleavage 703 of glutaredoxin 1 and S-glutathionylation of Fas. *JCB* 2009;184: 704 241-52.
- Uriarte SM, Joshi-Barve S, Song Z, Sahoo R, Gobejishvili L, Jala VR, 706 et al. Akt inhibition upregulates FasL, downregulates c-FLIP_s and 707 induces caspase-8-dependent cell death in Jurkat T lymphocytes. *Cell* 708 *Death Differ* 2005;12:233-42. 709
- Stennicke HR, Jürgensmeier JM, Shin H, Deveraux Q, Wolf BB, Yang 710 X, et al. Pro-caspase-3 is a major physiologic target of caspase-8. *J Biol* 711 *Chem* 1998;273:27084-90. 712
- Wang L, Du F, Wang X. TNF-alpha induces two distinct caspase-8 activation pathways. Cell 2008;133:693-703. 714

S.P. Mukherjee, H.J. Byrne / Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx-xxx

- 31. Jiang Z, Clemens PR. Cellular caspase-8-like inhibitory protein (cFLIP)
 prevents inhibition of muscle cell differentiation induced by cancer cells.
 FASEB J 2006;20:2570-2.
- 32. Le Blanc AC. Natural cellular inhibitors of caspases. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2003;27:215-29.
- 33. Bratton SB, Lewis J, Butterworth M, Duckett CS, Cohen GM. XIAP
 inhibition of caspase-3 preserves its association with the Apaf-1
 apoptosome and prevents CD95- and Bax-induced apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 2002;9:881-92.
- 34. Mchichi BE, Hadji A, Vazquez A, Leca G. p38 MAPK and MSK1
 mediate caspase-8 activation in manganese-induced mitochondria dependent cell death. *Cell Death Differ* 2007;14:1826-36.
- Schrantz N, Bourgeade MF, Mouhamad S, Leca G, Sharma S, Vazquez
 A. p38-mediated regulation of an Fas-associated death domain proteinindependent pathway leading to caspase-8 activation during TGFbetainduced apoptosis in human Burkitt lymphoma B cells BL41. *Mol Biol Cell* 2001;12:3139-51.
- 36. Ke Q, Li J, Ding J, Ding M, Wang L, Liu B, et al. Essential role of ROS mediated NFAT activation in TNF-α induction by crystalline silica
 exposure. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2006;291:L257-64.
- 37. Lee H-M, Shin D-M, Song H-M, Yuk J-M, Lee Z-W, Lee S-H, et al.
 Nanoparticles up-regulate tumor necrosis factor-α and CXCL8 *via*reactive oxygen species and mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. *Toxicol Appl Pharma* 2009;238:160-9.
- 38. Zhao R, Chen X, Yao Q, Chen C. TNF-α induces interleukin-8 and
 endothelin-1 expression in human endothelial cells with different redox
 pathways. *Mol Cell Biol Res Commun* 2005;**327**:985-92.
- 39. Sata M, Walsh K. TNFα regulation of Fas ligand expression on the vascular
 endothelium modulates leukocyte extravasation. *Nat Med* 1998;4:415-20.
- 40. Shiozaki EN, Shi Y. Caspases, IAPs and Smac/DIABLO: mechanisms
 from structural biology. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2004;29:486-94.

- Xia T, Kovochich M, Brant J, Hotze M, Sempf J, Oberley T, et al. 746 Comparison of the abilities of ambient and manufactured nanoparticles 747 to induce cellular toxicity according to an oxidative stress paradigm. 748 *Nano Lett* 2006;6:1794-807. 749
- Liu X-H, Ren Z, Zhan R, Wang X-X, Wang X-M, Zhang Z-Q, et al. 750 Prohibitin protects against oxidative stress-induced cell injury in cultured 751 neonatal cardiomyocyte. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2009;14:311-9. 752
- Connor TJ, Brewer C, Kelly JP, Harkin A. Acute stress suppresses 753 pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-alpha and IL-1 beta independent of a 754 catecholamine-driven increase in IL-10 production. *J Neuroimmunol* 755 2005;159:119-28. 756
- Zhang Z, Cork J, Ye P, Lei D, Schwarzenberger PO, Summer WR, et al. 757 Inhibition of TNF-alpha processing and TACE-mediated ectodomain 758 shedding by ethanol. *J Leukoc Biol* 2000;67:856-62. 759
- 45. Hong S, Leroueil PR, Janus EK, Peters JL, Kober M-M, Islam MT, et al. 760 Interaction of polycationic polymers with supported lipid bilayers and 761 cells: nanoscale hole formation and enhanced membrane permeability. 762 *Bioconjug Chem* 2006;17:728-34. 763
- Zeisel SH. Antioxidants suppress apoptosis. Am Soc Nutrit Sci J Nutr 764 2004;134:3179S-80S. 765
- Hill A. The possible effects of the aggregation of the molecules of 766 haemoglobin on its disociation curves. J Physiol (Lond) 1910;40:4-8. 767
- Sorribas A, Hernández-Bermejo B, Vilaprinyo E, Alves R. Cooperativity 768 and saturation in biochemical networks: a saturable formalism usingtaylor series approximations. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2007;97:1259-77. 770
- Black JW, Leff P. Operational models of pharmacological agonism. 771 Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1983;220:141-62. 772
- Boukamp P, Petrussevska RT, Breitkreutz D, Hornung J, Markham A, 773 Fusenig NE. Normal human keratinization in a spontaneously immor-774 talized aneuploid human keratinocyte cell line. *J Cell Biol* 1988;106: 775 761-71. 776

777

778



Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx



nanomedjournal.com

Graphical Abstract

$\frac{2}{5}$	Polyamidoamine dendrimer nanoparticle cytotoxicity,	Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine xx (2012) xxx
	oxidative stress, caspase activation and inflammatory response: experimental observation and numerical simulation	Lardy (105) in Lardy 105 in (244) Yearding (24) (244)
8 9	Sourav Prasanna Mukherjee, PhD ^{a.*} , Hugh J. Byrne, PhD ^b	0 0 0 torison
10 11 12 13	^a Centre for Radiation and Environmental Science (RESC), Focas Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Techn Dublin, Ireland ^b Focas Research Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology, Dublin, Ireland	ology,
14 15 16 48	Structural dependence of cytotoxic responses to PAMAM dendrimers derive from ROS geresultant cascades can be visualized and simulated mathematically.	eneration and
29		

Supplementary Material