

2010-01-01

## Ozone Inactivation of Acid Stressed *Listeria Monocytogenes* and *Listeria Innocua* in Orange Juice Using a Bubble Column

Sonal Patil

*Technological University Dublin, sonalpatil81@gmail.com*

Vasilis Valdramidis

*Technological University Dublin*

Jesus Maria Frias

*Technological University Dublin, Jesus.Frias@tudublin.ie*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/schfsehart>



Part of the [Food Microbiology Commons](#), and the [Food Processing Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Patil, S., Valdramidis, V.P. , Cullen, P.J. , Frias, J. , Bourke P. (2010): Ozone inactivation of acid stressed *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* in orange juice using a bubble column. *Food Control*, 21(13) 1723-1730. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.04.031

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Food Science and Environmental Health at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact [arrow.admin@tudublin.ie](mailto:arrow.admin@tudublin.ie), [aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie](mailto:aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License](#)  
Funder: National Development Plan 2000-2006, through the Food Institutional Research Measure, administered by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, Ireland.

---

**Authors**

Sonal Patil, Vasilis Valdramidis, Jesus Maria Frias, Patrick Cullen, and Paula Bourke

1 Title: “**Ozone inactivation of acid stressed *Listeria***  
2 ***monocytogenes and Listeria innocua* in orange juice using a**  
3 **bubble column”**

4

5 S. Patil, V.P. Valdramidis, P.J. Cullen, J. Frias, and P. Bourke\*

6

7 School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of Technology,

8 Cathal Brugha Street, Dublin 1, Ireland

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 \* Corresponding author. Tel: +353-14027594; Fax: +353-14024495; E-mail: [paula.bourke@dit.ie](mailto:paula.bourke@dit.ie)

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Orange juice inoculated with *Listeria monocytogenes* strains ATCC 7644, NCTC 11994  
27 and *Listeria innocua* NCTC 11288 ( $10^6$  CFU/ml) as challenge microorganisms was  
28 treated with direct ozone at 0.098mg/min/ml for different time periods (0-8 min) using an  
29 ozone bubble column. Ozone treatment of mild acid stressed and mild acid stress-  
30 habituated (pH 5.5) cells of *L. monocytogenes* resulted in higher inactivation times  
31 compared to control non-acid stressed cells. Additionally acid stressed cells habituated in  
32 orange juice (ATCC 7644 & NCTC 11288), showed higher inactivation times during  
33 ozonation by comparison with the control as well as the mild-acid stressed cells. Overall  
34 the gaseous ozone treatment applied to orange juice resulted in a population reduction of  
35 5 log cycles within a time range that varied between 5 to 9 min.

36

37 **Key words: *Listeria monocytogenes*, ozone, bubble column, non-thermal inactivation,**  
38 **acid stress, orange juice, microbial kinetics**

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

## 48 **1 Introduction**

49 *Listeria monocytogenes* is a Gram positive, psychrotrophic pathogen ubiquitous in the  
50 environment and has been found in fruits and vegetables. *L. monocytogenes* is capable of  
51 growing at refrigeration temperatures in high salt and acid foods. *L. innocua* is often  
52 selected for inactivation studies because it is non pathogenic but still closely related to *L.*  
53 *monocytogenes* (Picart, Dumay & Cheftel, 2002). No outbreaks involving *L.*  
54 *monocytogenes* in fruit juices have been reported; however this pathogen has been  
55 isolated from unpasteurised apple juice (pH 3.78) and apple-raspberry juice blend (pH  
56 3.75) after 1 day storage at 5 °C (Sado, Jinneman, Husby, Sorg & Omiecinsky, 1998).  
57 This pathogen is a vehicle of human listeriosis which survived well beyond the normal  
58 shelf life of unsterile orange juices (Ryser & Marth, 1991). Oyarzábal, Nogueira and  
59 Gombas (2003) studied the survival of *L. monocytogenes* and other foodborne pathogens  
60 in apple, orange, pineapple, and white grape juice concentrates and showed that these  
61 pathogens were recoverable from all concentrates through 12 weeks of storage at -23 °C.  
62 The low pH of fruit juices plays an important role in survival of food borne pathogens.  
63 The ability of *L. monocytogenes* to respond to low pH conditions plays an integral role in  
64 its survival and resistance to acidic foods (Cotter, Gahan & Hill, 2000), thus affecting the  
65 food processing and preservation protocols. The organism can become highly resistant to  
66 even extremely acidic conditions due to stress hardening (Lou & Yousef, 1997). Some  
67 studies have shown that Acid Tolerance Response (ATR) of *L. monocytogenes*, as a  
68 consequence of stress hardening, can result in its increased thermal tolerance in apple,  
69 orange and white grape juice (Mazzotta, 2001). Strategies to meet consumer demands for  
70 better quality food products include minimal processing, which could introduce potential

71 for pathogen survival. Caggia, Ombretta, Restuccia and Randazzo (2009) reported that  
72 orange juice and minimally processed orange juice slices can support the growth of acid  
73 adapted *L. monocytogenes*. In food processing technologies, there is an extensive use of  
74 low pH environments (decontamination by acetic acid in beef processing, fermentation  
75 etc.) which can result in the alteration of the cellular physiology of the pathogen either by  
76 *de novo* protein synthesis or by changes in the fatty acid composition of the cell  
77 membrane (Foster 1991, Phan-Thanh, Mahouin, & Alige, 2000). This can lead to  
78 enhanced resistance to any further or subsequent acid stress which may be part of a  
79 processing treatment. This acid tolerance is also termed as acid habituation which is the  
80 increased resistance to extreme pH conditions after adaptation to sublethal acidic  
81 environments (Koutsoumanis & Sofos, 2004). *L. monocytogenes* is more resistant than  
82 many foodborne pathogens to organic acids and can be difficult to control in food  
83 processing facilities (Johnson, 2003), therefore it is necessary to evaluate responses of  
84 *Listeria* cells exposed to different acidic conditions.

85 The US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) issued a final rule requiring fruit and  
86 vegetable juice producers to apply a 5-log pathogen reduction process (US FDA, 2004<sub>a</sub>).  
87 In recent years consumers have increasingly sought ready to use 'fresh-like' products,  
88 which are usually refrigerated. This has led the food industry to develop alternative  
89 processing technologies, to produce foods with a minimum of nutritional,  
90 physicochemical, or organoleptic changes induced by these technologies (Esteve &  
91 Frigola, 2007), whilst maintaining safety profiles with respect to pathogens of concern.  
92 The FDA's approval of ozone as a direct additive to food in 2001 triggered interest in  
93 ozone applications, with a number of commercial fruit juice processors in the US and

94 Europe employing ozone for pasteurization, resulting in industry guidelines being issued  
95 by the FDA (USFDA, 2004<sub>b</sub>). Ozone is a triatomic allotrope of oxygen and is  
96 characterized by a high oxidation potential that conveys bactericidal and viricidal  
97 properties (Burlison, Murray & Polard, 1975; Kim, Yousef & Dave, 1999). Ozone  
98 inactivates microorganisms through oxidization and residual ozone decomposes to  
99 nontoxic products (i.e. oxygen) making it an environmentally friendly antimicrobial agent  
100 for use in the food industry (Kim et al., 1999). Ozone as an oxidant is used in natural  
101 water treatment, washing and disinfecting of fruits and vegetables, and juice processing  
102 to inactivate pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms (Muthukumarappan, Halaweish &  
103 Naidu, 2000). In a gas or aqueous phase, ozone has been used to inactivate  
104 microorganisms and decontaminate meat, poultry, eggs, fish, fruits, vegetables and dry  
105 foods (Fan, Song, McRae, Walker & Sharpe, 2007). Tiwari, Muthukumarappan,  
106 O'Donnell and Cullen (2008, 2009<sub>a</sub>) and Tiwari, O'Donnell, Patras, Brunton and Cullen  
107 (2009<sub>b</sub>) recently highlighted that nutritional quality depends on the ozone control  
108 parameters of concentration and gas flow rate. Achieving rapid microbial inactivation  
109 using optimized control parameters while retaining the nutritional quality is of overall  
110 importance.

111 The objectives of this study were to investigate (i) the efficacy of gaseous ozone  
112 treatment for reduction of *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* at ambient temperature in  
113 orange juice, (ii) ozone treatment efficacy in orange juice inoculated with the acid  
114 stressed *Listeria* population, using a range of acid stress conditions, namely mild acid  
115 stressed, mild acid stress-habituated and acid stressed but habituated in orange juice.

## 116 **2. Materials and Methods**

117 **2.1 Bacterial strains**

118 Three strains of *Listeria* were used in this study. *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 7644, *L.*  
119 *monocytogenes* NCTC 11994, and *L. innocua* NCTC 11288 obtained from microbiology  
120 stock culture, School of Food Science and Environmental Health, Dublin Institute of  
121 Technology. Strains were maintained as frozen stocks at -70 °C in the form of protective  
122 beads, which were plated onto tryptic soy agar (TSA, Barcelona, Scharlau Chemie) and  
123 incubated overnight at 37 °C to obtain single colonies before storage at 4 °C.

124 **2.2 Preparation of orange juice**

125 Oranges (variety: Navalate, Peru) were purchased from a local market and squeezed with  
126 a fruit juicer (Rowenta PA4002NEO). The fresh orange juice was then submitted to a  
127 finishing process by passing through a sieve (Laboratory test sieve, Retsch, Germany) of  
128 1mm diameter (mesh no. 18) to reduce the pulp content (Patil, Bourke, Frias, Tiwari &  
129 Cullen, 2009<sub>a</sub>). All juice preparations were stored at 4 °C. The pH was measured using a  
130 pH meter with a glass electrode (Orion Model, England) and was found to be in the range  
131 of 3.5-3.7.

132 **2.3 Experimental design**

133 In order to investigate the efficacy of ozone against *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua*  
134 microbial populations, four different conditions were investigated;

135 a) To obtain a non acid stressed control *Listeria* population, cells were grown in TSB  
136 without glucose (TSB-G). TSB-G was used as the basic medium for obtaining control  
137 cells as presence of glucose in the medium results in mild acid stress of cells by reducing  
138 the pH of TSB to 4.9.



139 b) To obtain mild acid stressed *Listeria* population, cells were grown in TSB with glucose  
140 (TSB+G, 0.25%).

141 c) To obtain 1 h mild acid stress-habituated *Listeria* population, cells were grown in  
142 TSB+G, 0.25% and then habituated at pH 5.5 (adjusted using 80% lactic acid) for 1 h and  
143 to obtain 18 h mild acid stress-habituated *Listeria* population, cells were grown in  
144 TSB+G, 0.25% (pH 5.5).

145 d) To obtain a *Listeria* population habituated in orange juice, cells were grown in  
146 TSB+G, 1.25% leading to acid stressed cells which were then habituated in orange juice  
147 for 90 min at 37 °C. Cells prepared under these different conditions were then treated  
148 with ozone in orange juice.

#### 149 **2.4 Preparation of cell suspensions and culture conditions**

150 For the first (a) and second investigation (b), a single isolated colony of each strain was  
151 inoculated separately either in TSB-G or in TSB+G, 0.25% to produce non acid stressed  
152 cells (control sample) and mild acid stressed cells, respectively. Cultures were then  
153 incubated overnight at 37 °C and were then harvested by centrifugation (SIGMA 2K15,  
154 Bench Top Refrigerated Ultracentrifuge, AGB scientific LTD.) at 10,000 rpm for 10min  
155 at 4 °C. The cell pellet was washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS,  
156 Oxoid LTD, UK). The pellet was re-suspended in PBS and the bacterial density was  
157 determined by measuring absorbance at 550nm using McFarland standard (BioMérieux,  
158 Marcy -l'Etoile, France). The inoculum was then diluted in maximum recovery diluent  
159 (MRD, Scharlau Chemie) to obtain approximately  $10^7$  cells/ml. For each investigation, the  
160 cell concentration was further diluted in orange juice to yield a final concentration of  $10^6$   
161 cells/ml and then ozone treatment was applied.

162 For the third investigation (c), two acid stress-habituation conditions were imposed, i.e.,  
163 1 hour and 18 hours. For the 1 hour habituation environment, working cultures were  
164 grown overnight in TSB+G, 0.25% at 37 °C (thus creating a mild acid stress  
165 environment). Cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10min at 4  
166 °C. The cell pellet was washed twice with sterile PBS, re-suspended in 10 ml TSB  
167 adjusted to pH 5.5, and incubated at 37 °C for 1h (Cheng, Yu & Chou, 2003; Caggia et  
168 al., 2009). To prepare 18 h habituated cells, bacterial strains were grown directly in  
169 TSB+G, 0.25% (pH 5.5) at 37 °C. The mild acid stress-habituated cells were diluted in  
170 MRD (pH 5.5) to yield approximately  $10^7$  cells/ml, with further dilution in orange juice  
171 (pH 3.5-3.7) to a final concentration of  $10^6$  cells/ml and then ozone treatment was applied.  
172 For the fourth investigation (d) the working cultures were incubated overnight in TSB+G,  
173 1.25% at 37 °C. This was performed to produce a more acid stressed population, as  
174 described by Buchanan and Edelson (1996) with some modifications. The pH of the  
175 culture following overnight incubation was measured using a pH meter with a glass  
176 electrode and was found to be in the range of 4.4-4.6. Cultures were then centrifuged as  
177 described above and cell pellet was resuspended directly in 10ml orange juice (pH 3.5-  
178 3.7) and incubated at 37 °C for 90 min. Cultures were further diluted in orange juice to  
179 yield an approximate final concentration of  $10^6 - 10^7$  cells/ml and then ozone treatment  
180 was applied.

## 181 **2.5 Ozone treatment**

182 Ozone gas was generated using an ozone generator (Model OL80, Ozone services,  
183 Burton, Canada, Fig. 1). Ozone was produced by a corona discharge generator. Pure  
184 oxygen was supplied via an oxygen cylinder (Air Products Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) and the

185 flow rate was controlled using an oxygen flow regulator. A previously determined  
186 optimum flow rate of 0.12L/min with an ozone concentration of 0.098mg/min/ml was  
187 applied for each treatment (Patil, Cullen, Kelly, Frias & Bourke, 2009<sub>b</sub>). Excess ozone  
188 was destroyed by an ozone destroyer unit. To prevent excess foaming, 20 µl sterile anti-  
189 foaming agent (Antifoam B emulsion, Sigma Aldrich, Ireland Ltd.) was added before  
190 each ozone treatment. The treatment of all orange juice samples previously inoculated  
191 with *Listeria* strains (as described in section 2.4) was carried out for 7-8 minutes with  
192 sampling intervals of 1 min. All experiments were performed in duplicate and replicated  
193 at least twice.

#### 194 **2.6 Microbiological analysis**

195 The efficacy of treatment was determined in terms of reduction in viable counts over  
196 time. Populations of challenge organism were determined by plating onto TSA and  
197 selective media (Palcam), respectively. Samples (1ml aliquots) were withdrawn from  
198 treated juice at specific time intervals, serially diluted in MRD and 0.1ml aliquots of  
199 appropriate dilutions were surface plated on TSA and Palcam agar. Plates were incubated  
200 at 37 °C for 48 h and then colony forming units were counted. Results were reported as  
201 Log<sub>10</sub>CFU/ml. Data were pooled and average values and standard deviations were  
202 determined. Means were compared using ANOVA followed by LSD testing at  $p < 0.05$   
203 level (SPSS, version 15.0).

#### 204 **2.7 Microbial inactivation kinetics**

205 The GInaFiT tool was employed to perform the regression analysis of the microbial  
206 inactivation data (Geeraerd, Valdramidis & Van Impe, 2005). The Weibull model  
207 (Mafart, Couvert, Gaillard & Leguerinel, 2002) was used to analyze the data:

208 
$$\log_{10}(N) = \log_{10}(N_0) - \left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)^p \quad (1)$$

209 where  $N$  (CFU/ml) is the number of microorganisms at time  $t$ ,  $N_0$  (CFU/ml) is the initial  
 210 number of microorganisms,  $\delta$  [min] (time for the first decimal reduction) and  $p$  [-] are  
 211 parameters related to the scale and shape of the inactivation curve, respectively. The  
 212 Weibull distribution corresponds to a concave upward survival curve if  $p < 1$  and concave  
 213 downward if  $p > 1$  (van Boekel, 2002).

214 The numerical estimates of  $\delta$  and  $p$  were used to calculate a desired log reduction. The  
 215 time required to obtain a 5 log reduction ( $t_{xd}$ ) was calculated using equation 3. For this  
 216 case study  $x$  was equal to 5

217 
$$t_{xd} = \delta \times (x)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2)$$

218 **2.8 Determination of degree of injury and recovery index**

219 The non-selective medium TSA was expected to support the growth of both uninjured  
 220 and ozone injured cells whereas the selective medium, Palcam agar was expected to  
 221 support growth of uninjured populations. The difference from selective to non-selective  
 222 media gives an indication of cell injury during the ozone treatment. Percent injury was  
 223 calculated by using equation 3 (Hansen & Knochel, 2001). It was calculated by choosing  
 224 the time intervals of samples which resulted in colony formation on both the media used.

225

226

227 
$$\% \text{ injured cells} = \frac{\text{cfu/ml on TSA} - \text{cfu/ml on Palcam}}{\text{cfu/ml on TSA}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

228

229 A recovery index was defined as the  $t_{5d}$  (time required to obtain a 5 log reduction)  
230 determined from the counts on the Palcam divided by  $t_{5d}$  determined from the counts on  
231 TSA (Hansen & Knochel, 2001).

### 232 **3. Results**

233 The inactivation kinetics of *Listeria* in orange juice were fitted using the Weibull model,  
234 which provided estimations of microbial inactivation parameters in terms of the  
235 processing times required. The Weibull parameters  $\delta$  and  $p$  are shown in Table 1. The  
236 shape parameter  $p$ , gave downward concavity for the kinetic curves of all the *Listeria*  
237 strains (Figs. 2, 3 and 4).  $p$  values of  $>1$  indicates a greater susceptibility of  
238 microorganisms to the treatment (van Boekel, 2002).

#### 239 **3.1 Inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* NCTC 11994**

240 The inactivation curves of *L. monocytogenes* NCTC 11994 are shown in Fig. 2. Ozone  
241 treatment of mild acid stressed population required a longer treatment time to achieve  
242 reduction by 5 log cycles ( $t_{5d}$ ) compared to control non acid-stressed cells. For these test  
243 conditions, significant differences were observed for recovery index as well as for  $t_{5d}$   
244 ( $p<0.05$ ) (Table 1). Ozone treatment of 18 h acid stress-habituated population recorded  
245 the highest time required for achieving  $t_{5d}$  compared to other test conditions investigated  
246 (Table 1). Recovery index and  $t_{5d}$  values for acid stress-habituated cells showed  
247 significant difference compared to the other test conditions ( $p<0.05$ ). In the case of acid  
248 stressed cells habituated in orange juice,  $t_{5d}$  was achieved in comparatively less time than  
249 that required for mild acid stressed and 1 h or 18 h acid stress-habituated cells (Table 1).  
250 In the case of cells habituated in orange juice, lower % injury was obtained (Table 1) and  
251 for the precise estimation of the uninjured vs. the injured population, counts on Palcam

252 agar were recorded for up to 6 min of ozone treatment by which time the detection limit  
253 was not reached for both media used.

### 254 **3.2 Inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 7644**

255 Survivor curves for *Listeria* strain ATCC 7644 following ozone treatments are presented  
256 in Fig. 3. In the case of control non acid-stressed, mild acid stressed and acid stressed  
257 cells habituated in orange juice,  $t_{5d}$  was achieved in less than 6 min of ozone treatment  
258 with no significant differences obtained with the recovery index for any of the test  
259 conditions studied (Table 1).

260 In the case of acid stress-habituated populations (1 h and 18 h), a significant difference  
261 was observed in  $t_{5d}$  values compared to the three other test conditions investigated  
262 ( $p < 0.05$ ). At all test conditions where acid stress was applied,  $\geq 97.4\%$  injury was  
263 observed indicating the efficacy of ozone in conjunction with applied acid stress  
264 conditions (Table 1). However, for the control non acid stressed cells, a smaller % injury  
265 was observed.

### 266 **3.3 Inactivation of *Listeria innocua* NCTC 11288**

267 Ozone inactivation curves of *L. innocua* cells for different test conditions are shown in  
268 Fig. 4. The control non acid-stressed and mild acid stressed cells were reduced by 5 log  
269 cycles in short treatment times (Table 1).

270 Mild acid stress-habituation of cells for the longer duration (18h) followed by  
271 ozone treatment resulted in significantly higher  $t_{5d}$  value compared to other test  
272 conditions investigated (Table 1). However, a significant difference was observed in  $t_{5d}$   
273 values for orange juice habituated cells, compared with mild acid stressed cells and  
274 control non acid-stressed cells ( $p < 0.05$ ).

275 The lower % injury observed for acid stressed cells habituated in orange juice after 7 min  
276 ozone treatment underlines the importance of investigating the efficacy of ozone in real  
277 product formulations in addition to simulated stress conditions in model media.

#### 278 **4. Discussion**

279 The direct application of ozone was found to be effective for the inactivation of *Listeria*  
280 in orange juice (Figs. 2, 3, and 4). However, there were some significant effects of  
281 bacterial cell pre-treatment and condition observed on inactivation efficacy. The pre-  
282 treatments and conditions employed were designed to mimic the environment that a  
283 contaminating population could be exposed to in orange juice and other food processing  
284 scenarios. Literature studies on the efficiency of ozone for inactivating *Listeria* in food  
285 products vary (Olmez & Akbas, 2009; Rodgers, Cash, Siddiq & Ryser, 2004; Vaz-Velho,  
286 Silva, Pissao & Gibbs, 2006; Yuk, Yoo, Yoon, Moon, Marshall & Oh, 2006). Olmez &  
287 Akbas (2009), stated that the efficiency of ozone treatment can be related to the delivery  
288 method.

289 Applying a mild acid stress actually increased the ozone treatment time required  
290 for a 5 log reduction for both strains of *L. monocytogenes* by comparison with the control  
291 population. However, in the case of *L. innocua*, applying a mild acid stress did not  
292 significantly effect the ozone treatment time required by comparison with the control.  
293 Leistner (2000) reported that simultaneous exposure of bacteria to different stress factors  
294 requires increased energy consumption and leads bacteria to cellular death through  
295 metabolic exhaustion.

296 Foodborne bacteria encounter organic and inorganic acids in foods or in the  
297 gastrointestinal tract and cells of the host (Yousef & Courtney, 2003). Adaptation of *L.*

298 *monocytogenes* to sublethal stresses has been demonstrated to protect the pathogen to a  
299 variety of normally lethal conditions present in certain foods (Lou and Yousef, 1997).  
300 The resistance or adaptation of microorganisms to acid conditions can have implications  
301 for food safety. In this study, acid stress-habituated *Listeria* cells had an increased  
302 resistance to ozone treatment and also recorded the highest time for achieving 5 log ( $t_{5d}$ )  
303 reductions. Similar findings of significantly increased resistance of *L. monocytogenes* to  
304 heat were reported by Mazzotta (2001) after acid adaptation of *Listeria* in single strength  
305 apple, orange and white grape juices adjusted to pH 3.9. Caggia et al. (2009) recorded the  
306 highest acid tolerance response of *L. monocytogenes* OML 45 strain, after 3h treatment in  
307 TSB adjusted to pH 5.7, thus concluding that cells adapted to acidic environments can  
308 grow in normally lethal pH conditions.

309 It has been reported that the heat and acid resistance of *L. monocytogenes* are strain  
310 dependant (Skandamis, Yoon, Stopforth, Kendall & Sofos, 2008). Phan-Thanh et al.  
311 (2000) reported the lowest pH value which *L. monocytogenes* could resist was dependant  
312 on the strain and the kind of acid used. Our results also showed that the extent of  
313 increased acid resistance varied with the bacterial strain and acid stress conditions. Strain  
314 NCTC 11994 was the most resistant strain independent of the applied conditions.

315 In orange juice production, low acidic conditions are present before the pasteurization  
316 process and may induce an ATR that can result in increased thermal tolerance (Caggia et  
317 al., 2009). The exposure to sequential acid stressors such as a prior acid stress followed  
318 by an acid environment in the product may result in cross protection to a subsequent  
319 processing treatment as observed here. In the case of all 18 h acid stress-habituated  
320 populations, the highest  $t_{5d}$  values were estimated, however, lower recovery indices were



321 reported, where greater recovery of cells was evident on non-selective media by  
322 comparison with selective media (Table 1). The applied acid stress did not promote  
323 recovery on selective medium (Palcam) at the same rate of the recovery on non-selective  
324 medium (TSA), however the injured sub-population may have a greater resistance to  
325 ozone. Therefore, to mimic the stresses encountered in food processing environments,  
326 conditions like acid stress-habituation and habituation in actual orange juice should be  
327 considered for determining inactivation parameters (e.g.,  $t_{xd}$ , %injury, recovery index)  
328 and process design in foods.

329 From the present study and based on the different inactivation responses to ozone  
330 treatment it was also observed that inactivation responses of *L. innocua* NCTC 11288  
331 were closer to those of *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 7644 than *L. monocytogenes* NCTC  
332 11994.

### 333 **5. Conclusions**

334 This work has shown that direct ozone treatment can be used to inactivate *L.*  
335 *monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* in orange juice. The efficacy of ozone treatment was  
336 found to be a function of strain and duration of acid stress-habituation conditions. The  
337 data also indicate that adaptive stress responses should be taken into account for process  
338 design or method development for the inactivation of *L. monocytogenes*. Inactivation  
339 times for a 5 log cycle reduction were achieved in between 5.08 and 8.44 min. Therefore,  
340 direct ozone diffusion treatment could be used as a potential alternative to traditional  
341 thermal pasteurisation for control of *Listeria* populations in fruit juices or other liquid  
342 foods.

### 343 **Acknowledgement**

344 Funding for this research was provided under the National Development Plan 2000-2006,  
345 through the Food Institutional Research Measure, administered by the Department of  
346 Agriculture, Fisheries & Food, Ireland.

#### 347 **References**

348 Buchanan, R. L., & Edelson, S. G. (1996). Culturing enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*  
349 in the presence and absence of glucose as a simple means of evaluating the acid tolerance  
350 of stationary-phase cells. *Applied and Environment Microbiology*, 62, 4009-4013.

351 Burleson, G. R., Murray, T., M., & Pollard, M. (1975). Inactivation of viruses and  
352 bacteria by ozone with and without sonication. *Applied Microbiology*, 29, 340-344.

353 Caggia, C., Ombretta Scifò, G., Restuccia, C., & Randazzo, C. L. (2009) Growth of acid-  
354 adapted *Listeria monocytogenes* in orange juice and in minimally processed orange  
355 slices. *Food Control*, 20(1), 59-66.

356 Cheng, H.Y., Yu, R., C., & Chou, C.C. (2003). Increased acid tolerance of *Escherichia*  
357 *coli* O157:H7 as affected by acid adaptation time and conditions of acid challenge. *Food*  
358 *Research International*, 36, 49-56.

359 Cotter, P.D., Gahan, C.G.M., & Hill, C. (2000). Analysis of the role of the *Listeria*  
360 *monocytogenes* F0F1-ATPase operon in the acid tolerance response. *International*  
361 *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 60, 137-146.

362 Esteve M.J., & Frígola A. (2007). Refrigerated fruit juices: quality and safety issues.  
363 *Advances in Food Nutrition Research*, 52,103-139.

364 Fan, L., Song, J., McRae, K. B., Walker, B. A., & Sharpe, D. (2007) Gaseous ozone  
365 treatment inactivates *Listeria innocua* in vitro. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 103(6),  
366 2657-2663.

367 Foster, J. W. (1991). *Salmonella* acid shock proteins are required for the adaptive acid  
368 tolerance response. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 173, 6896-6902.

369 Geeraerd, A.H., Valdramidis, V. P., & Van Impe, J.F. (2005). GInaFit, a freeware tool to  
370 assess non-log-linear microbial survivor curves. *International Journal of Food*  
371 *Microbiology*, 102, 95-105.

372 Hansen, T. B., & Knochel, S. (2001). Factors influencing resuscitation and growth of heat  
373 injured *Listeria monocytogenes* 13-249 in sous vide cooked beef. *International Journal of*  
374 *Food Microbiology*, 63(1-2), 135-147.

375 Johnson, E. A. (2003). Microbial adaptation and survival in foods. In: *Microbial Stress*  
376 *adaptation and Food Safety* (pp 84-85). Ed: Yousef and Juneja, CRC press.

377 Kim, J.G., Yousef, A.E., & Dave, S. (1999). Application of ozone for enhancing the  
378 microbiological safety and quality of foods: A review. *Journal of Food Protection*, 62(9),  
379 1071–1087.

380 Koutsoumanis, K.P., & Sofos, J.N. (2004). Comparative acid stress response of *Listeria*  
381 *monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* after  
382 habituation at different pH conditions. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 38, 321-326.

383 Leistner, L. (2000) Basic aspects of food preservation by hurdle technology.  
384 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 55, 181– 186.

385 Lou, Y., & Yousef, A. E. (1997). Adaptation to sublethal environmental stresses protect  
386 *Listeria monocytogenes* against lethal preservation factors. *Applied and Environmental*  
387 *Microbiology*, 63, 1252–1255.

388 Mafart, P., Couvert, O., Gaillard, S., & Leguerinel, I. (2002). On calculating sterility in  
389 thermal preservation methods: application of Weibull frequency distribution model.  
390 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 72, 107-113.

391 Mazzotta, A. S. (2001). Thermal inactivation of stationary-phase and acid-adapted  
392 *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* in fruit juices.  
393 *Journal of Food Protection*, 64, 315–320.

394 Muthukumarappan, K., Halaweish, F., & Naidu, A.S. (2000). Ozone. In: *Natural Food*  
395 *Anti-Microbial Systems* (pp. 783–800). A.S. Naidu, Eds. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

396 Ölmez, H., & Akbas, M. Y. (2009). Optimization of ozone treatment of fresh-cut green  
397 leaf lettuce. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 90 (4), 487–494.

398 Oyarzábal, O. A., Nogueira M. C. L., & Gombas, D. E. (2003). Survival of *Escherichia*  
399 *coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella* in Juice Concentrates. *Journal of*  
400 *Food Protection*, 66(9), 1595-1598.

401 Patil, S., Bourke, P., Frias, J. M., Tiwari, B. K., & Cullen, P. J. (2009a). Inactivation of  
402 *Escherichia coli* in orange juice using ozone. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging*  
403 *Technologies*, 10, 551-557.

404 Patil, S., Cullen, P. J., Kelly, B., Frias, J. M. and Bourke, P., (2009b). Extrinsic control  
405 parameters for ozone inactivation of *Escherichia coli* using ozone bubble column.  
406 *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 107(3), 830-837.

407 Phan-Thanh, L., Mahouin, F., & Alige, S. (2000). Acid responses of *Listeria*  
408 *monocytogenes*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 55(1-3), 121-126.

409 Picart, L. T., Dumay, E., & Cheftel, J. C. (2002). Inactivation of *Listeria innocua* in dairy  
410 fluids by pulsed electric fields: influence of electric parameters and food composition.  
411 *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 3, 357–369.

412 Rodgers, S. L., Cash, J. N., Siddiq, M., & Ryser, E.T. (2004). A comparison of different  
413 chemical sanitizers for inactivating *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria*  
414 *monocytogenes* in solution and on apples, lettuce, strawberries & cantaloupe. *Journal of*  
415 *Food Protection*, 67, 721–731.

416 Ryser, E. T., & Marth, E. H. (ed.) 1991. *Listeria, listeriosis, and food safety*. Marcel  
417 Dekker, New York.

418 Sado, P. N., Jinneman, K. C., Husby, G. J., Sorg, S. M., & Omiecinsky, C. J. (1998).  
419 Identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* from pasteurized apple juice using rapid test  
420 kits. *Journal of food protection*, 61, 1199-1202.

421 Skandamis, P. N., Yoon, Y., Stopforth, J. D., Kendall, P. A., & Sofos, J. N. (2008). Heat  
422 and acid tolerance of *Listeria monocytogenes* after stress to single and multiple sublethal  
423 stresses. *Food Microbiology*, 25, 294-303.

424 Tiwari, B. K., Muthukumarappan, K., O'Donnell, C. P., & Cullen, P. J. (2008). Kinetics  
425 of freshly squeezed orange juice quality changes during ozone processing. *Journal of*  
426 *Agricultural Food Chemistry*, 56, 6416-6422.

427 Tiwari, B. K., Muthukumarappan, K., O'Donnell, C. P., & Cullen, P. J. (2009<sub>a</sub>).  
428 Anthocyanin and colour degradation in ozone treated blackberry juice. *Innovative Food*  
429 *Science and Emerging Technologies*, 10, 70-75.

430 Tiwari, B. K., O'Donnell, C. P., Patras, A., Brunton, N., & Cullen, P. J. (2009<sub>b</sub>). Effect of  
431 ozone processing on anthocyanins and ascorbic acid degradation of strawberry juice.  
432 *Food Chemistry*, 113, 1119-1126.

433 United States Food and Drug Administration, USFDA (2004<sub>a</sub>). Juice HACCP Hazards  
434 and Controls Guidance. Guidance for industry (First edition). Available at:  
435 <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/juicgu10.html>

436 USFDA (2004<sub>b</sub>). FDA Guidance to Industry, 2004: Recommendations to Processors of  
437 Apple Juice or Cider on the Use of Ozone for Pathogen Reduction Purposes.  
438 Available online <<http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/juicgu13.html>>.

439 van Boekel, M. A. J. S. (2002). On the use of the Weibull model to describe thermal  
440 inactivation of microbial vegetative cells. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*,  
441 74 (1-2), 139-159.

442 Vaz-Velho, M., Silva, M., Pessoa, J., & Gibbs, P.(2006). Inactivation by ozone of  
443 *Listeria innocua* on salmon-trout during cold-smoke processing. *Food Control*,  
444 17(8), 609–616.

445 Yousef, A. E., & Courtney, P. (2003). Basics of stress adaptation and implications in  
446 new-generation foods. In Yousef and Juneja, *Microbial Stress adaptation and Food*  
447 *Safety*. (pp-1-30). CRC press

448 Yuk, H. G., Yoo, M. Y., Yoon, J. W., Moon, K. D., Marshall, D. L., & Oh, D. H. (2006).  
449 Effect of combined ozone and organic acid treatment for control of *Escherichia coli*  
450 O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* on lettuce. *Journal of Food Science*, 71, 83–87.

451

452

453 **Figure captions**

454 Figure 1 Schematics of the ozone processing equipment.

455 Figure 2 Ozone inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* NCTC 11994

456 (a) Control non acid-stressed cells

457 (b) Mild acid-stressed cells

458 (c) 1 h acid stress-habituated cells

459 (d) 18 h acid stress-habituated cells

460 (e) Habituated cells in orange juice

461 Figure 3 Ozone inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 7644

462 (a) Control non acid-stressed cells

463 (b) Mild acid-stressed cells

464 (c) 1 h acid stress-habituated cells

465 (d) 18 h acid stress-habituated cells

466 (e) Habituated cells in orange juice

467 Figure 4 Ozone inactivation of *Listeria innocua* NCTC 11288

468 (a) Control non acid-stressed cells

469 (b) Mild acid-stressed cells

470 (c) 1 h acid stress-habituated cells

471 (d) 18 h acid stress-habituated cells

472 (e) Habituated cells in orange juice

473

474

475


476

Table 1: Parameters of the Weibull model and the time required to reach a 5 log reduction for *Listeria* strains in orange juice (Different letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 level between each type of condition).


Microorganism	Condition	$\delta(\text{min}) \pm \text{STE}$	$p \pm \text{STE}$	$R^2$	$t_{5d}(\text{min})$	Recovery index	% injury
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> NCTC 11994	Control non-acid stressed	3.48±0.64	3.17±1.04	0.93	5.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 <sup>k</sup>	95.9
	mild-acid stressed cells	3.07± 0.55	1.97± 0.41	0.96	6.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.76 <sup>l</sup>	99.7
	1h acid stress-habituation	4.05± 0.40	2.64± 0.38	0.98	7.45 <sup>c</sup>	0.79 <sup>l</sup>	97.8
	18 h acid stress-habituation	4.45± 0.69	2.52± 0.65	0.93	8.44 <sup>d</sup>	0.60 <sup>lm</sup>	99.9
	Habituated cells in orange juice	2.96± 0.73	1.97± 0.48	0.94	6.69 <sup>ab</sup>	0.89 <sup>k</sup>	76.6
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> ATCC 7644	Control non-acid stressed	2.99±0.47	2.84±0.64	0.94	5.27 <sup>e</sup>	0.98 <sup>n</sup>	91.6
	mild-acid stressed cells	3.17± 0.30	2.89± 0.42	0.98	5.53 <sup>e</sup>	1.00 <sup>n</sup>	99.8
	1h acid stress-habituation	4.12± 0.90	2.74± 0.89	0.90	7.41 <sup>f</sup>	0.75 <sup>o</sup>	99.3
	18h acid stress-habituation	4.54± 0.52	3.00± 0.60	0.95	7.77 <sup>f</sup>	0.80 <sup>n</sup>	99.2
	Habituated cells in orange juice	1.43± 0.56	1.14± 0.24	0.95	5.87 <sup>e</sup>	0.86 <sup>n</sup>	97.4
<i>L. innocua</i> NCTC 11288	Control non-acid stressed	2.94±0.66	2.66±0.82	0.91	5.38 <sup>h</sup>	0.96 <sup>p</sup>	74.6
	mild-acid stressed cells	3.44± 0.47	4.14± 1.45	0.94	5.08 <sup>h</sup>	1.0 <sup>q</sup>	99.8
	1h acid stress-habituation	4.17± 0.34	4.33± 0.96	0.97	6.05 <sup>i</sup>	0.85 <sup>pr</sup>	98.4
	18h acid stress-habituation	4.12± 0.42	2.62± 0.40	0.97	7.60 <sup>j</sup>	0.80 <sup>r</sup>	89.5
	Habituated cells in orange juice	1.82± 0.88	1.30± 0.40	0.91	6.26 <sup>i</sup>	0.83 <sup>f</sup>	66.7

$\delta$  – time for the first decimal reduction  
 STE - standard error  
 $p$  - parameters related to the scale and shape of the inactivation curve  
 $R^2$  - coefficient of determination  
 % injury- calculated using equation 1  
 Recovery index-  $t_{5d}$  determined on Palcam divided by  $t_{5d}$  determined on TSA







**Fig. 1**



**Fig. 2**



**Fig. 3**



**Fig. 4**