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MACULAR PIGMENT OPTICAL DENSITY IS LOWER IN TYPE 2 DIABETES, COMPARED WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES AND NORMAL CONTROLS

GRAINNE SCANLON, MPHIL,* PAUL CONNELL, MD,† MATTHEW RATZLAFF, BSc,‡ BRITTANY FOERG, BSc,‡ DANIEL McCARTNEY, Ph.D,* AUDREY MURPHY, BSc,† KAREN O’CONNOR, BSc,† JAMES LOUGHMAN, Ph.D*¶

Purpose: This study was designed to investigate the optical density of macular pigment in Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes subjects relative to normal controls.

Methods: One hundred and fifty subjects were recruited to the study and divided into one of the three study groups on the basis of their health status, as follows: Group 1: Healthy controls; Group 2: Type 1 diabetes; Group 3: Type 2 diabetes. Macular Pigment Optical Density, at 0.5° of retinal eccentricity, was measured using customized heterochromatic flicker photometry. Dietary intake of macular carotenoids was quantified using a lutein and zeaxanthin food frequency questionnaire. Diabetes type, duration, medication, smoking habits, glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), and serum lipid levels were recorded, whereas visual acuity, body mass index, and diabetic retinopathy grade were measured for each participant.

Results: One-way analysis of variance revealed a statistically significant difference in body mass index, age, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and HbA1C between the three groups (P < 0.01 for all). Chi-square analysis revealed a statistically significant difference in diabetic retinopathy distribution (P < 0.01). None of these variables exhibited a statistically significant correlation with macular pigment optical density for any study group (P > 0.05 for all). There was no difference in dietary carotenoid intake between groups. Macular pigment optical density was lower among Type 2 diabetes subjects (0.33 ± 0.21) compared with Type 1 diabetes (0.49 ± 0.23) and controls (0.48 ± 0.35). General linear model analysis, including age, body mass index, diabetes duration, diabetic retinopathy status, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and HbA1C as covariates, revealed a statistically significant effect of diabetes type on macular pigment optical density (F = 2.62; P = 0.04).

Conclusion: Macular pigment optical density was statistically significantly lower in Type 2 diabetes compared with Type 1 diabetes and normal controls. Although body mass index was higher in the Type 2 diabetes group, the lower macular pigment optical density levels observed among Type 2 diabetes seem not to be attributable to differences in dietary carotenoid intake or to the specific presence of diabetes, diabetic control, duration, or diabetic retinopathy.
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Neurodegenerative diseases of the retina such as age-related macular degeneration and diabetic retinopathy (DR) are leading causes of worldwide blindness. Although the relationship between age-related macular degeneration and macular pigment optical density (MPOD) has been widely reported, only a small number of studies have focused on MPOD and carotenoid intake in diabetes mellitus, a condition similarly known to cause oxidative damage in the retina.

Diabetes, a lifelong progressive disease, is the result of the body’s inability to produce insulin or use insulin to its full potential and is characterized by high circulating glucose. Diabetic retinopathy represents the most common diabetic eye disease, and there is strong evidence that oxidative stress plays an important role in its development. Chronic hyperglycemia causes oxidative stress, which results in microvascular complications at the retina, where the neuronal elements
responsible for vision are located. The relationship between hyperglycemia, changes in redox homeostasis, and oxidative stress are key events in the pathogenesis of DR. Oxidative stress is also involved in the initiation and progression of obesity and diabetes mellitus. The links between Type 2 diabetes and obesity are firmly established. Type 2 diabetes now also affects a much younger population because of sedentary lifestyles and increases in calorific intake and accounts for more than 90% of all cases of diabetes.

The development of ocular complications in diabetes is related to disease control and longevity. After 20 years with diabetes, 75% of patients will have some form of DR. Apart from good systemic control of blood sugar levels, hypertension, lipid profiles, and renal function, current treatment modalities for DR are limited to laser photocoagulation and/or intravitreal injections. These are effective modes of treatment but they also have their own limitations and side effects. New modalities should, therefore, be preventative in nature and ideally implemented long before overt clinical symptoms develop.

Macular pigment is believed to possess antioxidant properties and to limit retinal oxidative damage by absorbing harmful short-wavelength blue light. Macular pigment is highly concentrated at the central macula and is composed of the dietary hydroxycarotenoids lutein, zeaxanthin, and meso-zeaxanthin. These hydroxycarotenoids are found in the retina to the exclusion of all other 600 carotenoids found in nature. Concentrations of carotenoids in human serum and deposition of the macular carotenoids in the retina to form MP are highly variable and reflect not only dietary intake but also factors such as carotenoid chemistry, individual efficacy of absorption, fat intake, competition among carotenoids for absorption, cholesterol and lipoprotein status, metabolic status, body composition, and body fat/body mass index (BMI).

The relationship between MP and diabetes is only now attracting research interest, possibly as a result of the outcomes of clinical trials, which demonstrate some form of DR. Apart from good systemic control of blood sugar levels, hypertension, lipid profiles, and renal function, current treatment modalities for DR are limited to laser photocoagulation and/or intravitreal injections. These are effective modes of treatment but they also have their own limitations and side effects. New modalities should, therefore, be preventative in nature and ideally implemented long before overt clinical symptoms develop.

Macular pigment is believed to possess antioxidant properties and to limit retinal oxidative damage by absorbing harmful short-wavelength blue light. Macular pigment is highly concentrated at the central macula and is composed of the dietary hydroxycarotenoids lutein, zeaxanthin, and meso-zeaxanthin. These hydroxycarotenoids are found in the retina to the exclusion of all other 600 carotenoids found in nature. Concentrations of carotenoids in human serum and deposition of the macular carotenoids in the retina to form MP are highly variable and reflect not only dietary intake but also factors such as carotenoid chemistry, individual efficacy of absorption, fat intake, competition among carotenoids for absorption, cholesterol and lipoprotein status, metabolic status, body composition, and body fat/body mass index (BMI).

The relationship between MP and diabetes is only now attracting research interest, possibly as a result of the outcomes of clinical trials, which demonstrate a protective effect of lutein and zeaxanthin supplementation in another oxidative stress-related condition, age-related macular degeneration. One experimental study on diabetic rats demonstrated a reduction in retinal oxidative damage after carotenoid supplementation. Lutein and zeaxanthin intake has also been shown to improve macular edema in DR patients. These results suggest that MP supplementation has the potential to inhibit or delay the development of macular disease in patients with diabetes.

This study was designed to investigate the cross-sectional relationship, if any, between diabetes and central MP and to explore the influence of potential explanatory factors, including glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), serum lipid levels, BMI, and dietary carotenoid intake on any relationship that might be observed.

Materials and Methods

Diabetic and normal control subjects were recruited for this cross-sectional, case–control study at the Mater Misericordiae University Hospital in Dublin, Ireland. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects before enrollment and examination.

Generic inclusion criteria were as follows: subjects were to be older than 18 years, not having taken dietary supplements containing lutein, zeaxanthin or meso-zeaxanthin over the 6-month period before the study, and logMAR visual acuity better than 0.2 (6/9) in the study eye. For normal (control) subjects, exclusion criteria included any sign of retinal or ocular abnormality and the presence of Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes. Patients with diabetes were excluded if they exhibited signs of ocular comorbidity (e.g., glaucoma, cataract, age-related macular degeneration), had previously undergone any form of treatment for DR or maculopathy, or if they exhibited any signs of proliferative retinopathy or maculopathy. The study eye was selected using the eye with better visual acuity, or, in cases of equal acuity, the right eye was selected as standard.

Subjects were assigned into one of three study groups on the basis of their ocular health status and diabetes type as follows: Group 1: nondiabetic controls; Group 2: Type 1 diabetes; Group 3: Type 2 diabetes. The following diabetes-related information was recorded for each diabetic participant: diabetes duration (in years); diabetes type; DR grade (graded according to modified 2-field Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study protocol-grade range R0 M0 to R2 M0), and diabetes medication. Demographic information, including age, gender, and history of smoking...
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(center smoker, exsmoker, and never smoker), was collected in relation to each subject.

Procedures

Macular pigment absorbs blue light and is optically undetectable at 6° to 8° eccentricity. In this study, MPOD was determined using the Macular Metrics Clinical Densitometer (Macular Metrics, Rehoboth, MA), which is based on the principle of heterochromatic flicker photometry. The basic measurement procedure involves presenting a small test stimulus that alternates between a measuring wavelength (458 nm), which is absorbed by macular pigment and a reference wavelength (540 nm) not absorbed by the pigment. The subject adjusts the intensity of the measuring wavelength (458 nm) luminance until there is minimum flicker (matching luminance). The luminance of the reference wavelength (540 nm) remains constant. The ratio of the amount of measuring wavelength (458 nm) light required to achieve the end point of matching luminance, or minimum flicker, for foveal and parafoveal readings is a measure of the amount of pigment present, and the logarithm of this ratio represents the optical density of MP.

Before using the Densitometer, all subjects were shown an explanatory video describing the method for recording null flicker matches. The measurement was conducted by a trained examiner, and all subjects were naive to the heterochromatic flicker photometry test. The MPOD measurement comprised the average of six readings (computed as the radiance value at which the subject reported null flicker) taken centrally at 0.5° retinal eccentricity and again at 0.7° retinal eccentricity. The target used for measuring MPOD at 0.5° eccentricity was a solid disc of 0.5° arc radius, and the parafoveal measurement was taken by asking the subject to fixate on a red light located precisely at 7° from central fixation. Measurements were deemed reliable and acceptable only when the standard deviation of null flicker responses was below 0.1.

A customized heterochromatic flicker photometry procedure was adopted, whereby flicker frequency was optimized for each participant before measurement of MPOD. The starting flicker was set at 10 Hz to 11 Hz. If the subject was unable to find no/minimum flicker, this frequency was increased until no/minimal flicker could be identified. If the null one was too wide, the flicker frequency was reduced. The flicker frequency was adjusted till the subject found a narrow null zone.

Dietary carotenoid intake was estimated using the lutein/zeaxanthin questionnaire (Carotenoid & Health Laboratory, Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Center on Aging, Tufts University, USA). Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.2 kg using a Seca Compact Digital Floor Scale 111, model 888 (Seca Limited), whereas height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a collapsible “Leicester Height Measure” stadiometer (CMS Weighing Equipment). Body mass index was given as weight (in kilograms)/height (in square meters). Diabetes duration (in years), diabetes type, and laboratory results including HbA1C and serum lipid (high-density lipoprotein [HDL], low-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, and triglycerides) levels of patients with diabetes were recorded for each subject.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical software package SPSS for Microsoft Windows (v.21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for analysis. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation throughout. The data were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. One-way analysis of variance was used to test for differences in normally distributed study parameters between groups, whereas the Kruskal–Wallis test was used to test for differences in group medians in nonnormally distributed data. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical data across the three study groups. Pearson’s product–moment correlation tests were performed to assess the relationship between MPOD and other study variables where appropriate. A general linear model approach was used to explore the relationship between diabetes type, other potential explanatory variables, and the dependent variable, MPOD. The level of statistical significance was set at the standard P < 0.05.

Results

One hundred and fifty subjects were recruited to the study and divided into 1 of the 3 study groups on the basis of their ocular health status and diabetes type, as follows: Group 1: nondiabetic controls (n = 48; male = 20, female = 28); Group 2: Type 1 diabetes (n = 34; male = 17, female = 17); Group 3: Type 2 diabetes (n = 68; male = 43, female = 25).

Kolmogorov–Smirnov analysis revealed that BMI, total cholesterol, lutein intake, zeaxanthin intake, and MPOD data were normally distributed (P > 0.05 for each), whereas all remaining variables exhibited non-normal distributions. Accordingly, parametric tests were applied, where relevant, to normally distributed data, and nonparametric equivalent tests to nonnormally distributed data. A comparison of the demographic and clinical characteristics of each group is presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Findings According to Classification Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Normal Controls</th>
<th>Type 1 Diabetes</th>
<th>Type 2 Diabetes</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>52.48 ± 16.03</td>
<td>43.67 ± 12.98</td>
<td>62.67 ± 11.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.7 ± 4.8</td>
<td>27.33 ± 4.77</td>
<td>31.44 ± 6.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.01‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking duration</td>
<td>2.13 years</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.60</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.33†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM duration, years</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22.73 ± 10.85</td>
<td>9.54 ± 7.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.08</td>
<td>6.88 ± 1.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.37 ± 2.06</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.85</td>
<td>0.55†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, mg/dL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.46</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL, mg/dL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.70</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.74</td>
<td>0.15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol mg/dL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.31 ± 0.82</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.89</td>
<td>0.14‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPOD</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.21</td>
<td>&lt;0.01‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutein intake, mg/day</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.06 ± 1.29</td>
<td>0.95 ± 1.73</td>
<td>0.93‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeaxanthin intake, mg/day</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.54‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lutein and zeaxanthin intake, mg/day</td>
<td>1.07 ± 1.25</td>
<td>1.23 ± 1.34</td>
<td>1.07 ± 1.83</td>
<td>0.88‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonproliferative DR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Yes = 23 (68%)</td>
<td>Yes = 24 (35%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No = 11 (32%)</td>
<td>No = 44 (65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square test.
†Kruskal-Wallis test.
‡One-way analysis of variance.
ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin level; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Post hoc analysis (Tukey test) revealed that MPOD was statistically significantly lower in Type 2 diabetes compared with both normal controls (P = 0.02) and Type 1 diabetes (P = 0.03), whereas the difference in MPOD between Type 1 diabetes and normal controls was not statistically significant (P = 0.99). The distribution of MPOD for each of the study groups is presented in Figure 1.

A general linear model analysis confirmed a significant effect of diabetes type on the dependent variable, MPOD (P = 0.04) but no effect of potentially confounding variables including age, BMI, DR status, HDL cholesterol, or HbA1c on MPOD (P = 0.16–0.74).

The distribution of MPOD according to DR status, which demonstrated no influence on MPOD in the general linear model analysis (P = 0.45), is presented in Figure 2.

Body mass index was statistically significantly higher in Type 2 diabetes compared with normal controls (P < 0.01) and Type 1 diabetes (P < 0.01), whereas the difference in BMI between Type 1 diabetes and normal controls was not statistically significant (P = 0.75). Body mass index, however, including controlling for confounding was not statistically significantly correlated with MPOD (r = 0.08, P = 0.51). The distribution of BMI for each of the study groups is presented in Figure 3.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that MPOD levels are significantly lower in Type 2 diabetes, when compared with Type 1 diabetes, which represents an entirely novel finding. This observation is significant given that similar dietary carotenoid intake was observed among the study groups and in the context of shorter diabetes duration among the Type 2 diabetes subjects. Similarly, the presence of nonproliferative DR seems not to influence MPOD levels, which are, surprisingly, slightly higher on average in those with retinopathy compared with those without retinopathy, although lower in both diabetic groups compared with normal controls.

The study further demonstrates that the MPOD levels in Type 2 diabetes are significantly lower than in normal controls, a finding, which is in general agreement with those of previous investigations that have explored the relationship between MPOD and diabetes. It has been shown, for example, that Type 2 diabetic subjects had lower MPOD when compared with nondiabetic controls, while Type 2 diabetes with mild nonproliferative retinopathy exhibited similar MPOD levels to Type 2 diabetes without retinopathy. In addition, serum lutein and zeaxanthin concentrations, which have been shown to be positively and significantly related to MPOD in normal subjects, have been shown to be significantly lower in diabetes compared with normal subjects. In more advanced cases of diabetic eye disease, subjects with Grade 2 maculopathy were found to have significantly lower MPOD than those without maculopathy. The apparent relationship between low MP levels and increasing severity of maculopathy prompted these study investigators to implicate oxidative stress as a causative factor, a concept that merits further discussion here.
Macular pigment optical density has a slow biological turnover, as it reflects the local balance between pro-oxidant stresses and antioxidant defenses in the retina. The retina is particularly susceptible to oxidative stress because of its high oxygen consumption, high proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids, and exposure to visible and short-wavelength blue light. There is also considerable evidence that hyperglycemia results in the generation of reactive oxygen species, ultimately leading to increased oxidative stress in the retina, which may play an important role in the etiology of diabetic complications. Poor metabolic control and longer duration of diabetes are directly linked to the prevalence of DR, whereas oxidative stress can be significantly reduced with aggressive control of hyperglycemia. We found no association, however, between MPOD and either HbA1c or diabetes duration in this study. Paradoxically, despite their lower MPOD, metabolic control appeared better, diabetes duration was shorter, and DR prevalence was lower for Type 2 compared with Type 1 diabetic subjects. Although HbA1c has previously been shown to be inversely and significantly related to MPOD among a smaller sample of Type 2 diabetic subjects, our findings imply that lower MPOD in Type 2 diabetes is not attributable to the diabetic condition, duration, or level of control, and suggests a need to explore alternative explanatory causes.

Although BMI measures do not provide a precise indicator of adiposity, it is likely that the statistically significantly higher BMI levels observed in the Type 2 diabetes group here, which averaged above WHO-defined BMI levels indicative of obesity (BMI > 30), are reflective of excess adiposity in this group compared with the normal control and Type 1 diabetes groups. To date, there has been very little consensus on the relationship between types of obesity and MPOD. One study reported a lack of an association between MPOD and various obesity indices, including waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and BMI, in a South-Indian population. Conversely, other studies have shown significant inverse relationships between body fat and MPOD in humans; however, these relationships differed between men and women.

Lutein and zeaxanthin are known to accumulate in adipose tissue, and lower MPOD values have also been reported among individuals exhibiting body fat measuring greater than 27%, when compared with those with lower body fat. Higher body fat percentage even within relatively healthy limits is associated with lower tissue lutein and zeaxanthin status, and renders the macular carotenoids less available to retinal tissue. Distribution of body fat in the body is also important, and it has been shown that lutein/zeaxanthin concentrations in adipose tissue differ according

Fig. 1. Macular pigment optical density distribution (mean ± 95% confidence intervals) according to diabetes status, illustrating the substantially lower MPOD levels among Type 2 diabetic subjects.
to body site, with levels demonstrably higher in abdominal fat than in the gluteofemoral fat depot. Interestingly, abdominal obesity is now considered an important risk factor and predictive indicator for the development of Type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Waist-to-height ratio has more recently been shown to have superior discriminatory power for detecting cardiovascular risk factors in both sexes and should be considered as a screening tool for diabetes, hypertension, and CVD in men and women. Future studies investigating the link between MPOD and obesity should, therefore, encompass more refined methods of body fat assessment including bioelectrical impedance analysis and waist-to-height ratio because BMI measurements alone may not be adequate to provide a true indication of adiposity, and this could explain the lack of an association between MPOD and BMI in our study.

Diets, which are high in fat and low in carotenoids, have been linked with increased oxidative stress. Obesity is also independently associated with increased oxidative stress, and an increased BMI has also been shown to be associated with increased risk of DNA damage due to oxidative stress. Serum 8-hydroxy 20-deoxy-guanosine (8-OhdG), for example, a known sensitive marker of oxidative DNA damage and of total systemic oxidative stress in vivo, has been shown to be positively correlated with BMI in people with Type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Adipose tissue produces bioactive substances called adipokines, which induce the production of reactive oxygen species by a combination of mechanisms including mitochondrial and peroxisomal oxidation of fatty acids and overconsumption of oxygen, thereby initiate a process of oxidative stress. Recent studies have highlighted the role of increased abdominal fat mass as a key driver of inflammation in Type 2 diabetes, a state closely associated with increased oxidative stress and macrovascular disease. Chronic inflammation induces changes in metabolic pathways and is believed to play a significant role in the progression from obesity to Type 2 diabetes.

Despite the lack of any direct association between BMI and MPOD observed herein, it is plausible to suggest that 1) more refined methods of body fat assessment such as bioelectrical impedance analysis and waist-to-height ratio might reveal an association that could explain the lower MPOD values observed in Type 2 diabetic subjects here and 2) that the combined effect of increased competition for lutein/zeaxanthin deposition and increased inflammation and oxidative stress levels in association with higher BMI/body fat, might explain, at least in part, the lower MPOD levels observed in the Type 2 diabetes group.

Fig. 2. The distribution of MPOD (mean ± 95% confidence intervals) according to DR status is illustrated. There is no significant difference in MPOD between patients with or without retinopathy indicating that retinopathy status seems not to be the main driver in influencing MP levels.
High-density lipoprotein differences observed between Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes could also contribute to the lower MP levels observed in the Type 2 diabetes group. Macular pigment carotenoids are primarily transported by HDL in plasma. It has been suggested that mechanisms governing the retinal capture and/or stabilization of these carotenoids in the retina may be subject to HDL influence, by affecting receptor-mediated uptake of these carotenoids from serum. High-density lipoprotein deficiencies are associated with lutein and zeaxanthin tissue deficiencies, most notably in the retina. Furthermore, it has been suggested that individuals exhibiting elevated serum triglyceride concentrations and concurrently reduced serum HDL concentrations may have a reduced capacity to transport lutein in serum. Such features are very characteristic of Type 2 but not Type 1 diabetes. Lipoprotein profile is adversely affected by insulin resistance and might mechanistically explain (by eliciting a reduced capacity to transport macular carotenoids), why Type 2 diabetes is associated with lower MP levels compared with Type 1 diabetes where insulin resistance is much less prominent. A more comprehensive investigation into the association between lipoprotein profile, MPOD, and dietary carotenoid intake among diabetic subjects is therefore warranted.

Average macular carotenoid intake was similar for the three study groups ($P = 0.88$) and at levels that are consistent with the lower limits of average daily carotenoid intake, (ranges from 1.1 to 1.6 mg/day). Although dietary intake is the primary driver of tissue carotenoid levels, the relationship between diet and deposition of these pigments in the retina is moderated by a number of factors. Retinal capture and/or stabilization of these carotenoids in the macula, may, for example, be subject to influence by obesity-induced inflammation and oxidative stress, competition between adipose and retinal tissue for the dietary carotenoids, impaired transport of circulating lutein/zeaxanthin, and/or genetic influence, which may help to explain the significant effect of Type 2 diabetes on MPOD.

Limitations to this cross-sectional case control study include the use of a dietary questionnaire designed for an American population among European participants, lack of serum carotenoid analysis, lack of detailed body fat analysis, and the absence of other clinical parameters such as inflammatory markers. Further research is needed in the diabetic population to uncover the relationship between disease progression, BMI including waist-to-height ratio, body fat composition, serum cholesterol, inflammatory status, and dietary carotenoid intake, as well as the mechanism of retinal damage in the presence of low MPOD. The effects of the adiposity, insulin resistance, inflammation, and HDL suppression characteristics that
differentiate Type 2 from Type 1 diabetes on MPOD should be particularly emphasized.

A longitudinal study, comparing MPOD in Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes with parameters such as lipoprotein profile (including low-density lipoprotein, HDL, and triglycerides), obesity indices (including waist-to-height, bioelectrical impedance analysis, BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip measurements), and dietary intake of lutein and zeaxanthin, would certainly provide a more holistic understanding of the relationship between MPOD and BMI in Type 2 diabetic patients over time. Certain features such as obesity, low HDL, and raised triglycerides are characteristic of Type 2 but not Type 1 diabetes, and these characteristics may indeed affect the transport, uptake, and stabilization of these carotenoids in the retina and would, therefore, warrant further investigation.

Key words: customized heterochromatic flicker photometry, diabetes mellitus, diabetic retinopathy, glycosylated hemoglobin, lutein, macular pigment optical density, meso-zeaxanthin, zeaxanthin.
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