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Abstract

The most common primary brain tumor in adults is glioblastoma. These tumors are highly invasive and aggressive with a mean survival time of nine to twelve months from diagnosis to death. Current treatment modalities are unable to significantly prolong survival in patients diagnosed with glioblastoma. As such, glioma is an attractive target for developing novel therapeutic approaches utilizing gene therapy. This review will examine the available preclinical models for glioma including xenographs, syngeneic and genetic models. Several promising therapeutic targets are currently being pursued in pre-clinical investigations. These targets will be reviewed by mechanism of action, i.e., conditional cytotoxic, targeted toxins, oncolytic viruses, tumor suppressors/oncogenes, and immune stimulatory approaches. Preclinical gene therapy paradigms aim to determine which strategies will provide rapid tumor regression and long-term protection from recurrence. While a wide range of potential targets are being investigated preclinically, only the most efficacious are further transitioned into clinical trial paradigms. Clinical trials reported to date are summarized including results from conditionally cytotoxic, targeted toxins, oncolytic viruses and oncogene targeting approaches. Clinical trial results have not been as robust as preclinical models predicted, this could be due to the limitations of the GBM models employed. Once this is addressed, and we develop effective gene therapies in models that better replicate the clinical scenario, gene therapy will provide a powerful approach to treat and manage brain tumors.
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A. INTRODUCTION

Malignant brain tumors constitute one of the most devastating forms of human cancer. Approximately 40% of all primary brain tumors arise from transformed glial cells and are therefore classified as gliomas. Astrocytomas are a heterogeneous group of tumors, which range from low grade to high grade anaplastic lesions, including the most aggressive variant, glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). GBM is a progressive tumor, acquiring genetic mutations as it becomes increasingly aggressive. While primary GBM arises and progresses rapidly to...
death, secondary GBM develops over time evolving by mutation from lower grade tumor types into GBM. After surgical resection, the incidence of GBM recurrence is high and the mutations found in recurrent GBM differ from those in the primary lesion. Recently, a small fraction of cells found in freshly resected human gliomas have been identified that are CD133+ with stem cell-like properties and these appear to be responsible for the majority of neoplastic tumor growth [Galiff et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2004; Tunici et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004]. The standard treatment for GBM include surgical debulking of the tumor mass which is accessible to the neurosurgeon, surgical biopsy for pathological diagnosis, chemotherapy and radio-therapy [Castro et al., 2003]. In spite of advances in all these treatment modalities, mean survival after diagnosis and surgical resection alone is approximately six months, with only 7-8% of the patients surviving for up to two years. Radiation and chemotherapy post-surgery can extend the survival time of these patients for up to nine months to a year [Shand et al., 1999; Burton et al., 2000; Beauchesne 2002; Castro et al., 2003]. Interestingly, recent evidence suggests that subpopulations of glioma patients may exist, based on their survival time post-treatment. Characterization of these patients using gene expression profiling revealed long-term survival differences after conventional treatments that far surpass all expectations, even after using the most modern and aggressive forms of treatment available to date [Rich et al., 2005]. The better surviving gliomas displayed a more differentiated phenotype defined by overexpression of genes involved in neurogenesis [Freije et al., 2004].

Due to the highly invasive nature of GBM, it is impossible for the most skilled neurosurgeon to remove all the tumor mass, usually leaving behind tumor remnants which cause the recurrences leading to the death of the patient (for a recent review of treatments targeting invasion see [Lefranc et al., 2005]). Furthermore, in some instances, the tumor is located in areas of the brain which makes total resection impossible, due to side effects such as neurological deficits and immediate morbidity. Also, increasing the field or dose of radiation therapy will yield unacceptable tissue damage, necrosis, edema and long term neurological deficits.

Due to the limitations of current treatment modalities, efforts are being directed at improving chemotherapeutic agents and more efficient delivery techniques which will improve the diffusion of the drugs through the blood brain barrier and the tumor mass. In addition, novel treatment modalities based on the delivery and expression of therapeutic genes which can induce tumor cell death, inhibit tumor angiogenesis, and induce an effective immune response against the GBM are being very actively pursued. In this review we will cover gene therapy approaches which harness the effects of cytotoxic tumor cell death, caused by either conditional cytotoxic genes, or direct cytotoxic approaches using toxins, in combination with immune stimulatory approaches to induce the generation of an effective systemic immune response against the tumor. These techniques in combination with current treatment modalities will greatly improve the prognosis and extend the lifespan of patients affected with this devastating form of brain cancer.

B. MODELS OF GLIOMA

The study of tumorigenesis and the evaluation of new therapies requires accurate and reproducible brain tumor animal models, which reduce the exposure of patients to non efficacious or unsafe drugs. Ideally, models of glioma should exhibit key features of the human disease state including glial differentiation of tumor cells, diffuse infiltration, neovascular proliferation, regional necrosis, and resemble progression kinetics and anti-tumor immune responses [Kleihues et al., 1970; Maher et al., 2001].

In vivo tumor models developed after intracranial or subcutaneous implantation of glioma cell lines in rodents are widely used in cancer therapy research. The advantages of these glioma
models are their highly efficient gliomagenesis, reproducible growth rates and an accurate knowledge of the site of the tumor. Some of the most widely used rat brain tumor models include 9L gliosarcoma, C6 glioma, CNS-1 glioma, F98 glioma, RG2 glioma and RT-2 induced glioma [Barth 1998]. CNS-1, F98 and RG2 glioma cells are excellent sources for brain tumor models, due to their glial phenotype, reproducible in vivo growth rates and histological features that closely resemble human glioma, being nonimmunogenic in syngeneic rats [Kruse et al., 1994] [Tzeng et al., 1991].

Mouse glioma models are also available for brain cancer research. Human glioma xenografts, including SF-295, U-251, D54 and U87, or rat glioma xenografts, such as C6 cells, implanted in immunocompromised mice or rats are extensively used. However, the impairment of immune-mediated events that occur during tumorigenesis and anti-cancer therapies limits their usefulness. Syngeneic mouse models, including GL26 cell [Albright et al., 1975] and GL261 [Akbasak et al., 1991] cell lines, which are nonimmunogenic when injected into C57BL6 mice have shown to be useful for studying the response of brain tumors to immunotherapy [Akbasak et al., 1991]. A recent syngeneic glioma cell line derived from spontaneous tumor in a transgenic animal called 4C8, shows histological features of human gliomas and constitutes a promising animal model for anti-cancer therapy experimentation [Weiner et al., 1999].

To more accurately represent the spontaneous development of glioma, genetically engineered mouse models have also been generated by modifying genes known to be altered in human gliomas. Genetic glioma models have advantages over cell implantation models, in that they mimic molecular and histological features of human brain tumors, as well as the tumorigenic process itself [Lampson 2001]. Although cell implantation allows probing site-specific effects and offers an easy and reliable model to test therapies, genetic glioma models simulate the interactions between the tumor and the surrounding brain tissue as well as the time course of gliomagenesis and progression [Lampson 2001].

Different approaches have been used to develop genetic models of glioma. Germline deletion of the tumor suppressor genes p53 or NF1 were found to increase the susceptibility to astrocytoma and glioblastoma in mice [Reilly et al., 2000]. Deletion of INK4A and ARF, concomitant with the somatic transfer of the receptor tyrosine kinase PDGF into astrocytes and CNS progenitor cells enhances the appearance of mixed oligoastrocytomas and oligodendrogliomas, respectively [Dai et al., 2001]. These reports, not only served as a source of new brain tumor animal models, but also, support the idea that deregulation of the cell cycle has a predominant role in carcinogenesis. In fact, transgenic mice overexpressing the oncogenes v-src and v12H-Ras under the control of the GFAP promoter develop astrocytomas which progression kinetics and histological features resemble the human tumors [Weissenberger et al., 1997] [Ding et al., 2001; Begemann et al., 2002].

C. TARGETS FOR GENE THERAPY OF GLIOMA

Preclinical progress using animal models has led to the characterization of potential gene therapeutic approaches for glioma. Conditional cytotoxic approaches introduce noncytotoxic enzymes into the glioma which upon prodruk administration convert the produgs into toxic compounds capable of killing tumors. Anti-angiogenic paradigms are designed to prevent the vascularization of tumors which is required for growth and metastasis. Immune stimulatory approaches seek to use the patient’s own immune system to target and destroy tumors; this approach ideally also would involve induction of immunological memory to protect against disease recurrence. Targeted toxin strategies utilize receptors specifically overexpressed on glioma cells to target the toxins directly into tumor cells, specifically destroying these cells. Also, tumor suppressor and oncogenes are targets for gene therapy and utilize the genetic abnormalities of the tumor as a therapeutic target. Substantial progress characterizing potential
treatments preclinically has occurred in all five target areas and will be summarized in subsequent sections.

1. Replication-Deficient Vectors Encoding Conditional Cytotoxic Genes to Treat Brain Tumors

In targeting brain tumors with conditionally cytotoxic therapies the goal is to achieve highly specific destruction of tumor cells without toxicity to normal tissue or induction of a systemic immune response against healthy tissues/organs. Conditionally cytotoxic gene therapy delivers an enzyme into tumor cells which is non-cytotoxic until the administration of a likewise, non-cytotoxic prodrug. Upon prodrug administration, the therapeutic enzyme converts the non-cytotoxic prodrug into a toxic metabolite able to induce cell death.

Initial investigations sought to exploit prodrug activation using endogenous enzymes expressed at higher levels in tumor cells [Connors et al., 1986; Cobb et al., 1969], however; clinical application was limited since such enzymes were expressed in normal cells and only a small number of human cancers had high enough levels of activating enzymes to elicit efficacy in cancer therapy. To overcome these problems, identification of non-mammalian enzyme/prodrug combinations was undertaken. Use of viruses to specifically target enzymes to tumors has produced promising results in vitro and in vivo.

For therapy to be successful the enzyme must be expressed exclusively within the tumor cells and its catalytic activity be high enough for clinical benefit without toxicity to normal tissue. Since expression will not occur in all tumor cells, a significant bystander effect is essential. Bystander effects occur when the cytotoxic metabolite is transmitted to cells not originally transduced with the enzyme. This may occur via transport through gap junctions or by diffusion through the extracellular space. In addition to delivery of the enzyme, delivery of the prodrug must be delayed sufficiently to allow expression of the enzyme in target cells.

A large number of enzyme/prodrug combinations have been discovered and characterized in brain tumor treatment. The most well characterized conditionally cytotoxic combinations are herpes simplex virus type-1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-TK)/ganciclovir (GCV) and cytosine deaminase (CD)/ 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC). In addition to these well characterized pairings, cytochrome P450/CPA, E. coli purine nucleoside phosphorylase/6-methyl-purine-2'-deoxyribose, carboxypeptidase/methotrexate-alpha-phenylalanine have all been under investigation for use in brain tumor treatment (for review see [Aghi et al., 2000; Greco et al., 2001]).

a. Herpes Simplex Virus Type-1 Thymidine Kinase/ Ganciclovir (GCV)—HSV1-TK was first developed as a prodrug-activating enzyme by Mooolten and has been studied intensively in pre-clinical and clinical studies to treat a wide range of solid tumors [Mooolten 1986; Ram et al., 1997]. In addition to wild-type TK, several TK mutants have shown increased TK mediated effects in glioma models [Cowill et al., 2000; Wiewrodt et al., 2003]. The prodrug GCV, is an acyclic analog of DNA nucleoside 2'-deoxyguanosine which HSV1-TK phosphorylates to convert into a toxic DNA analog which triggers tumor cell death.

HSV1-TK/GCV pairing was the first in which bystander effects were described [Freeman et al., 1993]. In murine glioma studies, total tumor regression was observed when at least 10% of tumor cells were transduced with HSV1-TK [Caruso et al., 1993; Freeman et al., 1993; Chen et al., 1995; Sandmair et al., 2000]. GCV-triphosphate moves between cells via gap junctions [Elshami et al., 1996; Mesnil et al., 1996; Dilber et al., 1997; Touraine et al., 1998] and triggers cell death through cell:cell contact.
Delivery of HSV1-TK into intracranial tumor has been successfully accomplished using replication-deficient retroviral vectors [Vincent et al., 1996], retroviral packaging cells [Takamiya et al., 1993; Izquierdo et al., 1995; Vincent et al., 1996], HSV vectors [Cobb et al., 1969; Boviatisis et al., 1994; Boviatisis et al., 1994] replication deficient adenoviral vectors [Chen et al., 1994; Perez-Cruet et al., 1994; Vincent et al., 1996], replication competent adenovirus [Nanda, 2001 #169; Nanda et al., 2001], and adeno-associated vectors [Mizuno et al., 1998; Okada et al., 2001]. Treatment triggered infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and macrophages as well as increased expression of a host of cytokines [Perez-Cruet et al., 1994; Vile et al., 1997]. Induction of the immune system resulted in tumor regression locally at the site of HSV1-TK/GCV action and at distant sites in both normal and immuno-compromised animals [Dilber et al., 1996; Wilson et al., 1996; Bi et al., 1997] (Fig. 1). CTL mediated regression of tumors produced long-term immunity to subcutaneous tumors. Likewise, treatment of subcutaneous tumors triggered regression of intracranial tumors even if the intracranial tumor was established before CTL response to the subcutaneous tumor was fully activated [Okada et al., 2001]. While HSV1-TK efficiently destroys tumor cells in the brain, long-term expression of HSV1-TK can result in chronic inflammatory [Dewey et al., 1999; Thomas et al., 2001] responses making the use of regulatable vectors a promising approach. Transduction of cells with HSV1-TK and treatment with GCV renders cells more sensitive to both chemotherapy and radiation suggesting that using multiple treatment modalities will produce more effective tumor regression [Kim et al., 1997; Valerie et al., 2000; Rainov et al., 2001; Neslin et al., 2004]. In addition to combining standard therapies, combining HSV1- TK with immune stimulatory strategies is under investigation and shows promise for more efficient tumor destruction. HSV1-TK has been combined with TNFα [Moriuchi et al., 1998; Niranjan et al., 2000; Niranjan et al., 2003], IL-4 [Benedetti et al., 1997; Okada et al., 2000], Flt3L [Ali et al., 2004; Ali et al., 2005], decorin [Biglari et al., 2004] and connexin 43 [Marconi et al., 2000] to attempt increased efficacy in preclinical GBM models.

b. Cytosine Deaminase/5-Fluorocytosine—As with HSV-ITK, cytosine deaminase (CD) produces a toxic nucleotide analog which triggers cell death. CD is not found in mammalian cells but occurs in bacteria and fungi catalyzing the conversion of cytosine to uracil. When combined with the prodrug 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC), deamination generates 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) which ultimately triggers cell death through inhibition of thymidylate synthase. CD/5-FC results in a strong bystander effect that is not cell contact specific [Domin et al., 1993]. Transduction of only 2-4% of cells resulted in significant regression of tumor as toxic metabolites diffuse freely [Huber et al., 1994; Trinh et al., 1995].

Delivery of CD either by replication-deficient adenovirus, oncolytic adenovirus or retrovirus caused tumor regression of both C6 and 9L rat models of glioma [Ge et al., 1997; Ichikawa et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2003; Conrad et al., 2005]. Areas of necrosis surrounded by apoptotic cells were observed [Ichikawa et al., 2000] as was demyelination and gliosis within areas of normal brain tissue. Both HSV1-TK and CD therapeutics result in apoptosis of cells that is independent of p53 or death receptors [Kurozumi et al., 2004]. Mitochondrial caspase activation is required in both modalities to induce apoptosis [Fischer et al., 2005]. To increase efficacy combination of CD/5FC with HSV1-TK/GCV results in faster and more complete tumor regression than either single therapy alone [Aghi et al., 1998; Chang et al., 2000]. Likewise CD cytotoxicity is enhanced by radiation therapy although damage to normal brain can also occur requiring strict definition of both therapeutic modalities [Kambara et al., 2002].

c. Cytochrome P450/Cyclophosphamide—Cytochrome P450 converts cyclophosphamide (CPA) into a mustard like toxin which triggers DNA crosslinking and protein alkylation [Dachs et al., 2005]. CPA can be activated by endogenous Cytochrome P450 in human liver requiring monitoring of liver function in studies involving this enzyme prodrug.
combination [Chang et al., 1993]. Cytochrome 450/CPA bystander effects do not require cell contact as metabolites released from the cell can trigger cytotoxicity in cells not directly transduced with cytochrome P450 [Wei et al., 1995]. Intracranial delivery of cytochrome P450 by adenovirus or retrovirus into either 9L or C6 glioma models resulted in at least a partial regression of tumor and prolonged survival [Wei et al., 1994; Manome et al., 1996]. In addition to CPA, cytochrome P450 produces cytotoxic effects in glioma cells when other prodrugs are used alone or in combination with CPA [Rainov et al., 1998; Aghi et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2000; Jounaidi et al., 2000; Frank et al., 2002]. Also, chemotherapy combined with cytochrome p450 gene therapy showed greater efficacy than either treatment alone[Chen et al., 1995].

d. E. coli Purine Nucleoside Phosphorylase—E. coli purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP) converts nontoxic purine nucleoside analogs into toxic adenine analogs to block both mRNA and protein synthesis. PNP can be combined with multiple prodrugs including 6-methylpurine and F-araAMP[Gadi et al., 2003; Parker et al., 2003]. High bystander activity which is cell contact independent may allow widespread tumor death from a relatively small dose of PNP. Delivery of PNP by adenovirus into subcutaneous glioma cells resulted in tumor elimination when only 2-5% of cells were directly transduced [Hong et al., 2004]. Subcutaneous tumors generated from glioma cells retrovirally transduced to express PNP showed regression upon prodrug administration [Parker et al., 1997; Gadi et al., 2003].

e. Carboxypeptidase G2—Carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2) is found in bacteria but not humans and removes glutamic acid moieties from folic acid, inhibiting cell growth. When combined with the prodrug [Marais et al., 1996] 4-benzoyl-L-glutamic acid (CMDA), a DNA-crosslinking mustard drug is released [Springer et al., 1990]. Unlike HSV1-TK and CD, catalysis of the prodrug with CPG2 does not require further enzymatic processing to become the final toxic compound. Mustard-alkylating agents are not cell-cycle dependent enabling the killing of proliferating and non-proliferating cells [Springer et al., 2000]. As with other enzyme/prodrugs, CPG2/CMDA produces a robust bystander effect. Only 10-12% transduction resulted in 50-100% killing in vitro or in vivo [Marais et al., 1996; Stribbling et al., 2000]. Replication-deficient adenoviral vector delivery of CPG2 into glioma cells which were resistant to chemotherapeutic drugs and not killed by HSVTK/GCV showed 70% cell killing [Cowen et al., 2002].

2. Suppression of Angiogenesis

Large tumors consist of poorly vascularized but densely packed cells through which nutrients and oxygen do not permeate readily. This environment initially restricts growth of tumors and angiogenesis is required to supply sufficient oxygen and nutrients to tumors to sustain further growth [Singh et al., 2003]. Angiogenesis involves the rapid proliferation of endothelial vascular cells, culminating in the formation of new blood vessels, and is tightly regulated in adults. This regulation is coordinated by the expression of both activators and inhibitors of angiogenesis. As tumors increase in size, a need arises for vascularization within the tumor mass before further growth can occur. Therefore, a selective pressure is placed on the tumor cells to alter the expression of promoters and inhibitors of angiogenesis and in doing so to stimulate the development of new vasculature.

Glioblastoma is among the most highly vascularized of all tumors; acquiring the ability to promote angiogenesis is a critical step in the progression of a tumor from a benign, microscopic lesion to a malignant macroscopic cancer. Consequently, angiogenesis has received much attention as a potential therapeutic target. These therapies are expected to have few serious side effects because angiogenesis in healthy adult humans usually only occurs in response to pathological insults from wounds or hypoxia. Several of these angiogenic inhibitors have been shown to reduce tumor growth in vitro and in vivo [Kirsch et al., 2000]. However, a number
of disadvantages limit the potential of angiogenic inhibitors in clinical setting. First, production of sufficient quantities of angiogenic inhibitors is expensive limiting the availability of these drugs in clinical trials. Synthetic small molecule inhibitors of angiogenesis are being developed to overcome this problem [Sebti et al., 2000] but the side effects of these drugs are unknown. Second, angiogenic inhibitors are believed to be cytostatic, not cytotoxic requiring long-term treatment to control and ultimately reduce tumor size [Kirsch et al., 2000]. Third, toxic side effects have been observed with systemic delivery of some angiogenic inhibitors [Puduvalli et al., 2000]. Gene therapy offers distinct advantages to deliver clinically effective doses of angiogenic inhibitors to the tumor and has been successfully employed in the treatment of a variety of tumors in preclinical studies [Chen et al., 2001].

a. Targets That Promote Angiogenesis—The first growth factor identified as a positive regulator of angiogenesis was basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) [Montesano et al., 1986] and increased expression of bFGF correlates with progression of a wide variety of solid tumors [Szabo et al., 1998]. Adenoviral gene transfer of bFGF was found to promote angiogenesis in rat brains [Yukawa et al., 2000]. However, a clear correlation between increased bFGF expression and glioma progression has not been demonstrated in glioma suggesting that bFGF is not the principle mediator of angiogenesis [Markert et al., 2000]. Another promoter of angiogenesis called vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was found to be overexpressed in high grade gliomas [Plate et al., 1995]. Expression of the receptors for VEGF, Flt-1 (VEGFR-1) and Fk-1 (VEGFR-2), are also elevated in glioblastoma in comparison with surrounding normal tissue and Fk-1 in particular is believed to promote angiogenesis in response to VEGF [Stratmann et al., 1997]. Transfection of anti-sense VEGF cDNA into rat glioma C6 cells in vitro impaired C6 tumor cells growth in comparison to controls when subsequently implanted into nude mice [Saleh et al., 1996]. Recombinant viruses have also been used to transfer anti-sense VEGF cDNA sequence and rats with intracranial neoplasms showed a statistically significant improvement in survival when treated with this retrovirus [Sasaki et al., 1999]. A VEGF receptor that displays dominant negative function when overexpressed in cells has also been developed and was expressed by a retrovirus. Survival was successfully prolonged in rats with intracranial tumors and these tumors displayed many classical signs of impaired angiogenesis including reduced vascular density and elevated necrosis [Machein et al., 1999; Heidenreich et al., 2004]. Urokinase Plasminogen activated receptor (uPAR) and Cathepsin B are also overexpressed during glioma progression and have been implicated in promoting angiogenesis. Adenovirus expressing anti-sense uPAR and Cathepsin B and injection of plasmid DNA encoding siRNA sequences targeting uPAR and Cathepsin B inhibit glioma growth, invasion and angiogenesis [Gondi et al., 2004; Gondi et al., 2004].

b. Targeting Inhibitors of Angiogenesis—The relatively low percentage of cells transduced by recombinant viral vectors is a limiting factor in inhibiting targets which promote angiogenesis. Inhibitors of angiogenesis overcome this problem and have been the subject of numerous pre-clinical studies. Many naturally occurring inhibitors of angiogenesis are derived from proteolytic degradation of the extracellular matrix. Endostatin and angiostatin are generated following the proteolytic cleavage of plasminogen and collagen respectively and are potent inhibitors of angiogenesis [O’Reilly et al., 1994; O’Reilly et al., 1997]. These peptides are difficult to generate in sufficient quantities in vitro, and thus are ideal candidates as transgenes for gene therapy. Recombinant viral vectors that express endostatin [Yamanaka et al., 2001; Perulis et al., 2002] or angiostatin [Tanaka et al., 1998; Ma et al., 2002] have been developed and tested in preclinical models of glioma. Improved survival of animals with intracranial neoplasms was observed in all cases and tumor growth rates were reduced by as much as 90%. Other anti-angiogenic protein fragments have also been studied for effectiveness in animal models of glioma and these include soluble human platelet factor 4 and the N-terminal
3. Immune Stimulation

Histological analysis of tumors reveals that an immune response is often elicited against the tumor. Inflammation, and even tumor-specific lymphocytes are often evident, and in some rare cases, tumor regression spontaneously occurs in response to autoimmune paraneoplastic syndromes [Nagel et al., 1971; Darnell et al., 1993]. This is believed to be caused by tumor specific antigen expression and underscores a role for the immune system in cancer immunosurveillance and control of disease progression. Unfortunately, most tumors develop counter measures that hamper an effective immune response developing against the growing tumor. As a result, there is significant interest in developing immunotherapies to improve the response of the immune system to the tumor. Gene therapy offers numerous different mechanisms to stimulate an immune response against tumors. We shall briefly outline progress in the four most promising areas.

a. Tumor Antigens Delivered through Adenoviral Expression—Most if not all tumors express proteins that are recognized by the immune system and are called tumor antigens. Adenoviral vectors can be engineered to express these antigens as transgenes and subsequently used to prime an immune response against that target antigen if injected systemically. Promising results from preclinical trials have been reported for renal cell carcinoma among others, where adenovirus expresses the tumor antigen carbonic anhydrase IX protein [Jongmans et al., 2003]. However, it is unclear whether this approach would be effective for mounting an effective immune response against gliomas.

b. Enhancement of the Immune Response Using Interferons—Interferons secreted ligands involved in immunity and inflammation. They are potentially valuable targets in gene therapy due to the highly specific immune-stimulatory function of many of these molecules. Type I interferons, including IFN-α, IFN-β and IFN-ω are produced primarily by a specialized population of dendritic cells in response to viral infection and other immune modulators. IFN-α has been shown to elicit numerous anti-tumor effects including inhibition of cell cycle progression, induction of apoptosis and stimulation of the immune system to destroy tumor cells [Kemp et al., 2003; Tosi et al., 2004]. In addition, treatment of human glioblastoma cell lines with IFN-α increased cell surface expression of MHC-1 [Yang et al., 2004]. Intramuscular delivery of plasmid DNA encoding IFN-α significantly reduced the tumor volume in a mouse model of glioma when compared with control animals [Horton et al., 1999]. IFN-α also promoted regression of intracranial gliomas when co-delivered with dendritic cells directly into the tumor mass [Tsugawa et al., 2004]. Another type I interferon called IFN-α provides systemic anti-tumor immunity against GL261 cells when delivered intracranially. This reduces tumor growth and improves survival in C57 BL6 mice through a combination of anti-proliferative effects and also the activation of CD8+ but not CD4+ cells [Natsume et al., 2000]. In another report, combination of IFN-α and dendritic cells was found to suppress tumor growth. This was mediated by a highly effective CTL response against the tumor and was far more efficient that either therapy alone [Nakahara et al., 2003]. An adeno-associated virus designed to deliver this transgene has also been developed and completely inhibits growth of
exogenous human tumor xenografts in nude mice, further supporting the potential of IFN-α as a novel therapy for treating human glioma [Yoshida et al., 2002].

c. Enhancing T Cell Activation—A number of cytokines are believed to activate various subclasses of T lymphocytes. For example, IL-12 is required for the anti-tumor Th1 type pattern of differentiation in naïve mature T lymphocytes. Adenovirus expressing IL-12 has been reported to enhance the immune response to brain tumors and improve survival in mice inoculated with GL26 glioma cells intracranially. Increased CD4+ and increased CD8+ T cells were identified at the tumor site [Liu et al., 2002]. Recently, allogenic cells genetically engineered to secrete IL-2, were found to significantly improve survival in a mouse glioma model. The immune response was found to be predominantly mediated by CD8+ and natural killer cells (NK) and was highly specific for the glioma cells above nonneoplastic cells [Lichtor et al., 2003].

d. Mobilizing Dendritic Cells—It is believed that dendritic cells are the principal antigen presenting cells of the immune system and are required for the development of an antigen-dependent immune response. Dendritic cells differentiate from precursor cells in response to Flt3L expression through a STAT3 dependent mechanism [Laouar et al., 2000]. Expression of Flt3L has been demonstrated to induce complete tumor regression and significantly improve survival [Lynch et al., 1997]. Furthermore, dendritic cells are highly effective inducers of tumor specific killer and helper T lymphocyte generation in animal models of tumors [Schuler et al., 2003]. Therefore, interest has been generated surrounding the use of dendritic cells and Flt3L in immunotherapy.

Dendritic cells are absent from the brain parenchyma except under conditions of inflammation and it is believed that this a major reason for immune privilege of the brain [McMenamin 1999; Fischer et al., 2000; Serafini et al., 2000; Fischer et al., 2001; Santambrogio et al., 2001; Lowenstein 2002]. This places spatial limits on the ability of dendritic cells to migrate to intracranial tumors. One strategy for circumventing this problem is to deliver dendritic cells directly into the intracranial tumor mass [Tsugawa et al., 2004]. Another solution is to pulse dendritic cells with glioma antigen in vitro, before re-administering these cells in the periphery [Heimberger et al., 2000]. Unfortunately, manipulation of dendritic cells in vitro may reduce the effectiveness of the therapy by inducing unwanted side effects. Our group has developed an alternative strategy, utilizing an adenoviral vector expressing the dendritic cell growth factor, Flt3L, and have used this approach to successfully treat both microscopic and macroscopic models of glioblastoma in a syngeneic rat model [Ali et al., 2004; Ali et al., 2005] (Fig. 2). Adenoviral vectors are delivered directly into the tumor mass and cause a potent, anti-tumor immune response resulting in the rejection of the tumor in 60-80% of animals where all other therapies tested fail [Ali et al., 2005]. Depletion of either CD4+ T cells or macrophages caused the therapy to fail completely, suggesting that by presenting antigen to Th cells, DC’s primed a potent anti-tumor immune response (Fig. 3). This data highlight the promise of immuno-therapies in greatly enhancing the efficacy of current therapies and the potential of curing the disease.

4. Targeted Toxins

Several cellular receptors are exclusively overexpressed on brain tumor cells have been used to target anti-cancer therapy. Human gliomas in situ overexpress several membrane molecules, including variants of the IL-13 receptor, IL13Rα2 [Debinski et al., 1999; Debinski et al., 2000; Li et al., 2002; Todhunter et al., 2004], the urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) receptor [Mori et al., 2000; Todhunter et al., 2004] and the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor [Phillips et al., 1994; Liu et al., 2005]. These receptors are virtually absent in the normal brain; thus, they have been targeted in preclinical and clinical trials for the treatment
King et al.
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of brain tumors, with minimal side effects to normal brain tissue. Natural ligands of IL13Rα2, uPA receptor and EGF receptor, i.e., IL-13, uPA and EGF/transforming growth factor α (TGF-α), respectively, have been fused to the catalytic and translocation domains of highly cytotoxic bacterial products, such as Pseudomonas [Phillips et al., 1994; Debinski et al., 1995] and Diphtheria exotoxins [Mori et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2002; Todhunter et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005]. These fusion toxins have shown to be selectively internalized by glioma cells. Once internalized the toxins inhibit protein synthesis, which induces cell death of the targeted cell without affecting normal brain cells. In vitro and in vivo experiments in murine glioma models have shown the high efficacy and low toxicity of these approaches [Phillips et al., 1994; Debinski et al., 1995; Mori et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2002; Todhunter et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005].

IL-13 is a cytokine that binds in normal cells to a heterodimeric receptor complex composed of IL-13 receptor and IL-4 receptor. Although this receptor is widely expressed in normal peripheral tissues, it is virtually absent in normal brain tissue [Abramovitch et al., 1995; Mintz et al., 2002]. However, IL-13 binds with high affinity to glioma cells [Debinski et al., 1995; Debinski et al., 1996] due to the overexpression of IL-13Rα2, a restricted monomeric receptor with affinity for IL-13, but not for IL-4 [Debinski et al., 1999; Debinski et al., 2000; Debinski et al., 2000; Mintz et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003; Mintz et al., 2003]. This feature of IL-13Rα2 can be used as a therapeutic target for GBM.

Pseudomonas exotoxin is a cytotoxic bacterial protein which encompasses three functional domains. Domain I binds the α2-macroglobulin receptor, which is ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues, and the exotoxin-α2-macroglobulin receptor complex undergoes receptor-mediated endocytosis [Pastan et al., 1992]. Domain II is a site of proteolytic cleavage that activates the resulting exotoxin and is necessary to catalyze the translocation of the toxin into the cytosol. Domain III directs the processed fragment of the toxin to the endoplasmic reticulum and possesses an ADP ribosylation activity that inactivates elongation factor 2, inhibiting protein synthesis and leading to cell death [Pastan et al., 1992] (Fig. 4). The mutant exotoxin, PE38QQR (PE), does not bind to the ubiquitous α2-macroglobulin receptor due to the deletion of domain I [Debinski et al., 1994], and can be linked to various ligands in order to promote its internalization into target tumor cells. In order to target the PE toxin to human glioma cells, a fusion protein was developed by linking the mutated form of Pseudomonas exotoxin to hIL-13 throughout its N-terminal domain, to generate hIL-13-PE [Debinski et al., 1995]. This recombinant protein, also termed IL-13 toxin, is cytotoxic to human glioblastoma cells expressing the IL-13α2 receptor in culture [Debinski et al., 1995; Debinski et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2003] and in human xenograft glioma cells implanted in the flank of nude mice [Husain et al., 2001]. The targeting of IL-13α2 receptor has been improved by the engineering of the human IL-13 gene, leading to a mutated IL-13 toxin with higher cytotoxicity and affinity for the IL-13α2 receptor when compared to the wild type IL-13 toxin [Debinski et al., 1998; Madhankumar et al., 2004]. The fusion of this muIL-13 to PE resulted in an even more active cytotoxin on glioma tumors both in vitro and in vivo with negligible affinity to IL-13 receptor of normal cells [Debinski et al., 1998]. Intratumoral administration of IL13-PE toxin into intracranial human glioma xenografts in mice showed highly cytotoxic effects without undesirable side effects [Kawakami et al., 2004].

5. Tumor Suppressors and Oncogenes

All cancerous cells were originally derived from normal precursors. However, cancerous cells harbor harmful mutations in key genes, either tumor suppressors or oncogenes, which regulate proliferation and/or apoptosis. It is widely accepted that tumorigenesis is a multi-step process that requires mutations in many different genes in the DNA of an individual cell, such as genes that promote cell cycle progression, growth factor independence, angiogenesis, increased
motility, anchorage independence, decreased levels of apoptosis and reduced sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents. The genetics of gliomagenesis is well characterized in comparison with other cancers and this information can be used to develop gene therapy that repairs these genetic aberrations. Mutations in four pathways in particular are commonly associated with glioma formation in humans; the P53/ARF/human MDM2 pathway, the P16/Rb/cyclinD/CDK4 pathway, the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)/Ras pathway and the PI3K/PTEN/Akt pathway [Merlo 2003]. Viral vectors have been designed that express transgenes commonly mutated in glioma in an attempt to correct the genetic mutations.

a. Tumor Suppressors : p53—P53 is often referred to as “the guardian of the genome” and is mutated or absent in over 50% of all human tumors. Other proteins known to regulate P53 expression such as c-Jun and MDM2, and downstream effectors of p53 including P21 and E2F1 are also frequently mutated in cancer. In fact, mutations in components of the p53 pathway are believed to occur in >90% of all human tumors, including human gliomas. The principal role of p53 as a tumor suppressor is to detect gross genetic abnormalities during DNA synthesis. Expression of p53 is absent in quiescent cells but is expressed in cells during cell cycle progression or in response to genotoxic insults. Once a genetic abnormality has been detected, p53 arrests cell cycle progression and monitors the tumor repair process. If the DNA damage is too great, p53 may induce apoptosis. This altruistic behavior is vital to the collective well being of the organism and greatly reduces the frequency of tumor formation.

Allelic loss of chromosome 17p or mutations in p53 gene are observed with equal frequency in low grade gliomas and high grade glioblastomas [Louis 1994] suggesting that inactivation of p53 occurs early during gliomagenesis and may be an important target for gene therapy. Re-introduction of wild-type p53 into glioma with p53 mutations has been the subject of intense scientific research. Early results suggested that the re-introduction of p53 reduced the proliferation of glioma cells in vitro and suppressed tumor formation when implanted into nude mice [Asai et al., 1994]. Adenovirus expressing p53 was later demonstrated to reduce tumor volume by 40% over 14 days in rats, [Badie et al., 1995; Kock et al., 1996]. P53 as a therapeutic transgene is not limited to glioma that have lost P53 function. Overexpression of p53 using viral vectors improved survival against challenge with wild type p53 expressing glioma cell lines, indicating a versatile function for this transgene in treating all forms of glioma [Li et al., 1999]. P53 overexpression increases the sensitivity of drug and radiation resistant glioma cell lines to cisplatin and radiotherapy in vitro [Gjerset et al., 1995] and adenovirus expressing p53 restored the sensitivity of 9L glioblastoma cells to cisplatin [Dongo et al., 1998] and radiotherapy [Badie et al., 1998] in pre-clinical models of glioma. P53 increases the expression of numerous apoptotic proteins in cells, including BAX activators Bim and DP5, and the death receptor ligand FasL. In a recent study, adenoviral vectors expressing p53 under the control of the CMV promoter were demonstrated to induce significant levels of apoptosis as measured by DNA ladder when injected intracranially into the tumor. Furthermore, a 100% survival rate was observed in these animals 100 days following viral injection [Cirielli et al., 1999]. A number of downstream effectors of p53 such as P21, E2F1 and P16 have also shown promising results in pre-clinical glioma models [Chen et al., 1996; Fueyo et al., 1998]. In fact, vectors expressing P16 and P21 were more effective than P53 at improving survival [Wang et al., 2001], although this has yet to be validated in human clinical trials.

An alternative strategy was originally conceived by Bischoff JR and others and takes advantage of the anti-viral properties of p53. The human AdE1B gene is expressed during adenovirus infection and codes for the 55 kDa protein that binds with and inactivates p53. E1B is essential for a successful viral replication cycle within the host cell and adenoviruses lacking the E1B gene are unable to replicate inside cells expressing normal p53. These recombinant viral particles have since been named ONYX-015 and were cytopathic against p53-deficient human
tumor cell lines implanted in nude mice [Bischoff et al., 1996; Heise et al., 1997; [Geoerger et al., 2003].

b. Rb Pathway—The P16/Rb/cyclinD/CDK4 pathway is the most frequently mutated pathway in glioma, and mutations generally characterize a transition from low-grade tumors with relatively slow rate of proliferation to intermediate-grade gliomas with dramatically increased cell proliferation [Maher et al., 2001]. In normal quiescent cells, Rb is present in a hypophosphorylated form and is bound by the transcription factor E2F1. This prevents transcription of genes important for mitosis and prevents progression of the cell through the G1/S phase restriction point [Sherr 1996]. In gliomagenesis, allelic losses on chromosome 9q or 13q, or amplification of 12q usually accompany transition of glioma from low grade to intermediate grade [Schmidt et al., 1994; Ueki et al., 1996]. This was later found to correspond with loss of Rb (13q14), loss of INK4A and ARF (9p21), or amplification of CDK4 (12q13-14). Adenovirus mediated Rb gene therapy has been successfully used in pre-clinical models of glioma, where it was found to decrease the proliferation of spontaneous pituitary tumors in Rb−/− mice and prolonged survival of animals [Riley et al., 1996]. In a similar strategy to ONYX-15, a recombinant adenovirus lacking AdE1A (Delta24) can only replicate in cells expressing phospho-RB and is preferentially cytotoxic to glioma cells. A single injection of Delta24 reduced growth of flank tumors by 66%, and multiple injections reduced tumor growth by 84% [Fueyo et al., 2000].

More recently, substantial research has also investigated the potential of P16INK4A to reduce tumor proliferation and improve survival in rodent models of glioma. P16INK4A inhibits Rb phosphorylation and is mutated in more than 50% of glioblastomas [Lee et al., 2000]. P16INK4A expressing vectors were demonstrated to improve survival in animal models of glioma, even when compared with P53 expressing vectors [Wang et al., 2001]. In spite of these promising results, caution is warranted with all therapies designed to repair common genetic lesions in glioma. In a recent report, P16INK4A was expressed in glioma cell lines under the control of the Tet repressor system. Elevated P16INK4A reduced tumor proliferation in vivo initially, supporting work published by others. However, long term transgene expression induced a decrease in the expression of Rb suggesting that gene therapy approaches involving P16INK4A may ultimately lead to the selection of Rb deficient tumors [Simon et al., 2002]. In fact, this is a potential problem of all approaches designed to correct genetic lesions in cancer. Tumor cells are genetically unstable and undergo accelerating genetic mutation. Unfortunately, this accelerates natural selection and will select for tumor cells that overcome this transgene insertion. The possibility of tumor cells compensating for transgene insertion through one or more subsequent mutations must be explored in all promising therapies that repair the primary genetic lesion in cancer.

D. CLINICAL TRIALS OF GENE THERAPY FOR GLIOMA

GBM treatment involves surgical resection combined with chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Even with aggressive therapeutic approaches mean patient survival from initial diagnosis has remained at nine to twelve months for over 5 decades. Numerous gene therapy approaches have moved from preclinical studies to clinical trials with the goal of delivering gene-based therapeutics into the tumor mass to trigger tumor elimination and long standing protection against recurrence.

Multiple approaches to specifically target brain tumor cells have been developed and will be discussed. Conditional cytotoxicity delivers non-cytotoxic therapies into tumor cells where upon administration of a produg, cytotoxic metabolites are produced which trigger tumor cell death. Targeted toxins specifically deliver toxins like pseudomonas endotoxin or diphereria toxin into tumor cells by targeting receptors upregulated only on tumor cells. Oncolytic viruses
cause tumor cell lysis and viral spread after infection by specifically infecting tumor cells with genetic/metabolic alterations relative to normal tissue.

Delivery of therapeutics into the brain poses a significant challenge in the development of novel treatments for GBM where in addition to having to target a large tumor mass or resected tumor cavity, the neurosurgeon encounters the bone structure of the cranium and the blood brain barrier. The use of convection enhanced delivery (CED) may presently represent the best option to achieve safe widespread distribution of the therapeutic vectors/compounds. By this method several catheters are placed within the target brain area and infusion of the therapeutic is conducted at a continuous and slow rate. CED has been particularly successful in clinical trials utilizing targeted toxins [Qureshi et al., 2000; Saito et al., 2004].

1. Conditional Cytotoxicity

The majority of brain tumor related clinical trials utilize viral vectors delivering a Herpes Simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-TK) gene (mechanism of action described above). To date clinical trials using HSV1-TK to transduce brain tumors have been completed using liposomes, replication-deficient retrovirus producing cells or replication-deficient adenoviruses.

Retroviruses selectively target actively dividing cells making them an attractive vector in the brain where tumor cells are the only rapidly dividing cells. However low titers and unstable virus particles have required the use of virus producing cells (VPCs) instead of direct viral injection into brain [Short et al., 1990; Ram et al., 1997; Rainov et al., 2003]. VPCs continuously produce replication-deficient retrovirus vectors with a very low risk of wild-type virus production from recombination events. VPCs are short lived vector producers incapable of migration, limiting their usefulness [Rainov et al., 2003].

Phase one/two clinical trials to determine maximum tolerable dose (MTD) and toxicity of VPCs producing retroviruses expressing HSV1-TK in treatment of brain cancer have been extensively performed. Most studies involve implanting VPCs into the cavity of resected tumors. After VPCs implantation, virus diffused into surrounding tissue and ganciclovir was administered; patients were evaluated for survival and toxicity [Izquierdo et al., 1996; Ram et al., 1997; Klatzmann et al., 1998; Shand et al., 1999; Packer et al., 2000; Rainov et al., 2000; Prados et al., 2003]. VPCs in small tumors produced anti-tumor effects [Izquierdo et al., 1996; Ram et al., 1997] and individual case studies showed increased immune response following treatment [Izquierdo et al., 1996; Floeth et al., 2001; Kramm et al., 2002]. In general however, survival increases were marginal and limited to a small number of the total patients treated in a trial. Bystander and tumor transduction rates were considerably lower than that observed in preclinical studies [Izquierdo et al., 1997; Ram et al., 1997; Harsh et al., 2000].

The MTD was not determined as all doses used were well tolerated. Concerns for safety resulted in evaluation of anti-virus antibody titers as a systemic immune response to the virus could cause a life threatening situation. While some studies show no change, others showed a small number of patients with increased antibody titers [Ram et al., 1997; Shand et al., 1999], however, no systemic effects caused by the treatment were observed. Evaluation of peripheral blood lymphocytes for wild-type or replication-deficient therapeutic virus showed low or transient presence of therapeutic virus and no wild-type virus outside of the brain [Long et al., 1998; Long et al., 1999]. To evaluate survival, a larger randomized controlled trial was conducted once safety and toxicity had been established. A randomized controlled, multicenter trial involving 248 patients found that while VPC-expressing therapeutic vectors were safe, no significant difference in survival was evident [Rainov 2000] requiring further refinement of treatment strategies to reproduce the preclinical effects observed in a clinical setting.
To increase clinical efficacy, combinations of HSV1-TK with immune stimulatory factors have also reached clinical trial stages. VPCs expressing both Interleukin 2 and HSV1-TK and Interleukin 4 and HSV1-TK have been injected into patients [Colombo et al., 1997; Palu et al., 1999; Okada et al., 2000]. Results combining IL-2 and HSV1-TK indicate that the treatment is safe and causes increased infiltration of immune cells and tumor necrosis [Palu et al., 1999].

Adenoviral vectors are non integrating, nonenveloped viruses which express transgenes at high levels, are producible at high titers, and infect both dividing and non-dividing cells. Studies comparing either retrovirus producing cells and replication deficient adenoviral vectors' efficiency in transducing human glioma tumors found higher gene transfer efficiency and greater survival times with replication deficient adenoviral vectors [Puumalainen et al., 1998; Sandmair et al., 2000].

Phase one trials using replication deficient adenovirus to deliver HSV1-TK into resected tumor beds or intratumorally followed by ganciclovir administration have established that no systemic toxicity occurs when viral vector administration remain below 10^12 viral particles [Trask et al., 2000; Smitt et al., 2003]. When 2x10^12 vp were injected intratu-morally, toxicity with confusion hypotremia and seizures resulted. Post mortem tumors examined following treatment show areas of necrosis and infiltration of macrophages and lymphocytes consistent with an immune response to the tumor [Trask et al., 2000].

The primary concern in the use of adenovirus is a systemic immune response to the virus, since the majority of adults have been exposed to and have mounted an immune response to wild-type adenovirus. No systemic or local symptoms consistent with overt inflammatory processes were observed [Trask et al., 2000; Germano et al., 2003; Smitt et al., 2003]. Likewise, while increased anti-adenoviral vector antibodies were reported in some patients, no symptoms associated with this increase were reported [Sandmair et al., 2000; Germano et al., 2003; Immonen et al., 2004].

With promising results from toxicity studies, a randomized controlled study was conducted involving 36 patients injecting replication-deficient adenovirus into the tumor bed following tumor resection. Mean survival time of adenoviral treated patients was 70.6 weeks compared to 39 weeks with controls [Immonen et al., 2004]. While not curative, these results are statistically significant and very encouraging.

With the concerns of immunogenicity and inflammation associated with the use of viral vectors for gene therapy, development of non viral vectors to deliver therapeutic genes has also lead to clinical trials. In a phase I/II study of the safety and MTD using liposome mediated delivery of HSV1-TK in patients with recurrent glioma, no systemic side effects or immune response associated with the treatment were observed. HSV1-TK cDNA was detectable in cells up to 70 days after infusion. While treatment was not curative, tumor regression was observed in a majority of patients [Voges et al., 2003]. In addition to HSV1-TK delivery, clinical trials are underway to deliver interferon β to brain tumors utilizing liposome technology [Yoshida et al., 2004].

2. Targeted Toxins

The ability to deliver targeted therapeutics to treat brain tumors is highly desirable to limit the toxic side effects of novel therapies. Specificity in gene therapy can be achieved with the use of targeted toxins. Utilizing biological features unique to tumor cells, delivery of cytoxic substances can be refined. By, selectively targeting receptors expressed at high levels on tumor cells, vectors can then carry a toxin into the cell to trigger tumor specific cell death.
a. IL-13 Receptor—The interleukins, a class of cytokines, are produced by T cells and mediate immune system activation acting on nearly all immune cell types. To target glioma cells while sparing normal brain tissue, chimeric IL-13 with mutated Pseudomonas endotoxin (PE) [Pastan et al., 1992] has been utilized in clinical trials. Upon binding to the IL-13α2R, receptor-mediated endocytosis occurs and the toxin translocates to the cytosol to ultimately trigger cell death [Pastan et al., 1992].

Phase I/II studies to determine MTD and toxic effects utilizing IL-13 targeted cytotoxin have been reported and are in progress with patients diagnosed with recurrent malignant glioma [Weingart et al., 2002; Prados et al., 2003]. Intratumoral infusions by convection-enhanced delivery caused steroid responsive edema in 1 out of 3 patients. Dose escalation studies have not yet identified a MTD [Kunwar 2003]. The histologically effective concentration defined as the concentration required to produce 90% cell necrosis after infusion of the treatment also remains to be determined. Decreased tumor burden and prolonged patient survival is reported in these ongoing trials [Kunwar 2003; Prados et al., 2003].

b. IL-4 Receptor—Interleukin 4 (IL-4) is produced by activated T cells, mast cells and basophils and acts synergistically in the early stages of hematopoiesis and B cell activation [Paul 1991; Puri 1995]. As with IL-13, linkage to the cytotoxin PE by replacing the binding domain of PE with IL-4 enables targeted killing of IL-4R expressing cells [Pastan et al., 1992; Puri et al., 1996].

In phase I testing with recurrent malignant glioma, were treated with convection enhanced delivery of cplIL4-PE using doses based on preclinically efficient dosages to determine toxicity in humans [Rand et al., 2000]. Following infusion increased intracranial pressure and edema were observed in most of those treated however it caused no permanent neurological deficits and responded to treatment. No other systemic toxicity was noted and biopsy of the treated area showed no toxicity to normal brain. Increased survival was observed.

No systemic effects were observed in phase II studies to determine the MTD and therapeutic volume [Weber et al., 2003; Weber et al., 2003]. IL-4 cytotoxin was not detectible outside of the CNS however increased IgG antibodies to PE were measured. In ongoing trials, a MTD and increased survival have yet to be observed.

c. TGFα—While expressed at low levels on normal brain, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is often overexpressed in malignant gliomas. Transforming growth factor alpha (TGFα) binds to EGFR. As with IL-13 and IL-3, TGFα replacement of the PE binding domain may allow destruction of brain tumors by selectively targeting the overexpressed receptor present only on tumor cells[Sampson et al., 2003].

In phase I trials TP-38 (TGFα-PE) was evaluated for toxicity and MTD[Sampson et al., 2003]. TP-38 was infused into brain tumors and corticosteroids were administered up to 72 hours after infusion to prevent edema. While no systemic toxicity was observed, seizure, headache, neuropathy, fatigue, and visual and speech problems were observed, all of which resolved. MTD was not determined during the study. While overall survival was not different between all patients in the study, 3 of 15 patients showed decreased tumor burden.

d. Transferrin Receptor—Transferrin receptors mediate iron transport into cells and are increased on rapidly dividing cells with increased iron requirements [Recht et al., 1990]. Tumor cells including glioma have increased levels of transferrin receptor on their cells surface. Diphtheria toxin (DT) is a multiple subunit protein composed of binding and catalytic domains [Greenfield et al., 1987; Johnson et al., 1989]. The catalytic subunit catalyzes the addition of
adenosine diphosphoribose onto elongation factor 2 to inhibit protein synthesis and kill cells. Replacement of the binding subunit with transferrin alters the binding specificity and carries DT into glioma cells. An additional mutation (CRM107) decreased nonspecific binding.

Phase I clinical trial of Tf-CRM107 was completed to determine toxicity and MTD [Laske et al., 1997]. Patients with recurrent malignant brain gliomas were infused with the TF cytotoxin, antibiotics and dexamethasone. The MTD was determined to be 0.67ug/ml in a 40ml total infusion volume. Transient increased intracerebral pressure was observed and treated. At the MTD, no local toxicity was observed. No systemic toxicity was observed although transient elevation in serum transaminases and mild hypoalbuminemia were observed. Survival increased from 36 weeks in non responders to 74 weeks in responding patients.

Phase II clinical trial of Tf-CRM107 was undertaken to further study the safety and efficacy of treatment [Laske et al., 1997; Weaver et al., 2003]. Forty four patients enrolled in the study. Infusion related edema and seizures were reported both of which responded to therapy. While all patients entered the study in a progressive disease state, 48% of patients saw disease arrest at the time of evaluation and 30% survived beyond one year.

3. Tumor Suppressors and Oncogenes

P53 – In addition to delivery of HSV1-TK, adenoviral vectors have been used to deliver p53 into brain tumors. The p53 gene is critical to normal cell cycle and apoptosis. In human glioma, mutation of p53 or its inactivating proteins are the most frequently found genetic mutations. Inactivation of p53 allows tumor cells to circumvent normal cellular growth controls. Replication-deficient adenoviral viral vectors deliver p53 into glioma cells to inhibit tumor growth and trigger apoptosis [Vecil et al., 2003].

In phase one trials, patients were implanted with catheters to deliver Ad-p53 into their tumor mass. Several days after virus injection, tumors were resected to evaluate the extent of Ad-p53 biological effects. After resection further Ad-p53 was injected into the tumor cavity to determine toxicity [Lang et al., 2003].

Resected tumors showed p53 transduction however transduced cells were found no farther than 5-8mm from the injection site. Evidence of apoptosis was restricted to a small number of cells. While no active virus outside of the CNS was detected, there were increased anti-adenoviral antibody titers. Some patients developed neurological side effects which responded to corticosteroid treatment. Survival to recurrence was a median of seven months [Lang et al., 2003].

4. Oncolytic Viruses

While concerns about toxicity to normal tissue with the use of replicating viral vectors have limited their study in humans, the decrease in therapeutic efficiency seen with replication-deficient vectors as studies transition from pre-clinical to clinical has caused a resurgence in the study of the use of oncolytic or replicating viral vectors. Since widespread distribution of gene therapy products is essential for therapeutic efficacy, development of vectors which promote targeted but high level transduction efficiency are desired. Oncolytic virus infection results in viral replication and cell lysis such that no therapeutic transgene is need as the virus infection itself destroys the tumor mass. Herpes simplex virus, adenovirus, influenza virus, vaccinia virus, vesicular stomatitis virus, Newcastle disease virus, poliovirus, and reovirus are all being investigated for clinical oncolytic virus therapeutics, meanwhile adenovirus and herpes simplex virus have already entered clinical trials[Shah et al., 2003].
a. Adenovirus—While injection of wild-type virus may be detrimental to normal tissue, selective targeting by mutation of the virus has allowed for more selective killing of tumor cells. Mutated viruses are used to act directly as cytotoxic agents to destroy tumor cells and further spread newly replicated viral particles [Vecil et al., 2003].

ONYX-15: Among the adenoviruses, ONYX-15 has been used in clinical trials of glioma. The E1b region of wild-type adenovirus inactivates host cell p53 preventing apoptosis induction and allowing for viral replication. Originally, mutations in the E1b region of ONYX-15 were thought to render it unable to replicate in cells with normal p53 function [Shah et al., 2003]. While thought to only to kill cells exhibiting p53 mutations which result in deficits in p53 function, the mechanism by which ONYX-15 induces cell death is under intense investigation [Edwards et al., 2002; Petit et al., 2002; Hann et al., 2003; O'Shea et al., 2004]. In phase one clinical trials to examine toxicity and MTD in resected glioma patients, a maximum dose was not identified with up to 1×10¹⁰ pfu being well tolerated [Chiocca et al., 2004]. No systemic toxicity was observed even with elevated levels of anti-adenoviral antibodies in several patients. While median survival was 6.2 months from recurrence several patients survived over a year. Development of other oncolytic adenoviruses in addition to ONYX-15 are underway [Fuego et al., 2003].

b. Herpes Simplex Virus—HSV vectors have also been used as replication competent vectors to treat brain tumors. HSV is a non integrating, neurotropic virus with oncolytic properties that may be exploited in targeting brain tumors.

G207: HSV-G207 vectors contain two mutations separating them from wild-type HSV. The HSV γ134.5 gene blocks activation of anti-viral defenses within a cell allowing viral protein synthesis to occur. γ134.5 vectors only infect and replicate in cells without normal protein synthesis controls [He et al., 1997]. The second gene mutated in G207 is UL39 which is required to synthesize nucleotides in nondividing cells [Mineta et al., 1994; Mineta et al., 1995]. Disabling UL39 with a lacZ insertion disables nucleotide synthesis such that viral replication can only be carried out in actively dividing cells. While the two mutations within the virus confer specificity to G207, intact thymidine kinase gene provides a mechanism to control any herpetic infection that may arise from use of these replicating vectors.

In phase one clinical trials with G207, MTD and toxicity were evaluated [Markert et al., 2000]. Patients with progressive or recurrent glioma were injected with a single dose of virus. MTD was not established as the highest level 3×10⁵ pfu was well tolerated. No herpetic, encephalic or inflammatory effects were observed. While one patient seroconverted showing positive anti-HSV1 antibodies after treatment, no systemic toxicity attributable to G207 treatment was observed. Excluding four surviving patients, mean survival from diagnosis to death was 15.9 months. Phase 1b/2 trials are underway.

HSV1716: HSV1716 contains a single mutation of the γ134.5 gene rendering it unable to replicate in neurons while targeting glioma cells. Phase one clinical trials in brain tumor patients were unable to determine a MTD as up to 1×10⁵ pfu were tolerated well [Rampling et al., 2000]. No encephalitis or herpetic complications were observed and all patients remained seronegative for HSV-1. In an additional trial, recurrent tumor patient tumors were examined after injection of HSV1716 and virus was detectible by semiquantitative PCR [Papanastassiou et al., 2002]. Even in inoculated tumors for which virus was not detectible, reinfeciton in vitro triggered low level HSV1716 viral shedding indicating persistent long-term effects may be possible [Harland et al., 2002]. Following trials in which HSV1716 was injected intratumorally, HSV1716 was injected after resection into the rim of the tumor cavity to determine if virus administration could act to eliminate residual tumor cells responsible for rapid tumor regrowth after resection [Harrow et al., 2004]. No treatment related toxicities
were observed. Of twelve patients, 3 survived, one died of non related events, and 8 died after tumor progression. Further clinical trials are ongoing.

c. Newcastle Disease Virus—NDV replication-competent viruses have also been used in clinical trials to attempt treatment of GBM. Preclinically these viruses showed promising tumor regression without recurrence[Lorence et al., 1994; Phuangtab et al., 2001]. In a nonrandomized study of glioma patients, tumor cells taken from patients were infected with NDV, irradiated and used to vaccinate the patient. Vaccinated patients survived significantly longer than non-vaccinated controls and the therapy was well tolerated [Schneider et al., 2001; Steiner et al., 2004].

With promising results from replication competent adeno- and Newcastle disease virus interest in replication competent viruses continues to grow. Preclinical studies indicate the replication-competent retroviral vectors infect and selectively destroy glioma cells while sparing normal brain tissue[Wang et al., 2003]. These vectors could also be translated into phase I clinical trials.

E. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

The treatment of tumors of the central nervous system represents a formidable challenge, further magnified by the fact that the brain is isolated by the blood brain barrier which makes the delivery of high doses of chemotherapeutic agents or gene delivery vectors to the tumor very difficult. This posses the challenge of unacceptable toxic systemic levels of the drugs or vectors [Burton et al., 1999; Burton et al., 2000]. The approaches which propose combinations of local tumor delivery such as immune-stimulation and cytotoxic gene therapies within the tumor mass and/or surrounding tumor cavity are very promising for the effective treatment of GBM. Also, with the introduction of new technologies such as the microchip and convection enhanced drug delivery, it will be possible to achieve local delivery of high doses of the vectors carrying the therapeutic genes, both within the tumor mass and the surrounding area, where the infiltrating tumor cells are localized. These technologies should enable a more effective and constant delivery of the therapies, such as drugs or gene therapy vectors.

Taking gene therapies for GBM and other types of brain cancers to the clinic constitutes a very exciting prospect. One would envisage that when a patient undergoes surgical resection or debulking of the tumor, the neurosurgeon could deliver the gene therapy vectors encoding for a combination of therapeutic genes. By delivering genes which will enhance tumor cells' death and cytokines/chemokines which will elicit a systemic anti-tumor immune response, it will be possible to further eliminate tumor cells which have escaped the neurosurgical resection and also mount an effective anti-tumor immune response. The power of this approach is that the local antigen presenting cells (APCs) will be exposed to tumor antigens in situ as opposed to ex vivo. This will have the added advantage that the APCs will be loaded with the antigens released from the tumor in situ and will have more chance to mount an effective immune response when compared to loading of APCs with tumor extracts ex vivo. This is due to the fact that what kills the patients are the tumor recurrences and the tumor that recurs is very different from the primary tumor which was resected and used to load APCs ex vivo. Using gene delivery vectors with the capability of expressing therapeutic genes long term [Thomas et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2001] and under the control of regulatable promoter systems [Goverdhan et al., 2005], one would eliminate the need of re-delivery of the gene therapies and also, by following the progression of the tumor by MRI or PET techniques, the neuro-oncologist will be able to make an informed decision as to whether the gene therapies will need to be turned on or reactivated for each individual patient. While these exciting prospects for GBM treatment will become a reality in the near future, more work is needed to perfect the gene delivery techniques and also in the development of powerful therapeutic targets. Results
from the approaches described in this review will become available after phase I and II gene therapy trials are completed.
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Fig. (1).
Diagram outlining the mechanism by which viral vector administration may result in tumor regression. Adenoviral vectors delivering substances like HSV-1TK and hsFlt3L injected intratumorally cause local cell death (TK) generating tumor antigen and trigger the maturation of local and infiltrating antigen presenting cells (Flt3L). APCs then may activate various adaptive and innate immune system cell types to trigger a fully activated anti-tumor immune response. This immune response also results in memory T cell generation which protects against future recurrence of disease.
Fig. (2).
CNS-1 cell tumors treated by adenoviral gene therapy. Brains from rats implanted with CNS-1 cell ten days before adenoviral delivery of gene therapy (saline control, RAdhsFlt3L or RAdTK) were harvested five days or twelve (RAdTK+hsFlt3L) days after gene therapy. The combination of immune stimulation and conditional cytotoxicity trigger tumor regression [Ali et al., 2005]. Without combined therapy, rats succumb to tumors within 20 days of tumor implantation however with TK + Flt3L treatment, animals survive long term and no tumor remnant is evident 12 days after viral therapy.
Fig. (3).
Diagram outlining the mechanism through which RAdFlt3L and RAdTK stimulate a powerful anti-tumor response. In the absence of treatment, (a), few dendritic cells (DC's) present in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or peripheral tissues can gain access to the tumor mass growing within the brain parenchyma. This prevents DC's from taking up tumor antigen and migrating to peripheral lymph nodes where it can be presented to THelper (Th) cells. Tumors that are injected with RAdFlt3L alone, (b), allows dendritic cell infiltration into the tumor mass and subsequent maturation. Dendritic cells can proliferate within the tumor, mature, and take up endogenous tumor antigen from necrotic areas within the tumor. These DC's subsequently migrate to peripheral lymph nodes where they present tumor antigen to Th cells on MHC II molecules. This results in an immune response against the tumor and can successfully clear small tumors from rodents. Tumors that are injected with both RAdFlt3L and RAdTK, (c), cause the infiltration of DC's within the tumor mass just like with RAdFlt3L alone. However, cytotoxic effects of RAdTK result in necrosis and apoptosis of large areas of the tumor. This creates an inflammatory environment ideal for the uptake of tumor antigen by DC's. These
DC's migrate to peripheral lymph nodes and display antigen to T lymphocytes, resulting in a potent anti-tumor response sufficient to clear even large tumors from the rodents.
Fig. (4).
Targeted toxins for glioma therapy. The targeting of IL-13α2 receptor overexpressed in glioma cells has been improved by mutating the human IL-13 gene to generate a mutated IL-13 (muIL-13). MuIL-13 has shown a higher affinity for the glioma-associated IL-13α2 receptor and negligible binding to the physiological receptor composed of IL-13 receptor and IL-4 receptor (1). The mutant Pseudomonas exotoxin (PE) does not bind to its ubiquitous α2-macroglobulin receptor due to the deletion of domain I and was fused to muIL-13 to promote its internalization into IL-13α2R-expressing glioma cells. PE Domain II (PEII) catalyzes the translocation of the toxin into the cytosol (2) and undergoes proteolytic cleavage that activates the exotoxin (3). Domain III (PEIII) directs the processed fragment of the toxin to the endoplasmic reticulum and ADP ribosylates elongation factor 2, inhibiting protein synthesis (4) and leading to glioma cell death (5).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment type</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Vector/Delivery method</th>
<th>Authors of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditional cytotoxicity</td>
<td>TK</td>
<td>Retrovirus</td>
<td>Izquierdo et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ram et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Klatzmann et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palu et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shand et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harsh et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Packer et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rainov et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prados et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandmaier et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trask et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Germano et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smitt et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immonen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonviral</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jacobs et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Voges et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted toxins</td>
<td>IL-13R-PE</td>
<td>CED</td>
<td>Weingart et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IL-4R-PE</td>
<td>CED</td>
<td>Kuivar et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prados et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rand et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weber et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TGFa-PE</td>
<td>CED</td>
<td>Sampson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferin receptor - DT</td>
<td>CED</td>
<td>Laake et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor suppressor</td>
<td>p53</td>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>Weaver et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lang et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncolytic viruses</td>
<td>ONYX-015</td>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>Shah et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G207</td>
<td>Herpes Simplex Virus</td>
<td>Chiocca et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSV1716</td>
<td>Herpes Simplex Virus</td>
<td>Markert et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rampley et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Papanastassiou et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harrow et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Newcastle disease virus, Schneider et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steiner et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>