

2005-01-01

Structural Property Relationships in Conjugated Polymers

Patrick Lynch

Technological University Dublin, patrick.lynch@tudublin.ie

Luke O'Neill

Technological University Dublin, Luke.oneill@tudublin.ie

J. Whelan

Technological University Dublin


Mary McNamara

Technological University Dublin, Mary.McNamara@tudublin.ie

Hugh Byrne

Technological University Dublin, hugh.byrne@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/nanolart>

 Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lynch, P. et al. (2005) Structural property relationships in conjugated polymers. *Proceedings of Optolreland 2005, SPIE*, vol. 5826, pp.242.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the NanoLab at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)

Structural property relationships in conjugated polymers

P. Lynch*^{ac} L. O'Neill^{bc} J. Whelan^a M. McNamara^{ac} H. J. Byrne^c

^aSchool of Chemistry, Dublin Institute of Technology, Kevin St., Dublin 8, Ireland

^bSchool of Physics, Dublin Institute of Technology Kevin St., Dublin 8, Ireland

^cFocas Institute, Dublin Institute of Technology Kevin St., Dublin 8, Ireland

ABSTRACT

The synthesis of a series of PPV derivative polymers by the Wittig-Horner reaction is described. The structure of each polymer is varied and the effects of these variations on the optical properties is explored. The effects of alkyloxy side chains is observed between the PPV derivatives Poly(-p-phenylvinylene-co-2,5-bis-octyloxy phenylvinylene.) PPV-OPV and Poly (para-2,5-bis-(n-octyloxy)-phenylvinylene) POPV. The phenyl units of the soluble PPV derivative POPV are replaced by alternate naphthyl units in the polymer Poly(2,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-1,4-phenylene vinylene-1,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthylene vinylene) POPN-ONV and then fully by naphthyl units in Poly(2,6-bis-(n-octyloxy)-1,5-NaphthyleneVinylene) PONV. The addition of alkyloxy sidechains served to red shift the fluorescence emission as expected. The systematic conversion of phenyl to naphthyl units blue shifted the emission considerably while reducing the Stokes shift. There is evidence to suggest some localization of the π electrons over the aromatic units of the polymer backbone. PONV is shown to have greater stability towards photo-oxidation than either POPV or PPV-OPV.

Keywords: Poly para phenylvenylene derivatives, Optical absorbance and emission spectroscopy, Photo oxidation, Conjugated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery that PPV exhibited electroluminescence^[1] there have been many studies into the structure property relationship of conjugated polymers. As yet, one material that is stable in a device and emits in the elusive blue region with reasonable efficiencies has not been found. Many of these studies have been based on model oligomers using the basic repeating units of the extended polymers^{[2][3][4][5]} while others make slight variations on the polymers structure.

The variation of side chain composition and length has been studied extensively and these studies have found that despite the domination of MEH-PPV in the field, asymmetrical side chains like those of MEH-PPV increase the conjugation length thus red shifting the fluorescence. It has also been found that long symmetrical side chains increase emissive efficiency due to increased chain separation^[6]. The position of the substitutions on the aromatic units was studied and it was found that placing alkyloxy groups on adjacent points caused a red shift in the spectra^[7]. Addition of phenyl and cyano groups to the vinylene linkages has also been studied, and it has been found that substitution of the bulky phenylene increased the Stokes shift of the material so the fluorescence efficiency of the polymer is decreased^[8]. The addition of electron withdrawing cyano groups is designed to reduce the conjugation length of the polymers and so blue shift their emission. However it is found that depending on the position of substitution their effect is mainly to red shift the fluorescence^[5]. The cis to trans ratio of the polymers is also being studied and it has been found that increasing the cis isomer ratio increases the fluorescence efficiency of the polymer^[9]. The linkage positions on the aromatic rings has been altered and it has been found that ortho^[10] and meta^[11] linkage blue shifts the emission moderately due to a reduction in the conjugation length. The ortho linkage was also found to provide a high quantum efficiency. Finally a couple of studies have been carried out which replace the phenyl units of the back bone with naphthyl units in varying arrangements and on the whole the addition of these units have blue shifted the UV and fluorescence spectra^{[10][12][13][14]}

It was planned to incorporate the most promising structural properties into a homologous series of polymers and to study the different substituent's effects on their optical properties. Given its dominance in the field, the properties of all polymers should be judged on the properties of PPV but given its insolubility a derivative with alkyloxy side chains was used. Firstly a polymer with alkyloxy side chains on every second phenyl ring (PPV-OPV figure 1) was employed as this structure will allow for direct comparison to the PPV derivative PmPV (figure 1) which has attracted much attention in the field due to its blue shifted photoluminescence emission and high fluorescence efficiency^{[15][16]}. It is also of interest due to its interactions with carbon nanotubes which it solubilises leading to nanocomposites with interesting properties.^{[17][18]}



Figure 1: Structures of Poly(-p-Phenyl vinylene-co-2,5-bis-octyloxy phenylvinylene) PPV-OPV and Poly(-m-Phenyl vinylene-co-2,5-bis-octyloxy-p-phenylvinylene) PmPV

Secondly, a fully substituted derivative was synthesised (POPV) to enable the effects of alkyloxy substitution to be determined. The series was extended by replacing alternate substituted phenyl units with substituted naphthyl units (POPV-ONV figure 2) and finally all phenyl units were replaced to produce a fully conjugated naphthylene polymer (PONV, figure 2).

It is anticipated that comparisons of the spectra of PPV-OPV with POPV will demonstrate the effect of alkyloxy substitution on the electronic properties. Within the series the conjugated electronic structure of the polymeric backbone is maintained, and thus the effect of varying the backbone structure can be systematically elucidated. The meta linkage of PmPV is only partially conjugated and so will serve to demonstrate the importance of backbone conjugation on the optical properties of these groups of materials. The systematic study of these properties will generate guidelines for structure property relationships in these systems.




Figure 2: Structures of Poly (para-2,5-bis-(n-octyloxy)-phenyl vinylene) POPV, Poly(2,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-1,4-phenylene vinylene-1,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthylene vinylene) POPV-ONV and Poly(2,6-di-(n-octyloxy)-1,5-NaphthyleneVinylene) PONV

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Synthesis

Figure 3 shows the synthetic routes to the two starting materials, the aldehyde and the phosphonate ylide. The alkyloxy side chains are introduced during the syntheses of the aldehyde from the corresponding diol. The phosphonate ylide is synthesised by the Arbuzov reaction.




Figure 3: Synthetic routes to polymer starting materials

The polymerisation schemes are shown in figure 4. Soluble PPV derivatives with alkyloxy side chains have been synthesised by many different methods including the Gilich route^[19] the aryl/ethylene coupling via Heck and Suzuki reactions,^[20] and the McMurray^[21] and Wessling-Zimmermann route^[22]. However polymers synthesised by these routes can contain structural defects due to incomplete elimination of precursor functional groups, crosslinking or other side reactions.^[23] Both the Wittig^[24] and its variation the Horner-Emmons reactions^[25] produce polymers of well defined structure, and are suitable for preparing alternating copolymers. However while the Wittig reaction produces polymers with both cis and trans isomers about the vinyl bond it has been shown that the Horner route produces practically all trans bonds which will further simplify the structure.^[26] Further studies have shown that the Horner reaction carried out at 80 °C employing DMF as the solvent produced the longest chain polymers in the highest yields^[27]. These conditions will be used for all polymerizations in this study.




Figure 4: Polymerisation routes

All solvents and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification.

1,4-Di-n-octyloxybenzene

To DMSO (92 cm³, dried over MgSO₄) powdered KOH (41.09 g, 0.73 mol) was added. After stirring for 10 min, *p*-hydroquinone (20.35 g, 0.18 mol) was added, followed immediately by octylbromide (71.08 g, 0.37 mol). After stirring for 30 min the mixture was poured into water (552 cm³) and left to stand for 1.5 hr. The waxy product was collected by filtration and re-crystallised from n-heptane. After filtration and drying white flakes of 1,4-Di-n-octyloxybenzene (45.35 g, 73.84 %) were obtained.

Melting Range: 56-57 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 6.82 (s, 4H), 3.89(t, 4H), 1.75 (qui, 4H), 1.29 - 1.59 (m, 20H), 0.88 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 153.15, 115.31, 68.59, 31.80, 29.37, 29.24, 26.04, 22.64, 14.09

Di-n-octyloxy-1,4-bis(bromomethyl)-benzene.

The reaction is very sensitive to impurities and therefore all flasks were soaked in 4M HCl overnight

A mixture of 1,4-di-n-octyloxybenzene (12.20 g, 37 mmol), paraformaldehyde (15.76 g, 53 mol) and KBr (23.20 g, 0.20 mol) was heated in glacial acetic acid (200 cm³) to 80°C. A mixture of glacial acetic acid (25 cm³) and concentrated sulphuric acid (20 cm³) was added drop-wise over 0.5 hr. After stirring for an additional 5 hr. at 80 °C the mixture was left to stand overnight. The crystals were filtered off, washed with water until the acetic acid smell disappeared and re-crystallised from n-heptane to give pale yellow fluffy needles (13.185 g, 73.1 %).

Melting Range: 87-88 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 6.85 (s, 2H), 4.52 (s, 4H), 3.98 (t, 4H), 1.81 (qui, 4H), 1.31-1.49 (m, 20H), 0.889 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 150.62, 127.47, 114.58, 68.95, 31.79, 29.28, 29.2, 28.72, 26.05, 22.64, 14.01.

2,5-Di-n-octyloxy-1,4-xylene-diethylphosphonate-ester **Compound 1**

Triethylphosphite (9.05 g, 52.12 mmol) and 2,5-di-n-octyloxy-1,4-bis(bromomethyl)-benzene (13.00 g, 26.06 mmol) were heated to 150 °C for 4 hr. while the by-product ethylbromide was continuously removed by distillation. After filtration through cotton wool and re-crystallisation from petroleum ether, pale yellow crystals were obtained (10.01 g, 71.4 %).

Melting Range: 50-51 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 6.92 (s, 2H), 4.02 (qua, 8H), 3.92 (t, 4H), 3.28 (d, 4H) 1.77 (qui, 4H), 1.29-1.24 (m, 32H), 0.89 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 150.25, 119.27, 114.75, 68.83, 61.70, 31.67, 29.26, 29.12, 27.47, 25.99, 24.69, 22.51, 16.21, 13.94

1,4-Bis(n-octyloxy)-2,5-phenyldialdehyde **Compound 2**

(carried out under nitrogen)

A solution of 1,4-Di-n-octyloxybenzene (10.26 g, 30.6 mmol) and TMEDA (24.0 cm³, 159.9 mmol) in diethyl ether (450 cm³) was cooled to 0 °C and bubbled with nitrogen for ten minutes. A 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (100 cm³, 160 mmol) was added drop-wise over 30 min. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 hr and was then slowly warmed to reflux. After being refluxed for 20 hr. the nitrogen was turned off and the resulting green solution was cooled to 0°C and DMF (20 cm³, 260 mmol) was added drop-wise. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 hr. Then 4M HCl (95 cm³) was added slowly with vigorous stirring. The resulting two-phase system was stirred for an additional 30 min. The organic layer was separated, washed with 0.5 M HCl (150 cm³), saturated NaHCO₃ (150 cm³) solution, brine (150 cm³) and then dried over MgSO₄. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. The crude product was filtered over a short column (silica gel, DCM : Hexane 1:4) and left to crystallise as yellow needles (4.114 g, 34.46 %)

Melting Range: 69-71 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:10.52(s, 2H), 7.42 (d, 2H), 4.08 (t, 4H), 1.87 (qui, 4H), 1.47-1.31 (m, 20H), 0.89 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:189.33, 155.14, 129.17, 111.50, 69.14 , 31.72 , 29.17, 28.98, 25.96, 22.59, 14.03

1,5-Bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthalenedialdehyde **Compound 3**

(carried out under nitrogen)

A solution of 1,5-dioctyloxynaphthalene (11.76 g, 30.6 mmol) and TMEDA (24.0 cm³, 159.9 mmol) in diethyl ether (450 cm³) was cooled to 0 °C and bubbled with nitrogen for ten minutes. A 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (100 cm³, 160 mmol) was added drop-wise over 30 minutes. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hr and was then slowly warmed to reflux. After being refluxed for 20 hr. during which any loss of diethyl ether was replaced, the nitrogen was turned off and the resulting green solution was cooled to 0 °C and DMF (20 cm³, 260 mmol) was added drop-wise. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 hr. Then 4M HCl (95 cm³) was added slowly with vigorous stirring. The resulting two-phase system was stirred for an additional 30 minutes. The organic layer was separated, washed with 0.5 M HCl (150 cm³), saturated NaHCO₃ (150 cm³) solution, brine (150 cm³) and then dried over MgSO₄. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brown solid. The crude product was filtered over a short column (silica gel, DCM : Hexane 1:4) and left to crystallise as a pale yellow solid (5.339 g, 39.76 %)

Melting Range: 58-60.5 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:10.499(s, 2H), 7.916 (d, 2H), 7.861 (d, 2H), 4.068 (t, 4H), 1.837 (qui, 4H), 1.464 (qui, 4H), 1.208 (m, 16H), 0.804 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:189.443, 161.406, 132.975, 127.269, 123.437, 119.355, 79.345, 31.641, 30.093, 29.236 , 29.084 , 25.806,22.498, 13.954.

1,5-Bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dihydroxymethylnaphthalene

1,5-bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-naphthalenedialdehyde (3.33 g), was dissolved in absolute ethanol (400 cm³) and THF (60 cm³). NaBH₄ (3.7 g) was added at room temperature. After stirring for 4 hr. the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in dichloromethane (1000 cm³) and 3 M HCl (1000 cm³) with vigorously stirring. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was washed twice with dichloromethane (500 cm³). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brownish solid (2.509 g 75.34 %).

Melting Range: 62-65 °C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:7.651 (d, 2H), 7.399 (d, 2H), 4.761 (t, 2H), 4.013 (t, 4H), 2.448(d, 4H), 1.818 (qui, 4H), 1.457 (qui, 4H), 1.226 (m, 16H), 0.817 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:152.852, 129.262, 126.614, 118.427, 113.957, 75.412, 60.638, 31.805, 30.379, 29.241, 26.221, 26.061, 22.632, 14.088.

1,5-Bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dibromomethylnaphthalene

1,5-bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dihydroxymethylnaphthalene (1.02 g) was dissolved in THF (175 cm³). Pyridine (0.75 cm³) was added and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Phosphorous tribromide (2.5 cm³) was added within 5 minutes giving a white precipitate. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 20 hr and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in toluene (150 cm³) and water (250 cm³). The organic layer was separated and the water layer was extracted twice with toluene (100 cm³). The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a brownish solid. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, toluene) to give a white solid. (1.046 g, 81.35 %).

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:7.793 (d, 2H), 7.383 (d, 2H), 4.656 (s, 2H), 4.027 (t, 4H), 1.857 (qui, 4H), 1.489 (qui, 4H), 1.359, 1.250, (multi, 14H), 0.838 (t, 6H)

¹³C-NMR (50MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:153.848, 129.909, 126.995, 114.645, 105.181, 68.107, 31.823, 30.404, 29.258, 28.203, 26.238, 22.649 14.090

1,5-Bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dimethylnaphthalene-bis-ethylphosphonate ester **Compound 4**

A mixture of 1,5-bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dibromomethylnaphthalene (0.9g) and triethylphosphite (7.56 g) was heated at 150 °C for 4 hr. while the by-product ethyl bromide was continuously removed by distillation. Any remaining solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. After leaving the viscous liquid overnight yellow low melting crystals formed in the flask.

This material is air sensitive and therefore was not isolated for characterisation.

Poly(-m-Phenyl vinylene-co-2,5-di-octyloxy-p-phenylvinylene) **PmPV**

A solution of 2,5-di-n-octyloxy-1,4-xylene-diethyl-phosphonate-ester (4.000 g) and isophthalaldehyde (0.843 g) in DMF (20 cm³) was heated to 80°C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (2.118 g) was added at once. The reaction mixture was left stirring at 80°C for additional 4hr and then poured into water (150 cm³). The resulting suspension was extracted three times with toluene (30 cm³). The organic layer was washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then reduced by rotary evaporation and the product precipitated with methanol. Finally the product was filtered to give an orange solid (2.399 g 85.3 %)

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:7.91, 7.88, 7.67, 7.26, 4.05, 1.95, 1.60, 1.33, 0.91.

Poly [para-2,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-phenyl vinylene] **POPV**

A solution of 2,5-di-n-octyloxy-1,4-xylene-diethylphosphonate-ester (1.26 g, 2 mmol), 1,4-Bis(n-octyloxy)-2,5-phenyldialdehyde (0.78 g, 2 mmol) and DMF (25 cm³) was heated to 80 °C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.7 g, 5.5 mmol) was added at once. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for an additional 4 hr and then poured into water (150 cm³). The suspension thus formed was extracted four times with toluene (30 cm³). The organic layer was washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then reduced by rotary evaporation and the product precipitated with methanol. Finally the product was Soxhlet extracted (methanol) for 24 hr. (0.38 g 47.9 %)

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:7.44 (2H), 7.26 (2H), 4.04 (4H), 1.84 (4H), 1.54 (4H) 1.29 (16H),0.875 (6H)

¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:151.10, 123.33, 69.50, 31.96, 29.45, 26.40, 22.75, 14.18.

Poly(2,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-1,4-phenylene vinylene-1,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthylene vinylene) **POPV-ONV**

A solution of 1,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthalenedialdehyde (0.189 g), 2,5-di-n-octyloxy-1,4-xylene-diethyl-phosphonate-ester (0.250 g) in DMF (20 cm³) was heated to 80°C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.7 g) was added at once. The reaction mixture was left stirring at 80°C for additional 4hr and then poured into water (150 cm³). The resulting suspension was extracted three times with toluene (30 cm³). The organic layer was washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then reduced by rotary evaporation and the product precipitated with methanol. Finally the product was filtered to give an orange solid (0.375 g 87.4 %)

Melting Point: >300°C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]:7.91, 7.88, 7.67, 7.26, 4.05, 1.95, 1.60, 1.33, 0.91

Poly(2,6-bis(n-Octyloxy)-1,5-NaphthyleneVinylene) PONV

A solution of 1,5-bis(n-octyloxy)-2,6-naphthalenedialdehyde (0.66 g), 1,5-bis-n-octyloxy-2,6-dimethylnaphthalene-bis-ethylphosphonate ester (1.104 g) in DMF (25 cm³) was heated to 80°C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.7 g) was added at once. The reaction mixture was left stirring at 80°C for additional 4hr and then poured into water (900 cm³). The resulting suspension was extracted six times with toluene (30 cm³). The organic layer was washed with water until the aqueous layer was clear and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was then reduced by rotary evaporation and the product precipitated with methanol. Finally the product was filtered to give a brown solid (0.0806 g 4.82 %)

Melting Point: >350°C

¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) [ppm]: 7.428, 3.917, 2.843, 1.980, 1.070, 0.707.

2.2 Spectroscopy and GPC

UV-VIS

1x10⁻⁵ M solutions of the polymers were prepared in CHCl₃ or toluene and spectra were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 900 UV/VIS/NIR Spectrometer. This spectrometer has a double-beam, double monochromator ratio recording system with tungsten-halogen and deuterium lamps as sources. The spectrometer has a range from 175 to 3300 nm with an accuracy of 0.08 nm in the uv-visible region. It has a photometric range of ± 6 in absorbance.

Fluorescence

The fluorescence spectra of the above samples were then measured using a Perkin Elmer LS55B Luminescence spectrometer. The spectrum was recorded using an attenuated scan method which has a 1% attenuation setting. The sample was excited at the λ_{max} measured above. The spectrometer is a computer controlled ratioing luminescence spectrometer with the capability of measuring fluorescence or phosphorescence. Excitation is provided by a pulsed Xenon discharge lamp, of pulse width at half peak height of <10 micro seconds and pulse power of 20 kW. The source is monochromated using a Monk-Gillieson type monochromator with a range of 200-800 nm. The excitation and emission slits can be varied from 2.5-15 nm in 0.1 nm increments. For these spectra they were set at 5 nm.

Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)

Polymer solutions were injected (100 μl) into a THF stream with a flow rate of 1 ml/minute. Polystyrene standards were used as calibration standards. The pump was a Waters 600E and the columns were 7.8x300 mm styragel: HT3(500 to 30,000 Å) HT5 (50,000 to 4,000,000 Å) and HT6E (5,000-10,000,000 Å). The pump employed was a Waters 410 differential spectrometer set a 260 nm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 Characteristics of Polymers

Polymer	λ _{max} Abs./ nm	λ _{max} Em./ nm	Stokes shift/ eV	M _w g/mol	M _n g/mol	M _w /M _n	N _{av}
PPV-OPV	458	521	0.33	24880	5000	4.97	11
PmPv	403	455	0.35	17200	6500	2.65	14
POPV	472	544	0.35	2530	1849	1.38	5
POPV-ONV	457	515	0.31	2960	1080	1.54	3
PONV	420	468	0.30	2300	1180	1.95	6

3.1 Spectroscopic Characterisation

The physical property measurements for each polymer are listed in table 1. Gel permeation chromatography using polystyrene standards reveals that while similar synthetic routes and conditions were employed, polymers with alkyloxy side chains on every aromatic unit have much shorter chain lengths. This may be due to steric hindrance. The molecular weights of these polymers are not sufficient to produce good quality thin films which is important for device manufacture. However it has been shown that, in terms of electronic properties, changes caused by increasing the conjugated length saturate at ca. 10-20 double bonds^[28] so the chain lengths are sufficient to represent extended π-systems for this study.

The Absorbance and Photoluminescence spectra of the polymers PPV-OPV and POPV were measured in toluene with the polymers being excited at their respective λ_{max}-Abs (figure 5). The addition of alkyloxy side chains causes an electron donating effect which serves to decrease the bandgap between the HOMO and LUMO thus red shifting the absorption spectra. This effect is quite evident in the spectra. The vibronic structure seen in the PPV-OPV as a shoulder

at 557 nm in the photoluminescence spectra is no longer present as we place alkyloxy side chains on every phenyl unit. The vibronic structure may be smeared due to increased rotation or alternatively, the main peak in the PPV-OPV emission spectrum may have been associated with the radiative decay of excited electrons associated with the non substituted phenyl ring with the shoulder due to the substituted phenyl ring. The spectrum of POPV only has one main emission as it has alkyloxy substitution on every ring giving the molecule greater symmetry and so a narrower emission. The **red** shift in the PL maximum of POPV is due to the electron-donating alkyloxy groups being added to the phenyl units causing an asymmetrical destabilization of the frontier orbitals^[12]. The fluorescence intensity of the non substituted phenyl ring is greater than the substituted ring and the PPV-OPV scan was performed with different entrance and exit slit widths to decrease the intensity so both spectra could be displayed. From these spectra we calculate the band gap of PPV to be 2.74 eV with a Stokes shift of 0.338 eV while POPV has a band gap of 2.69 eV with a Stokes shift of 0.419 eV. It is noted that the Stokes shift is larger for POPV suggesting that the substituted polymer loses more energy due to electron phonon coupling.




Figure 5 Absorption and Photoluminescence Spectra of PPV-OPV and POPV

The UV and photoluminescence spectra of all the polymers in the series recorded as solutions in Chloroform are presented in figures 6. As expected the spectrum of PmPV has the largest **bathochromic** shift in the series. The change from a para linked phenyl in the PPV-OPV to a meta-linked phenyl in the PmPV significantly disrupts the conjugation along the polymer backbone with a concomitant blue shifting of both emission and absorption energies as shown in figure 6. It can also be clearly seen that as the phenyl unit is replaced with naphthyl units that the spectra show a bathochromic shift. Conjugation length alone does not explain these results. The naphthyl group also introduces a disruption in the conjugation due to its tendency to localise electrons. Therefore the meta linkage provides the largest blue shift closely followed by the PONV, POPV-ONV and lastly the POPV. Thus it can be seen that the introduction of the heavier electrophilic naphthyl units disrupts and hinders the formation of the conjugation along the back-bone and as such increases the energy of both emission and absorption. It is also noticeable that the meta linkage is far more efficient at breaking up the conjugation along the backbone than the naphthyl units. It is of interest to compare the Stokes shift of the polymers, as it relates to electron vibrational coupling and thus nonradiative decay, which competes with fluorescence. The rigid PONV structure has the smallest Stokes shift. The more flexible PPV-OPV and POPV have larger Stokes shifts, while the POPV-ONV is intermediate. The replacement of the phenyl units of the polymer backbone has led to a decrease in the Stokes shift and so an improvement in radiative efficiency is expected. Conversely the meta linkage of PmPV causes a large Stokes shift so it follows that while the spectra are blue shifted, the meta linkage does

not improve fluorescence efficiency. While no attempt has been made to measure the quantum efficiency of the polymers, the large fluorescence peak coupled with the Stokes shift results, is an indication that the efficiency of PONV is greater than that of the other polymers. As a whole in the series it can be seen that as systematic changes in back-bone structure are made there is a well definable and systematic change in the electron-vibrational coupling mechanisms. The greater disorder introduced into the structure by the naphthalene units limits the delocalisation of π electrons by Coulomb interaction and the corresponding excitons are easily localised onto a single monomer.^[29]




Figure 6: Absorption and Photoluminescence Spectra of all the polymers in the series in CHCl_3

3.2 Photo oxidation studies

A 1×10^{-5} M solution of POPV in Chloroform was prepared and its absorbance spectrum was obtained. The solution was then irradiated with a UV lamp (main line 333 nm) for six minutes. The λ_{max} of the main peak red shifted from 464 nm to 469 nm together with an increase in intensity the intensity of a lesser peak at 333 nm was also seen to increase. On irradiation for a further six minutes the peak is seen to blue shift to 466 nm with a decrease in the intensity of both the major and minor peaks. A new peak at 261 nm starts to appear. A further six scans were taken of the solution with six minutes of UV light irradiation between each scan. A trend of a blue shift in the major peak coupled with a decrease in intensity is observed together with an increase in the intensity of the 261 nm peak. Finally three more scans were obtained with twenty minutes of UV light irradiation between each scan to observe how the decay would progress. After a total of 108 minutes of UV light irradiation the λ_{max} of the major peak has shifted from its initial position of 464 nm to 422 nm and its intensity is ca. a third of its starting value. The peak at 262 nm has increased dramatically and now has the highest intensity of any in the spectrum.

A proposed reason for the observations outlined above is photo-oxidation caused by dissolved oxygen in the solution and accelerated by the UV light. Degassing of the solutions was observed to slow down the affects but complete elimination of the UV-decay was not obtained.

NMR spectra of the POPV polymer in a CDCl_3 solution was obtained prior to and after extended irradiation with UV light. In the proton NMR the peaks due to octyloxy side chains are unchanged while those due to the vinylene units disappear completely. One single peak initially representing the two hydrogens on the phenyl ring remains however its integration represents five hydrogens. The Ar-O-CH_2 peak remained but is diminished. The ^{13}C NMRs were of poor quality due to solubility problems, and after the extended irradiation only peaks attributed to the octyloxy side chains are present. A study of the stability of a similar polymer MEH-PPV by *M. Atreya et al.*^[30] observed very similar behaviour in thin films and in xylene solutions of the polymer on exposure to UV light. The observed increase in the intensity of a peak at ca. 290 nm was attributed to the formation of a carbonyl group indicating photo-oxidation of the polymer. The study suggests that the blue shift and broadening of the spectra can be attributed to macromolecular chain scission leading to a broad distribution of conjugation lengths. PPV was observed to behave in a similar manner as POPV in

Chloroform solution. It can be observed from figure 7 that the naphthalene polymer PONV does not degrade at the same rate as either PPV-OPV or POPV and while the same carbonyl peak does start to appear at 261 nm the rate of increase is much slower and the polymer seems much more stable to triplet oxygen attack than alkyloxy PPV derivatives which employ phenyl units in their back bone. Since degradation of organic LED's is a major draw back to their economic success it appears that while no attempt has been made to fabricate a PONV LEDs such a device may have a greater lifespan than that of other PPV derivatives.




Figure 7 UV decay of POPV and PONV in chloroform

4 CONCLUSION

A series of PPV derivative polymers were prepared and their physical and optical properties were analysed. Introduction of fully alkyloxy substituted phenyl rings in POPV led to a red shift in the UV-vis and Fluorescence spectra as expected. The emission was also more regular with less vibronic structure compared to the emission of PPV-OPV due to the greater symmetry of the structure. The introduction of a meta linkage into the backbone served to disrupt the conjugation and so a blue shift in the spectra was observed. However the large Stokes shift indicates that fluorescence efficiency might not be improved. As naphthyl units replace the phenyl units of POPV in the structures POPV-ONV and PONV the absorbance and emission maxima are bathochromically shifted. This trend is attributed to the naphthyl units withholding electrons from overall conjugation. The photostability of the polymers POPV and PONV was monitored in solution and it was found that PONV has a greater stability towards photo-oxidation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Stefanie Maier of Trinity College Dublin for samples of PPV-OPV. Also Dr. Manuel Reuther of Trinity College Dublin for assistance in running GPC analysis on the polymers. Focas is funded under the Programme for Research in Third Level Institutions (PRTL), administered by the HEA, of the National Development Plan 2000-2006 with assistance from the European Regional Development Fund

REFERENCES

- 1 J.H. Burroughes, D.D.C. Bradley, A.R. Brown, R.N. Marks, K.D. Mackay, R.H. Friend, P.L. Burns, A.B. Holmes, *Nature* 347, (1990), 539.
- 2 O. Narwark, A. Gerhard, S. C. J. Meskers, S. Brocke, E. Thorn-Csanyi, H. Basser, *Chemical Physics* 294 (2003) 17

- 3 K.-H. Schweikart, M. Hohloch, E. Steinhuber, M. Hanack, L. Lüer, J. Gierschner, H.-J. Egelhaaf, D. Oelkrug
Synthetic Metals 121, (2001), 1641.
- 4 D. Oelkrug, J. Gierschner, H.-J. Egelhaaf, L. Lüer, A. Tompert, K. Müllen, U. Stalmach, H. Meier. *Synthetic
Metals*, 121 (2001) 1693
- 5 M Hanack, B. Behnisch, H. Häckl, P. Martinez-Ruiz, K.-H. Schweikart *Thin Solid Films*, 417, (2002,) 26.
- 6 K.L. Brandon, D. D. C. Bradley, P. G. Bentley and D. A. Dunmur *Synthetic Metals*, 91, (1997), 305.
- 7 B.S. Chuah, F. Cacialli, J.E. Davies, N. Feeder, R.H. Friend, A.B.Holmes, E.A. Marseglia, S.C. Moratti, J.-L.
BreÂdas, D.A. dos Santos, *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 488, (1998), 87.
- 8 S Maier, A. Dury, A. P. Davey, H.J.Byrne, W. J. Blau. *Synthetic Metals*, 119, (2001), 85.
- 9 D. O'Brien, A. Bleyer, D. G. Lidzey, and D. D. C. Bradley T. Tsutsui, *J. Appl. Phys.* 82, (1997) 2662.
- 10 S. Maier, A. Dury, A. P. Davey, H.J.Byrne, W. J. Blau. *Synthetic Metals*, 101, (1999) 31,
- 11 B. Xu, J. Zhang, Y. Pan, and Z. Peng. *Synthetic Metals*, 107, (1999) 47,
- 12 M Hanack, J. L. Segura, and H. Spreitzer, *Advanced materials*. 8, (1996) 8.
- 13 B Behnisch, P. Mariinez-Ruiz, K-H Schweikart, M Hanack, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* (2000) 2541.
- 14 S.E. Dottinger, M. Hohloch, J. L. Segura, E. Steinhuber, M. Hanack, A. Tompert, and P. Oelkrug, *Advanced
materials*, 9 ,(1997), 3, 233-236
15. Y.Pang, J.li, B. Hu, F.E. Karasz *Macromolecules* 32 (1999) 3946
- 16 M. Yan, L. J. Rothberg, E. W. Kwock, and T. M. Miller. *Phy. Rev. Lett.* 75, (1995), 1992
- 17 S. A. Curran, P. A. Ajayan, W. J. Blau, D. C. Carroll, J. N. Coleman, A. B. Dalton, A. P. Davey, A. Drury, B.
McCarthy, S. Maier and A. strevens, *Advanced materials*, 10, (1998), 1091-1093.
- 18 . J. N. Coleman, A. B. Dalton, S. Curran, A. Rubio, A. Davey, A. Drury B. McCarthy, B. Lahr, P. Ajayan, S.
Roth, R. Barklie, W. J. Blau *Advanced materials*, 12, (2000), 213.
- 19 H. G. Gilich, W. L. Wheelwright, *J Polym. Sci. part A: Polym. Chem.*, (1996), 4, 1337.
- 20 F. Koch, W. heitz, *Macromol. Chem.* 198 (1997) 1531
- 21 M. Rrhan and A. D. schluetter, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*(1990) 375.
- 22 R. A. Wessling. *J. Polym. Symp.* (1986), 72, 55
- 23 S. Pfeiffer, H.-H. Hörhold, *Synthetic Metals*. 101 (1999) 109
- 24 G. Drefahl, R. Kuehmstedt, H. Oswald, H. -H. Hörhold *Macromol. Chem.* (1970), 131, 89
- 24 H. -H. Hörhold, J. Opfermann, *Makromol. Chem.*. 131 (1970) 105
- 25 H. Rost, S. Pfeiffer, A Teuschel, H.-H. Hörhold *Synthetic Metals*. 84 (1997) 269
- 26 A. Dury, S. Maier, M. Rüter W. J. Blau, *J. mater. Chem.*, 13, (2003), 485
- 27 A. Dury, S. Maier, A. P. Davey, A. B. Dalton, J. N. Coleman, H.J.Byrne, W. J. Blau *Synthetic Metals* 119
(2001) 151
- 28 H. J. Byrne, W. Blau, R. Giesa and R.C. Schulz, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 167, (1990), 484-489
- 29 A. J. Heeger *J. Phy. Chem. B* 105 36 (2001) 8475
- 30 M. Atreya, S. Li, E. T. Kang, K. G. Neoh, Z. H. Ma, K. L. Tan, W. Huang. *Poly. Degr. And Stability*, 65,
(1999), 287-296.

*patrick.lynch@dit.ie, telephone +353 1 402 7991