

2014

The Development of Teachers' Key Competences for Student-Centred Teaching and Learning.

Frank McMahon

Technological University Dublin, frank.mcmahon@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/csercon>



Part of the [Higher Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

McMahon, F. The Development of Teachers' Key Competences for Student-Centred Teaching and Learning. Rectors' Conference Ljubljana, Slovenia April 2014.

This Conference Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Social and Educational Research at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Conference Papers by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License](#)

The Development of Teachers' Key Competences for Student-centred Teaching and Learning

Presentation at Rectors' Conference,
Slovenia

2014

Frank McMahon

Focus on Student-centred Learning

- Broad distinction between Teacher-centred and Student-centred teaching
- Emphasis on what the teacher does or emphasis on what students do
- The move towards a Learning Outcomes Approach to curriculum design
- The influence of the Bologna Process

Differences of Approach

- Difference between input of teachers and the learning activities of students
- The “Sage on the Stage” v “Guide on the Side”
- Differences in how the objectives of the teaching are written
- A paradigm shift towards Student-centred Learning

Key Features of SCL

- Seeking Active rather than Passive learning
- Emphasis on deep learning and understanding
- Increased responsibility for the student
- Greater sense of autonomy for the learner
- Interdependence between teacher and learner
- Mutual respect between teachers and students
- A reflexive approach to the teaching process by teachers and learners
- [Adapted from O'Neill and McMahon, 2005]

Designing Programme for SCL

- Programme outcomes to be designed first
- Statements of what a graduate should know, should understand should be able to do
- May be based on the *Dublin Descriptors* for first, second and third cycle awards
- Represents a radical change away from the traditional approach to starting with what the university will put into the programme

Abbreviated Dublin Descriptors

- First cycle degree awarded to students who have demonstrated knowledge and understanding
- Can apply their knowledge and understanding in a professional way to their work
- Can gather and interpret relevant data
- Can communicate information, ideas problems and solutions
- Have learning skills to continue to further study

Teaching Skills to Implement SCL

- Teaching methods that lead to understanding
- A Teaching Strategy is a plan for someone else's learning
- Exercises and activities for students
- Materials which will be supplied for students to work with
- How evidence of their growing understanding will be collected

Postgraduate Certificate in Higher Education

- Many universities now have LTC to offer training to new/inexperienced teaching staff
- PGCert may be mandatory for all new staff
- Part-time, requiring attendance half day per week
- Include reflective piece, tutor observation, peer assessment and micro teaching
- Required before a teacher gets tenure/promotion
- Mixes staff from different disciplines
- May involve staff from other universities

MA in Higher Education

- A follow-on from the Postgraduate Cert/Diploma
- Part-time, 2 years
- Involves modules in year 1, preparation of a dissertation year 2
- Topic chosen by the participant
- Develops research skills as well as the Teaching and Learning skills
- Each participant must produce a journal paper at end of the programme

Benefits of Formal Programmes

- “I became a more reflective teacher”
- “Discussions about educational theory useful”
- “Learned new methods and techniques”
- “Provided stronger theoretical underpinning”
- “Coverage of things like what do you consider to be knowledge and learning” very useful
- “Enjoyed working with colleagues from different disciplines” (Skelton, 2013)

A Poisoned Chalice

- Some course participants experienced negative reactions from colleagues
- One participant advised by boss not to spend too much time on dissertation because there is pressure on research aspect of his job
- Threat of being marked out as a teacher in a research-intensive department
- Course seen as good for individual but no benefit to the department (Skelton, 2013)

Programmes for teachers to be voluntary or mandatory

- Difficult to introduce mandatory training for long-established teachers
- Easier to teach participants who have chosen to be there rather than forced to be there
- Could start with some short voluntary courses
- One can make a strong case for at least some training to be mandatory for all new staff
- Evidence suggests that most staff enjoy courses in pedagogy and assessment

Developing Student-centred Learning

- Consulting students about their learning
- Emphasis on deep, not surface, learning
- Involving students in process of learning
- A sense of autonomy for the student
- Mutual respect between teacher and student
- Greater choice for student in study path

Building in Choice for Students

- Make it obligatory that each semester must have 5 ECTS for free electives
- Distinguish between core/compulsory subjects and electives
- Organise the timetable so that it is feasible for a student to take an elective subject
- Construct programmes so that all modules carry 5 or a multiple of 5 ECTS credits

Building in Choice (2)

- May need a university-wide policy that Wed. and Friday afternoons are kept free for electives
- Advertise the benefits to students of having choice of a free elective “something you always wanted to study”
- Promote the benefit of a humanities module for scientists/engineers and vice versa

Assessment for SCL

- Emphasis should be on formative assessment, not summative
- Feedback to students should be timely (the quicker the better)
- Can include both self-assessment and peer-assessment
- Assessment related to the key aspects of the subject

Training in New Technologies

- Some universities offer MSc programme in use of new technologies to support learning
- Duration 2 years, part-time
- Modules in year 1, project in year 2
- Core modules in Learning Theories, Instructional Design and Research Methods
- Optional modules including Trends in eLearning & Creativity
- All must prepare eLearning Portfolio

Teaching Fellowships

- Member of academic staff selected by each faculty
- Work on a topic chosen by the sponsoring faculty
- Hold feedback sessions in home faculty periodically
- Present findings at annual showcase on L & T initiatives
- Links faculties/schools with LTC

Annual Showcase

- A day dedicated to highlighting developments in L & T
- Includes presentations by holders of fellowships
- Includes an invited keynote speaker
- Other staff can present
- Poster exhibition
- Encourages collegiality

Teacher of the Year

- Can be University Teacher of the Year or one per faculty/school
- Possibility of student nomination or staff nomination?
- Award presented at graduation ceremonies or other public occasion?
- An external chair or panel of judges
- Cash prize or funding for research/travel

Support to Faculties

- Finance made available to each faculty/school to enable it to design new approaches to teaching or assessment
- Guidance provided by LTC
- Presentation made by each faculty on results
- Results published on website of LTC

LTC Workshops

- Short workshops (half day to 2 or 3 days) popular with staff
- A wide variety of topics
- Can be even shorter e.g. lunch-time presentation; (“bring your own sandwiches, free coffee”)
- Encourages interaction with LTC
- Gets academic staff to interact across disciplinary boundaries
- Need to be in central location

Perspectives on Education

- Once a month debate on an educational topic
- One speaker for, one against
- Involve internal staff and external staff
- Held at lunch time

Organisation Structure for LTC

- LTC should be seen as available to all faculties/schools
- Should be neutral between faculties/schools
- LTC could report to Head of Academic Affairs or similar
- Desirability of having staff of LTC seconded from faculties.
- Collaboration, internally, nationally and internationally is key to success

Summer School

- LTC can organise a summer school on a selected topic e.g. eLearning or Assessment
- To take place when all teaching in the university has ceased
- Duration one week (5 days)
- Available to all academic staff of the university and possibly other universities
- Include an element of training in the programme e.g. how to develop eLearning resources

Heads of Learning Development

- There is a need to ensure faculties and schools implement new teaching approach
- Appoint Head of Learning Development(HoLD) in each faculty/school
- HoLD to serve as link between LTC and staff in faculties
- Benefits of nation association for Learning and Teaching.

National Level

- Need for a national body to share Learning Resources developed for eLearning
- Need for HEIs to agree on a preferred eLearning platform
- Desirability of opening up programmes to staff of other HEIs
- Possibility of a single LTC to serve Maribor region
- A national conference each year on developments in L & T

What is Teaching Excellence?

- High level of competency in a wide range of teaching skills
- Commitment to integrity of subject matter
- Deep appreciation of the importance of various stakeholders' needs
- Genuine interest in continual improvement
- Constructive contribution to curriculum development (Robinson, 2003)

Staffing of LTC

- Depends on funding available
- Desirable to have expertise in teaching, assessment, use of IT in teaching, etc.
- Desirable to have staff with different backgrounds e.g. Science, Engineering, Humanities, Medicine, etc.
- Staff of LTC to specialise in teaching, assessment, eLearning.
- Staff to be seconded by faculties?

Bibliography

- Clegg, S. (2003) Problematizing Ourselves: Continuing professional development in higher education, *International Journal for Academic Development*, 8 : 1/2, 37-50
- Donnelly, R. (2006) Exploring Lecturers' Self Perception of Change in Teaching Practice, *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11 (2), 203-217

Bibliography

- Gibbs, G and Coffey M. (2004) The Impact of Training of University Teachers on their Teaching Skills, their Approach to Teaching and the Approach to Learning of their students, *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 5(i), 87-100
- Land, R. (2004) Education Development: Discourse, Identity and Practice, Maidenhead: Open University Press/SRAE
- Robinson, C. (2003) Awards for Teaching Excellence: what the teachers say, B-Hert News, Australia
www.bhert.com/publications/newsletter/B-HERTNEWS18.pdf
- Skelton, A (2013) Positively Transformational or poisoned chalice? The impact of a course on higher education teaching at a research-intensive institution: *Teaching in Higher Education*, Vol. 18, No. 8, 908 - 919

Questions/Discussion

“All higher education institutions must ensure that all teaching staff are both qualified and competent in teaching and learning, and should support ongoing development and improvement of their skills”.

[Extract from National Strategy for Higher Education to 2030, Ireland]