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Global hypomethylation and regional hypermethylation are well-known epigenetic features of cancer, however, in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), studies on genome-wide epigenetic modifications are limited. Here we analyzed the global methylation profiles in CLL, by applying high-resolution methylation micro-arrays (27,578 CpG sites) to 23 CLL samples, belonging to the immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable (IGHV) mutated (favorable) and IGHV unmutated/IGHV3-21 (poor-prognostic) subsets. Overall, results demonstrated significant differences in methylation patterns between these subgroups. Specifically, in IGHV unmutated CLL, we identified methylation of 7 known or candidate tumor suppressor genes (e.g. VHL, ABI3 and IGSF4) as well as 8 unmethylated genes involved in cell proliferation and tumor progression (e.g. ADORA3 and PRF1 enhancing the NF-κB and MAPKinase pathways, respectively). In contrast, these latter genes were silenced by methylation in IGHV mutated patients. The array data was validated for selected genes using methylation-specific PCR, quantitative RT-PCR and bi-sulfite sequencing. Finally, the significance of DNA methylation in regulating gene promoters was shown by re-inducing 4 methylated tumor suppressor genes (e.g. VHL and ABI3) in IGHV unmutated samples using the methyl-inhibitor 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine. Taken together, our data for the first time reveal differences in global methylation profiles between prognostic subsets of CLL, which may unfold epigenetic silencing mechanisms involved in CLL pathogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is characterized by clonal proliferation and accumulation of long-lived neoplastic B-cells, which arise due to altered control of apoptosis as well as proliferation. No common disease causing mutation has yet been identified in CLL, although certain recurrent genomic aberrations (i.e. 11q-, +12, 13q-, 17p-) provide important prognostic information.\(^1\) Besides these aberrations, the mutation status of the immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable (IGHV) genes segregates patients into two main prognostic subgroups, where patients with unmutated IGHV genes show inferior outcome compared to those with mutated IGHV genes.\(^2,3\) In addition, CLL patients utilizing the IGHV3-21 gene display poor outcome independent of mutation status.\(^4\)

Aberrant DNA methylation has been shown to play a strong role in tumorogenesis, where genome-wide hypomethylation and regional hypermethylation of tumor suppressor gene promoters are characteristic hallmarks of many cancers.\(^5\) In CLL, the epigenetic mechanism of gene regulation has thus far received limited attention. That notwithstanding, a strong correlation between promoter methylation and transcriptional silencing was shown for certain individual gene promoters in CLL, for example, *DAPK1, TWIST2, ZAP70* and *HoxA4*.\(^6-9\) The methylation status of several of these genes has also been reported to correlate with IGHV mutational status. To date, only one study has been performed using genome-wide methylation analysis in CLL using the ‘Restriction Landmark Genomic Scanning’ technique. They observed that 2–8% of CpG islands were aberrantly methylated compared to normal controls and that methylation events showed a non-random distribution.\(^10\) However, this technique spans only 3000 CpG islands and does not give a full coverage of the genome.

The main aim of this present investigation was to study and compare the genome-wide methylation profiles of different CLL prognostic subgroups to identify differentially
methylated genes that can play a role in CLL pathogenesis. Recent studies have revealed that DNA hypermethylation in cancer is not always restricted to discrete CpG islands or single genes but can affect multiple adjacent CpG rich regions resulting in gene silencing across large genomic distances. Unlike promoter CpG island methylation arrays that cover sites near the promoter region, we here applied an Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 array (27,578 methylated sites, covering 14,495 genes) to 23 CLL patient samples belonging to the IGHV mutated, IGHV unmutated and IGHV3-21 CLL subgroups. We identified distinct methylation profiles between different subgroups and the array data was validated for selected genes using methylation-specific PCR (MSP-PCR), real-time quantitative PCR (RQ-PCR) and bi-sulfite sequencing. Finally, methylated genes were also re-expressed in CLL patient samples using methyl inhibitors to ascertain the role of DNA methylation in regulating gene expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient samples and Clinical data

In this study, 23 tumor samples from patients with CLL (6 IGHV mutated, 7 IGHV unmutated and 10 IGHV3-21) were collected from the Biobank at the Department of Pathology, Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden. All samples were diagnosed according to recently revised criteria, showing typical CLL immunophenotype and ≥70% tumor cells. Clinical and molecular characteristics including IGHV mutation status and genomic aberrations are summarized in Table 1. Two normal healthy control samples (1 sample with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and 1 sample with CD19 sorted B-cells) and 1 negative control (whole-genome amplified DNA) were included for analysis. Additionally, 2 CLL EBV transformed cell lines (I83 derived from a mutated CLL patient and HG3 derived from an unmutated CLL patient) were included for analysis. Finally, 10
additional CLL samples (5 IGHV mutated and 5 IGHV unmutated) were included in the validation experiments using MSP-PCR and another 50 CLL samples (27 IGHV mutated and 23 IGHV unmutated) were included in RQ-PCR analysis. None of these 60 latter samples were included in methylation array analysis. Informed consent was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the study was approved by the local ethical review committee.

**Methylation array analysis**

We applied the genome-wide Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 BeadChip array (Illumina, San Diego, USA) which allows interrogation of 27,578 CpG dinucleotides, covering 14,495 genes. First, bi-sulfite conversion of genomic DNA was performed using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 1 µg of DNA was sodium bisulfite treated, denatured at 95°C for 30 seconds, and bisulfite converted at 50°C for 12 hours. Following conversion, samples were desulphonated and eluted using column preparation. Approximately 100-125 ng of bi-sulfite converted DNA was processed according to the Illumina Infinium Methylation Assay protocol. This assay is based on the conversion of unmethylated C-nucleotides into U (T) -nucleotides by the bisulfite treatment. The DNA was whole-genome amplified, enzymatically fragmented, precipitated, resuspended and hybridized over night at 48°C to locus specific oligonucleotide primers on the BeadArray. Following hybridization, the C or T nucleotides were detected by single base primer extension. The fluorescence signals corresponding to the C or T nucleotides were measured from the BeadArrays using an Illumina BeadStation GX scanner. The fluorescence data was then analyzed using the BeadStudio software (Illumina, San Diego, USA), which assigns a quantitative measure of the methylation levels (Beta-value or methylation index (MI))
value) for each CpG site, that corresponds to the ratio between the fluorescence signal from the methylated allele (C) and the sum of the fluorescent signals of the methylated (C) and unmethylated (T) alleles. The methylation status for each detected CpG site ranged between 0.1 (completely unmethylated) to 1 (completely methylated). MI cut-offs of ≥ 0.7 and ≤ 0.4 (average of all MI values in each group) were considered methylated and unmethylated, respectively.

Further bioinformatic analysis of the methylation data was carried out in the freely available statistical computing language R (http://www.r-project.org). In order to search for the differentially methylated genes between the different prognostic subgroups (i.e. IGHV mutated/unmutated and IGHV3-21 CLL patients) the data was arcsin transformed and an empirical Bayes moderated t-test was then applied using the ‘limma’ package. The p-values were adjusted using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg and a level of p<0.05 was used as a cutoff. To identify differentially methylated genes of largest difference between subgroups an additional filter incorporating the average geometric difference was applied to ensure only genes with large absolute differences remained. Consequently, an average difference in MI of 0.45 between the IGHV mutated and IGHV unmutated subgroups, 0.35 between the IGHV3-21 and IGHV mutated subgroups and 0.35 between IGHV3-21 and IGHV unmutated subgroups was applied.

**Methylation-specific PCR analysis**

To verify the findings from the methylation arrays, we selected 4 genes for MSP-PCR. Methylated specific primers (MSP) were designed according to MSP primer selection criteria as outlined by Li and Dahiya. Specifically, sequences covering approximately 250bp flanking the identified CpG sites on either side were used for primer design in the Methprimer software (Bisearch, San Francisco, USA). The unmethylated-specific primers
(USP) were designed around 50bp upstream and downstream of the methylation specific primers, as to cover the same CpG sites as those contained by the MSP region. Both MSP and USP primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The PCR reactions contained 1.5mM MgCl$_2$, 200µM dNTP mix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 0.2µM primers (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 1x PCR AmpliTaq gold buffer, 1.25U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 5% DMSO (Merck, NJ, USA) and 50ng of bisulfite-treated DNA. The PCR reaction was performed as follows: denaturation at 95 °C for 10 minutes; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 45 seconds, 58°C for 45 seconds, 72 °C for 45 seconds and a final extension step of 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis

To further analyze if methylation status influences the gene expression of specific genes, we selected 4 genes for RQ-PCR. Total RNA was extracted using RNA extraction Kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Reverse transcription reaction was performed using MMLV-RT kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and random hexamers (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT-PCR primers were designed using the Primer3 software (Broad institute, Boston, USA). All primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed using 2X SYBR green master mix according to manufacturer’s protocol (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada). Expression was analyzed using the Stratagene Mx 3005p (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) detection system and calculated with the Max Pro QPCR software (Stratagene) using beta-actin as a reference gene and the ∆∆ Ct method. The statistical significance of differences in expression was calculated by a
t-test and represented in box plot graphs using the Statistica 8.0 software (Stat Soft, Tulsa, OK).

**Bi-sulfite sequencing**

To confirm the methylation status of individual genes, we selected 2 genes for bi-sulfite sequencing. Bi-sulfite sequencing primers (BSP) were designed according to BSP primer selection criteria as outlined by Li and Dahiya\(^\text{14}\) (Supplemental Table 1). PCR was performed using 1.5mM MgCl\(_2\), 200µM dNTP mix, 0.2µM primers (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 1x PCR Taq buffer, 1U Platinum Taq (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and ~50 ng of bi-sulfite-treated DNA. Touchdown PCR cycling conditions included 5 cycles at 95 °C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 45 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute, followed by 5 cycles at 95 °C for 30 seconds, 53°C for 45 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute, and a further 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 seconds, 50°C for 45 seconds and 72 °C for 45 seconds, followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR product was cloned using the Invitrogen TOPO TA cloning kit-2.1 TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and 10-12 clones per sample were selected for verification of the correct sized insert via PCR using vector specific M13 forward and reverse primers (provided by the cloning kit). Verified PCR products were treated using 0.5 U Exo I and 1.0 U SAP (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada) at 37°C for 45 minutes and 80°C for 15 minutes and sequenced with vector specific primers using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Reaction Kit (Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA). All sequence reactions were analyzed using an automated DNA sequencer (ABI 377, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequences were aligned and analyzed using the bisulfite sequencing web-based tool BiQ Analyzer software (Max-Planck Institut fur informatik, Saarbrucken, Germany) and the degree of methylation represented as a lolli-pop grid.
5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (DAC) and Trichostatin A (TSA) treatment

Frozen primary CLL cell samples and the CLL cell line HG3 were cultured in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) 4mM glutamine and 1X penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) until confluent. Cells were then subdivided to contain ~ 1 million cells/ml/well 12 hours before treatment, to allow the cells to adjust to conditions. Cells were subsequently cultured over 3 days in supplemented RPMI media treated with one of the following treatments: 1) medium containing 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (DAC) (5µM/L, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for 72 hours whereby medium was changed every 24 hours, 2) medium containing Trichostatin A (TSA) (500nM/lit, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for the last 24 hours, and 3) medium containing DAC for 48 hrs followed by addition of TSA for 24 hrs. Control cells were cultured in similar way with no drugs added.

RESULTS

Distribution of samples based on overall methylation index

This study investigated a total of 27 samples, encompassing 6 IGHV mutated, 7 IGHV unmutated, and 10 IGHV3-21 CLL patient samples, as well as 2 healthy control samples (1 obtained from PBMC and 1 from sorted B-cells), 2 EBV transformed CLL cell lines and 1 negative control (whole-genome amplified DNA). Figure 1 graphically represents the MI values obtained from all samples. As expected the negative control sample showed lowest MI, and the healthy control samples displayed the highest values. All CLL patient samples fell in the range between these controls, whereas the two CLL cell lines displayed lower MIs when compared to the CLL samples. Hence, healthy controls had a higher degree of genome-wide methylation, whereas the CLL cell lines showed less methylation compared to the CLL patient samples.
Methylation profiling of different prognostic subgroups of CLL

Genome-wide methylation profiles were compared between samples from three different CLL subgroups, i.e. IGHV mutated, IGHV unmutated and IGHV3-21 CLL. Additionally, healthy controls were included for comparison. Since the CLL cell lines showed completely different methylation profiles as compared to CLL patient samples, these were not included in the comparisons. Using highly stringent selection criteria (as detailed in Materials and Methods), a total of 64 genes were identified as significantly differentially methylated between IGHV mutated and unmutated CLL (Figure 2A). Similarly, 60 and 31 genes were identified in the comparison between IGHV unmutated versus IGHV3-21 CLL and IGHV mutated versus IGHV3-21 CLL, respectively (Figure 2B-C). Based on the functionality of all these identified differentially methylated genes, they could be grouped into various categories, such as apoptotic related genes (anti-apoptotic or pro-apoptotic), known or candidate tumor suppressor genes, genes involved in high proliferative activity, genes enhancing tumorogenesis, drug resistance genes and genes associated with prognosis, as described in Table 2. Importantly, we identified known/candidate tumor suppressor genes that were preferentially methylated in the IGHV unmutated (7 genes, e.g. VHL, ABI3), IGHV3-21(1 gene, SLC22A18) and IGHV mutated (2 genes, PPP1R3A and WISP3) subgroups. We also identified 10 unmethylated and hence potentially expressed genes shown to be involved in activation of proliferative pathways such as the NFκB pathway (e.g. ADORA3 and CARD15), and the MAP/ERK kinase pathway (e.g. PRF1 and FABP7) in the IGHV unmutated and IGHV3-21 subgroups. Of the identified genes, most have previously not been associated with CLL, however many were known to be involved in the tumorogenesis of several other cancers and leukemia.
Confirmation of array data using methylation-specific PCR and RQ-PCR

To confirm the array data, we selected 4 genes for MSP-PCR, i.e. PRF1 and ADORA3 (methylated in IGHV mutated CLL samples), BCL10 and IGSF4 (methylated in IGHV unmutated CLL samples). PRF1 and ADORA3 are mainly involved in cell proliferation by constitutive activation of the MAPK and NFκB pathways, respectively,\textsuperscript{15,16} whereas BCL10 is an anti-apoptotic gene\textsuperscript{17} and IGSF4 is a candidate tumor suppressor gene.\textsuperscript{18} Figure 3A illustrates the results for 3 IGHV mutated and 4 unmutated CLL samples, verifying that PRF1 and ADORA3 were mostly methylated in mutated samples, whereas mostly BCL10 and IGSF4 were methylated in unmutated samples. Additionally, we selected 10 additional IGHV mutated and unmutated CLL samples (not included in the array study), and analyzed the methylation status for ADORA3 and BCL10, which further confirmed the divergent methylation status between the mutated/unmutated CLL subgroups (Figure 3B).

Following conformation of the methylation status of the selected genes, we correlated this data with mRNA expression levels of the respective genes as well as 3 additional genes (i.e. VHL, ABI3 and NGFR) using RQ-PCR. Figure 4 demonstrates RQ-PCR results for 4 of the genes (VHL, ABI3, ADORA3 and BCL-10) in a CLL cohort of 50 samples, confirming that the mRNA expression levels correlated strongly with the methylation status. Of the 4 genes analyzed, 3 genes (VHL, ABI3 and BCL-10) showed a significant difference between the IGHV mutated and unmutated subgroups. Furthermore, RT-PCR results for the PRF1 and NGFR genes (Supplemental Figure 1) were analyzed on the same 4 IGHV mutated and unmutated samples included in the MSP-PCR analyses, further verifying the MSP-PCR data.
**Bi-sulfite sequencing of methylated tumor suppressor genes**

Two candidate tumor suppressor genes (*VHL* and *ABI3*) that displayed significant differences in gene expression levels between IGHV mutated and unmutated CLL samples were selected for bi-sulfite sequencing and subcloning to confirm the degree of methylation using 2 IGHV mutated and 2 IGHV unmutated samples. The bi-sulfite sequencing region covered the CpG sites which were included in the array and showed a significant difference in the MI values between IGHV mutated and IGHV unmutated samples. Both genes exhibited a high degree of hypermethylation in the IGHV unmutated samples compared to the IGHV mutated samples (Figure 5).

**Re-expression of methylated genes using a methyl inhibitor**

To investigate the role of DNA methylation in transcriptional regulation of gene promoters, we examined the effects of DNA methyl transferase inhibitor 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (DAC) and/or histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) treatment on gene expression in 3 IGHV unmutated and 3 IGHV mutated CLL samples. Four genes found to be mainly hypermethylated in unmutated samples (*IGSF4, VHL, ABI3* and *NGFR*) were selected for re-expression analysis. An increase in the activation of the *IGSF4, ABI3* and *VHL* genes was observed in response to DAC or TSA treatment, especially when applied in combination, in several of the IGHV unmutated CLL samples as compared to the corresponding untreated samples (Figure 6). Reactivation of the *NGFR* gene is shown in Supplemental Figure 2. Similar data was obtained for all the above mentioned genes using the CLL EBV transformed cell line HG3 (data not shown).
DISCUSSION

In hematological malignancies, genes involved in important cellular pathways have been described to be affected by CpG island methylation in association with transcriptional silencing. The role of aberrant DNA methylation and individual DNA promoter methylation has been investigated in CLL (e.g. ZAP-70, TWIST 2), although there have been few comprehensive studies dedicated to uncover the methylation status on a global level. Using a genome-wide methylation array, we here for the first time identified distinct methylation profiles in prognostic subsets of CLL.

In tumor cells, a disrupted methylation pattern is often observed featuring global hypomethylation and region-specific hypermethylation of certain gene promoters, compared to their normal cellular counterparts. In the present study the overall degree of methylation, indicated as MI values, of all CLL primary samples demonstrated lower methylation levels compared to normal healthy controls (Figure 1), which is in line with previous findings. We also noticed that the EBV-transformed CLL cell lines displayed very different methylation patterns compared to CLL primary samples with lower MI values. This is perhaps not unexpected considering their higher proliferative capacity.

To identify differentially methylated genes between CLL subgroups we applied a stringent bioinformatic approach which revealed significantly different methylation profiles between IGHV unmutated and mutated CLL as well as between IGHV mutated/unmutated and IGHV3-21 CLL (Figure 2). Many of the identified genes were found to be involved in important cellular pathways, where dysregulation of these genes could significantly contribute to leukemogenesis. For instance, we identified known or candidate tumor suppressor genes that were preferentially methylated in the poor-prognostic IGHV unmutated (7 genes) and IGHV3-21 (1 gene) subgroups (Table 2). Although many of these genes have been implicated in several other cancers (Table 2),
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their role in CLL has not been investigated. Some of the known or candidate tumor suppressor genes that were specifically methylated in IGHV unmutated CLL were: *ABI3*, implicated in lung metastasis\textsuperscript{21}, *VHL*, mutated in sporadic renal cell carcinoma as well as in the hereditary syndrome von Hippel-Lindau\textsuperscript{22} and *IGSF4*, methylated in neuroblastoma\textsuperscript{18}. Furthermore, we performed validation experiments to verify the methylation data from the arrays. For instance, the high degree of methylation and the corresponding decreased gene expression of 2 genes, *VHL* and *ABI3*, were confirmed by both bi-sulfite sequencing and RQ-PCR in IGHV unmutated CLL (Figure 4 and 5). Taken together, several tumor suppressor genes were found methylated particularly in poor-prognostic, unmutated CLL, while they remained unmethylated and hence expressed in IGHV mutated CLL.

Previous studies implicate that certain cellular pathways, such as NFκB, PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK, are dysregulated in CLL B-cells leading to activation of anti-apoptotic pathways. Our data strengthens this idea and further indicates that certain genes involved in such ‘proliferative’ pathways may be controlled by DNA methylation in CLL. In particular, we identified expression of genes that enhance constant cell proliferation and invasion in the IGHV unmutated CLL subgroup (Table 2). Some of the genes included were *ADORA3* and *PRF1* genes, that activate the NFκB and MAPKinase pathways, respectively, and which were expressed in the poor-prognostic CLL subsets compared to IGHV mutated CLL.\textsuperscript{16,23} Also, genes involved in repressing the NFκB pathway (*LCOD1* gene)\textsuperscript{28} and MAPKinase (*ZNF540* gene)\textsuperscript{29} pathways were generally methylated in both IGHV unmutated and IGHV3-21 samples in contrast to IGHV mutated CLL. The methylation status and gene expression were confirmed for two such genes, e.g. *ADORA3* and *PRF1* using both MS-PCR and RQ-PCR in IGHV unmutated versus mutated CLL (Figure 3 and 4). Moreover, genes previously reported to be involved in facilitating tumorogenesis within other cancer types were noted to be preferentially unmethylated in
the IGHV unmutated (7 genes) and IGHV3-21 (4 genes) CLL subgroups in comparison to
IGHV mutated CLL (Table 2). For example, *IFNB1*, shown to enhance B-cell
proliferation,\(^{24}\) and *IL17RC*, involved in facilitating tumorogenesis in prostate cancer,\(^{25}\)
were unmethylated in IGHV unmutated samples. Thus, the above data indicates that poor-
prognostic CLL subsets favor expression of genes aiding tumor proliferation.

In contrast to IGHV unmutated CLL, IGHV mutated CLL showed mainly deregulation
of anti- and pro-apoptotic genes. In particular, *BCL2*, a known anti-apoptotic gene,\(^{26}\)
remained unmethylated in IGHV mutated CLL samples. On the other hand, pro-apoptotic
genes such as *BCL10\(^{17}\)* and *TP53\(^{13}\)* shown to induce p53-mediated cell death,\(^{27}\)
were methylated in IGHV mutated samples unlike IGHV unmutated CLL. As shown in Figure
3, we could confirm both the differential methylation status and expression level of *BCL10*
in mutated and unmutated CLL.

Interestingly, we also identified certain genes that have been related to prognosis, for
instance, the *ANGPT2* gene which has been shown to be expressed preferentially in IGHV
unmutated CLL samples.\(^{28}\) Our array data indeed demonstrated that this gene was
methylated in mutated CLL while being unmethylated in unmutated CLL. In addition,
expression of the *NGFR* gene, which has been associated with favorable prognosis in
ALL,\(^{29}\) was unmethylated in IGHV mutated CLL and showed increased expression on the
RNA level within this subset (Supplemental Figure 1). However, further analysis of the
*ANGPT2* and *NGFR* genes in a larger CLL cohort is needed to assess their prognostic
impact. 

Apart from the ‘traditional’ genes, the HumanMethylation27 BeadChip array also
contains 110 miRNA promoters. However, none of these miRNAs met the criteria set to
define a significant difference in methylation between the studied subsets. Evidently, this
does not exclude that methylation changes may exist between CLL subsets considering that only a fraction of miRNA promoters were covered by the array.

Unlike genetic changes, epigenetic changes are reversible using de-methylating agents such as 5-aza-deoxycytidine (DAC). Consequently, the role of these agents as treatment options is currently under investigation in hematological malignancies including CLL.\textsuperscript{33,34} In this study, we demonstrated that inhibition of DNA methylation using methyl- and HDAC inhibitors could induce expression of methylated tumor suppressor genes (e.g. \textit{IGSF4}, \textit{ABI3} and \textit{VHL}) in unmutated CLL primary samples. We generally did not observe high induction of gene expression with methyl inhibitor treatment, probably due to the fact that CLL primary cells do not proliferate at a high rate under \textit{in vitro} conditions, although the effect of the drugs was pronounced when applied in combination. These data indeed strengthen the role of DNA methylation in regulating promoter expression and open up the possibility of targeted therapy, although this has to be studied further.

Gene expression micro-array studies have previously revealed different gene expression profiles between IGHV mutated and IGHV unmutated/IGHV3-21 CLL patients.\textsuperscript{30-32} However, the vast majority of the identified genes in the present study could not be found in these gene expression studies.\textsuperscript{30-32} There could be several possible explanations for this, for example, incomplete overlap with genes included on the expression arrays, and different criteria applied for identifying genes differing between subsets. Gene expression can also be silenced by other mechanisms than methylation, for instance mutations or deletions, which will not be identified on methylation arrays. Also, we cannot exclude the possibility that differentially methylated genes may not always correlate with a significant difference in gene expression. Since this is one of the first studies using the whole-genome Illumina array, we decided to apply stringent criteria in order to reduce false positive genes. On the other hand, we may indeed have lost some
important genes, which would have come up using less stringent criteria. That notwithstanding, we believe that our confirmation experiments using different techniques such as MSP-PCR, RQ-PCR and bi-sulfite sequencing strengthen the validity of our data.

In conclusion, the observation that the distinct methylation profiles encompassed candidate genes involved in cellular pathways regulating proliferation and apoptosis in IGHV unmutated and mutated CLL underlines a critical role for epigenetic changes during leukemogenesis. Most identified tumor suppressor genes were specifically silenced in IGHV unmutated CLL, implicating the role of these genes in the pathogenesis of this subgroup. Specific inhibition of expression of unmethylated genes involved in facilitating tumorogenesis and re-expression of methylated candidate tumor suppressor genes within the poor-prognostic subgroups could represent a possible route for drug therapy. Hopefully, our results may help to further unravel the pathogenesis of CLL and identify possible novel biomarkers and targets for drug therapy in CLL.
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Table 1. Clinical and molecular data for the CLL patients included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLL sample</th>
<th>Percent identity&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Survival (months)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Binet stage</th>
<th>Treated before sampling&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Genomic aberrations&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IGHV mutated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>195+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>129+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>None&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>134+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>104+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>94+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IGHV unmutated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>112+</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IGHV3-21</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+12&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q), del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-3</td>
<td>96.50&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q), del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-4</td>
<td>96.9&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>del(17p), +12&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-6</td>
<td>98.7&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>del(13q), del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-7</td>
<td>96.49&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-8</td>
<td>95.5&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>None&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-9</td>
<td>96.8&lt;sup&gt;#&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>del(13q)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-21-10</td>
<td>97.4&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>del(13q), del(11q)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> IGHV gene analysis was performed using PCR amplification and nucleotide sequencing as previously described. Cases with ≥98% identity to germline were classified as unmutated, whereas cases with <98% identity were considered mutated. IGHV3-21 cases were classified as stereotyped (#) or non-stereotyped according to Murray et al.<sup>35</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Months from diagnosis to last observation or death.(+) indicates that the patient is alive.

<sup>c</sup> Denotes treatment status before sampling, (yes) indicates that the patient was treated before sampling, whereas (no) indicates that the patient remained untreated.

<sup>d</sup> Known recurrent aberrations, i.e. del(13q), del(11q), del(17p) and trisomy 12, were determined using FISH analysis (1), SNP-array analysis (2) or quantitative PCR analysis (3). FISH analysis was performed using commercially available probes as described previously, whereas SNP array analysis was performed using high-resolution Affymetrix 250K SNP-arrays from which data on known recurrent genomic aberrations were extracted. Quantitative PCR was performed by applying specifically designed primers for each locus (primers available on request) using the SYBR green master mix according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada). Copy-number changes were normalized against a copy-number neutral internal control region and ratios relative to the DNA copy-number from a pooled control (Promega, Madison) were tallied for each locus.

<sup>*</sup> A second mutated, in-frame IGHV gene rearrangement (92% identity) was also detected in this case.

ND, not defined.
Table 2. Functional characterization of selected differentially methylated genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality of genes</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>IGHV mutated</th>
<th>IGHV unmutated</th>
<th>Implicated role in cancer</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor suppressor genes</strong></td>
<td><em>ABI3</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Lost in cancer cell lines, implicated in lung metastasis</td>
<td>NM_016428.3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>SCGB2A1</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Methylated in lung cancer</td>
<td>NM_02407.1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>VHL</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Mutated in sporadic cell renal carcinoma and von Hippel-Lindau syndrome</td>
<td>NT_022517.17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>PPP1R3A</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Deregulated in colorectal cancer</td>
<td>NM_002711.2</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>WISP3</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Loss of expression in inflammatory breast cancer</td>
<td>NM_003880.2</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genes enhancing proliferation</strong></td>
<td><em>ADOR3</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Activation of the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_020683.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>AIRE</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Regulated by the PKC pathway</td>
<td>NM_003383.1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>CARD15</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Activation of the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_022162.1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>FABP7</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Regulated by the MAPK/ERK pathway</td>
<td>NM_001446.3</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>LOC340061</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Activation of the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_198282.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>PRF1</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Enhancing the MAPKinase pathway</td>
<td>NM_005041.3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>UNC5CL</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Activation of the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_173561.1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genes facilitating tumorogenesis</strong></td>
<td><em>ANGPT2</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Preferentially expressed in unmutated CLL</td>
<td>NM_001147.1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>IFNB1</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Shown to enhance B-cell proliferation</td>
<td>NM_002176.2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>URP2</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Implicated in facilitating CLL tumorogenesis</td>
<td>NM_031471.4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anti-apoptotic genes</strong></td>
<td><em>BCL2</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Known anti-apoptotic gene, up-regulated in many cancers</td>
<td>NT_025028.13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>PLD1</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Stimulates cell cycle progression by repressing p53 regulation of p21</td>
<td>NM_002662.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pro-apoptotic genes</strong></td>
<td><em>BCL10</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Pro-apoptotic genes, involved in p53 mediated cell death</td>
<td>NM_003921.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>IL19</em></td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Regulating apoptosis by activation of the STAT3 pathway</td>
<td>NM_013371.2</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genes involved in prognosis</strong></td>
<td><em>NGFR</em></td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Highly expressed in favorable prognostic ALL patients</td>
<td>NM_002507.1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## B. IGHV unmutated and IGHV3-21 CLL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality of genes</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>IGHV unmutated</th>
<th>IGHV3-21</th>
<th>Implicated role in cancer</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor suppressor genes</td>
<td>ABI3*</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Lost in cancer cell lines, implicated in lung metastasis</td>
<td>NM_016428.3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPX3</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Deleted or methylated in prostate cancer</td>
<td>NM_002084.2</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IGSF4*</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Down-regulated by methylation in neuroblastoma</td>
<td>NM_014333.2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SERPIND5*</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Tumor suppressive properties in breast cancer</td>
<td>NM_002639.2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLC22A18</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Deregulated in several cancer forms</td>
<td>NM_183233.1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes repressing proliferation</td>
<td>LDOC1</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Implicated in repressing the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_012317.2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZNF540</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Involved in down-regulating the MAPKinase pathway</td>
<td>NM_152606.2</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes facilitating tumorogenesis</td>
<td>IL17RC</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Shown to facilitate tumorogenesis in prostate cancer</td>
<td>NM_032732.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANGPT2</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Expressed in IGHV unmutated CLL</td>
<td>NM_001147.1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FHL2</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td></td>
<td>NM_201556.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S100A14</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td></td>
<td>NM_020672.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-apoptotic genes</td>
<td>BCL2</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Known anti-apoptotic gene, up-regulated in many cancers</td>
<td>NT_025028.13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLD1</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Stimulates cell cycle progression by repressing p53 regulation of p21</td>
<td>NM_002662.2</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These genes represent candidate tumor suppressor genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality</th>
<th>Gene names</th>
<th>IGHV mutated</th>
<th>IGHV3-21</th>
<th>Implicated role in cancer</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes enhancing proliferation</td>
<td>LOC340061</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Activation of the NFκB pathway</td>
<td>NM_198282.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRF1</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Enhancing the MAPKinase pathway</td>
<td>NM_005041.3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RASGRP3</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Regulated B-cell proliferation by facilitating B cell receptor-RAS signaling</td>
<td>NM_170672.1</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes facilitating tumorogenesis</td>
<td>LMO2</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Known proto-oncogene, expressed in human B-cell lymphomas</td>
<td>NM_005574.2</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>URP2</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Implicated in facilitating CLL tumorogenesis</td>
<td>NM_031471.4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCRMS</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td></td>
<td>XR_000219.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-apoptotic genes</td>
<td>TP53I3</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Shown to induce p53 mediated cell death</td>
<td>NM_004881.2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BCL10</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Pro-apoptotic genes, involved in p53 mediated cell death</td>
<td>NM_003921.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes involved in prognosis</td>
<td>DNTT</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>Associated with poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia</td>
<td>NM_004088.3</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Methylation indices (MI) for the 27 samples included in the present study.

**Figure 2:** Supervised hierarchical clustering of methylated/unmethylated genes between CLL subgroups. Three different comparisons were made: (A) IGHV mutated (samples M1 to M6) vs. IGHV unmutated (samples UM1 to UM7), (B) IGHV unmutated vs. IGHV3-21 (samples 3-21-1 to 3-21-10) and (C) IGHV mutated vs. IGHV3-21. Two normal healthy control samples and 1 negative control were included for comparison. A gradient color scale ranging between green (completely unmethylated) and red (completely methylated) is included.

**Figure 3.** Validation experiments using MSP-PCR. Methylation-specific PCR was performed for the *PRF1*, *ADORA3*, *BCL10* and *IGSF4* genes, using bisulfite treated DNA from IGHV mutated samples (M1-3 to M8-12) and IGHV unmutated samples (UM1-4 and UM8-12). Figure 3A shows data for samples analyzed in the methylation array whereas Figure 3B includes results from additional CLL samples. Analysis of each gene in Figure 3A/B was performed during the same experiment and on the same gel, vertical line(s) have been inserted to indicate a repositioned gel lane. N: normal PBMC sample; M: methylation-specific primers; U: unmethylation-specific primers.

**Figure 4.** Validation experiments using quantitative RT-PCR. Relative expression data presented as box plots for the following genes: *VHL*, *AB13*, *ADORA3* and *BCL10*. 27 IGHV unmutated and 23 IGHV mutated CLL cases were investigated that had been not included in the methylation array analysis. p-values are indicated above each box plot. Boxes indicate the
interquartile range (25-75%) with the smaller inner square indicating the median. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum values, except for outliers (circles).

**Figure 5.** Bi-sulfite sequencing of 2 tumor suppressor genes. (A) *VHL* and (B) *AB13*. Results from 2 IGHV mutated and 2 IGHV unmutated CLL samples are shown. Black lollipops; methylated CpG sites and white lollipops; unmethylated CpG sites. ★ Indicates a polymorphic site.

**Figure 6.** RT-PCR analysis showing the re-expression of methylated tumor suppressor genes in unmutated CLL. Three different candidate tumor suppressor genes, (A) *IGSF4*, (B) *AB13* and (C) *VHL* were investigated in 3 IGHV unmutated CLL samples (UM1 to UM3, shown in different colors) with and without treatment using the methyl inhibitor 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (DAC), the histone deacetyl (HDAC) inhibitor, Trichostatin A (TSA) or in combination. Con, control.
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