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Abstract: By adopting accounting values and not economic value, auctioneers and valuers contribute to property market inefficiency. The reaction to this global financial and real asset meltdown is for tighter regulation. But the difficulties now being experienced in global asset markets are not new– it’s just that it is much bigger. The common denominator is accounting based valuations.

One wonders if Oscar Wilde had auctioneers and valuers in mind when he quipped that “nowadays we know the price of everything and the value of nothing.” Certainly the National Asset Management Agency will need to reflect on the valuation practices of auctioneers and valuers if they are serious in addressing their fundamental objective of maximising the return to their shareholding taxpayer. At some stage in the future NAMA will want to raise the most money it can from selling the assets under their control, mindful that they will lose money if they undervalue their assets. Similarly, potential purchasers will be concerned about asset values because they don’t want to pay more than they are worth.

Predicting how much purchasers will be willing to pay for those assets is tough. Investors in assets of all types use a variety of techniques to value the assets they buy. They will try to assess which asset has the greatest potential for gain, assess the risk factors involved,
look at accounting data and assess where the market is heading. Scientific valuation
precision is nay impossible because valuation is both art and science.

Nevertheless, despite the limitations of assessing scientific value, going back to first
principles is an appropriate starting point. What is relevant is intrinsic value. The intrinsic
values of all assets are found the same way. The current intrinsic value of all assets is the
expected cashflow (not profits) those assets will generate both now and in the future.

What these assets generated in the past is of no consequence to their current values.
Unfortunately this is the main weakness of accounting based valuation methods, such as
profits methods of valuation, because such methods are, by definition, historical. Indeed
the Mallison Report which was published following the UK property market crash in the
late 1980s, suggested that one reason for the overvaluation ‘bubble’ was auctioneers and
valuers using historical (comparable) evidence to estimate current values. However, if
future cashflows based on economic values are declining intrinsic values can lie
considerably below market price. This is the classic property asset bubble which we are
now experiencing.

Unfortunately, by adopting accounting values and not economic value, auctioneers and
valuers contribute to property market inefficiency. The reaction to this global financial
and real asset meltdown is for tighter regulation. But the difficulties now being
experienced in global asset markets are not new– it’s just that it is much bigger. The
common denominator is accounting based valuations.

It may be a tad unfair to practicing auctioneers and valuers to criticise them for slavishly
adhering to this method of valuation given that their bible, the Red Book, takes its cue
from the international accountancy standards. Perhaps the solution, in addition to tighter
regulation, is a move to long term economic value, which, thankfully, is what NAMA is alluding to.

Appraising commercial property is composed of several methods of valuation. The dominant valuation method used is the income approach using terminal value. The price paid is a reconciliation of the income approach and other valuation methods. But sometimes assets will sell for amounts other than the present value of expected future cashflows because not everyone can agree on what the future cashflows are going to be. Competition for valuable assets will lead to price adjustments which are the best estimate of what the asset price is. The assets will sell to those investors who see the least risk and/or anticipate the highest cashflows. But here lies the heart of the problem. Remember the objective of valuations (to avoid asset bubbles) should be to find a market price that sits comfortably with intrinsic value. There are two important inputs here. First, cashflow expectations should be based on realistic economic forecasts. Sadly this is not always the case. For example, looking at the balance sheet of Anglo Irish Bank the net asset value (book / accounting value) of their commercial property portfolio is circa €4.5bn. If you were to believe this you believe in the tooth fairy. Clearly the valuations placed on those commercial properties, now being acquired by the taxpayer, expected these properties to generate wholly unrealistic cashflows. Again this violates first principles in financial economics – the GIGO effect – garbage in garbage out. Secondly, in addition to having realistic cashflow expectations, the risk attached to those cashflows is paramount. This risk is reflected in the discount rate. Inter alia, how these commercial properties are funded determines the discount rate. In the valuation of these
commercial properties gearing levels of 80% was not uncommon. The principle characteristic feature of debt is its fixed income repayment regardless of the cashflows. This substantially increases the commercial property’s financial risk. Was this adequately priced into the valuation? The evidence would suggest not. Furthermore, valuers must come to use an appropriate cost of capital instead of simply relying on the cost of debt. Again the reason for this is that valuers take their cue from their accounting cousins who simply deduct the cost of debt in arriving at a profit figure and ignore the biggest cost of all – the cost of equity, which of course is included when teasing out economic value.

The taxpayer will become NAMA’s biggest shareholder and maximising the shareholders long term economic value is the primary goal. To do this it should avoid bad valuation practices. The valuation method used to value the target assets should be the economic equity residual method. This method assesses the residual free cash flows (FCFs) left over for the acquiring company’s shareholders – the taxpayer. Maximising these FCFs maximises the taxpayers return.

The valuation profession needs to return to basic economic theory that will inform them of how to undertake competitive market analysis using pro forma cash flow analysis, its economic drivers and investment risk in assessing property values.