

2008-09-01

Impact of University Rankings: Global Excellence vs. Local Engagement

Ellen Hazelkorn

Technological University Dublin, ellen.hazelkorn@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/cseroth>



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#), and the [International and Comparative Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hazelkorn, E.: Impact of University Rankings: Global Excellence vs. Local Engagement. Presentation given at the Launch Workshop for Participating Regions, OECD/IMHE Reviews of Higher Education in Regional Development 2008-2010. Paris, September, 2008.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Social and Educational Research at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Other resources by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

Impact of University Rankings: Global Excellence vs. Local Engagement

Professor Ellen Hazelkorn
Director, Research and Enterprise & Dean of the Graduate
Research School
Dublin Institute of Technology, Ireland

Launch Workshop
OECD/IMHE Reviews of Higher Education in Regional
Development, 2008-2010
September 2008



Themes

1. Global Rankings and Competitiveness
2. Impact of Rankings on Higher Education and its Stakeholders
3. Building a World Class City Region

1. Global Rankings and Competitiveness

Rankings and the Knowledge Economy

- Knowledge has become the foundation of economic growth, social development, and national competitiveness.
- If higher education is the engine of the economy, then the global status of HEIs becomes a vital indicator.
- But many OECD countries face sharp demographic shifts evidenced by the greying of population and a decline in PhD graduates.
- Countries with high levels of international students benefit from the contribution they make to domestic research and development' (OECD, 2007, p34).
- Global competition is reflected in the rising significance and popularity of rankings which attempt to measure the knowledge-producing and talent-catching capacity of HEIs.

Why Rankings?

- Satisfy a 'public demand for transparency and information that institutions and government have not been able to meet on their own.' (Usher & Savino, 2006, p38)
 - Cue to consumers re: conversion potential for occupational & graduate school attainment
 - Cue to employers what they can expect from graduates
 - Cue to government/policymakers regarding international standards & economic credibility – and investor confidence
 - Cue to public because they are perceived as independent of the sector or individual universities

What do Rankings Measure?

- Global rankings focus primarily on research
 - SJT = 80%
 - Times QS = 60% + teaching and internationalisation
- Global rankings compare complex HEIs using a single set of indicators, *but*
 - Is there a single definition of Quality/Excellence?
 - Institutions have different goals and missions: can one-size-fit-all?
 - What about the quality of teaching, regional engagement, innovation, social and economic impact?
 - Is there a correlation between teaching quality and research assessment?
- 'Which university is best' can be asked differently depending upon who is asking.

2. Impact of Rankings on Higher Education and its Stakeholders

Rising Importance of Rankings for HE

- Growing international interest in rankings:
 - Rankings play critical role in maintaining/building HE reputation, status and prestige,
 - Can enhance visibility and brand,
 - Rankings used as indicator of quality and excellence,
- Despite criticism and concern with methodology, use rankings when positive for publicity/promotion.
- HEIs particularly sensitive to student choice – and influence and incentivise HE behaviour.
- Rank influences student recruitment, employers, global partnerships, and philanthropy.

Impact of Rankings on Students

- Marketisation of HE has transformed students in savvy consumers, customers or clients.
- High achievers and international postgraduate students are especially receptive:
 - Professional fields (e.g. medicine, business, law) or an academic career.
 - Students able to pay full fee – free to choose – more likely to attend higher ranked HEIs.
- Institutional rank transmits social and cultural capital which resonates with family, friends and potential employers.
 - Important for students seeking employment in home country.

Impact on Other Stakeholders

- Employers use rankings to short-list best graduates – attracted to high-ranked institutions
 - SME's and local employers have implicit ranking based on own experience.
 - Large and professional businesses tend to be more 'systematic'.
- Sponsors/benefactors use rankings to help select opportunities.
- Public Opinion can be vital agenda-setting instrument
 - Discussion in media can provide focal point for public to pay attention to higher education.
 - Audience includes those who have (in)direct influence on financial resources, tuition policy, institutional mission and profile.

Influence on Government

- Rankings seen as measuring national competitiveness and expression of national pride and ambition
 - Especially influenced by SJT, even beyond HE, e.g. emigration policy.
 - Often expressed by number of HEIs in top 20, 50 or 100.
 - High-ranked HEIs seen as trophy universities.
 - Used to attract foreign investment.
- National excellence initiatives = response to rankings and are used/perceived as a ranking.
- Pace of reform quickening as governments believe reform will lead to more competitive and better (more highly ranked) HEIs.

Impact on Higher Education

- Rankings used to benchmark performance, set strategic goals and KPIs, and aid resource allocation.
 - Supports modernisation agenda, strategic planning and QA,
 - ~50% use their rank for publicity/marketing purposes.
- Strategic decisions and capacity-building
 - Highlight reputation, expertise and 'talent-catching' capabilities,
 - Build upon niche research expertise,
 - Improve global reach via international alliances & partnerships,
 - Strengthen internationalisation strategy,
 - Monitor peers worldwide.

3. Building a World Class City Region

Restructuring Global HE systems

- Research now conducted in partnership with other institutions and organisations (public and private).
- Connected regionally, nationally and globally – simultaneously.
 - National boundaries declining in significance
 - Research now conducted via bi-lateral, inter-regional and global networks of research co-operation.
 - Growing importance of global HE networks
 - Lisbon Agreement/EHEA and ERA.
- Worldwide comparisons more significant in the future.
 - 'National pre-eminence is no longer enough'
- Competition between global knowledge city regions
 - Serve as organising nodes of global economy
 - Implications for all, esp. developing economies/societies

What is a world-class HE system?

- Diverse and coherent set of high performing, globally-focused institutions and student experiences:
 - Scale and quality of graduates to provide for desired societal and economic outcomes;
 - Research base for creation of knowledge to fuel innovation and forge/attract international links.
- Excellence across diverse fields of activity:
 - Research across the full RDI spectrum,
 - Teaching & learning,
 - Regional and community engagement,
 - Social and economic impact.
- Developing competences to operate *proactively* as a global agent.

Global Excellence & Local Engagement

- Strategically position region as measured by flows of people, knowledge and ideas;
- HEIs embedded in intellectual, cultural and innovative infrastructure of the region;
- Maximise capabilities of individual organisations via regional/national collaboration and global partnerships:
 - Contribute to regional innovation,
 - Elevate status on the global research landscape,
 - Attract investment.
- Use metrics and rankings, appropriate to various customers/consumers, to benchmark competitiveness against peer regions.
- Highlight attributes of world class HE *system* as component of knowledge intensive sector & investment strategy.

Choices

- Focus on activities which perform best in rankings Vs. Identify and develop distinctive profile?
- Concentrate resources in few 'centres of excellence' Vs. Support excellence wherever it exists?
- Rely on own research capacity Vs. Forge collaboration and network with sites of relatively strong research capacity and/or resources
- Recruit students from local area Vs. Develop strategy to attract 'talent' from outside region and internationally?
- Launch public information campaign about HE excellence Vs. Allow media to provide information?
- Invest in *a* world class university Vs. Develop a world class *system*?

ellen.hazelkorn@dit.ie

<http://www.oecd.org/edu/imhe/rankings>