

## **Technological University Dublin** ARROW@TU Dublin

Assessment & Feedback Cases

Learning & Teaching Practice Exchange

2014

#### **Practical Assessment**

Claire McDonnell Technological University Dublin, claire.mcdonnell@tudublin.ie

Follow this and additional works at: https://arrow.tudublin.ie/ltcassess



Part of the Higher Education Commons

#### Recommended Citation

McDonnell, C. (2020) Practical Assessment, Learning, Teaching & Technology Centre, Technological University Dublin.

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Learning & Teaching Practice Exchange at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Assessment & Feedback Cases by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.

# Learning, TeachingTechnology Centre



## Assessment & Feedback Use Cases

### PRACTICAL ASSESSMENT

Author: Claire McDonnell

Date: 2014

This use case describes how one assessment method was designed and implemented by a lecturer or a group of lecturers in DIT. The use case was compiled from an interview conducted as part of **DIT's RAFT project (2013-14)**, the aim of which was to provide a database of assessment practices designed and implemented by academic staff across DIT.





bit.ly/33TOYId



tudublin\_lttc

dit.ie/aadlt/lttc/



#### **Lecturer and Contact Details**

Claire McDonnell

## Programme and year on which assessment was offered

BSc Optometry, Years 1-4

#### Description

Students are assessed on their competency to carry out a practical technique

#### What have you found are the advantages of using this form of assessment?

- Students really focus on mastering how to do something if they know they will have a practical
  assessment in it and if they know that if they fail that assessment they have to repeat it until
  they are deemed competent
- It is a lot less arduous than marking weekly lab reports
- When they pass you can be confident that they have a real ability to carry out a technique

#### What have you found are the dis-advantages of using this form of assessment?

- For the more complex techniques students have to be assessed one on one which is time consuming
- It can be tedious for the lecturer and students to pratise the same technique repeatedly
- Rarely some students need multiple repeats before finally demonstrating competence. (We normally cap the repeats at 4).

## Assessment in practice

It is only suitable for large classes where the students do not have to be observed e.g. students can be asked to measure something which has already been pre-measured then all you have to do is check they got the correct measurements you don't have to actually observe them.

#### **Assessment Time**

Preparation time (lecturer): In the first year it can take a long time to set up exactly how a
practical assessment will be run and marked and in subsequent years there is normally
tweaking of both the running and marking each year.



- Student time to complete: Depends on the complexity of the task but usually a couple of lab sessions to get eh hang of the technique and then one lab session given over to assessment
- Marking time: where the student is being observed they are normally marked as the
  assessment proceeds with a small amount of note making and totting up afterwards. Where the
  student is not being observed it takes as long as it would to mark a very simple maths
  assessment.
- Ease of Feedback: straightforward if notes are made during the assessment.