Crime Prevention Strategies: Can children be better served by directing public policy to the real causes of crime?

Pauline Keegan  
*Institute of Technology, Blanchardstown, b00035332@student.itb.ie*

Aiden Carthy  
*Technological University Dublin, aiden.carthy@tudublin.ie*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://arrow.tudublin.ie/casscon](https://arrow.tudublin.ie/casscon)

Part of the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

**Recommended Citation**

This Conference Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Applied Social Studies in Social Care at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Conference Papers by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact yvonne.desmond@tudublin.ie, arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, brian.widdis@tudublin.ie.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 License
Crime Prevention Strategies: Can Children be Better Served by Directing Public Policy to the Real Causes of Crime?

Pauline Keegan and Dr. Aiden Carthy
Background

• Research Overview
• Garda Youth Diversion Projects
• Methodology
• Preliminary Findings
• Conclusion and Recommendations
Research Overview

- Wider scope: exploring the experience of children involved with the Garda Youth Diversion Projects (GYDP)
- Understand the nature and effectiveness of the projects from the children’s perspective
- Examination of the direction of public policy
Research Questions

Do the children believe the programmes work?

If so, why?

Would public policy be better focused elsewhere?
Garda Youth Diversion Programmes
(Irish Youth Justice Service)

• Community-based intervention programmes

• Target children who have been involved in crime and diverting them from becoming further involved

• Target children who are at risk of offending

• Irish Social Policy balances holding children accountable with keeping them out of the Criminal Justice System
Garda Youth Diversion Programmes

• Available to children between 13 and 17 years of age

• Each child is allotted two hours per week (organisation dependent)
Methodology

• Mixed methods research design

• Qualitative (Interviews with children in focus group meetings)

• Quantitative (Questionnaires completed by Youth Leaders)

• 58 children participated (45 boys, 13 girls)

• 10 different projects (5 in Dublin, 5 in country locations)

• Ages range from 13 to 21 years old

• 10 youth workers received questionnaires (9 were returned)
Preliminary Findings

- The children consider the programmes are working for them
- Some children report that the projects do not disengage them fully from crime, but do note a reduction in their criminal behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Continued (%)</th>
<th>Discontinued (%)</th>
<th>Did Not Say (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drinking</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n= 58
Why do the children find the programmes effective?

• Children were confident that the Youth leaders truly care for and support them (92%)

• Children held the youth leaders in high regard (85%)

• Children are delighted with the projects, and are more than happy to attend (83%)

• Children attend to meet their friends (17%)

• Keeps them off the street, otherwise they are bored (85%)
Youth Leaders

“Its Allen, it’s just everyone likes Allen.”

“You can tell her anything, you can trust her”

“Cause we trust them”

“…they’re all real nice, like ye can tell them how ye feel, like”
“...they stay till 8 o’clock, so that’s 3 hours off their own time”

“or if ye told her, like, ah, I’m struggling with this in school but I’m embarrassed to say it to the teacher, she’d say it to them”

“They will ask if everything is all right at home and if it’s not can we come down and talk about it”
What do the GYDPs do?
(Irish Youth Justice Service)

- Provide suitable activities to facilitate personal development and behavioural change
- Encourage civic responsibility
- Support Garda relations
- Change attitudes around offending, drugs and alcohol
Preliminary Findings
Quantitative Results

Common concerns raised by the Youth Workers

Between 60% and 100% of the children have behavioural problems and difficulties in school

All from disadvantaged areas with high levels of crime and drugs

Poor view of Garda

Vulnerable to peer pressure

Low self esteem

Family problems
Risk Factors for Crime

Carroll, Houghton, Durkin and Hattie (2009)
Risk Factors for Crime

Risk factors intervention programmes do not address

- Personal
- Family
- Social
Preliminary Findings

Issues Identified

• Programmes do not address all the risk factors

• Most crime prevention strategies tend to focus on individual behaviour, rather than the social forces that create that behaviour (Lewis, Grant and Rosenbaum 1988)

• The link between young offenders and poverty has been well established (The Irish Penal Reform Trust)
Current Research

• Research has found that children raised in poverty suffer a wide range of negative outcomes (Moore, Redd, Burkhauser, Mbwana and Collins 2009)

Children raised in poverty suffer from

Low academic achievement

Behavioural and Emotional problems including disobedience

Impulsiveness and aggression

Family problems

Less positive peer relationships

• Children interviewed displayed similar outcomes
Recommendations

• Create jobs and employment within disadvantaged areas

• Change anti-discrimination laws to include socio-economic status (Combat Poverty Agency 2006)

• Prevent the onset of criminal behaviour by early intervention in pre-school and primary school. Improve social conditions and institutions (Farrington and Welsh 2007)

• Might be more effective and less expensive
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