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ABSTRACT

Background: Population data on tobacco use and its determinants require continuous monitoring and careful inter-country comparison. We aimed to provide the most up-to-date estimates on tobacco smoking from a large cross-sectional survey, conducted in selected European countries.

Methods: Within the TackSHS Project, a face-to-face survey on smoking was conducted in 2017–2018 in 12 countries: Bulgaria, England, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Poland, Portugal, Romania, and Spain, representing around 80% of the 432 million European Union (EU) adult population. In each country, a representative sample of around 1,000 subjects aged 15 years and older was interviewed, for a total of 11,902 participants.

Results: Overall, 25.9% of participants were current smokers (31.0% of men and 21.2% of women, \( P < 0.001 \)), while 16.5% were former smokers. Smoking prevalence ranged from 18.9% in Italy to 37.0% in Bulgaria. It decreased with increasing age (compared to <45, multivariable odds ratio [OR] for ≥65 year, 0.31; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.27–0.36), level of education (OR for low vs high, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.17–1.48) and self-rated household economic level (OR for low vs high, 2.05; 95% CI, 1.74–2.42). The same patterns were found in both sexes.

Address for correspondence. Silvano Gallus, ScD, Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Via Mario Negri 2, 20156 Milan, Italy (e-mail: silvano.gallus@marionegri.it).

The full list of TackSHS investigators is provided at the end of the manuscript.
INTRODUCTION

In 2008, the World Health Organization (WHO) introduced the MPOWER policy package, a series of technical measures and resources to assist country-level implementation of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC), developed in response to the globalization of the tobacco epidemic. The first of these technical measures involves the monitoring of prevention policies and tobacco use.1

Several national surveillance systems are available to monitor smoking prevalence and trends in most countries.2 However, data from country-specific populations are poorly comparable, since they are not collected using a standardized study design—including sampling methods, mode of interviewing and questionnaires—which represents a prerequisite for valid comparisons of smoking prevalence and smoking patterns across different countries.3,4

To the best of our knowledge, the only standardized and representative investigations that systematically monitor smoking prevalence among adults in more than one European country, are the WHO Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS)5,6; the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE)7; and the European Commission (EC) Special Eurobarometers.8-10 GATS covers three European Union (EU) member states (MS; ie, Greece, Poland, and Romania); SHARE is a longitudinal study, conducted in five waves between 2004 and 2013, collecting information on smoking habit among individuals aged 50 years and older from 28 European countries7; Eurobarometer is a representative survey conducted in all the 28 EU MS on approximately 1,000 face-to-face interviews per country, based on selected themes. The most recent Eurobarometer surveys focusing on tobacco smoking indicated that the overall smoking prevalence in the EU had slightly decreased from 29% in 2009 to 26% in 2014, and remained stable until 2017.8,9,11 Among the other tobacco control projects, the International Tobacco Control Policy Evaluation Project (the ITC Project) includes longitudinal studies in 10 European countries. However, all these studies, which include samples not representative of the general population or smokers only, cannot produce smoking prevalence estimates.12 The above-mentioned European surveillance systems are important and useful tools; however, they are not always able to investigate in-depth key tobacco control measures and smoking determinants. Consequently, a few specific studies, mainly supported by the EC, have been conducted to analyse this issue.3,13-15 These include, the Pricing Policies and Control of Tobacco in Europe (PPACTE) survey, conducted in 2010 to investigate the effectiveness of pricing policies on tobacco control in Europe3,16,17; and the present survey, conducted within the TackSHS Project (http://tackshs.eu),18 aimed to improve understanding of exposure to second-hand tobacco smoke (SHS) and e-cigarette aerosol in Europe.

CONCLUSIONS: These smoking prevalence estimates represent the most up-to-date evidence in Europe. From them, it can be derived that there are more than 112 million current smokers in the EU-28. Lower socio-economic status is a major determinant of smoking habit in both sexes.

METHODS

The TackSHS survey18 has been conducted in 12 strategically selected EU countries (Bulgaria, England, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Poland, Portugal, Romania, and Spain), representing geographical, legislative and cultural variations across the EU.

This cross-sectional study was coordinated by Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS (Mario Negri Institute; Milan, Italy). The fieldwork was conducted by DOXA, the Italian branch of the Worldwide Independent Network/Gallup International Association, and its European partners.

Sample selection

In each country, we considered a sample of around 1,000 individuals aged 15 years and older (participants’ age was ≥16 in England, ≥18 in Ireland, 15–64 in Greece, and 15–74 years in Latvia), representative of the general population in terms of age, sex, habitat (ie, geographic area and/or size of municipality) and, in some countries, socio-economic characteristics. The survey included a total of 11,902 subjects. The whole population domain represented 79.2% of the whole EU population aged 15 years or over (432 million inhabitants).

Sampling methods varied across countries: in Bulgaria, Greece, Italy, Latvia and Romania, a multi-stage sampling was used and respondents were randomly chosen to be representative of the population in terms of sex, age, and geographic area (in Italy, representativeness by socio-economic characteristics was also ensured); in Germany, Ireland, Poland, Portugal, and Spain, stratified random sampling was used, combining also quotas on sex and age and social class in Ireland; in England, United Kingdom, cluster sampling with quotas on age, sex, socio-economic status (SES), region, urban/rural dwelling was used to ensure national representativeness; and in France, quotas on age, sex, region, and city size were used for the selection of survey participants.

Questionnaire

A first draft of the survey questionnaire was developed in English language by the researchers from Mario Negri Institute, based on previous tobacco use and secondhand smoke exposure questionnaires.3,19-21 A specific commission with six experts among project partners was created to review the questionnaire and to produce a second version. The final version of the English questionnaire was then developed through the collaboration with...
Information on survey methods and sample characteristics in 12 European countries. TackSHS Project, 2017–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Fieldwork dates</th>
<th>Sample sizea</th>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>Survey mode</th>
<th>Sampling method</th>
<th>Representativeness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>Oct 2017</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage stratified random sampling with quota support</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>Jan-Feb 2018</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>≥16</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Cluster sampling with quota support</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat, SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Nov–Dec 2017</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Quota method</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Jun 2018</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Jun-Jul 2018</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>15–64</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage random sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Nov 2017</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>≥18</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling with quota support</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat, SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Nov 2016</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage random sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat, SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Oct 2018</td>
<td>1.022</td>
<td>15–74</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage random sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Sep 2018</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>≥16</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage stratified random sampling with cluster support</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Nov–Dec 2017</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Stratified sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Jun-Jul 2017</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Multi-stage stratified random sampling with quota support</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat, SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Oct 2017</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>Stratified random sampling</td>
<td>Age, sex, habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Jun 2017–Oct 2018b</td>
<td>11,902</td>
<td>≥15</td>
<td>CAPI</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAPI, computer assisted personal interview; SES, socio-economic status.

aRaw sample size.
bThis period does not include the time of the survey conducted in Italy (ie, Nov–Dec 2016) since Italy was the pilot country. The participants from Italy are included in all the analyses.

all the partners of the TackSHS consortium (eMaterial 1). The questionnaire was translated into Italian language by Mario Negri Institute’s researchers, and into Bulgarian, French, German, Greek, Latvian, Russian, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, and Spanish by DOXA partners. The translated versions of the questionnaire were revised and validated by bilingual (ie, local language and English language) tobacco control experts.

The questionnaire contains four sections: socio-economic and demographic characteristics; cigarette smoking habit and e-cigarette use; exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) and e-cigarette aerosol, plus cigarette smoking and e-cigarette use, in different indoor and outdoor places; and attitudes and perceptions on smoke-free regulations and awareness of SHS harmful effects.

Fieldwork

Ad hoc trained interviewers conducted a face-to-face, computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) survey in all the 12 European countries. A test fieldwork was conducted by DOXA in Italy in November 2016 among 1,059 participants. The fieldwork in the other 11 countries was conducted between June 2017 (in Romania) and October 2018 (in Latvia). Table 1 provides information on survey characteristics for each country, including fieldwork period, sample size, age range and sampling methods.

Variables and definitions

Demographic characteristics included age (categorized as <25, 25–44, 45–64, ≥65 years) and sex (men and women). Level of education was categorized as country-specific tertiles of schooling years. Self-assessment of household family economic status relative to the country-specific population was classified into three levels (higher than average, average, and lower than average). Never smokers were defined as participants who had never smoked or had smoked less than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. Smokers were defined as participants who reported smoking at least 100 cigarettes (including roll-your-own cigarettes) during their lifetime. Current smokers were smokers who reported smoking at the time they participated in this survey, while ex-smokers were smokers who stopped smoking by the time they participated in this survey.3,21 Smokers also provided information on age of starting smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked per day. For each country, we calculated the male-to-female smoking prevalence ratio as the current smoking prevalence in men divided by that in women. The 12 countries were classified into Northern (England, Ireland, and Latvia), Western (France and Germany), Southern (Italy, Greece, Portugal, and Spain), and Eastern (Bulgaria, Poland, and Romania), following United Nation M49 standard,22 and halved by their gross domestic product (GDP) per capita23 into <25,000 € (Latvia, Romania, Poland, Portugal, Greece, and Bulgaria) and ≥25,000 € (England, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, and Spain).

Ethical issues

We obtained study approval from a local Ethics Committee in each of the 12 countries (eTable 1). Details on the survey characteristics were provided to all participants by suitably qualified professionals through a structured information sheet, and all the participants provided their consents by ticking the electronic field in the CAPI questionnaire. The study protocol has been registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (ID: NCT02928536).

The procedures for recruitment of subjects, data collection, storage, and protection (based on anonymous identification code) are in accordance with the current country-specific legislation. This was ratified and signed by DOXA and each of its European partners.

Statistical analysis

Statistical weights were used to generate representative estimates of the general population of each country (individual weight). To calculate results for the entire sample, we applied “country weights”, which combined individual weights with an additional
Among 11,902 participants, 57.6% (95% CI, 56.8–58.5%) described themselves as never smokers (49.5% in men and 65.1% in women), 16.5% (95% CI, 15.8–17.2%) as ex-smokers (19.5% in men and 13.7% in women) and 25.9% (95% CI, 25.1–26.7%) as current smokers (31.0% in men and 21.2% in women; Table 2). The smoking prevalence was below 20% in Portugal, and in women from 15.3% in Germany to 34.4% in Bulgaria. Average smoking intensity was 14.7 cigarettes per day (SD, 8.8), ranging from 12.4 (SD, 7.5) in Italy to 17.1 (SD, 15.8) in Greece.

Among current smokers, 76.1% started smoking before age 18 years and 96.3% before 25 years. Mean age at starting regular smoking was 17.4 (SD, 4.5) overall, 17.1 (SD, 4.1) in males, and 17.8 (SD, 4.8) years in female smokers, and varied between 15.2 (SD, 4.2) in England and 19.1 years (SD, 4.2) in Romania (data not shown in tables).

Table 3 provides the ORs for current versus non-smokers according to selected individual-level and country-specific characteristics. Smoking prevalence was higher in men than in women (OR 1.67; 95% CI, 1.53–1.82). Compared with subjects aged <45 years, ORs were 0.97 (95% CI, 0.89–1.07) for 45–64 years, and 0.31 (95% CI, 0.27–0.36) for ≥65 years. Compared with high level of education, the ORs were 1.39 (95% CI, 1.25–1.55) for medium and 1.32 (95% CI, 1.17–1.48) for low level of education (P for trend <0.001). Compared to those with a high household economic status, the ORs were 1.33 (95% CI, 1.15–1.54) and 2.05 (95% CI, 1.74–2.42) for those with an average and low economic status, respectively. The patterns observed for all the individual-level characteristics were consistent in men and women. Compared with Northern Europe, the ORs of smoking were 1.58 (95% CI, 0.98–2.56) in Western, 1.52 (95% CI, 0.93–2.49) in Southern and 1.57 (95% CI, 0.92–2.70) in Eastern European countries. Compared to Northern Europe, the OR in men was higher in Western Europe (OR 2.17; 95% CI, 1.35–3.48), Southern Europe (OR 1.77; 95% CI, 1.09–2.87) and Eastern Europe (OR 1.87; 95% CI, 1.10–3.18). Smoking prevalence showed no significant difference between countries with lower and higher GDP per capita, the ORs for less wealthy countries being 1.22 (95% CI, 0.82–1.81).

**RESULTS**

Among 11,902 participants, 57.6% (95% CI, 56.8–58.5%) described themselves as never smokers (49.5% in men and 65.1% in women), 16.5% (95% CI, 15.8–17.2%) as ex-smokers (19.5% in men and 13.7% in women) and 25.9% (95% CI, 25.1–26.7%) as current smokers (31.0% in men and 21.2% in women; Table 2). The smoking prevalence was below 20% in Portugal, and in women from 15.3% in Germany to 34.4% in Bulgaria. Average smoking intensity was 14.7 cigarettes per day (SD, 8.8), ranging from 12.4 (SD, 7.5) in Italy to 17.1 (SD, 15.8) in Greece.

Among current smokers, 76.1% started smoking before age 18 years and 96.3% before 25 years. Mean age at starting regular smoking was 17.4 (SD, 4.5) overall, 17.1 (SD, 4.1) in males, and 17.8 (SD, 4.8) years in female smokers, and varied between 15.2 (SD, 4.2) in England and 19.1 years (SD, 4.2) in Romania (data not shown in tables).
important target population for tobacco control interventions aimed to decrease nicotine addiction initiation.

Smoking prevalence among women, exceeding 15% in all the 12 countries, is in an advanced stage of the tobacco epidemic.3,25 However, notable differences were observed in the male-to-female smoking prevalence ratio, ranging from 1.04 in England to 2.58 in Latvia. This suggests that some countries (likely those with a higher male-to-female smoking prevalence ratio) are in an earlier phase compared with others.3,25

Multilevel logistic analyses indicate that the level of education was inversely related to smoking prevalence in both men and women. Analysing other individual level and country specific indicators of SES, we also found that smoking prevalence was inversely related to smoking prevalence in both men and women. Our estimates are in fact substantially higher than those reported before 2015 for daily smoking (lower than 20%).23,31,32 The different definition of current smoking and the measures specifically focused on low socio-economic groups to reduce health inequalities from tobacco.

In general, our findings are consistent with those reported in the Global Health Observatory data repository of the WHO29 and those found in the 2017 Eurobarometer survey.8 The latter study shows a smoking prevalence for the EU of 26% overall, 30% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In general, our findings are consistent with those reported in the Global Health Observatory data repository of the WHO29 and those found in the 2017 Eurobarometer survey.8 The latter study shows a smoking prevalence for the EU of 26% overall, 30% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates. In Italy, the smoking prevalence found in TackSHS survey (19% overall, 23% in men, and 20% in women, thus very similar to our estimates.
different sampling methodology used are not sufficient to explain the substantial gap found in smoking prevalence. Moreover, the distribution of our Portuguese sample by sex and age group is very similar to the official distribution provided by Eurostat.\textsuperscript{34} Other adult smoking prevalence estimates for Portugal, including those from the 2010 PPACTE study (32% overall, 36% in men, and 29% in women),\textsuperscript{3} or the 2015 WHO estimates (32% in men and 14% in women),\textsuperscript{36} or the 2017 Eurobarometer survey (26% overall)\textsuperscript{3} are more similar—but still substantially lower—than our estimates.

Overall, the smoking prevalence remained almost the same (from 27.2% to 26.4%) in the 11 countries that were included in both the TackSHS and PPACTE (2010) surveys, using similar methodologies and definitions. For France, Portugal, Romania, and Spain, we observed increases in smoking prevalence over the last decade but a decrease from 36% to 20% in Ireland.

The trends in smoking prevalence found in our data match those from the Eurobarometer surveys: the comparison between the 2017\textsuperscript{28} and 2009\textsuperscript{11} surveys indicated a decrease in smoking prevalence for all countries except for France and Portugal. Smoking prevalence estimates in Europe remain substantially higher as compared to those from other high-income countries, such as the United States, Canada, and Australia, where less than 15% of adults are current smokers.\textsuperscript{2,33}

The limitations of the present study include some differences of sampling in the study countries. Notwithstanding, all samples selected were representative of their population in terms of age, sex, and geographic area and/or size of municipality. The age range of the participants was slightly different in some countries. In particular, in Greece the sample was limited to subjects aged 15–64 years, thus likely providing an over-estimation of the adult smoking prevalence. However, even assuming that the smoking prevalence in the elderly (representing in Greece 25% of the adult population\textsuperscript{24}) was 50% that in younger adults—as observed in the other 11 countries—we would estimate a smoking prevalence around 30%, still similar to the provided estimate.

The strengths of our survey include the representativeness of the adult population of the 12 selected European countries, the use of the same questionnaire in the 12 countries sampled, developed by a group of experts on tobacco control, the standardized use of a single definition of current smokers, and the use of face-to-face interviews.

Our data indicate that there are 112 million current smokers in the EU, including 65 million men and 47 million women. We confirm that the large majority of smokers started smoking when they were adolescents, and that lower SES is a major determinant of smoking habit in Europe. Tobacco control measures to decrease smoking initiation and to promote smoking cessation should, therefore, be targeted at young people and the sub-group with lower SES, respectively. Increasing tobacco prices, through the adoption of additional excise taxes, has been shown to be more effective among younger generations and in economically deprived populations.\textsuperscript{38,34} Therefore, price increase is still likely to be a particularly effective tobacco control strategy, particularly in those European countries where tobacco price is still relatively low and resources for tobacco control are scarce.\textsuperscript{35}
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