

2019

Myopia Outcome Study of Atropine in Children (MOSAIC): Design and Methodology

Saoirse McCrann

Technological University Dublin, mccrannsaoirse@gmail.com

Ian Flitcroft

Children's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland, ian.flitcroft@dit.ie

John Butler

Technological University Dublin, john.s.butler@tudublin.ie

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/ceyerart>



Part of the [Chemicals and Drugs Commons](#), [Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons](#), [Eye Diseases Commons](#), [Medical Pharmacology Commons](#), and the [Optometry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

McCrann, S. et al. (2019) Myopia Outcome Study of Atropine in Children (MOSAIC): Design and Methodology, *HRB Open Research*. doi.org/10.21427/xyq9-ck53

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Eye Research Ireland at ARROW@TU Dublin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of ARROW@TU Dublin. For more information, please contact arrow.admin@tudublin.ie, aisling.coyne@tudublin.ie, gerard.connolly@tudublin.ie, vera.kilshaw@tudublin.ie.



This work is licensed under a [CC 0 1.0 Universal \(CC 1.0\) Public Domain Dedication License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Funder: Medical Research Charities Group (MRCG) and funded by the Health Research Board (HRB) and Fighting Blindness

Authors

Saoirse McCrann, Ian Flitcroft, John Butler, and James Loughman

Title

Myopia Outcome Study of Atropine in Children (MOSAIC): Design and Methodology

	Item No	Recommendation	Page number
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-7
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	7
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	8
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	8
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	17-20 Table 1
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	16-18
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	9-11, 32
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	9-11, 27-29
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	12-13
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	16
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	27-29
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	27-29
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	28-29
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	16
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	15-16

Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy

(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses

Results

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Figure 2
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 2
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11, 17-19
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	20-21 Table 1
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	9-10
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	

Other information

Funding

22 Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

7
Figure 1

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.